# SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT

**DATE:** August 8, 2003

SUBJECT:Petition No. 400-01-07- Mountair Annexation Proposal3000 South to 3300 South and 1100 East to 1700 East (Imperial<br/>Street)

**AFFECTED COUNCIL DISTRICTS:** District 7, Citywide

<b>STAFF REPORT BY:</b> Michael Sears and Janice Jardine	
Administrative dept.	Community and Economic Development Department
AND CONTACT PERSON:	Everett Joyce and Cheri Coffey

The petition to annex the Mountair neighborhood has been reviewed by the Planning Commission and has been advanced by the Administration to the Council for a briefing. There are several steps to the annexation process. The final step is a vote by the Council once it is determined whether there is adequate interest on the part of property owners.

In order to apply Salt Lake City zoning and master plans to annexed areas, the Council is briefed on the applicable master plans and proposed zoning at the time annexation is considered. Land-use actions recommended to the Council by the Planning Commission include amending the Sugar House Community Master Plan's Future Land Use Map and the Sugar House Community Zoning map. Both maps are included in the Administration's transmittal. The transmittal also includes the Planning Division staff report for the Planning Commission, Commission meeting minutes, information on community and neighborhood meetings, an economic analysis of the proposed annexation and the necessary ordinance to annex the Mountair neighborhood.

The Administration's transmittal cover sheet highlights several of the issues concerning this annexation, specifically analysis of the proposed master plan amendment and zoning amendment. Additional information is noted in the Key Elements section of this report.

Council staff has included an Options and Motions section to show what courses of action the Council may take with regards to this annexation proposal.

The Council is scheduled to set the date for a September 16 hearing on September 2. If additional information is desired on zoning or other issues, staff can provide it for a follow-up briefing on September 2. In order to allow for adequate advertising time, the hearing advertising would need to actually begin on September 2. Staff will need direction from the Council at the conclusion of the Tuesday, August 12 briefing on whether to move ahead with the advertising for the September 16 hearing.

## **OPTIONS** AND **MOTIONS**:

After the briefing on the proposed annexation, the Council may wish to set a date for a public hearing. After the public hearing, the Administration will confirm that the annexation petition still meets the requirements of State Annexation Law (determined by the percentage of property owners who support the annexation proposal).

The petition has been signed by a majority of private property owners representing over 1/3 of the assessed valuation listed on current County Assessment rolls. Property owners have until the annexation vote by the City Council to decide if they support the petition to annex into Salt Lake City. If over 50 percent of the private property owners support annexation, in accordance with state law, the Council could vote to annex the area. The Council could also opt to take action at a later date or to vote against annexation. If the Council votes against the annexation this area cannot be annexed by Salt Lake City and would instead be included in the Millcreek Township. The proposed annexation area is inside the boundaries of the Millcreek Township but because the annexation petition was filed prior to the creation of the township, the ordinance that established the township did not include the pending annexation. If annexation does not occur, the area becomes part of the Millcreek Township.

The following are motions that the City Council may elect to use at the conclusion of the public hearing.

- 1. ["I move that the Council"] Adopt an ordinance extending the corporate limits of Salt Lake City to include the Mountair area, consisting of approximately 155 acres of property located generally between 3000 South and 3300 South and between 1100 East and 1700 East, pursuant to Petition No. 400-01-07; amending the Sugarhouse Master Plan; and amending the Salt Lake City Zoning map to zone and designate the properties within that area upon annexation to the City.
- 2. ["I move that the Council"] Not adopt an ordinance extending the corporate limits of Salt Lake City to include the Mountair area, consisting of approximately 155 acres of property located generally between 3000 South and 3300 South and between 1100 East and 1700 East, pursuant to Petition No. 400-01-07; amending the Sugarhouse master Plan; and amending the Salt Lake City Zoning map to zone and designate the properties within that area upon annexation to the City.
- 3. ["I move that the Council"] Defer action on this item to the next City Council meeting, and request additional information from the Administration relating to zoning issues.

### **KEY ELEMENTS:**

- 1. The Administration's transmittal, Planning Commission minutes and Planning Division staff report provide a detailed discussion of the annexation request. Major points are summarized below:
  - a. The property is adjacent to and East of the Brickyard Plaza (please refer to the map, Exhibit A, in the transmittal from the Administration for clarification).

- b. The property is within the boundaries of the area identified in the City's Future Annexation Policy Declaration area and reaffirmed by formal Council action in 2000 and 2001.
- c. The annexation area includes 528 residential lots with 563 dwelling units and 43 commercial lots with 29 commercial structures.
- d. The proposal does not include the commercial properties between Woodland Avenue (approximately 3175 South) and 3300 South and 1300 East and Highland Drive because the applicants did not include the area in the proposal. The Administration notes that this area is not included as part of the annexation proposal because State annexation law precludes municipalities from actively garnering support for annexation.
- e. The petition appears to comply with annexation criteria established by State Code:
  - The property is contiguous to the current City boundary.
  - The area is included in the City's annexation policy declaration.
- 2. The Administration notes that "overall, the proposed zoning classifications are similar to the existing Salt Lake County zoning for the area". Please refer to the attached map, *Proposed Salt Lake City Zoning*, for details. The proposed City zoning classifications include:
  - a. Single-family residential R-1/7,000 to be applied to a majority of the low-density residential properties within annexation.
  - b. Special Residential SR-1 to be applied to the properties along Elgin Avenue between 1100 East and Richmond Street. The area contains a mix of single-family and duplex residential uses.
  - c. The proposed SR-1 zoning will also be applied to a parcel located at 3025 South 1100 East directly north of the Brickyard Plaza with a single-family residential structure without public street frontage. The property is currently zoned Industrial M-1. Access to the property is provided by a private lane.
  - d. Multi-family RMF-35 to be applied to properties along Richmond Street and Elgin Avenue. The area mainly consists of three and four-family units and the Aspen View 16-unit residential development (1230 East Elgin Ave.).
  - e. Commercial Business CB to be applied to the majority of commercial properties along Highland Drive, 3300 South, Elgin Avenue and Gunn Avenue. The area consists mainly of retail goods and services with some restaurants and offices. There are also several automotive repair businesses. Two automobile service centers, two self-storage units and one vacant property will become non-conforming uses.
  - f. Residential Business RB to be applied to the area along 3300 South east of 1575 East to 1700 East excluding the Libbie Edwards Elementary school property.
  - g. Public Lands PL to be applied to the Libbie Edwards school property located at 1655 East 3300 South. The school is owned by Granite School district and is currently leased by the State for use as the Tooele/Salt Lake Applied Technology School. The facility serves mainly high school age students.
- 3. The proposed annexation was protested by Salt Lake County on June 7, 2003 and after review of the protest the Salt Lake County Boundary Commission selected a consultant to develop a feasibility study on the proposed annexation. The Commission ruled in favor of the City on January 21, 2003 and the annexation process was resumed by the City.
- 4. The Planning Commission voted to forward to the City Council a recommendation to:
  - a. Amend the Sugar House Master Plan
  - b. Amend the City zoning map to zone the properties within the annexation area as recommended by the Planning staff
  - c. Upgrade the circulation and drainage infrastructure

- d. Allocate funds for clean-up services
- e. Allocate funds to address public safety needs
- 5. A formal recommendation from the Planning Commission is included with the Administration's transmittal and a formal public hearing before the City Council will be scheduled at a future date to receive comments, consider information from the Administration and make a final decision to approve or deny the annexation request and zone the property consistent with City zoning classifications and recommendation from the Planning Commission

#### MATTERS AT ISSUE /POTENTIAL QUESTIONS FOR ADMINISTRATION:

Council Members may wish to discuss with the Administration in further detail the following issues identified through this process:

- 1. Creation of non-conforming uses and/or non-complying structures Council Members have asked in other circumstances about the creation of non-conforming or non-complying issues through zoning action. The proposed Commercial Business District zoning will non-conform four existing businesses (two self-storage businesses and two major auto repair businesses) and one vacant business.
- 2. This recommendation carries with it many of the principles from the Sugarhouse Master Plan. Since the adoption of the plan, some Council Members have expressed a desire to discuss principles further, prior to adopting the zoning that implements the zoning in the Sugarhouse Business District. Council staff did not have a chance to fully analyze this annexation in comparison with concerns raised previously by Council Members on the Sugarhouse rezoning, but this review could be completed for a September 2 follow-up briefing.

### MASTER PLAN AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS:

- 1. The Sugar House Master Plan reiterates the City's annexation policy in relation to areas contiguous to Sugar House. The Plan notes that annexation would produce long-term benefits for County residents annexed into the City through improved levels of municipal services and a net reduction in the cost of water service. The following policy statements are included in the master plan:
  - a. Encourage the annexation of designated areas as a whole rather than in small pieces to provide coordinate land use development policies and comprehensive municipal services.
  - b. Establish new community planning districts for areas annexed into the City south of the existing Sugar House community planning boundary.
- 2. State Code 10-2-403 regarding annexation requires that boundaries for annexation be drawn in the following manner:
  - a. To eliminate islands and peninsulas of territory that is not receiving municipal-type services;
  - b. To facilitate the consolidation of overlapping functions of local government;
  - c. To promote the efficient delivery of services; and
  - d. To encourage the equitable distribution of community resources and obligations.

- 3. The Council's adopted growth policy states: It is the policy of the Salt Lake City Council that growth in Salt Lake City will be deemed the most desirable if it meets the following criteria:
  - a. Is aesthetically pleasing;
  - b. Contributes to a livable community environment;
  - c. Yields no negative net fiscal impact unless an overriding public purpose is served; and
  - d. Forestalls negative impacts associated with inactivity.
- 4. Council staff has attached a synopsis of City annexation policies prepared for the Council's Annexation Subcommittee. The Synopsis includes a summary of:
  - a. The City's 1979 Annexation Policy Declaration
  - b. City Resolution No. 34 of 2000 Reaffirmation of 1979 Master Annexation Policy Declaration, and Declaration of Intent to annex areas served by the City's water system in the unincorporated Salt Lake County
  - c. Resolution 20 of 1982 Water Service provided outside the City limits
  - d. Existing Community Master Plans Annexation Policies
  - e. The 1999 Salt Lake County Feasibility Scenarios Reports
  - f. 1999 Salt Lake City Wall to Wall Cities Study
  - g. 2000 Salt Lake City Wall to Wall Cities Annexation Study

# **BUDGET RELATED FACTS:**

The proposed annexation of the Mountair neighborhood will be revenue neutral. The anticipated costs to service the approximately 157 acres will be \$703,047 each year. The revenue that this area is anticipated to generate is \$698,295. The revenue and expenditure estimates are from the Mountair Annexation feasibility Study that was prepared by Wikstrom Economic & Planning Consultants on behalf of the Salt Lake County Boundary Commission. The City may have to adjust staffing levels and purchase additional equipment to service this area. Adjustments to City departments can be made during budget openings or during the budget adoption process in May and June of each fiscal year.

If the proposed annexation is accepted by the City, the City Council may wish to receive an update from the Administration on the revenues and costs associated with this area.

# **CHRONOLOGY:**

A complete chronology of events associated with the petition to annex the Mountair neighborhood is included in the Administration's transmittal from the. The following chronological events are those that relate to public process or City Council action.

- 2/16/01: Petition received from Jim Barnett and Madelyn Meier.
- 5/7/02: City Council adopted a resolution accepting the petition for review.
- 6/7/02: Salt Lake County requests that the proposed annexation be reviewed by the Salt Lake Boundary Commission.
- 1/21/03: Salt Lake County Boundary Commission reviews the case and finds in favor of the City. The annexation process can proceed.

- 4/2/03: Sugar House Community Council received a briefing on the petition and voted to support it.
- 4/23/03: Following a public hearing, the Planning Commission recommended that the City Council adopt proposed amendments to the future land use map of the Sugar House Community Master Plan and the Sugar House Community Zoning map as recommended by staff.
- 8/12/03: Briefing to the City Council regarding proposed annexation.

cc: Rocky Fluhart, David Nimkin, DJ Baxter, Ed Rutan, LeRoy Hooton, Rick Graham, Alison Weyher, David Dobbins, Louis Zunguze, Lynn Pace, Jeff Niermeyer, Kevin Bergstrom, Max Peterson, Tim Harpst, Brent Wilde, Doug Wheelwright, Cheri Coffey, Everett Joyce, Janice Jardine, Lehua Weaver, Annette Daley

File Location: Community and Economic Development Dept., Planning Division, Mountair Annexation – 3000 South to 3300 South and 1100 East to 1700 East (Imperial Street)