SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT

BUDGET AMENDMENT #5 - FISCAL YEAR 2004-05

DATE: February 11, 2005

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2004-2005 Budget Amendment #5

STAFF REPORT BY: Sylvia Jones and Gary Mumford

CC: Cindy Gust-Jenson, Rocky Fluhart, Sam Guevara, Steve Fawcett, Chief

Dinse, Chief Querry, David Dobbins, Jerry Burton, John Vuyk, Gordon Hoskins, Elwin Heilmann, Luann Clark, Tim Harpst, Krista Dunn, Shannon Ashby, Sherrie Collins, Laurie Donnell, Susi Kontgis, and Kay

Christensen, Marge Harvey, Jan Aramaki and Lehua Weaver

The briefing and discussion of the fifth budget amendment for fiscal year 2004-2005 is scheduled for February 15, 2005. The proposed amendment includes **several state and national grants relating to public safety, youth programs, and street improvements.**

On March 1, 2005, the Council may wish to set the date of March 8, 2005 for a public hearing.

In December of 2003, the City Council passed a resolution requesting that the Administration provide a revenue forecast at least four times each fiscal year with one revenue forecast report in conjunction with each quarterly budget amendment request. The Administration has prepared a brief response. Please see attached Council staff memo and the Administration's transmittal.

In an effort to make the review of the budget openings more expedient, Council staff has attempted to categorize budget opening items as follows where possible:

- "New" those items that are new issues that the Council may have discussed but now need budget appropriation to be carried out.
- "Housekeeping" -- those items that are strictly accounting actions and do not have policy implications. These include transfers internal to the City.
- "Donation" -- those items that are donations that require Council appropriation to be used, are consistent with previous Council discussions, or do not have policy implications.
- "Grant providing additional staff resources" those grants that provide additional staff positions and require a City match. These generally have policy implications because they may add a new service or create an expectation that the City will fund the position after the grant has expired.
- "Grant requiring existing staff resources" -- those grants that will require the City's existing staff to complete a specific project. (Some of these could have policy implications, since employees involved with these projects have less time to focus on other projects within the scope of their work.)

MATTERS AT ISSUE

Issue #A-1: One-time Contribution to the Housing Authority of Salt Lake (\$30,000 - General Fund) ("New Item")

In December of 2004, the Housing Authority of Salt Lake (HASLC) received notification that the US Dept. of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) that the funding for the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program would be significantly reduced in 2005 as compared to the previous year. At a briefing on January 6, 2005, the Housing Authority director suggested that Salt Lake City contribute \$30,000 to assist with this emergency. During the briefing, the Council requested that the Administration prepare the necessary budget amendment to fund \$30,000 from fund balance of the general fund. A study was completed as required by state law (10-8-2), and a separate public hearing will be held for this issue.

The Administration recommends that the Council appropriate the funds to facilitate this request and adopt a resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute the grant agreement.

Issue #A-2: Special Improvement District, 900 South 900 East - Create Budget for Property Owner Portion (\$350,000 - CIP Fund) ("Housekeeping")

During the FY 04-05 CIP process, \$500,000 of CIP funding was awarded for the 900 South 900 East Streetscape Enhancement project. The Special Improvement District (SID) component was not included in the budget approval. **This action will establish the budget for the property owners' portion.**

The Administration recommends that the Council adopt the budget to receive the property owners' portion of the SID.

Issue #A-3: Request for funds for Underground Streetlighting Conduit -- Utahna Street Improvement Project Phase 2, Emery Street, California to Utahna - (\$25,000 - CIP Contingency Fund) ("New Item")

During the FY 04-05 CDBG process, \$355,000 of CDBG funding was awarded for the reconstruction of Utahna Drive Phase 2, Emery Street. A request for underground streetlighting conduit funding was not included in this Phase 2 request. The Council previously approved \$70,000 of CIP for costs associated with the installation of underground streetlighting conduit for Utahna Phase I, and there are associated cost savings if the City installs the conduit for Phase 2 during construction. Approval of this request would maintain the consistency of the entire Utahna Street Improvement project by providing underground conduit for Phase 2, Emery Street, California Ave. to the south leg of Utahna Drive.

The Administration recommends that the Council appropriate the funds to facilitate this project.

Issue #A-4: 1300 South Viaduct Rehabilitation Project (\$160,000 - CIP Class "C" Fund) ("New Item")

This project is included in the FY 05-06 Class "C" Fund requests. **Expedited budget approval is being proposed now to allow for emergency repairs to the bridge.** Significant structure deterioration was identified recently in the bridge rehabilitation study. The work includes repairs to the bridge expansion joints, drainage system, bridge deck, as well as additional testing. The City will work with the Utah Department of Transportation to develop a plan for financing, which may include federal highway funding.

The Administration recommends that the Council appropriate the funds to facilitate this project.

Issue #A-5: Asphalt Overlay on Various City Streets (\$1,500,000 - CIP Class "C" Fund) ("New Item")

This request is included in the FY 05-06 requests for Class C funding. As in prior years, expedited budget approval of this project is being proposed to allow the work to begin in the spring of 2005 and be completed during the 05-06 construction season. The asphalt overlay will be performed on various City streets. (Please see the Administration's transmittal for a listing of street locations.) The work will increase pavement life, provide smoother street surfaces and enhance streetscape appearance. ADA ramps will be constructed and deteriorated curb and gutter will be replaced. This request also includes \$100,000 to design the FY 06-07 Overlay Project.

The Council has previously requested information on the Administration's approach to prioritizing street and ADA work. The Council may wish to ask about the timing of the response and status of the report.

The Administration recommends that the Council appropriate the FY 05-06 Asphalt Overlay Class "C" budget to facilitate this project.

Issue #A-6: Fremont Avenue Bridge Replacement Project (\$8,000 - Class "C" Cost Overrun) ("New Item")

The Fremont Avenue Bridge Replacement project was funded through the Federal Bridge Replacement funding, requiring a match from the City of 20%. Additional work orders and cost increases were incurred requiring the City to increase its match. The extra costs include keystone wall installation, sidewalk and fence modifications, heating of lower deck/web walls and an increase in construction inspection fees. The project is complete, and the City will pay UDOT, since federal highway funded projects are administered through UDOT. The Council may wish to request clarification of the timing of this request since this project has already been completed.

The Administration recommends that the Council appropriate the necessary funding from the Class "C" Overrun account and increase the budget to facilitate the City's match for this project.

Issue #A-7: Gladiola Street Improvements, 900 South to California Avenue (\$500,000 – CIP Fund Impact Fees and CIP Fund Class "C" Funding) ("New Item")

This project is included in the FY 05-06 Class "C" funding and Westside Roadway Impact Fee funding requests. Expedited budget approval of this project is proposed to allow coordination of street improvements on Gladiola Street, 900 South to California Avenue in conjunction with two private development projects located adjacent to the Gladiola and 900 South intersection. Widening and improvement of these two streets will be accomplished in conjunction with curb, gutter and pavement installation by Ace Disposal and Geneva Pipe.

The Council has approved advance Class "C" budget appropriation in the past, and this request is consistent with the Council's policy of making funds available so that the City can receive favorable construction bids. The Administration recommends that the Council appropriate the necessary funding to facilitate this project.

Issue #A-8: Private Donation for Unity Center Land Purchase (\$320,000 - Private Donation) ("New Item")

The City used a portion of the Unity Center Trust funds to purchase property adjacent to the LDS Church building. The purchase was made with the understanding that a private donor would reimburse the City for the full cost of the property. The total cost is \$320,000 including closing costs.

The Administration recommends that the Council accept the donation so that the funds can be placed in the trust account.

Issue #A-9: Purchase of Preservation Easement – Airport Wetlands (\$12,344 – CIP Open Space Fund Balance) ("New Item")

The Airport is acquiring approximately 116 acres of property located north of Runway 17/35 at approximately 2200 North and 3200 West to reduce the possibility of future non-compatible land uses. The property will be acquired through a property exchange on a value-for-value basis with 620 acres of surplus land at the Airport's wetlands mitigation site and a cash differential payment. A permanent deed of conservation easement is to be placed on the 620 acres, and also a 30-foot public access easement for a possible future trail. Federal airport regulations require the City's governmental funds to compensate the Airport for the trail easement. The difference will be funded from the CIP Open Space Fund.

The Administration recommends that the Council appropriate the funds to facilitate the project. The Council will be receiving a briefing on the land acquisition in the near future.

Issue #A-10: Purchase of Property at 200 East 600 South (\$440,000 - CIP Surplus Land Account) ("New Item")

In 1995, and approximately every two years thereafter, the City purchased property at the southeast corner of 600 South and 200 East for assemblage purposes in order to build a City-owned facility. The two remaining properties under private ownership have become available to the City. The Administration recommends that the City pursue acquisition of the remaining properties in order to hold the entire corner for future development or potential resale.

The Administration recommends that the Council appropriate the necessary funds to facilitate the purchase of the property.

Issue #A-11: Street Lighting Power Cost Increase (\$255,000 - General Fund) ("New Item")

Utah Power and Light has adjusted its monthly billings to the City for street light power to accurately reflect the City's street light inventory and the rate charged for each type of light. The increase in cost to the City is approximately \$25,000 a month or \$300,000 annually. This issue was discussed during the FY 04-05 budget process; however, no changes to the maintenance and power accounts were budgeted because of Utah Power's inability to change their billings. The corrections to the billings have now been made.

The Administration recommends that the Council increase the budget and appropriate the funds to facilitate the increase in monthly billings.

Issue #A-12: Relamping of Light Fixtures at Plaza 349 (\$67,500 – Fund Balance) ("New Item")

The Administration recommends replacing the light fixtures at Plaza 49 with new energy-efficient ballasts and parabolic lamp fixtures. This project corresponds with the City's energy saving initiatives. According to the Administration, Utah Power has studied the

project and concluded that the replacement costs would be recovered within 3.2 years. Utah Power has agreed to reimburse the City for \$20,900 (approximately 30%) of the project cost. According to Utah Power, the City will have an annual savings of \$14,250 once the project is completed.

The Administration recommends that the Council appropriate the necessary funds to facilitate this request from fund balance of the general fund. The reimbursement for Utah Power will not be received until fiscal year 2005-06.

Issue #A-13: Request from Salt Lake County for Reimbursement of Salt Palace Expansion Permit Fees (\$47,840.50 – General Fund) ("New Item")

Salt Lake County has requested a reimbursement for fees paid to the City for Phase I of the Salt Palace Expansion. The County's request includes a refund of \$46,588.50 in building permit fees, \$30,382.53 in plan review fees, and \$42,648.12 in impact fees, for a total refund of \$119,619.15.

The Administration recommends reimbursing Salt Lake County for building permit fees of \$47,840.50 (the actual amount paid to the City) per the Building Permit Fee Refund Protocol. The Administration is not recommending a refund of plan review or impact fees, as there is no provision for this in City ordinance.

The Administration recommends that the City Council approve the budget adjustment to facilitate the reimbursement to Salt Lake County.

Issue #A-14: Assistant to the Mayor – Policy, Writing and Special Projects (\$10,130 – General Fund) ("New Item")

The Mayor's Office is requesting to hire 1 FTE to perform and coordinate analytical work and research on policy issues and initiatives for the Mayor's Office. Among other duties, this individual would coordinate with organizations to form a refugee program for Salt Lake City, research and coordinate writing grant proposals, work as a liaison between the Salt Lake City Mayor's Office, the Salt Lake County Mayor's Office, and Salt Lake County officials to research and resolve community issues and concerns. This individual would also assist SLCTV in the production and scheduling of City department updates, events and informational material to aid in the communication between Salt Lake City and the community. Details are included in the Administration's transmittal.

Funding requirements through the end of FY 04-05 will be approximately \$10,130. The FY 05-06 funding requirements will be approximately \$50,665. *The Council may wish to ask the Administration whether the duties of the FTE are duplicated in other City departments.* The Administration recommends that the Council appropriate the funds. One FTE is associated with this request.

The following is the adopted budget for the Mayor's Office for each fiscal year from 1999 to 2005: Changes in positions in this table do not include all reclassifications or title changes. Some of the changes may have been made in mid-year budget amendments, but are reflected below in the next annual budget. Total FTEs do not include the Mayor's position.)

Mayor's Office							
	Adopted Budgets and Changes in Authorized Positions						
Fiscal	Adopted	Percent					
Year	Budget	Change	FTEs	Positions Added, Eliminated, or Transferred			
1998-99	\$1,337,137		18				
1999-00	\$1,348,739	0.9%	18	New position – Director of Community Action Teams			
				Eliminated (.5 FTE)Special Assistant to the Mayor			
				Eliminated (.5 FTE) – Constituent Services Specialist			
2000-01	\$1,487,182	10.3%	19	New position – Office Facilitator			
				New position – Director of Youth Programs			
				New position – Office Admin. Asst – Youth Programs			
				Eliminated – Director of Community Action Teams			
				Eliminated – Neighborhood Services Coordinator			
2001-02	\$1,558,507	4.8%	20	New position – Office Staff Assistant			
				New position – Minority Affairs Liaison			
				New position – YouthCity Program Coordinator			
				New position – Youth Employee Program Coordinator			
				Eliminated – Office Facilitator			
				Eliminated – Constituent Services			
				Eliminated – Executive Office Assistant			
2002-03	\$1,582,831	1.6%	20				
2003-04	\$1,379,531	(12.8%)	18	Transferred out – Director of Youth Programs			
				Transferred out – Youth Program Coordinator			
2004-05	\$1,495,039	8.4%	16	New position – Economic Development Manager			
				Transferred in – Senior Advisor for Economic Dev			
				Transferred out – YouthCity Program Coordinator			
				Transferred out – Youth Employee Program Coordinator			
				Eliminated – Policy and Research Analyst			
				Eliminated – Minority Affairs Liaison			

The positions transferred out in 2003-2004 / 2004-2005 (Director of Youth Programs, Youth Program Coordinator, YouthCity Program Coordinator, and Youth Employee Program Coordinator) still exist within the City's workforce. They are housed in the Department of Public Services.

Issue #B-1: Grant from the U.S. Department of Education – YouthCity Program (\$52,500 -- Misc. Grant) ("Grant Requiring Existing Staff Resources")

In FY 03-04, Public Services' YouthCity Program received a grant from the U.S. Department of Education for a five year period from 2003-2008 to continue and expand the current YouthCity programs. In December of 2004, the Council adopted the budget for the first year of the 5-year appropriation. This request is to adopt the second year of the 5-year appropriation.

\$37,500 of the appropriation will continue to fund salary and benefits for the Administrative Assistant, and hourly teacher positions. In addition, \$5,000 has been awarded for operations and maintenance, and \$10,000 for the YouthCity programs provided at Fairmont, Liberty, Central City, Sorenson Multi-Cultural Center and Glendale Middle School.

No additional FTE's are associated with this grant. The Council previously adopted a resolution that authorized the Mayor to accept the grant and sign all additional agreements and awards pertaining to this particular grant. The Administration recommends that the Council appropriate the necessary budget to facilitate the grant.

Issue #B-2: Grant from the State of Utah, Office of Victims Reparations Violence Against Women Grant (VAWA) (\$18,133.14 – Misc. Grant) ("Grant Requiring Existing Staff Resources")

The Salt Lake City Police Department receives this grant annually from the State of Utah, Office of Crime Victims Reparations to fund partial costs of one part-time victim advocate position. The Police Department matches this grant with \$6,459 from their General Fund. The victim advocate responds nightly and on weekends to calls for service on behalf of victims of violent crime. Additionally, the position provides resources, referrals, support, education, court advocacy, case history research, and information to prosecutors and court staff.

No additional FTE's are associated with this grant; grant funding is paying for the benefits and wages for an existing FTE.

The Council previously adopted a resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept the grant and sign all additional agreements pertaining to this particular grant. The Administration recommends that the City Council accept the grant and appropriate the necessary budget to facilitate the grant.

Issue #B-3: Grant for Emergency Manager's Services (\$24,000 – Misc. Grant) ("Grant Requiring Existing Staff Resources")

The Administration is requesting that the City Council appropriate \$24,000 in grant funds from the State of Utah, Division of Emergency Services and Homeland Security to help fund the Emergency Manager's position salary and benefits. The remaining costs of this position are funded within the Management Services General Fund budget. This is a continuation grant.

No additional FTE's are associated with this grant; grant funding is paying for the benefits and wages for an existing FTE.

The Council previously adopted a resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept the grant and sign all additional agreements pertaining to this particular grant. The Administration recommends further that the City Council appropriate the necessary budget to facilitate the grant.

Issue #B-4: Grant for Metro Fire Investigation Task Force (\$15,000 - Misc. Grant Fund) ("Grant Requiring Existing Staff Resources")

The Fire Department receives this grant annually from the U.S. Department of Justice to assist the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) by participating in the Metro Fire Investigations Task Force. The task force coordinates the efforts of various fire and law enforcement agencies as they investigate valley-wide fires to determine cause and origin. The grant funds reimburse the Salt Lake City Fire Department for overtime expenses incurred while providing resources to assist ATF in the task force operations.

No additional FTE's are associated with this grant.

The Council previously adopted a resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept the grant and sign all additional agreements pertaining to this particular grant. The Administration recommends that the City Council accept the grant and appropriate the necessary budget to facilitate the grant.

Issue #D-1: Transfer of Copy Center Funds to the IMS Fund (\$49,072 – Internal Service Fund) ("Housekeeping")

Prior to July 1, 2004, the City operated a copy center internal service fund. This fund was managed by the City's Information Management Services Fund. The fiscal year 2004-05 budget included an operating transfer to the General Fund of \$81,540. Actual surplice funds in the copy center fund equaled \$130,612, or \$49,072 more than the budgeted transfer.

The Administration recommends that the City Council appropriate the necessary budget to transfer the surplus funds to the Information Management Services Fund. **The Council may wish to ask the Administration regarding the intended use of the surplus funds.** The Council may wish to appropriate the surplus funds for other Council priorities.

Issue #D-2: Guardsman Way Surplus (\$314,414.65 - CIP Fund) ("Housekeeping")

Each year the City Council "recaptures" remaining appropriations from completed or closed capital improvement projects. The January 2005 budget amendment recaptured remaining appropriations from five CIP projects. The recapture for one of the projects was in error because even though there was a remaining budget, there wasn't any cash. The Finance Division proposes reversing the January 2005 budget amendment for this item and closing out the project. The CIP fund balance is \$585,767. This transaction doesn't impact CIP fund balance since a transfer of cash is not necessary to correct the error.

Issue #D-3: 500 West (200 South to 400 South) Project Closure and Use of Surplus CIP funds on Local Street Projects (\$994,548 – CIP Fund) ("New Item")

Surplus funds of \$994,548 are available from closed out street projects. Because portions of this request have been a source of confusion in the past, the Council staff has asked the Administration to be prepared to address this issue during Tuesday night's briefing.

Issue #D-4: CIP & CDBG Recapture (\$81,299.93 – CIP and CDBG Funds) ("Housekeeping")

Each year the City Council "recaptures" remaining appropriations from completed or closed projects. Five CIP projects have remaining appropriations of \$79,182.84. One Class "C" street project has a remaining appropriation of \$844.51. The Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) fund had two completed projects with remaining funds of \$1,272.58.

In addition, this request reduces the remaining budgets in various old completed or closed CIP projects, which were constructed on a reimbursement basis (e.g., Landfill projects, SID projects, RDA projects, projects funded by grants). The City received reimbursements for only the amount of the actual construction. Remaining appropriations need to be eliminated. No cash is involved. The reduction is \$1,454,718.06.

Issue #D-5: Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Programs) (\$968,624.53 - Revolving Loan Fund) ("Housekeeping")

Several Housing and Urban Development programs have received program income from repayment of loans. This action establishes a budget for those funds and allows the program income to be reallocated into the individual programs for continued programming. HUD Federal Guidelines require program income to be reallocated to programs that have the same eligible activity.

Issue #E-1: Interlocal Agreement between Salt Lake City and Salt Lake County – Utah Alcohol Foundation (\$62,348 – CDBG Fund) ("Grant Requiring Existing Staff Resources")

The Utah Alcoholism Foundation was awarded \$33,091 in CDBG funds from the City and \$62,348 in CDBG funds from Salt Lake County. The funding will be used for building improvements to three facilities; the Women and Children's Center, the House of Hope, and the Children's Treatment Center. The interlocal agreement between the County and the City establishes that the City will take the lead in administering the contract for rehab construction for the building improvements. The improvements include windows, door replacement and bathroom ventilation at the Women and Children's Center; bathroom rehab, driveway repair and HVAC upgrade at the House of Hope; and windows at the Children's Treatment Center.

The Council previously adopted a resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept the grant and sign all additional agreements pertaining to this particular grant. The Administration recommends that the City Council accept the grant and appropriate the necessary budget to facilitate the grant.

Issue #E-2 Grant from the State of Utah, Dept. of Environmental Quality (\$10,000 - Misc. Grant Fund) ("Grant Requiring Existing Staff Resources")

Management Services received a grant from the State of Utah, Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) to assist the Salt Lake City School District to establish and implement an Environmental Management Systems (EMS) plan. According to the Administration, the City will hire a contractor to research and write the plan, including 'best practices' for schools. Council Members may wish to ask the Administration why City resources are being used to oversee the development of a plan for the School District.

The Administration recommends that the Council adopt a resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept the grant and sign all additional agreements pertaining to this particular grant. The Administration further recommends that the Council appropriate the necessary budget to facilitate the grant.

Issue #E-3: Grant from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Economic Development Initiative (EDI) – 900 South 900 East Streetscapes (\$99,410 – Misc. Grant Fund) ("Grant Requiring Existing Staff Resources")

Community Development received a grant from the U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for streetscape improvements to the intersection at 900 South and 900 East. The funds will be used for sidewalk repair, installation of ADA corner ramps, updated traffic signals, pedestrian scale streetlights and countdown signals, replacement of bus benches and new landscaping. These improvements will be made in conjunction with the other work planned for the 9th and 9th area.

The agreement for this grant has not yet been finalized. The Administration anticipates that the paperwork will be finalized and received in time for the upcoming public hearing on March 8, 2005. If the documentation is unavailable at the time of the public hearing, this item will be pulled from the agenda.

The Administration recommends that the Council appropriate the funds to facilitate this request and adopt a resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute the grant agreement.

Issue #E-4: Grant from UDOT for Street Improvements – North Temple Viaduct at 600 West (\$40,000 – CIP Fund) ("Grant requiring no staff resources")

As a reminder, this item was originally included in the transmittal for Budget Amendment #4; however, at the time of the budget amendment public hearing, the Administration had not received the paperwork from UDOT, and the item was pulled from the agenda.

The Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) and the Salt Lake City Redevelopment Agency (RDA) agreed to provide improvements to the North Temple Viaduct at 600 West. The improvements include new safety lighting, ADA sidewalk replacement, asphalt, concrete curb and gutter and other drainage improvements. The RDA budgeted \$60,000 and UDOT agreed to provide an additional \$13,320. Construction plans have been finalized and Engineering's construction estimate indicates that additional funds are necessary. UDOT has agreed to provide an additional \$40,000 for this project.

The Administration recommends that the Council appropriate the funds to facilitate this request and adopt a resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute the grant agreement.

The Administration anticipates that the paperwork will be received in time for the upcoming public hearing on March 8, 2005. If not, this item will be pulled from the agenda.

SALT' LAKE; GITY CORPORATION

ROCKY J. FLUHART CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER ROSS C. ANDERSON MAYOR

COUNCIL TRANSMITTAL

TO:

Dale Lambert, Chair

Salt Lake City Council

FROM:

5704 Rocky J. Fluhart, Chief Administrative Officer

DATE:

February 4, 2005

SUBJECT:

Budget Amendment No. 5

We recommend that on March 1, 2005, the City Council set a date Recommendation: to hold a public hearing on March 15, 2005, to discuss Budget Amendment No. 5.

The attached amendment packet is transmitted to Discussion and Background: the City Council Office for the briefing on February 15, 2005.

Legislative Action: The attached ordinance to amend this budget has been approved by the City Attorney.

cc:

Dan Mulé, City Treasurer

Shannon Ashby

SALT LAKE CITY ORDINANCE

No. ____ of 2005

(Amending Salt Lake City Ordinance No. 63 of 2004 which adopted the Final Budget of Salt Lake City, including the employment staffing document, for Fiscal Year 2004-2005)

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SALT LAKE CITY ORDINANCE NO. 63 OF 2004 WHICH APPROVED, RATIFIED AND FINALIZED THE BUDGET OF SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH, INCLUDING THE EMPLOYMENT STAFFING DOCUMENT, FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING JULY 1, 2004 AND ENDING JUNE 30, 2005.

PREAMBLE

On August 24, 2004, the Salt Lake City Council approved, ratified and finalized the budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, including the employment staffing document, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2004 and ending June 30, 2005, in accordance with the requirements of Section 118, Chapter 6, Title 10 of the Utah Code Annotated, and said budget, including the employment staffing document, was approved by the Mayor of Salt Lake City, Utah.

The City's Policy and Budget Director, acting as the City's Budget Officer, prepared and filed with the City Recorder proposed amendments to said duly adopted budget, including the amendments to the employment staffing document, copies of which are attached hereto, for consideration by the City Council and inspection by the public.

All conditions precedent to amend said budget, including the employment staffing document, have been accomplished.

Be it ordained by the City Council of Salt Lake City, Utah:

SECTION 1. <u>Purpose</u>. The purpose of this Ordinance is to amend the budget of Salt Lake City, including the employment staffing document, as approved, ratified and finalized by Salt Lake City Ordinance No. 63 of 2004.

SECTION 2. Adoption of Amendments. The budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document, attached hereto and made a part of this Ordinance shall be, and the same hereby are adopted and incorporated into the budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, including the employment staffing document, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2004 and ending June 30, 2005, in accordance with the requirements of Section 128, Chapter 6, Title 10, of the Utah Code Annotated.

SECTION 3. <u>Certification to Utah State Auditor</u>. The City's Policy and Budget Director, acting as the City's Budget Officer, is authorized and directed to certify and file a copy of said budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document, with the Utah State Auditor.

SECTION 4. Filing of copies of the Budget Amendments. The said Budget Officer is authorized and directed to certify and file a copy of said budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document, in the office of said Budget Officer and in the office of the City Recorder which amendments shall be available for public inspection.

SECTION 5. <u>Effective Date</u>. This Ordinance shall take effect on its first publication.

Passed by the City Council of Salt	Lake City, Utah, this day of
, 2005.	
	CHAIRPERSON
ATTEST:	
CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER	
Transmitted to the Mayor on	
Mayor's Action: Approved	Vetoed
	MAYOR
ATTEST:	
CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER	Amerika vela into Hariba
	Date Z-7-05
SEAL)	
Bill No of 2005. Published:	
;\ordinance 04\budget amendment #5 2004-2005	

FY 2005 Initiatives in Budget Amendment #5 - March

			FY 2005	FY 2005
	Initiative Name	Initiative Amount	Gen. Fund FT	Gen. Fund E Fund Balance Impact
	Section A	New Items		
1.	Housing Authority Rental Assistance Program (TBRA)	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00
2.	SID 900 E and 900 S. Streetscape	\$350,000.00		
3.	Utahna Drive Emery St to California Ave.	\$25,000.00		
4.	1300 S. Viaduct Rehabilitation	\$160,000.00		
5.	Asphalt Overlay Class "C" 2005-06 Funding	1,500,000.00		
6.	Fremont Ave. Bridge Replacement - Class "C"	\$8,000.00		
7.	Gladiola St 900 S. to California Ave. – Class "C"	\$250,000.00		
8.	Private Donation Land Purchase Unity Center	\$320,000.00		
9.	Purchase of Preservation Easement Airport Wetlands	\$12,344.00		
10.	Purchase of Property at 200 E. 600 S.	\$440,000.00		
11.	Street Lighting Power Cost Increase	\$255,000.00	\$255,000.00	\$255,000.00
12.	Energy Reduction at Plaza 349	\$67,500.00	\$67,500.00	\$67,500.00
13.	County Permit Fee Refund on Salt Palace	\$47,840.50	\$47,840.50	
14.	Assistant to the Mayor	\$10,130.00	\$10,130.00 1.	•
4	Section B	Grants For Existin	ig Staff Resources	
1.	US Department of Education Supp	\$52,500.00		
2.	Appropriation Office of Crime Victims Reparation Violence Against Women (VAWA)	\$18,133.14		
3.	Emergency Managers Performance Grant	\$24,000.00		
4.	Metro Fire Investigation Task Force	\$15,000.00		

FY 2005 Initiatives in Budget Amendment #5 – March

			FY 2005		FY 2005
	Initiative Name	Initiative Amount	Gen. Fund Impact	FTE	Gen. Fund Fund Balance Impact
	Section C	Grants For New S	staff Resources		
	Section D	Housekeeping			
1.	Copy Center Transfer to IMS	\$49,237			
2.	Guardsman Way Motor	\$(314,414.65)			
	Fuel Bond Surplus				
3.	500 West Project Closure and Motor Fuel Bond	\$994,547.99			
4.	CIP and CDBG Recapture	\$(81,299.93)			
	CIP Budget Reduction	\$(1,454,718.00)			
	Only	, , , , , , , , , , , ,			
5.	Program Income	\$968,624.53			
	Section E	Grants Requiring	No New Staff I	Resour	ces
1.	County CDBG Utah	\$62,348.00			
	Alcoholism Foundation	,			
2.	Environmental	\$10,000.00			
	Management System	,			
3.	Economic Develop	\$99,410.00			
	Initiative 900 E 900 S	,			
	Streetscape				
4.	No. Temple & 600 West	\$40,000.00			
	UDOT Grant	·			

Initiative Name:	
Housing Authority of	Salt Lake City Tenant Based Rental Assistance Program (TBRA)
Initiative Number:	
	BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-1
Initiative Type:	
	New Item

Initiative Discussion:

On December 20, 2004, the Housing Authority of Salt Lake City (HASLC) was notified by the US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) that their Section 8 program budget would decrease by \$740,810 beginning January 5, 2005. This cut will affect the HASLC's ability to provide housing subsides for approximately 119 families during the month of January. The HASLC Board met and agreed to use \$60,000 from their reserve funds to enable continued subsidizing of their existing clientele through January. Additional reductions are not feasible and therefor, they are looking at other sources of funding to continue programming in the months following January.

The HASLC has requested a one-time contribution of \$30,000 from Salt Lake City to help alleviate this shortfall and the displacement of the 119 families that currently receive housing subsidies. It is anticipated that following January, the immediate need for additional funding will be met through attrition as those receiving. Section 8 vouchers leave the Program. This contribution will be used as leverage for other funding sources, voucher contributions from the Salt Lake County Housing Authority and will provide needed funds for the month of March 2005 subsidies.

This action reduces the Fund Balance of the General Fund by \$30,000 and creates a new cost center/project in the Non-departmental to facilitate awarding the HASLC emergency funding for their Tenant Based Rental Assistance Program. The Doug Short analysis was required to prove that quid pro quo existed. This analysis has been done and a separate public hearing is being held for that issue.

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary resolution authorizing the Salt Lake City Mayor to execute the grant agreement between the Housing Authority of Salt Lake City and Salt Lake City Corporation and to appropriate the funds to facilitate this project.

	The state of the s	
	Housing Authority of Salt Lake City Tenar	<u>nt</u>
	Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)	
	Initiative Name	!
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-1		2004-05
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year New Item
Community Development Department	2 - 4.1	Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins	NAME OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	535-6136/535-6150
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
1,1000.00.2)		, or other contracts
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impact	(\$30,000)	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	1st Year	2nd Year
	FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
nternal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund	\$01	1 30
-incrprise i unu		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	0.00	\$0
PANES - I	Control of the Contro	
Staffing Impact:		
New Number of FTE's Existing Number of FTE's	0	0
Total	0	
Description	Ų	0
Deactipuoli	•	
	,	
		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Appli		
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
	i		<u> </u>
<u></u>		- -	
			-
	 :		
والمرابع	Object Code Number		Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	2580	30,000.00
09-New Cost Center		_300	50,000.00
<u> </u>			
Additional Accounting Details:		- i	
			
		<u> </u>	
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions	?		NO
Is there a potential for grant to c	ontinue?		NO
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
If grant is funding a position is it		<u> </u>	NO
be eliminated at the end of the g	Tall (1 1	NO
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?		NO
Transpired and Administra			
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are		
eliminated?			NO
Does grant duplicate services pr	ovided by private or		
Non-profit sector?			NO

Initiative Name:	
	Special Improvement District - Property Owner Funds
	900 So. And 900 East Streetscape Enhancement
	Job No. 106018
Initiative Number:	
	BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-2
Initiative Type:	
,	New Item
in the 04-05 CIP Princluded in the budg portion of the SID in the SID in the SID in the SID in the second control of the second cont	East Streetscape Enhancement project was awarded \$500,000 of CIP Funding ocess. However, the Special Improvement District (SID) component was not approval. This request would establish the budget for the property owner the amount of \$350,000. Funds to be received by the abutting property owners.
funds for the 900 So.	and 900 East SID and to facilitate the project.

C E	Special Improvement District – Property Iwners Funds 900 S. and 900 E. Streetscap Inchancement Job No. 10601	pe 8
	Initiative Name	
BA#5 Fy2005 Initiative #A-2	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<u>2004-05</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Community Development		(Type of Initiative)
Department		Type of Initiative
ax Peterson/LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins		535-6136/535-6150
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	1st Year	2nd Year
	FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
Concept Fund	FT 2004-03	. 1 2005-00
General Fund	+	
Total		\$0
Internal Service Fund	30;	<u>.</u>
Internal Service Fund		
	1 0	\$0
Total	\$0	
Enterprise Fund		
T-1-1	40	\$0
Total	\$0	1
Other Fund	250 000 00	
83 CIP Property Owner SID	350,000.00	
Total		\$0
Total	0	\$0
0.5		
Staffing Impact:	<u> </u>	
New Number of FTE's	0	0
Existing Number of FTE's	0	0
Total	0	0
Description		
	•	
1		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83-New Cost Center SID	1890	350,000.00
_		
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83-New Cost Center SID	2700	350,000.00
	- · 	
Additional Description:		
		,
Grant Information:		NI -
Grant funds employee positions?		No
Is there a potential for grant to co	ontinue?	No
If grant is funding a position is it		NI
be eliminated at the end of the gr	antr	No
Will grant program be complete i	n grant funding time frame?	No
Will grant impact the community eliminated?	once the grant funds are	No
emmatated r		IAO
Does grant duplicate services pr	ovided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

Initiative Name:
Utahna Drive Phase 2, Emery Street -California to Utahna Job No. 102110
Initiative Number:
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-3
Initiative Type:
New Item
Utahna Drive Phase 2, Emery Street was awarded \$355,000 of CDBG funding in FY-04-05 However, the request did not include funding for underground streetlighting conduit. During the 04-05 CIP Process, the City Council approved an additional \$70,000 of CIP to cover the costs associated with installation of underground streetlighting conduit for Utahna Phase I.
Approval of this \$25,000 request would maintain the consistency of the entire Utahna Street Improvement project by providing the underground conduit for Phase 2, Emery Street California Ave. to the South leg of Utahna Drive. In addition, to add it during the course of the construction would provide a cost savings to future City funds.
It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary budget to facilitate the Utahna Phase 2, underground streetlighting conduit project

Utahna I	Orive P	hase 2, Emery Street -Califori	nia t	o Utahna
	1	Job No. 102110		
	<u> </u>	Initiative Name	-	-
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-3	5.7			2004-05
Initiative Number	· · · · · ·			Fiscal Year
Community Development	! 		<u> </u>	New Item
Department Department	ı ", [Type of Initiative
Peterson/Lu <u>Ann Clark/Sherrie Co</u>	lline L		 :	535-6136/535-6150
Prepared By	/////////////////////////////////////	1	<u> </u>	Contact Number
r_tepared_by				Contact (valide)
General Fund (Fund Balance)	Impac	<u>.</u>		
Concratt und (Tuna Salance)	ппрас	,,,		
Revenue Impact By Fund:		<u>1st Year</u>) :	2nd Year
<u> Politica de la constanta de </u>		FY 2004-05		FY 2005-06
General Fund		·		
T-1-1		00		\$0
Total	<u> </u>	<u>\$0</u>		\$0
Internal Service Fund				
· ·				
Total	-	\$0		\$0
Enterprise Fund	 	 		
Litterprise rund	 			
Total		\$0		\$0
Other Fund	 			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			-+	
	1			
Tota	 	0		\$0
Staffing Impact:				
New Number of FTE's		0		0
Existing Number of FTE's		0		0
Total		. 0		0
Description				
	1.			
			1 1	
			-	

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Oost Seller Hallisel	52,551 5540 71411125	
		-
		1
<u> </u>		
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
33-99098 CDBG CIP Contingency	2700	(25,000.00)
33- New Cost Center CDBG CIP	2,00	25,000.00
Additional Description:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		No
is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	No
If grant is funding a position is it		No
be eliminated at the end of the gra	intf	140
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	No
vin grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame:	
Will grant impact the community o	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		No
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

Initiative Name: 1300 So. Viaduct Rehabilitation Utah State Highway User Funds Class "C" 05-06 Job No. 107009 Initiative Number: BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-4 Initiative Type: New Item Initiative Discussion: This project is included in the 2005-2006 FY request for funding within the Class "C" Fund. Expedited budget approval of this project is being proposed to allow needed emergency repairs to the structure. Work includes repairs to bridge expansion joints, drainage system and bridge deck and to perform additional testing of the structure's deterioration. Significant deterioration was identified in the recently completed condition assessment and bridge rehabilitation study. The City will be working with UDOT to develop a plan for financing this rehabilitation hopefully involving Federal Highway Funding

It is recommended that the City Council appropriate the 2005-2006 1300 South Viaduct

Rehabilitation Class "C" budget to facilitate this project.

_		.
	1300 S. Viaduct Rehabilitation Utah	
	State Highway User Funds Class "C"	
	2005-06 Job No. 107009	
	Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-4	1 (#1) ⁴	<u>2004-05</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Community Development		New Item
Department		Type of Initiative
Peterson/LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Co	ollins	535-6136/535-6150
Prepared By		Contact Number
General Fund (Fund Balance)	Impact	
(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>1st Year</u>	2nd Year
Neverlue impact by t unu.	FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund	1, 1, 200-7-00	
General Lund		
·		
Total	\$01	\$0
Internal Service Fund	\$U	90
пцетнаї регутсе випо		+
T _ L _ 1	#D	\$0
Total	. \$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
<u> </u>		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
83 CIP Class "C" Fund 2005-06	160,000.00	
FY Funding		
	100 000 00	#0
Tota	160,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:		
New Number of FTE's	0	0
Existing Number of FTE's	0	
Total	0	0
Description		
		1
	 	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	
1		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	The second second
83-New Cost Center CIP Class	1381	Amount
"C" Funding	1381	160,000.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83-New Cost Center CIP	2700	160,000.00
TO NOW GOST COME. On	2700	(00,000.00
Additional Description:		
Additional Scoonpilon		
Grant Information:		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		NA NA
Is there a potential for grant to con	tinue?	NA
		NA.
If grant is funding a position is it e		
be eliminated at the end of the gran	nt?	NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community o	nce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA .
Does grant duplicate services prov	rided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

Initiati	ive Name:
	Asphalt Overlay Utah State Highway User Funds Class "C" 2005-06 Funding
Initiati	ive Number:
	BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-5
Initiati	ve Type:

New Item

Initiative Discussion:

This project is included in the 2005-2006 FY request for funding within the Class "C" Fund. As in prior years, expedited budget approval of this project is being proposed to allow the work to begin in the spring of 2005 and be completed during the 05/06 construction season. The overlay will increase pavement life, provide smoother street surfaces and enhance the streetscape appearance. In addition, ADA ramps will be constructed and deteriorated curb and gutter replaced. This request also includes \$100,000 to design the fiscal year 2006/2007 Overlay Project.

Priority streets include 17 locations as follows: 10th Ave., B to D Street; 200 North, 400 West to West Terminus; 200 West, No. Temple to 600 No.; 300 North, 400 to 700 West; 3200 West, California Ave. to Directors Row, 400 North, Quince to 300 West; Directors Row, 3200 West to Swaner Rd.; Edgecomb Dr, Dorchester Dr. to East Capitol Blvd.; Girard Ave., West Capitol to Darwin St.; Hillside Ave., Main to State St.; K Street, 11th to 13th Ave.; Quince St., 300 to 500 North; Rio Grand St., 200 to 400 So.; South Sandrun Rd., Dorchester to East Capitol Blvd.; Sunnyside Ave., Foothill to 2300 East, Swaner Rd., California Ave. to Directors Row; Wall Street, Hillside Ave., to 300 North.

It is recommended that the City Council appropriate the 2005-2006 Asphalt Overlay Class "C" budget to facilitate this project.

	Asphalt Overlay Utah State Highway User Funds Class "C" 2005-06 Job	
<u> </u>	No. 104016	
	Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-5		<u>2004-05</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Community Development	Page 170	New Item
Department		Type of Initiative
ax Peterson/LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Co	llins	<u>53</u> 5-6136/535-6150
Prepared By		Conact Number
General Fund (Fund Balance)	Impact	
	- '	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>1st Year</u>	2nd Year
	FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund	11/2004-03	F1 2003-00
Ochera i did	··	
		<u> </u>
Tatal	00	
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
83 CIP Class "C" Funding	1,500,000.00	
FY2005-06	.,===,====	
Total	1,500,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:	· 等性 如果 · 生物 · 生物 · 生物 · 数 · 生物 · 生物	And the second s
New Number of FTE's	0	0
Existing Number of FTE's	0	0
Total	. 0	0
Description		
Description		
	-	
		+
		· - - -
l I		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83-New Cost Center CIP Class C" Fund	1381	1,500,000.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83-New Cost Center CIP Class C" Fund	2700	1,500,000.00
Additional Description:		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions	?	NA
s there a potential for grant to c	ontinue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it be eliminated at the end of the g		NA
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	Na
eliminated?		IVa
Does grant duplicate services pu Non-profit sector?	rovided by private or	NA NA

Initiative Name:		
	Fremont Ave. Bridge Replacement Job NO. 107006	
Initiative Number	r:	
	BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-6	
Initiative Type:		
	New Item	
i		

Initiative Discussion:

This \$900,000 bridge replacement project was funded through the Federal Bridge Replacement funding which requires a local match of 20%. Although the City previously budgeted for the 20% match, additional work order and cost increases were incurred requiring the City to increase the amount of it's 20% match. Those cost increases include keystone wall installation, embossed lettering, sidewalk and fence modifications, heating of lower deck/web walls and increase construction inspection fees.

Federal Highway funded projects are administered through the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT), therefore the City pay's UDOT the 20% match. The project is complete and the City expects to receive final billing shortly.

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary increase to this budget to facilitate the 20% matching funds to the Federal Highway Funds for the Fremont Ave. Bridge replacement project.

	Fremont Ave. Bridge Replacemen	
	Job No. 107006	<u>.</u>
	Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-6	initiative (varie	2004-05
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Community Development		New Item
Department Development	<u> </u>	Type of Initiative
Peterson/LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Co	alline.	535-6136/535-6150
Prepared By	/iiii3	Contact Number
Frepared by		Oomaac Namber
General Fund (Fund Balance)	Impact	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>1st Year</u>	2nd Year
	FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
	`	
Total	\$0	<u> </u>
Other Fund		
Total	0	\$0
Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's	0	0
	0	
Existing Number of FTE's Total	0	
Description		
Description		

	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue:	Object Code Number	Anount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
		,
		-
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
33-01044 CIP Class C Project	2700	8,000.00
33-04094 Class "C" Cost Overrun		
Acct	2700	(00.000,8)
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details.		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
ls there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	NA NA
If grant is funding a position is it e		
be eliminated at the end of the gra	ant?	NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community o	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

Initiative Name:	
Gladiola Street, 900 So. To California Ave.	
Utah State Highway User Funds Class "C" 2005-06/ Impact Fees	
Initiative Number:	
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-7	·····
Initiative Type:	
New Item	

Initiative Discussion:

This project is included in the 2005-2006 FY request for funding within the Class "C" and Westside Roadway Impact Fee funds. Expedited budget approval of this project is being proposed to allow the coordination of street improvements on Gladiola Street, 900 So. to California Ave. in conjunction with two private development projects located adjacent to the Gladiola and 900 South intersection. Widening and improvement of these two west side arterials will be accomplished in conjunction with curb, gutter, and pavement installation which is required of the two private developers, Ace Disposal and Geneva Pipe.

It is recommended that the City Council appropriate the 2005-06 Gladiola Street and 900 South Class "C" and Westside Roadway Impact Fee budgets to facilitate this project.

			<u> </u>
	1	and the second s	
	<u>Gladiol</u>	a Stree 900 S. to California Ave. Utah	
	State H	ighway User Funds Class "C" 2005-06	-
		Funding and Impact Fees	
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-7			2004-05
Initiative Number	ـا لـ	<u> </u>	Fiscal Year
Community Development	1 1		New Item Type of Initiative
Department ax Peterson/LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Colli			535-6136/535-6150
Prepared By	<u>∥a</u> "		Contact Number
- Flepared by			OUNTED CONTROL
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impa	ct		
<u> </u>			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		<u>1st Year</u>	2nd Year
Revenue impact by Funu.		FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund			
General Funu	+ +		
	 		
Tota		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Tota		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Tota	.,	\$O	\$0
Other Fund	'	301	1
83 CIP Fund 2005-06 Class "C" Funding	+ +	250,000.00	
83 CIP Fund Impact Fees (Fund	+ +	250,000.00	
Balance)			
Tota	31	500,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:		san (4) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	
New Number of FTE's	 	0	0
Existing Number of FTE's	<u> </u>	0	0
Total	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
Description			
	+		
	+ +		
	+		
	+		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83-New Cost Center CIP Class "C" Fund		250,000.00 _i
83- New Cost Center Westside Roadway Impact Fees	Impact Fees Fund Balance	250,000.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83-New Cost Center CIP Class "C" Fund	2700	250,000.00
83- New Cost Center Westside Roadway Impact Fees	2700	250,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions	?	NA
Is there a potential for grant to continue?		NA
If grant is funding a position is it	t expected the position will	
If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?		NA
,		
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	-
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services pr	rovided by private or	
Non-profit sector?	<u> </u>	NA

Initiative Name:
Private Donation for Land Purchase for Unity Center
Initiative Number:
BA#5 Fy2005 Initiative #A-8
Initiative Type:
New Item
Initiative Discussion: The City used a portion of the funds from the Plaza/Glendale Community Center Trust to purchase .92 acres of property (in two .46 acre parcels). This property became available adjacent to the LDS Church-donated property which will be the site of the Unity Center. The City purchased the additional land with the firm understanding that a private donor would reimburse of the City for the full cost of obtaining the property. The purchase price is \$315,000, with closing costs totaling \$5,000, for a total price of \$320,000. This initiative will allow the City to accept the donation and place it back in the same trust (within the Special Revenue Fund).

		1
·		
	Private Donation for Land purchase for Un	ity
	<u>Center</u>	
	Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-8	Territoria de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della comp	2004-05
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Public Services	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	New Item
Department		Type of Initiative
Rick Graham, Greg Davis		<u>535-7774, 535-6397</u>
Parpared By		Contact Number
ا General Fund (Fund Balance) Impac	1	
<u> </u>	·	-
Revenue Impact By Fund:	1st Year	2nd Year
	FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		-
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
77 Fund Special Revenue Private	320,000.00	
Donation		
	320,000.00	\$0
Total	320,000.00	\$0
New Number of FTE's	not applicable	0
Existing Number of FTE's	0	0
Total ·	01	0
Description		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

ccounting Detail levenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount		
7-77141	1895 Private Donations	320,000.00		
7-771-1				
		<u> </u>		
Expenditure:				
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount		
77-77141	2700	320,000.00		
		_ .		
 				
Additional Accounting Details:				
Grant Information:				
Grant funds employee position	5?	N/A		
		A17.6		
Is there a potential for grant to	continue?	N/A		
	16 4 141			
If grant is funding a position is	it expected the position will	N/A		
be eliminated at the end of the grant?		ANICA		
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?	N/A		
win grant program be complete	in grant tanding anno trains			
Will grant impact the communi	y once the grant funds are			
eliminated?		N/A		
Does grant duplicate services	provided by private or			
Non-profit sector?		N/A		

Initiative Name	9:
	Purchase of Preservation Easement - Airport Wetlands
Initiative Num	ber:
	BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-9
Initiative Type	:
	New Item

Initiative Discussion:

The Airport is acquiring approximately 116 acres of real property located immediately north of Runway 17/35 at approximately 2200 North & 3200 West to reduce the possibility of future non-compatible land uses. The property will be acquired by means of a property exchange on a value-for-value basis with 620 acres of surplus land at the Airport's wetlands mitigation site and a cash differential payment. The cash difference will be funded with proceeds from the sale of property in the Buena Vista subdivision.

The appraisal reports concluded a value for the 620 of wetlands property owned by the Airport at \$1,423,000 and value for the proposed 116 acres at \$2,100,000. Airport staff negotiated a permanent Deed of Conservation Easement across the 620 acres which reduced its value from \$1,423,000 to \$498,000.

The City has reserved the right to use the 30-foot easement for a future trail preserved by the Deed of Conservation Easement on the 620 acres. This easement will not be used or improved until such time as the City acquires the additional property rights necessary to connect this segment with the future open-space trail corridor. Based on Federal regulation and government accounting principles, the City's General Fund must compensate the Department of Airports Enterprise Fund \$2,461, which is the appraised value of the reserved easement consisting of 1.07 acres.

Additionally, the City will also execute and record a "Notice of Preservation of Public Access Easement Interest" reserving a 30-foot wide public access easement located on the Airport's remaining wetlands mitigation site. This easement will consist of 4.29 acres and is valued at \$9,883. The City's CIP Open Space Fund will compensate the Department of Airports Enterprise Fund for the preservation of this easement, which is contiguous to the easement on the 620 acres. The combined amount for these two easements to be transferred to the Airport Enterprise Fund from the CIP Open Space Fund is \$12,344. The combined acreage for the public access easements will consist of 5.36 acres.

		<u> </u>
	Explored the TA	
	Purchase of Preservation Easement - Airp	<u>ort</u>
	Wetlands Initiative Name	
DAME EVOROS 1-141-41-41-41 0		2004-05
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-9	<u>i</u>	Fiscal Year
Initiative Number		New Item
Management Services Department		Type of Initiative
Linda Cordova		535-6308
Prepared By		Contact Number
1 (cpared by		
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impac	t	
	<u>1st Year</u>	2nd Year
Revenue Impact By Fund:	FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
· <u>- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</u>		
T-1-1	\$0	\$0
Total	30	40
Enterprise Fund 54 Fund Land Purchase from CIP	12,344.00	
Open Space Fund	12,044.00	
Open Opace i unu		
Tota	12,344.00	\$0
Other Fund		
83 Fund Open Space Fund Balance	12,344.00	
Tota	12,344.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:	[1] 12 [1] [1] [1] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2	
New Number of FTE's		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Existing Number of FTE's		
Total	C	<u> </u>
Description		-
	<u> </u>	
	-	
		

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CEDA # If Applicable:	
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	
54-03600	1784	Amount
33-95046	Open Space Fund Balance	12,344.00 12,344.00
1000,40	Open opace i unu balance	12,344.00
		- ·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
Expenditure:		The state of the s
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
4-03600	2700	12,344.00
3-95046	2710	12,344.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
		.,,
rant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		N/A
s there a potential for grant to con	tinue?	N/A
grant in funding a manifer to "		
grant is funding a position is it e		L1/A
be eliminated at the end of the grant?		N/A
Vill grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	N/A
Vill grant impost the community	noo the arrate will	
Vill grant impact the community o	nce the grant runds are	
initiated:		N/A
oes grant duplicate services prov	rided by private or	
lon-profit sector?		N/A

Initiative Name:			
Purchase of Property at 200 East 600 South			
Initiative Number:			
	BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-10		
Initiative Type:			
	New Item		
Initiative Discussion:			
corner of 200 East and 600 its proximity to the City & Cotherefore greater value for pownership, which have receithat the City pursue acquisi	every two years thereafter, the City acquired property at the southeast. South for assemblage purposes to build a City-owned facility because of ounty Building. The assemblage of parcels creates a greater utility, potential resale. Currently, there are still two properties under private ently become available to the City. It is recommended by the Administration tion of the remaining properties to hold the entire corner under the ture development or potential resale.		
Funding to purchase the tw	o pracels would come from the CIP Surplus Land Account.		

_	!	
	Purchase of Property at 200 Est 600 South	
	Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-10		2004-05
Initiative Number	l	Fiscal Year
Management Services		New Item
Department Linda Cordova		Type of Initiative 535-6308
Prepared By		Contact Number
,		donies (veribe)
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impa	at	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>1st Year</u>	2nd Year
	FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
Seneral Fund	·	
Total	\$01	\$0
nternal Service Fund	401	
Total	\$01	\$0
Interprise Fund		
Total	\$01	50
Other Fund		
Total	0	\$0
Staffing Impact:		
New Number of FTE's	0	0
Existing Number of FTE's	0	0
Total	0	0
Description		
·		
	·	+
<u> </u>		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CEDA # If Applicable	
levenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:	从"我们是是"整"的"最后的"。 第二次,"是是我们的"我们的"的"我们的"。	1824
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
33-81000 Surplus Land Acct	2710_	440,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		N/A
Grant funds employee positions:		
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	N/A
If grant is funding a position is it e		
be eliminated at the end of the gra	ant?	N/A
		N/A
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	IN/A
Will grant impact the community of	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?	price the grant rands are	N/A
VIII III III III III III III III III II		
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		N/A

Initiative Name	
	Street Lighting Power Cost Increase
Initiative Numb	er:
_	BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-11
Initiative Type:	
	New Item
Initiative Discus	sion:
reflect the numbe light. The increas Three years ago, lighting system reflect the update until September	the inventories were conducted because eventually the billings would need to be inventories were conducted because during the City's recent annual budgeting the inventories were conducted because during the City's recent annual budgeting the inventories were reconciled and showed that UP&L's billings did not accurately and inventories were reconciled and showed that UP&L's billings did not accurately and inventory for its non-SID street lights. UP&L did not modify their billing practices the inventories were conducted because eventually the billings would need to be
the contract of the contract o	change in the power and maintenance account was budgeted because of UP&L's
	e their billings. The monthly power and maintenance billings have now been corrected
from approximate	ely \$75,000 per month to approximately \$100,000 per month.

	and the second s	Na jednosti se produktiva i se	-
	the second second second		
•	Street Lihting F	Power Cost Increase	
1		iative Name	
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-11	e :		<u>2004-05</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Community Development			New Item
Department			Type of Initiative
Tim Harpst/David Dobbins			Contact Number
Prepared By			Contact Namber
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impac	it	(\$255,000)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		lst Year	2nd Year
	F)	/ 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund			
T-4-1		\$0	\$0
Total Internal Service Fund		- ΦU 1	301
internal Service Fulld			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		401	
Other Fulla			
Tota		0	\$0
Staffing Impact:		0	0
New Number of FTE's Existing Number of FTE's		0	0
		0	0
Total Description		<u> </u>	
Description	-		-
			- +
<u></u>		-	
	<u> </u>		
			i

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	naghi than ng Pilik Kirik Pilik ng Ing Kabalan a
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Gode Number	Amount
·		<u> </u>
		•
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
09-00800	2331	255,000.00
	<u> </u>	
		<u> </u>
Additional Accounting Details:		
		<u> </u>
-		,
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		N/A
ls there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	N/A
If grant is funding a position is it		
be eliminated at the end of the gra	ant?	N/A
		. N/Λ
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	N/A
Will grant impact the community	anno the grant funds are	-
eliminated?	once the grant lunus are	N/A
Cintillateu:		19/7
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or	
noes Braur ambilicate services but	Miner ny hisate oi	N/A

Initiative Name:
Energy Reduction at Plaza 349
Initiative Number:
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-12
Initiative Type:
New Item
Initiative Discussion: Relamping of Plaza 349 will have both financial and environmental benefits. This is in line with the City's energy saving initiative. Entire fixtures, including ballasts, would be replaced and some motion detectors would be installed. The project will replace the predominantly high
energy-consuming flourescent fixtures with new energy-efficient ballasts and parabolic lamp fixtures. Utah Power has studied the project and has concluded that the cost of the project would be recovered within 3.2 years. Utah Power will agree to reimburse the City for \$20,921 (approximately 30%) of the project costs. Per Utah Power's calculations, the City will have ongoing annual energy savings of \$14,249 once the project is fully completed. In addition to the financial and environmental benefits, the parabolic system would provide better lighting and would be more aesthetically appealing. An agreement would be signed with Utah Power
once the budget amendment is approved by the City Council.

Energy Reduction at Plaza 349	
Initiative Name	
	2004-05
-	Fiscal Year
	New Item
	Type of Initiative
4	535-6397
	Contact Number
(\$67,500)	
	2nd Year
FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
	20,900.00
40	20,000,00
\$01	20,900.00
0.2	\$0
	Ψ0
102	\$0
	\$0
O	
	Mile of the matter of the
0	0
	1
·	
	(\$67,500) 1st Year FY 2004-05 \$0 \$0

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Ocot Ochici Italiasi		
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
07-00923	2240	67,500.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details.		
		<u> </u>
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions	?	N/A
	42	N/A
Is there a potential for grant to c	ontinue?	N/A
If grant is funding a position is it	t expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the g		N/A
be eminiated at the end of the g	Tutte:	
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?	N/A
Tim grant program be complete		
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		N/A
Does grant duplicate services p	rovided by private or	
		N/A

ш	lni	ш	_	 	_	- 1	-		•	
ш			_	 v	_	IV		T 5		
и				 •	_		-		. ~	

Reimbursement to Salt Lake County for Salt Palace Expansion Permit Fees

Initiative Number:

BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-13

Initiative Type:

New Item

Initiative Discussion:

The City received a request from Salt Lake County to reimburse fees paid to the City for Phase I of the Salt Palace Expansion. The request was for a refund of \$46,588.50 in building permit fees, \$30,382.53 in plan review fees, and \$42,648.12 in impact fees.

The Administration is recommending that the building permit fee be refunded in the amount of \$47,840.50 (which is the actual amount paid to the City) as provided for in the Protocol Agreement that governs such refunds/waivers. The Administration is not recommending a refund of the plan review or the impact fees as there is no provision for this in city ordinance.

It is recommended that the City Council approve the necessary budget adjustment to facilitate reimbursement of Permit Fees to Salt Lake County for Phase I of the Salt Palace Expansion project.

	· (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	
,	Reimbursement to Salt Lake County for Sal	<u> </u>
	Palace Expansion Permit Fees - Phase I	
DAME EVOCOE Initiative #A 42	miliative (value	2004-05
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-13 Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Community Development	- Aller	New Item
Department	(17)	Type of Initiative
David Dobbins/Sherrie Collins		535-7236/ <u>535-6150</u>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
1 10 part 2 y		
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impac	t	
	The Voice of the Control of the Cont	2nd Voor
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>1st Year</u> FY 2004-05	<u>2nd Year</u> FY 2005-06
General Fund		
Permit Fees	47,840.50	
Total	47,840.50	j \$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	50	\$0
Other Fund		
Total		\$0
Total		
Staffing Impact:		
New Number of FTE's	0	0
Existing Number of FTE's	0	0
Total	0	0
Description		
		- - - - - - - - - -
	1	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
06-00200	1251-01	\$ 47,840.50
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
06-00200	2553	47,840.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions		NA
ls there a potential for grant to co	ontinue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it	expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gi		NA
Will grant program be complete i	n grant funding time frame?	· NA
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services pr Non-profit sector?	ovided by private or	N/A
Mon-blont sectors		NA

Initiative Name:

Assistant to the Mayor for Policy, Writing and Special Projects

Initiative Number:

BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-14

Initiative Type:

New Item

Initiative Discussion:

Performs and coordinates analytical work and research on policy issues and initiatives for the Mayor's Office.

Designing and organizing a Salt Lake City Volunteer Program.

Volunteers could assist in the following areas:

Searching for missing persons

Cleaning snow from the walks of seniors and disabled

Raking leaves for seniors and disabled

Home repair (painting, fix-up)

Neighborhood Clean up

Planting flowers in the spring

Natural disaster (earthquake, flood, Etc.)

Information/messages to residents (calling)

Special events

Computer data entry

City/Building Tours

Welcoming Visitors

Work as the facilitator during the start-up phase and research and coordinate writing grant proposals for funding projects.

Coordinate with organizations to form a Refugee Program for Salt Lake City. Research and coordinate writing grant proposals for funding project.

Work as the liaison between the Salt Lake City Mayor's Office and Salt Lake County Mayor's Office, Salt Lake County Council's Office and Salt Lake County officials to research and resolve community issues and concerns. Research Salt Lake County's goals and policies to insure the initiatives work for both the city and the county.

Work with SLCTV to expand it to Salt Lake City residents. Assist SLCTV in production and scheduling of City department updates, events and informational material to aid in the communication between Salt Lake City community.

Coordination and implementation of broadcasting the Mayor's reports, City Council reports, Community Council reports, City Department reports, City meetings and City updates. Mayor's speeches, and City Council Meetings and other city department messages and announcements. This would open a new method of communication for Salt Lake City residents on television and Salt Lake Valley residents could access website online to be informed of changes in Salt Lake City that are of concern and interest.

Work closely with the communications director to assist with writing critical press releases, letters and speeches for the Mayor's office. Assist with preparation for press conferences and other public access gatherings in conjunction with our SLCTV broadcasting of events.

Funding requirements through the end of the 2004-2005 fiscal year will be approximately \$10,130.00. The 2005-2006 funding requirements will be approximately \$50,665.00.

This position requires an additional FTE on the Mayor's office staffing document.

We are requesting the budget amendment for 2004-05 and future from the general fund

	Assistant to the Mayor for Policy, Writing	<u>ng</u>
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and Special Projects	
	Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #A-14		2004-05
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Mayor's Office Department		New Item
Sam Guevara, Charleen Sylvester	THE THE HERE.	Type of Initiative
Prepared By		535-7732/535-7705 Telephone Contact
, , ,	:	releptione Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impac	t (\$10,130.00)	(\$50,665.00)
Revenue Impact By Fund:	1st Year	2nd Year
	FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund		
Fund Balance	(10,130.00)	(50,665.00)
Total	(10,130.00)	(50.665.00)
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0;	\$0
Enterprise Fund	ΨΟ΄	<u>ΨΟ</u> :
		and the second s
Total	\$0!	\$0
Other Fund		
Total		\$0
Staffing Impact:		
New Number of FTE's	1	1)
Existing Number of FTE's	0	0
Total	0	0
Description		
	1	·
-		77.70
		<u> </u>

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	NA NA
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
		UVPA
:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4-74-
		<u> </u>
	1	
	1	
;		
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
00.00400	2444.04	10,130.00
08-00100	2111-01	10,130.00
	1 (Find Plant) and (Find Plant)	
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to con	tinue?	NA !
is there a potential for grant to con	itiliue :	NO.
If grant is funding a position is it e	xpected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gran	NA	
	:	1
Will grant program be complete in	NA NA	
NACH		
Will grant impact the community o eliminated?	nce the grant funds are	NA
emmateu?		NA :
Does grant duplicate services prov	vided by private or	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Non-profit sector?	riada by private or	NA
iton prome ooder:	- :	

Initiative Name: US Department of Education Supplemental Congressional Appropriation - Year 2 Initiative Number: BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #B-1 Initiative Type:

Grants for Existing Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

Public Services, YouthCity Program was awarded an additional Congressional Appropriation in the amount of \$894,150 from the US Department of Education in FY 2003-2004. This award was for a five year period from 2003 to 2008. The funding was allocated for continuation and expansion of the current programs YouthCity provides.

The City adopted the budget for the 1st year of the 5 year appropriation in the December 2004 budget opening. This budget request is for year 2 of the 5 year award.

\$37,500 of these funds will continue to fund the salary & benefits of the Administrative Assistant, and hourly PTE teacher positions. No new positions are required. In addition, \$5,000 has been awarded for Operating & Maintenance and \$10,000 for the contractual components that provide various programs to youth at YouthCity sites which include, Fairmont, Liberty, Central City, Sorenson Multi-Cultural Center and Glendale Middle School.

The City Council previously adopted the necessary Resolution authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the US Department of Education appropriation and to sign any additional contracts and awards related to the grant. It is recommended that the City Council appropriate the necessary budgets for the continuation of this grant.

	<u></u> !	
	US Department of Education Supplement	al
	Congressional Appropriation Year - 2	,
** *** *** ***************************	Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #B-1		2004-05
Initiative Number	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Fiscal Year
Public Services Youth City Program		Grant for Existing Staff
Department		Type of Initiative
Janet Wolf/Sherrie Collins		535-7712/535-6150
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
гіерагей бу		Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impa	ct	
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impa	CL	- 1
	and the second s	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>1st Year</u>	2nd Year
	FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund		
•	1	
Tota	50	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
According to the second of the second		
Tota	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund	u vo	
Etter prise Fullu		
Tota	\$0	\$0
	501	40
Other Fund		
T-4		\$0
Tota	1 \$0	1
Fig		
New Number of FTE's		
Existing Number of FTE's	1	0
Total	1	0
Description		
•		
This amount includes salary & benefits	37,500.00	
of 1 FTE, the YouthCity Administrative		
Assistant, & several PTE hourly		
teacher positions. No new positions		
are required.		

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	84-215K
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-New Cost Center	1370	52,500.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-New Cost Center 72-New Cost Center	2199 2590	37,500.00 15,000.00
Additional Description:		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee position	s?	Yes
Grant will continue to fund 1 curre	ent FTE position and PTE hourly teacher posit	ions
No additional FTE's are required.		
Is there a potential for grant to		Probable
YouthCity is continuing to apply for	or Congressional appropriations.	
If grant is funding a position is		
be eliminated at the end of the	grant?	Yes
Will grant program be complete	e in grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the communit	ty once the grant funds are	
eliminated?	proposed lovel	Yes
Program will continue but at a dec Does grant duplicate services p		
Todes draint dublicate services i	provided by hirvate of	

Initiative Name:

State of Utah, Office of Crime Victims Reparations Violence Against Women Grant Award VAWA

Initiative Number:

BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #B-2

Initiative Type:

Grant for Existing Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Salt Lake City Police Department receives this grant annually from the State of Utah, Office of Crime Victims Reparations, for partial costs associated with one Victim Advocate position. The required match is \$6,459.00 which is budgeted for within the PD's General Fund Budget.

These funds will be used to continue funding 50% of one-PTE victim advocate position to respond nightly and on weekends to calls for service on behalf of victims of violent crime. Services include resources, referrals, information support, community education, court advocacy and crisis intervention. In addition, position will research selected case histories of on-going violence cases, providing information to day advocates who will relay appropriate information to prosecutors, court staff, the Domestic Violence Judge, and Probation Services regarding compliance of court orders. \$450, of these funds will be used to register and attend the Utah Victim Assistance Academy and a Rape and Sexual Assault Reponse Training.

A Resolution was previously adopted by the City Council, authorizing the Mayor to accept and receive this grant and to sign and accept any additional agreements related to this grant. It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary budget to accept and facilitate this grant.

		ton process and water	:
		tah, Office of Crime Victims	
•	Reparations \	/iolence Against Women Grant	·
	odliky v mo	Award VAWA	
1		Initiative Name	2004.05
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #B-2			2004-05 Fiscal Year
Initiative Number			Grant For Existing Staff
Police Department			Type of Initiative
Department Alana Kindness/Sherrie Collins			799-3729/535-6150
Prepared By	· :-		Telephone Contact
Prepared by			relephone contact
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impac	+		
Selferal Fulla (Fulla Balance) Impac			
		1st Voes	2nd Year
Revenue Impact By Fund:		<u>1st Year</u>	
		FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund			
·			
Total		\$0	\$0
		ψO ₁	Ψο,
Internal Service Fund			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Total	1	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Litterprise i dira			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72 Grant Fund		18,133.14	
Total		18,133.14	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		1	0
Total		1	0
Description			
50% of 1 PTE or 1040 hrs @ 16.76 per		17,430.40	
hr.	ļ	<u></u>	
1.45 benefits	 	252.74	
		47.000.44	
	 	17,683.14	-
		,	1

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	04 VAWA-20 16.588
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-New Cost Center	1370	18,133.14
T WOW GOOT GOING!		10,100.14
	·	
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-New Cost Center	2199	17,683.14
72-New Cost Center	2590	450.00
Additional Description:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions	3	Yes
50% of 1-PTE. The Victim Admov		. res
to continue program which provide		
violence. The PD responded to 2,4		
from January to September of 200-		
Is there a potential for grant to c		Probable
The City receives this grant annual		
as funding is available.	12 2/2/3	
If grant is funding a position is it	t expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the g		Yes
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
Program will continue but at a decr		
Does grant duplicate services pr	rovided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

Initiative Name:

Emergency Managers Performance Grant
State of Utah Department of Public Services
US Department of Homeland Security Office of Domestic Preparedness

Initiative Number:

BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #B-3

Initiative Type:

Grant For Existing Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

Emergency Management Services receives this grant annually from the State of Utah, Department of Public Services. This grant is awarded to Salt Lake City to defray partial costs associated with the Emergency Managers Position, specifically salary and benefits. The remaining costs of this position are funded within the Management Services General Fund budget.

This position is responsible to design, implement and apply the City's Emergency Operating Procedure manual and to educate Salt Lake City Corporation, Community Groups, and local businesses of emergency procedures and polices in the event of a natural disaster, hazardous material management and threats, bomb and terrorist attacks.

A Resolution was previously adopted by the City Council, authorizing the Mayor to accept and receive this grant and to sign and accept any additional agreements related to this grant. It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary budget to accept and facilitate this grant.

	A STATE OF THE STA		i
		Managers Performance Grant	
		Department of Public Service	
		nt of Homeland Security Office	-
	<u>of D</u>	omestic Preparedness	
	<u> </u>	Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #B-3	[F. 18]	•	<u>2004-05</u>
Initiative Number	J L		Fiscal Year
Management Services	Tr		Grant For Existing Staff
Department	ļ <u> </u>		Type of Initiative
Mike Stever/Sherrie Collins			535-6030/535-6150 Contact Number
Prepared By	<u> </u>		Contact Number
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impa	ct		
Selectary and (1 and Salance) impa			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year	2nd Year
		FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund	<u> </u>		
		\$0	\$0
Tota		φυ(1 40
nternal Service Fund			
Tota	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Tota	ail	\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
	1		
72 Fund Misc. Grants (State)		24,000.00	1 00
	al	24,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
New Number of FTE's	 	0	0
Existing Number of FTE's			
Total	 	1	0
Description	 		
Parital Funding for Emergency Program Directors Salary & Benefits			
<u> </u>	1		
	!		<u> </u>

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
2-New Cost Center (State		
Grant)	1370	24,000.00
,		
<u> —</u>		
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-New Cost Center	2199	24,000.00
2 110W Oost Conte	2100	21,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
	5?	Yes
Grant funds employee positions	s? Id for the Emergency Managers Position.	
Grant funds employee positions Partial salary & benefits will be pai		
Grant funds employee positions Partial salary & benefits will be pai	id for the Emergency Managers Position.	
Grant funds employee positions Partial salary & benefits will be pat The remaining cost is budgeted w Is there a potential for grant to o	d for the Emergency Managers Position. ithing Management Services GF budget. continue?	Probable
Grant funds employee positions Partial salary & benefits will be pa The remaining cost is budgeted w Is there a potential for grant to o	id for the Emergency Managers Position. ithing Management Services GF budget.	Probable
Grant funds employee positions Partial salary & benefits will be pai The remaining cost is budgeted w Is there a potential for grant to on The City recieves this grant annual	id for the Emergency Managers Position. ithing Management Services GF budget. continue? illy & will continue to apply as funding is av	Probable
Grant funds employee positions Partial salary & benefits will be partine remaining cost is budgeted we Is there a potential for grant to one of the City recieves this grant annual of the grant is funding a position is	id for the Emergency Managers Position. ithing Management Services GF budget. continue? illy & will continue to apply as funding is available to apply and apply apply apply and apply apply apply apply apply and apply	Probable vailable.
Grant funds employee positions Partial salary & benefits will be paid the remaining cost is budgeted with the paid to the control of the City recieves this grant annual of grant is funding a position is be eliminated at the end of the grant and the control of the grant and the grant	id for the Emergency Managers Position. ithing Management Services GF budget. continue? illy & will contiue to apply as funding is available to apply as funding is a	Probable vailable. Possibly
Grant funds employee positions Partial salary & benefits will be paid the remaining cost is budgeted with the paid to the city recieves this grant annual of grant is funding a position is be eliminated at the end of the granting received pays partial salar	id for the Emergency Managers Position. ithing Management Services GF budget. continue? illy & will continue to apply as funding is avait expected the position will grant? ary and benefit costs. If Management Se	Probable vailable. Possibly rvices
Grant funds employee positions Partial salary & benefits will be pai The remaining cost is budgeted w Is there a potential for grant to on The City recieves this grant annual If grant is funding a position is be eliminated at the end of the of Funding received pays partial salar	id for the Emergency Managers Position. ithing Management Services GF budget. continue? illy & will contiue to apply as funding is available to apply as funding is a	Probable vailable. Possibly rvices
Grant funds employee positions Partial salary & benefits will be pai The remaining cost is budgeted w Is there a potential for grant to o The City recieves this grant annua If grant is funding a position is be eliminated at the end of the o Funding received pays partial sala were unable to absorb the \$24,00	id for the Emergency Managers Position. ithing Management Services GF budget. continue? illy & will contine to apply as funding is avait expected the position will grant? ary and benefit costs. If Management Se 0 within their GF budget, position would be a service of the position would be a serv	Probable vailable. Possibly rvices
Grant funds employee positions Partial salary & benefits will be pai The remaining cost is budgeted w Is there a potential for grant to o The City recieves this grant annual If grant is funding a position is be eliminated at the end of the granting received pays partial sala were unable to absorb the \$24,00 Will grant program be complete	ithing Management Services GF budget. continue? illy & will continue to apply as funding is avait expected the position will grant? ary and benefit costs. If Management Services of Services Would be in grant funding time frame?	Probable vailable. Possibly rvices pe eliminated.
Is there a potential for grant to on the City recieves this grant annual of grant is funding a position is be eliminated at the end of the grant unable to absorb the \$24,00. Will grant program be complete will grant impact the community	ithing Management Services GF budget. continue? illy & will continue to apply as funding is avait expected the position will grant? ary and benefit costs. If Management Services of Services Would be in grant funding time frame?	Probable vailable. Possibly rvices be eliminated. Yes
Grant funds employee positions Partial salary & benefits will be paid the remaining cost is budgeted with the paid of the case of the City recieves this grant annual of the case of the grant is funding a position is be eliminated at the end of the granting received pays partial salar were unable to absorb the \$24,00. Will grant program be complete	ithing Management Services GF budget. continue? illy & will continue to apply as funding is avait expected the position will grant? ary and benefit costs. If Management Services of Services Would be in grant funding time frame?	Probable vailable. Possibly rvices pe eliminated.
Grant funds employee positions Partial salary & benefits will be paid the remaining cost is budgeted with the remaining cost is budgeted with the salary to complete the complete that the salary is funding a position is be eliminated at the end of the salary is funding received pays partial salary were unable to absorb the \$24,00 will grant program be complete will grant impact the communities eliminated?	it d for the Emergency Managers Position. ithing Management Services GF budget. continue? illy & will continue to apply as funding is available to the position will grant? it expected the position will grant? in y and benefit costs. If Management Set o within their GF budget, position would be in grant funding time frame? y once the grant funds are	Probable vailable. Possibly rvices be eliminated. Yes
Grant funds employee positions Partial salary & benefits will be pai The remaining cost is budgeted w Is there a potential for grant to o The City recieves this grant annua If grant is funding a position is be eliminated at the end of the o Funding received pays partial sala were unable to absorb the \$24,00 Will grant program be complete Will grant impact the communit	it d for the Emergency Managers Position. ithing Management Services GF budget. continue? illy & will continue to apply as funding is available to the position will grant? it expected the position will grant? in y and benefit costs. If Management Set o within their GF budget, position would be in grant funding time frame? y once the grant funds are	Probable vailable. Possibly rvices be eliminated. Yes

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Initiative Name:
Metro Fire Investigations Task Force
US Department of Justice
Initiative Number:
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #B-4
Initiative Type:
Grant For Existing Staff Resources
Initiative Discussion:
The Fire Department receives this grant annually from the US Department of Justice fo
assisting the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco Firearms and Explosives (ATF) by participating in
the Metro Fire Investigations Task Force.
The purpose of the task force is to conduct a collaborative effort of Fire agencies, federal and
local law enforcement in the investigation of valley wide fires to determine cause and origin.
보기들은 이 회원들은 그리고 그림을 이렇게 한 것이 불러하는 회장에는 회장을 하는 학생들은 이 지수는 학생들은 함께 표정을 고향을 모양하는 회장을 받았다.
Funds are awarded for the reimbursement of the SLC Fire Department for overtime
expenses incurred while providing resources to assist ATF in the task force operations.
[- 호텔의 사용이 하다 한 사용으로 보다는 통한 자꾸 날아가 되면, 발발물로 가운 하고 발발발발 등록 되는 하고 보고 하는 것을 하다는 것은 사람이 되었다. 호텔의 사용이 가장 보고 보고 있는 것은 하는 사람들이 가장 되었다. 그 발발 발발 사용 및 사용 및 기업 및 기
It is recommended that that City Council adopt the necessary budget to facilitate this grant.
트 살림됐다. 그리스에는 그리는 하는 보지는 보지는 병원에 되는 그 있는 그림없은 말하는 그 모양을 하면 했다.
그리다 가는 경험 선생님은 사이를 대학하는 이름이 나를 하지만 하셨다면 하는 것이 살아 있는 사람은 걸음이 됐다.
사업 전 기회의 점 내는 그리는 내가 되는 사이 되는 사람들이 하는 사람들이 바로 함께 되었다. 사람들의 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들이 되었다.
1 교육 하고 보다 사진 시간을 모든 전상으로 하다 보고 가면서를 되었는데 보다는 것이 그는 것 같습니다. 그는 사람들은 황제 :

	1	
٠.	Metro Fire Investigations Task Force	
	US Department of Justice	
	Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #B-4		<u>2004-05</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
I We Department		Grant For Existing Staff
Department		Type of Initiative
John Vuyk/Sherrie Collins	. "	799-4210/535-6150
Prepared By		Contact Number
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impact		
ocherari and (1 and polarido) impaos		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	1st Year	2nd Year
Revenue impact by Fund.	FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund	F1 ZVV4-US	F1.ZUUS-Ud
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund	401	1 40
72 Fund Misc Grants	15,000.00	
TE I SIGNING STATES	15,350.00	
Total	15,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:	the second of the second of the second	
New Number of FTE's	0	
Existing Number of FTE's	0	
Total	0	0
Description		
Fire Personnel OT	<u> </u>	
		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

		05-PHO-291-AFF, No CFDA
ccounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	as per Justice Dept.
evenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
2-New Cost Center	1360	15,000.00
V 1411		
xpenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
2-New Cost Center	2123	15,000.00
2-New Odd Come	2.120	10,000.00
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Additional Accounting Details:		
		- -
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions	2	No
	-time for participation on the ATF Metro	
Task Force.	The for participation on the 7111 Wette	
s there a potential for grant to o	ontinue?	Possibly
	Fire will continue to apply pending the	
of Federal Funding.	tim contained to deply portained the	
f grant is funding a position is i	t expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the		NA
Over-time only.		
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community	y once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
Does grant duplicate services p	rovided by private or	

Initiative Name:
Copy Center Cash and Assets Transfer to IMS Fund
Initiative Number:
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #D-1
Initiative Type:
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:
Fiscal year 2004 was the Copy Center's (an internal service fund) last year of operation. For fiscal year 2005 an operating transfer to the General Fund was budgeted at \$81,540. At June 30, 2004, net assets equaled \$130,777, or \$49,237 more than the budgeted transfer to the General Fund.
The \$49,237 budget request will allow for a small expense of \$165.00 that was a carryover from the prior year
and the final distribution of assets to the Information Management Services Fund.
- 현실 등의 회사가 있는데 발전을 함께 발표하는 목표를 하는데, 고구했습니다. 이 전에 되었는데, 는데 하는데 한민을 하는데 보고 하는데 되었는데 말하는데 모든데 되었다. - 이번에 교사가 모든데, 이 전투 하고 있다는데 모든 보호를 보호하는데 되었습니다. 이 이 사고 있는데 하는데 보고 있는데 보고 되었습니다. 를 보고 되었는데 보다 되는데 보고 되었습니다.
는 발표하게 되는 것 같은 보고 있는데 생물로 되고 있는데 보고 있는데 되고 있는데 되었다. 그는데 말로 있는 - 사람들 : 그는데 있는데 이 시간에 되고 있는데 되고 있는데 하는데 되었다. 그는데 되었습니다. 그는데 그는데 그를 보고 있는데 그를 보고 있는데 되었습니다. 그를 보고 있는데 그를 보고 있는데

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		:
	Copy Center Cash and Assets Transfer to	<u>0</u>
	IMS Fund	
DA## EV2005 1-16-45 - # B 4	Initiative Name	i i
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative # D-1		2004-05
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Management Services Department	·	Housekeeping
Elwin Heilmann		Type of Initiative
Prepared By		535-6424 Telephone Contact
Tropored By		r elephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impac	+	
(vene zeranos) impac		<u> </u>
Revenue Impact By Fund:	1st Year	2nd Year
Trevende impact by Fana.	FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund	F1-2004-05	F.1. Z005-06:
, warrant I will		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
67 Fund Copy Center	49,237	0
65 Fund IMS	49,072	
Total		\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total		\$0
CAN EE - I 4		
Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's		
Existing Number of FTE's	0	0
Total		0
Description	0	0
Description		
<u> </u>		

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicab	the control of the co
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
7-00676	Fund Balance	\$ 49,237.00
5-03600	1974-67	49,072.00
		+
-		
xpenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Municel	Object Gode Mullipel	Allouit
7-00676	2981	165.00
7-00676	2910-09	49,072.00
Additional Accounting Detail:		
		-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions	?	No
	<u> </u>	·
s there a potential for grant to o	ontinue?	No
f grant is funding a position is i	t avacated the position will	
or grant is funding a position is in the good of the g		No
oc committee at the end of the g		
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?	No
<u> </u>		
Will grant impact the communit	y once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		No
Does grant duplicate services p	rovided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

Initiative Name:	
Guardsman Way Motor Fue	l Bond Surplus
Initiative Number:	
BA#5 FY2005 Initiati	ve #D-2
Initiative Type:	
Housekeepin	g
Initiative Discussion:	
On the January 2005 budget opening the Guardsman	Way surplus was placed in the CIP Fund
Balance in error. The funds were surplus from the motor	fuel bond series 1999. We need to reserve
the January 2005 budget opening amount.	
	왕이 말라 아이라는 말라고 보고 하는 것은 사람들이 되었다. 1986년 - 1987년 - 1988년
	[발표] 상품 공급하고 보겠다고 되었다.]
	소설 등을 하고 있는데 생각한 이 전 왕들이 돌아왔다. 문제 10 출시를 하는데 요즘 화물을 보았다. 이번 사람이 되었다.
	출판 이 아이 되면 가게 들었다고요. 맛이 되어먹니다님

<u>. </u>		
	Guardsman Way Motor Fuel Bond Surplus	
	Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #D-2		2004-05
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Management Services		Housekeeping
Department		Type of Initiative
Gordon Hoskins		535-6394
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impac	t	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	1st Year	2nd Year
	FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Total	301	
Enterprise Fund		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
CIP Fund 83-04099 Fund Balance	(314,424.65)	
Total	(314,424.65)	\$0
Staffing Impact:		点点 医二氯甲基宁 医毒素
New Number of FTE's	0	0
Existing Number of FTE's	0	0
Total	0	0
Description		
<u> </u>		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	eta katan tarah 18 kilonian katan K
Revenue:	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	1974-01	(314,424.65)
	1374-01	(011,121.00)
	- 1	<u> </u>
■ Contact •• • The contact of the c		erak (z. 1. kezisko) m. Napi i melleri Sisteri
xpenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
33-04099	2700	(314,424.65)
Additional Accounting Details:		
	-	
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		N/A
Stant lunds employee positions:		10/2
s there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	N/A
E	venetad the position will	
If grant is funding a position is it e be eliminated at the end of the gra		N/A
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	N/A
Will grant impact the community o	ance the grant funds are	
eliminated?	Since the grant funds are	N/A
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		N/A

Initiative Name:
500 West 200 S to 400 S Project Closure and Use of Surplus of Motor Fuel Bond on Local Street Project
Initiative Number:
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #D-3
Initiative Type:
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion: Originally the 500 West 200 S. to 400 S. project was schedule to be partially funded by selling two parcels of land to the RDA for \$500,000. The sale is still pending and being debated. The 500 West
project costs are over the revenue without the land sale of \$187,000. We need to transfer part of the motor fuel bond surplus to cover that revenue shortfall. That will close the 500 West project and free up the land sale. The remaining bond surplus will be used on the local street repairs in the CIP. That will free up the CIP general fund money from the local street repairs and can be placed in the CIP fund balance for future appropriations.
All of these transfers will close out the series 1999 motor fuel bond proceeds

ccounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	on Secretary Secretary Secretary
levenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
3-02049	1980	187,717.75
3-03018	1974-01	(806,830.24)
3-04099	1974-01	806,830.24
3-03118	1980	806,830.24
		_
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
33-02049	2700	187,717.75
33-03018	2700	(806,830.24)
33-04099	2700	806,830.24
33-03118	2700	806,830.24
		_
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		N/A
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	N/A
If grant is funding a position is it e	expected the position will	N/A N/A
be eliminated at the end of the gra	int?	N/A
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	N/A
Will grant impact the community o	once the grant funds are	NI/A
eliminated?		N/A
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?	_	N/A

	1 1	:	1
<u> </u>	F00 18/	1 000 C to 400 C Duniont Clanses	<u>'</u>
		t 200 S to 400 S Project Closure an Surplus of Motor Fuel Bond on Loc	
	<u>use or a</u>	Canad Daniana	<u>.</u>
	i	Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #D-3			2004-05
Initiative Number	:	1	Fiscal Year
Management Services			HouseKeeping
Department			Type of Initiative
Gordon Hoskins	ـــاري. ر		535-6394
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
	<u> </u>		
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impac	et		
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year	2nd Year
		FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund			
	-		
Tatal	-		\$0
Total Internal Service Fund		\$0	\$01
internal Service Fund			
	i		
Total		\$01	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total	 	\$01	\$0
Other Fund			
			-
Total	 	0	\$0
Staffing Impact:	1	气速性 蒙古龙特 机间压液试 "多这。	
New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		0	0
Total		O	0
Description			
	 		
	 		
<u> </u>	1		

Initiative	Name:
	Recapture General Fund CIP, CIP CDBG and other Mics. CIP Funds
Initiative	Number:
	BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #D-4
Initiative	Type:
	Housekeeping

Initiative Discussion:

This action will decrease the remaining budgets and/or cash balances of completed and or closed CIP Funded Projects and increase the cash and budget of CIP Fund Balance in year 2004 for CIP project cost over-runs. This action involves closing five (5) projects totaling \$79,182.84

This request will also decrease the remaining budget and/or cash of twoe (2) completed Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Fund Projects, totaling \$1,272.58 from various years and increase the cash and budget of the same years CDBG Fund Balance for project cost over-runs.

In addition, this request reduces the remaining budgets in various completed or closed CIP projects. No cash is involved. The amount of deduction is \$1,454,718.06.

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the appropriate action to decrease the remaining budgets and/or cash of completed CIP Fund Projects and increase the 04 CIP Fund Balance account; and decrease the remaining budgets and/or cash in the completed CDBG projects and increase the budgets and/or cash of the same years CDBG Fund Balance Accounts.

	. j	The state of the s	
	renta la		•
•	Reca	oture General Fund CIP, CIP CDBG	<u> </u>
		and Other Misc CIP Funds	
		Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #D-4		T.	2004-05
Initiative Number	·		Fiscal Year
	L _	<u> </u>	
Community Development	, ï		Housekeeping
Department	L		Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins		·	535-6136/535-6150
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year	2nd Year
Revenue Impact By Fund:		FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund			,
Total		\$0	\$0
Total		\$0	J
Internal Service Fund			
		-	
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			<u> </u>
		-	
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact:	<u> </u> 		
New Number of FTE's		0	C
Existing Number of FTE's	<u> </u>	0	
Total		0	<u> </u>
Description			
	-		
			_
!			

Accounting Detail G	rant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		NA
Revenue:	The second secon	je samožnic	
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
		<u> </u>	
And the second s	the state of the s		en de la companya de
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	<u>에 왕</u> 조원(최연) - 1	Amount
Miscellaneous CIP Funded Projects		\$	(79,182.84)
83-05099 CIP Fund Balance	2700 2700	\$	79,182.84
83-02042 Concrete Street Replacement Class	2700	\$ \$	(844.51)
83-02042 Concrete Street Replacement Class	2700	~	(0-1-1.01)
83-04094 Class "C" Fund Balance	2700	\$	844.51
Miscellaneous CIP CDBG Funded Projects	2700	-	
(See detail below).	2700	\$	(1,272.58
Miscellaneous CIP CDBG Fund Balance Accts.		\$	1,272.58
(See detail below)	2700		
Miscellaneous CIP Projects Budgets only (See			
detail below)	2700		(1,454,718.06
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	.,
			
Additional Accounting Details:			
CIP Fund Budget & Cash	15,000.00		
CIF Fulld Budget & Cash	10,000.00		 -
83-00030 Traffic Calming	(18,800.00)	<u> </u>	
83-00078 Liberty Park Playground	(3,491.67)	_	
83-02050 500 West - 200 to 400 South	(11,334.25)		
83-03016 Public Safety Building	(32.08)		
83-04015 Jordan River Peace Gardens	(45.524.84)		
83-05099 CIP Fund Balance	79,182,84		
Class "C" Fund Budget & Cash			
83-02042 Concrete Street Rehabilitation	(844.51)		
83-04094 Class "C" Fund Balance	844.51		
CDBG Fund Budget & Cash		_	·
CDBG Fund Budget & Cash		_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
83-03060 River Park Community Garden	(979,94)		
83-03098 29th Year CDBG CIP Fund Balance	979.94		
83-02062 Urban Forestry	(292.64)	-	
83-02098 28th Year CDBG CIP Fund Balance	292.64		
	·		-
Reduce Budget Only - Miscellaneous Funds		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
83-00088 Landfill Module No. 7 - Landfill	1,111,487.55	_	
83-02073 500 West - 200 to 400 North - SID	71,814.00		
83-03021 500 West Reconstruction - RDA	268,012.97	_	
83-03030 Defective Sidewalk 7th East - State Grant 83-03033 I-15 Gateway Street Repair - State Grant	3,398.91		
83-04099 Reduce Budget to match Cash	4.03		
Budget Only	1,454,718.06		
	T		

Grant funds employee positions?	NA NA
Is there a potential for grant to continue?	NA NA
If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the grant?	NA NA
Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?	NA NA
Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are	
eliminated?	NA
Does grant duplicate services provided by private or	
Non-profit sector?	NA

nitiative Name:
Program Income
nitiative Number:
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #D-5
nitiative Type:
Housekeeping
nitiative Discussion: These Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funded programs, have received program ncome from re-payment of loans. This action establishes a budget for those funds and allows the program income to be reallocated back into the individual program for continued programming.
t is a HUD Federal Guideline that Program Income be reallocated to programs that have the same eligible activity.
It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary adjustment for these budgets.
도 발생한다는 사용을 보면 있다. 그는 보다는 이 사람은 사람들은 일반을 받는 것을 보면 하는 것을 받았다. 그런 그는 사람들이 가는 것을 받는 것을 받는 것을 보다는 것을 받는 것을 받는 것을 받
그는 한 경험이는 그들은 학자들은 살아왔다. 그 그들은 말 이 없는 것은 사람들은 그렇게 되는 것을 모르는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이다.
그림 일반과 이렇게 되어 사용했다며 사람보다 방향을 꾸뜨리고 불었다. 사회사 사회 경험적인 네트리
그 그 사람이 없다. 그는 바람이 그리는 얼마가 그 그리는 아이들이 되는 것이 되는 것이 그 그를 가장 때문에 그는 나를 가고 그를 하는다.
그렇다는 시간에 다른 사람들은 그를 돌아왔다는 가 있었습니다. 나무를 하는 사람이 있다는 한 사람들이 되었다.
- 집합의 문문하는 문문 전환 클릭 전환 클릭 전환 등 보고 있는 것이 되었다. 그는 사람들이 보고 있는 것이 되었다. 그는 것이 되었다. - 집합의 문문하는 문문 문문 문문 문문 등 하는 것이 모든 모든 물론 중에 되었다. 그는 것이 모든 것이 되었다. 그는 것이 되었다.
는 회에 가는 사는 것 보다에게 중 경험하게 되고 가장이 가려려고 있는 사람들이 중에게 하는 자리를 모르는 하는 것 같아 되었다. 그 중을 하게 되고 있다. - 그렇게 하는 데에서 이 바람들은 그 것이 되고 나타를 하는 것 같아. 그는 그를 살아 하는 것 같아 하는 것 같아. 그를 했다.
- 현실 이 사용되는 이 사용 전에서 하는 것은 것으로 가장하면 사용되는 것이다. 현실 등 기계를 받는 것을 보는 것을 받았다. 이 바로 기계를 받는 사용된다.
[이번 기장 '화고 발발'에 이탈 등 하고 여덟환 중말 이번 일본이 높은 경험에는 불발 시얼경향하다고 했다.
) - ^^^ - ^ - ^ - ^ - ^ - ^ - ^ - ^ - ^

	<u> </u>	1	
	<u>Program Income</u>		
	Initiative Name		
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #D-5		:	2004-05
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
CD - Housing and Neighborhood			
<u>Development</u>			Housingkeeping
Department	<u> </u>		Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark /Sherrie Collins	1	<u> </u>	<u>535-6136/535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Contact Number
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impa	ct		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	1st Year		2nd Year
	FY 2004-05		FY 2005-06
General Fund		112	
			
		İ	
Total	1 \$	0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
,			
			
Total	\$	0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		+ +	
	 -		
Tota	<u> </u>	o i	\$0
Other Fund	Ψ	<u> </u>	40
Other rund	-		
72and 78 Fund Misc. Grants	968,624.5	3	-
Total			\$0
	300,024.0		
Staffing Impact:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		0	0
<u> </u>		O	ol
Total	<u> </u>	Ol	U
Total Description		UI L	<u> </u>
		OI	0
		OI .	<u> </u>
		OI ,	<u> </u>
		OI	
		OI	
		OI	
		01	

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue:	中共一大学、美国大学、自己全国工程的主义	
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
2-15067 Revolving Loan	1890	436,031.16
2-17004 Housing Trust	1890	339,692.37
78-00200/0021	1890	192,901.00
Renter Rehab Income		
Expenditure:	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	2590	436,031.16
72-15067 Revolving Loan	2590	339,692.37
72-17004 Housing Trust	2590	192,901.00
78-00200/0021	2590	192,901.00
Renter Rehab Income		
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details:		
	1	
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions		No
Giant lulius employee positions		
Is there a potential for grant to	continue?	NA _
is there a potential for grant to	Solitatio:	
If grant is funding a position is	it expected the position will	-
be eliminated at the end of the		NA NA
De eminiated at the end of the	9.4	
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?	NA NA
win grant program be complete	in grant landing time name.	
Will grant impact the communit	y once the grant funds are	
eliminated?	y chief die	NA
eminiated:		
Dana agent diveligate consists of	provided by private or	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Does grant duplicate services p	Jovided by private of	NA NA
Non-profit sector?		_13/3

County CDBG-Utah Alcoholism Foundation Interlocal Agreement Between Salt Lake
City & County

Initiative Number:

BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #E-1

Initiative Type: CDBG Interlocal

Grant With No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Utah Alcohol Foundation was awarded Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funding from both Salt Lake City and Salt Lake County for building improvements to three (3) of their facilities; The Women and Children's Center, the House of Hope and the Children's Treatment Center. The City awarded \$33,091.00 and the County awarded \$62,348.

The purpose of the interlocal between the County and the City is to establish that the City will be the lead agency and administer the contract for the rehab construction as described in the interlocal. Improvements include windows, door replacement and bathroom ventilation at the Women and Children's Center, bathroom rehab, basement drain repair, driveway repairs and HVAC upgrade at the House of Hope; and, windows at the Children's Treatment Center. The Resolution was previously adopted during the City's process of the 30th Year CDBG Program authorizing the Mayor to sign the award documents and any other agreements that stem from the CDBG Program. It is recommended that that City Council adopt the necessary budget to facilitate this project.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		i_
 '.	Francisco Interlegal Assessment	
	Foundation Interlocal Agreement	:
	Between Salt Lake City & Salt Lake	
	Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #E-1		<u>2004-05</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Community Development		Grant With No New Staff
Department		Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins		<u>535-6135/535-6150</u>
Prepared By		Contact Number
General Fund (Fund Balance)	Impact	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>1st Year</u>	2nd Year
	FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Zittorprioo i ana		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
71-Fund Grant	62,348.00	
71-Fulld Grafft	02,010.00	
Total	O	\$0
Staffing Impact:	Market Carlotte Commence of the Commence of th	
New Number of FTE's	0	0
Existing Number of FTE's	0	0
Total	0	0
Description		
Description		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	14.218
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
1-New CDBG Cost Center	1890	62,348.00
THOW OBBO GOOK GOING.		
xoenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
1-New CDBG Cost Center	2700	62,348.00
Additional Description:		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee position	e?	No
Stant tunus employee position	3.	No
s there a potential for grant to	continue?	No
f grant is funding a position is	it expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the		No
Will grant program be complet		No
Will grant impact the communi	ty once the grant funds are	No
eliminated?		NO
Does grant duplicate services	provided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

Development and Application of Environmental Management System

State of Utah Department of Environmental Quality

Initiative Number:

BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #E-2

Initiative Type:

Grant With No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

Management Services applied for and received this grant from the State of Utah, Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) to assist the Salt Lake City School District in developing and implementing an Environmental Management Systems (EMS) Plan.

The EMS Plan will create a process by which the School District can identify the environmental impacts that they have and take steps to minimize or eliminate those impacts. Typical items identified include waste reduction, use of less hazardous materials, and energy and water use reductions. These improvements translate to greater efficiencies, and usually cost savings.

The City will hire a contractor to complete the research and written EMS Plan. Meetings will be held with the SLC School District to identify their specific needs and current environmental management status. A complete review will be completed to establish state-of-the-art best practices for schools. Plan will include detailed information based on needs identified and distributed to DEQ, SLC Corp. and the School District. Comments will be incorporated and a completed document will be made available as well as published on the internet by DEQ.

It is recommended that the City Council sign the necessary Resolution authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the State of Utah, Department of Environmental Quality Agreement and to sign any additional agreements or awards as a result of this grant, and to appropriate the necessary budget to facilitate the project.

	1 1 1 1 1	(大家的大学) 医胸膜皮质 医皮肤炎	
		Development and Application of	
	<u>Envir</u>	onmental Management System State of	-
	<u>Utah</u>	Department of Environmental Quality	
	! !	Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #E-2		_	2004-05
Initiative Number	[Fiscal Year
Management Services			Grant With No New Staff
Department	lt		Type of Initiative
Vickie Bennett/Sherrie Collins	والتناوي		<u>535-6540/53-6150</u>
Prepared By			Contact Number
Consent (Final Poles) (
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impac	et		
Revenue Impact By Fund:		<u>1st Year</u>	2nd Year
		FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund			
Total		\$0	<u> \$0</u>
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total			
Other Fund		<u>\$0</u>	\$0
	1	10,000,00	
72 Fund Misc Grants (State) Total		10,000.00l 10,000.00l	\$0
Total		10,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:		the graph of a little to the second of the s	
New Number of FTE's	' '		-
Existing Number of FTE's		0	0
Total	 	0	
Description		<u>U1</u>	
Description			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	66.708
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-New Cost Center	1370	10,000.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-New Cost Center	2590	10,000.00
·		
Additional Accounting Details:		
	-	
		-
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions	2	No
Contract Conultant to develop & irr	inlement EMS Plan	
Is there a potential for grant to c	ontinue?	NA
is there a potential for grant to o		
If grant is funding a position is i	expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the g	rant?	NA
be eminiated at the end of the g		
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?	Yes
Transportation of Complete	3	
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?	1	NA NA
- Chimilacou i		
Does grant duplicate services p	royided by private or	
	Ovided by private or	No
Non-profit sector?		

US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

Economic Development Initiative (EDI) - Special Project 9th & 9th Streetscapes

Initiative Number:

BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #E-3

Initiative Type:

Grant With No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

Salt Lake City applied for and received an Economic Development Initiative (EDI) grant from the US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), for streetscape improvements to the intersection at 900 South and 900 East.

The goals of the 9th & 9th streetscape improvements are to create a distinct small neighborhood business district with enhancements to support merchants, increase vitality to the area, create a model walkable community and improve the infrastructure in an aging section of the City.

The EDI funds will used for sidewalk repairs, installation of ADA corner ramps, update traffic signals, additional pedestrian scale street lights and countdown signals, replacement of bus benches and new landscaping. Construction of these improvements will be performed in conjunction with the other work planned for the 9th & 9th area.

It is recommended that the City Council pass the necessary Resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept this grant, and to adopt the necessary budget to facilitate expenditures of this grant.

	!	! [
			!
	US Department of Housing and		
	Development (HUD) Economic Deve		
	Initiative (EDI) 9th & 9th Streets Initiative Name	icape	
	i ilitiative (vame	<u></u>	2004-05
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #E-3 Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Community Development		Gra	nt With No New Staff
Department			Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins			535-6136/535-6150
Prepared By			Contact Number
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impa	:t		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>1st Year</u>		2nd Year
	FY 2004-05		FY 2005-06
General Fund			
Tota			\$0
Internal Service Fund			
internal octation and			
Tota		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Tota		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		ΨΟΙ	
72 Fund Misc. Grants (Federal)	99.	410.00	
72. 6170 11100. 014170 (1. 000.41)			
Tota	99,	410.00	
Staffing Impact:		0	0
New Number of FTE's Existing Number of FTE's	<u> </u>	0	0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0	
Total		<u> </u>	
Description	 		
		-	
		+	<u> </u>
	<u> </u>	-	
	i i		

ccounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
levenue:	Ohioat Codo Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	99,410.00
2- New Cost Center	1690	32, (10:30)
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount 99,410.00
2- New Cost Center	2590	99,410.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
		_
Grant Information:		NO
Grant funds employee positions?		
1 - 11	ntinuo?	NA
Is there a potential for grant to co	intinue:	
If grant is funding a position is it	expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gra	ant?	NA
be entinitated at the end of the gr		
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	YES
win grant program be complete in	alance included and a second	
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?	once are grant and are	NO
eminiated:		
Dan and dualitate consists and	ovided by private or	
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or	NO NO

North Temple Improvements No. Temple and 600 West - UDOT Grant

Initiative Number:

BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #E-4

Initiative Type:

Grant With No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) agreed to provide funding in conjunction with the Salt Lake City Redevelopment Agency (RDA) in 2004, for improvements to include new safety lighting, ADA sidewalk replacement, asphalt, and concrete curb and gutter and other drainage improvements under the west end of the North Temple viaduct at 600 West.

The RDA budgeted \$60,000 for this project and UDOT agreed to provide an additional \$13,320. As the construction plans were finalized, engineering's construction estimate clearly indicated that additional funds would be needed. The City approached UDOT who agreed to provide \$40,000 for this project.

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary budget to facilitate this project and to pass the Resolution authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the funding and any additional agreements that stem from the original.

	Janus	Temple Improvements North Temple. 600 West - UDOT Grant	
		Initiative Name	
		Hilidative Ivante	2004-05
BA#5 FY2005 Initiative #F	ے نے ان اور		Fiscal Year
Initiative Number			Grant With No Staff Resources
Community Developme	<u>nt</u> *******		Type of Initiative
Department			535-7148/535-7147/535-6150
m Harpst/Gordon Haight/Sher	rie Collins		Telephone Contact
Prepared By			
General Fund (Fund Balanc	e) Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year	2nd Year
		FY 2004-05	FY 2005-06
General Fund			
General i unu			
	Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
	Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
			\$0
	Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
		10,000,00	
83- CIP State Grant		40,000.00	\$0
	Total	40,000.00	
Staffing Impact:		0	0
New Number of FTE's		0	
Existing Number of FTE's			0
Total		0	1
Description			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u> </u>			
			1

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
	1370	\$ 40,000.00
33-New Cost Center	1370	1 40,000.00
		-
-		
		_
Expenditure:		Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83-New Cost Center	2700	40,000.00
		-
Additional Accounting Details:		
	_	
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Grant ramas simplicyes positions.		
is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	NA NA
If grant is funding a position is it	expected the position will	NA NA
be eliminated at the end of the gra	ant?	NA .
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	NA
Tim grant program se complete i	3	
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA NA
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or	NI A
Non-profit sector?		NA

SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL MEMORANDUM

DATE: February 11, 2005

SUBJECT: REVENUE FORECAST

AFFECTED COUNCIL DISTRICTS: Citywide

STAFF REPORT BY: Gary Mumford

ADMINISTRATIVE DEPT. Management Services AND CONTACT PERSON: Gordon Hoskins

cc: Rocky Fluhart, Steve Fawcett, Gordon Hoskins, DJ Baxter

Current Year Revenue Forecast

The Council received a revenue forecast for the general fund from the Finance Division of the Department of Management Services. The forecast was based on six months of actual receipts through December 31, 2004. The Finance Division is predicting that actual revenues will be approximately \$600,000 greater than budget at the end of the fiscal year.

Sales tax revenue is higher than the three-year average, which should result in a favorable variance of about \$230,000 over the adopted budget. Permit fee revenue has increased due to increased building activity, which is projected to result in a favorable variance of about \$1,090,000. Interfund revenue is projected to be positive by about \$56,000 relating to administrative fees charged to enterprise funds and engineering fees charged to capital projects. (Note: The Council's audit committee has requested an independent review the Engineering Division including charges for engineering services.)

The Finance Division is forecasting unfavorable variances for other revenue categories. (See attached memorandum from the Department of Management Services.)

Multi-Year Forecasting Model - revenue and expenditures

In June 2004, the Council adopted a legislative intent statement regarding multi-year projections of revenue and expenditures:

It is the intent of the City Council that the Administration work with Council staff to develop a three-year baseline projection of revenues and expenditures for fiscal years 2006 to 2008, for presentation to the Council not later than January 4, 2005.

Council staff and Administrative staff are working together on this project and recently met with the County's budget director to review the County's forecasting model. The next meeting of the project team is set for February 17.

MEMORANDUM

TO:

ROCKY FLUHART, CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

FROM:

STEVE FAWCETT, DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF MANAGEMENT

SERVICES Steve

DATE:

2/3/2005

RE:

DECEMBER (6 MONTH) REVENUE REPORT AND FORECAST

Accounting has prepared the revenue report and year-end forecast for the period ended December 31, 2004. Their predictions are based on their established methods of estimating. They forecast that, even though the current fiscal year to date revenue collections are tracking below budget on many categories, overall the budget will end the year with a positive variance of approximately \$613,000.

The areas where the trend shows positive changes occurring since November are in sales taxes, franchise taxes, fines and forfeitures, and Interfund. The areas where the trend shows negative changes occurring since November are in parking meter and charges for services and these are small dollar changes. We remain optimistic that these positive changes will continue or hold and we will indeed end the fiscal year positive. If you have questions, I and Gordon Hoskins will be happy to address them.

Revenue	FY 04/05 Annual Budget	FY 04/05 Revised Forecast	FY04/05 Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)
Total General Fund	167,477,667	167,901,007	612,845
Total Property Taxes Discussion: Motor Vehicles registration fee revenues are trending below budget.	62,457,887	62,361,876	(96,011)
Total Sales and Use Tax Discussion: Sales tax is slightly higher than the three year average at this time, resulting in a slight increase in projections.	40,088,200	40,318,019	229,819
Total Franchise Tax Discussion:	22,583,972	22,505,427	(78,545)
Franchise revenue is still trending negative due to a slow implementation of the liner foot charge, but is showing improvement.			
License and Permits: Discussion: Plan Check fees and building permits have increased in revenue due to increased building activity. Change in trend is due to appropriation of additional revenue on last budget amendment.	9,762,000	10,851,002	1,089,002
Interest income Discussion:	2,241,250	1,713,353	(338,392)
Anticipated interest income is down due to rates remaining down. Long-term notes are maturing and reinvestment is at lower interest rates. Total Fines & Forfeiture Discussion: Fines and Forfeitures continues to have a negative trend due to a combination of the number of traffic tickets slightly decreasing and collection becoming more difficult due to the criminalization of traffic tickets, but there is slight improvement.	9,424,104	9,161,590	(262,514)
Parking Meters Discussion: Actual receipts are trending slightly less than budget projection. The City expanded the holiday "free" parking zone from just downtown to citywide.	1,200,360	1,167,864	(32,496)
Charges and Services Discussion: Charges and Services have a deficit due to timing issues on bills sent out verse payments received and receipts are trending slightly less than budget projection.	3,320,840	3,277,784	(43,056)
Total Interfund Discussion: An increase in engineering's production as well as Public Services billing for their Administration Fees to their Enterprise Funds and Internal Service Funds results in an Interfund revenue projection better than budget.	8,586,200	8,642,341	56,141 ,

DAVID DOBBINS

SAMI LAKE GITY CORPORATION

ROSS C. "ROCKY" ANDERSON

COUNCIL TRANSMITTAL

TO:

Rocky Fluhart, Chief Administrative Officer

DATE: January 26, 2005

FROM:

David Dobbins

RE:

Resolution authorizing Salt Lake City to accept a grant from the State of Utah,

Department of Environmental Quality.

STAFF CONTACT:

Sherrie Collins, 535-6150

DOCUMENT TYPE:

Resolution

BUDGET IMPACT:

\$10,000 state grant

DISCUSSION: Management Services' Environmental Planner applied for and received a grant from the State of Utah, Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) to assist the Salt Lake City School District in developing and implementing an Environmental Management System (EMS) Plan. The EMS Plan will create and provide a process by which the school district can identify environmental impacts that they have, and take steps to minimize or eliminate those impacts. Typical items identified in the plan would include waste reduction, use of less hazardous materials, and energy and water use reductions. The plan will also include detailed information based on the needs identified by the school and distributed to DEQ, Salt Lake City Corporation, and the school district.

The City will hire a contractor to complete the research and provide a written EMS Plan. Meetings will be held with the school district to identify its specific needs and current environmental management status. A complete review will be completed to establish a state-of – the-art best practices for schools. Comments will be incorporated and a completed document will be made available as well as published on the internet by DEQ.

451 SOUTH STATE STREET, ROOM 404, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111

RECYCLED PAPER

RESOLUTION NO.____ OF 2005

AUTHORIZING SALT LAKE CITY TO ACCEPT THE STATE OF UTAH DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY GRANT

WHEREAS, Title 11, Chapter 13 <u>Utah Code Ann.</u>, as amended, allows public entities to enter into cooperative agreements to provide joint undertakings and services; and

WHEREAS, the attached Agreement has been prepared to accomplish said purposes;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of Salt Lake City, Utah:

1. It does hereby authorize and approve of Salt Lake City Corporation accepting the \$10,000.00 State of Utah, Department of Environmental Quality grant for the purposes of:

Assisting the Salt Lake City School District in developing and implementing an Environmental Management Systems (EMS) Plan, that will create a process by which the School District can identify the environmental impacts that they have and take steps to minimize or eliminate those steps. The City will hire a contractor to complete the research and written plan. Typical environmental impacts identified include waste reduction, use of less hazardous materials, and energy and water reductions.

2. Ross C. Anderson, Mayor of Salt Lake City, Utah, is hereby authorized to receive said award and execute any and all subsequent agreements between the City and other entities resulting from the said Award on behalf of Salt Lake City Corporation, so long as such subsequent agreements do not depart substantively from the Agreement approved herein.

Passed by the City co	uncil of Salt Lake City, Utah, this day day of
	Salt Lake City Council
	ByChairperson
ATTEST:	Approved as to Form Salt Lake City Attorney's Office By: Date:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER

Salt Lake City Corporation **CAMP DOCUMENT ROUTING FORM**

CITY SIGNATURE AND ACTIVATION PROCESS

January 25, 2005

Contract Number:	06-5-05-	1167	Project:			
Contractor:	13521	UTAH STATE DEPT	OF ENVIROMEN	TAL Q	UALITY	
Contract Title:	ENVIRON	MENTAL MGT. SYSTEMS PL	LAN - STATE FUNDS			
Monitor:	SHERRIE	COLLINS				
Please complete	your Step	and forward to the next Step.				
STEP 1		ACCOUN	TING DIVISION - Enc	umber F	unds	
vo cumbi	. O	I certify that funds are available.				
	nl	OR	Accounting Signature			Date
	٠, ١٠٠٠	I certify that no encumbrance is rec		ure encum	brance will be check	ed
NO WAR	124	against available budget by the acc	counting system.	1.	. /	
VAVING	· •		Loaufn Du	I	1/26/0	5
J. 4		Funding	Accounting Signature	\Diamond	•	Date
nt.		Source:			_	
		Dept Cost Center	Object Code		\$	
		Attach additional paperwork if mor	re funding sources are needed	. Limit	\$	
STEP 2		CITY ATT	ORNEY'S OFFICE - F	inal App	proval	
Leave Bi	ank	Attorney:	•	-	Insurance Required:	N
		1			Perf Bond Required:	N
Roi*			115		Pmt Bond Required:	N
%.* **		This document has been approved	d as to form.	Alla	1/26/20	05
	8 4. 40 W N.		Attor ney's S ignat	ure	/ /	Date
Date Sta						
STEP 3		MA.	YOR'S OFFICE - Sign Do	cument		
Leave Bi	ank	INSTRUCTIONS:				
		Sign ALL documents.		101.		
For-		Authorized Signer: KUS	S leaderson,	IV (L	yor .	
		Name		D	ę p t/DiV	
Date Sta		D ATT Claused d	to to the Decoud	lawla Off	iaa	
	2%.	Forward ALL Signed d	ocuments to the Record	ier's On	<u>ice</u>	
		D.T.	CORNERIO OFFICE			
STEP 4			CORDER'S OFFICE - A	Activate		
Leave B	lank	INSTRUCTIONS:				
Tor						
\.	_	When activated, keep 1 signed do	cument, send other signed doc	cument(s)	to:	
Thates Cha	***	Herri. Calle	M HANV)	60150	
Date Sta			7 1/1/1/	D:	<u> </u>	

Phone

Department or Division

Contract #	



STATE OF UTAH CONTRACT

	Salt Lake City Corporation			LEGAL STATUS	S OF CONTRACTOR	
	AF1 Courth Chata Church Do	Name		Sole Proprie		
	451 South State Street, Room 145 Address			Non-Profit Corporation For-Profit Corporation		
	Salt Lake City	Utah	84111	Partnership		
	City	State	Zip	Governmen		
		Namett Phone #535-6540 Emai Vendor # Commodity Co		1 <u>S</u>		
2.	GENERAL PURPOSE OF CO Development and application	ONTRACT: The general purpose n of an EMS	of this contract is to provid	de:		
3.		ract is entered into as a result of the ation (from the Division of Purchation)		RX#, FY <u>5</u> , Bi	d# or a <u>pre-</u>	
4.	CONTRACT PERIOD: Effect terms and conditions of this co	etive date: 01/05/05 Termination of ontract. Renewal options (if any):	late: 10/30/05 unless termi None	nated early or extende	ed in accordance with th	
5.	CONTRACT COSTS: CONT information regarding costs: 1	RACTOR will be paid a maximu 00% federal funds	m of \$10,000 for costs aut	horized by this contra	ct. Additional	
6.	ATTACHMENT B: Scope of ATTACHMENT C: Not Appl			of Attachment A.		
7.	a. All other governmental	ATED INTO THIS CONTRACT laws, regulations, or actions applit Code, Procurement Rules, and C	licable to the goods and/or	services authorized by		
	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, th	e parties sign and cause this conti	ract to be executed. STATE			
_		e parties sign and cause this control			Date	
_	CONTRACTOR Contractor's Signature	· •	STATE		Date	
_	CONTRACTOR	· •	STATE		Date	
-	CONTRACTOR Contractor's Signature Ross C. Anderson	· •	STATE Agency's Signature	of Purchasing		
-	CONTRACTOR Contractor's Signature Ross C. Anderson	· •	Agency's Signature Director, Division of	of Purchasing	Date	

APPROVED AS TO FORM
Salt Lake City Attorney's Office

ATTACHMENT A

Standard Terms and Conditions

ATTACHMENT A: STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS

- 1. <u>AUTHORITY</u>: Provisions of this contract are pursuant to the authority set forth in 63-56, <u>Utah Code Annotated</u>, 1953, as amended, Utah State Procurement Rules (<u>Utah Administrative Code</u> Section R33), and related statutes which permit the STATE to purchase certain specified services, and other approved purchases for the STATE.
- 2. CONTRACT JURISDICTION: The provisions of this contract shall be governed by the laws of the State of Utah.
- 3. <u>RECORDS ADMINISTRATION</u>: The CONTRACTOR shall maintain, or supervise the maintenance of all records necessary to properly account for the payments made to the CONTRACTOR for costs authorized by this contract. These records shall be retained by the CONTRACTOR for at least four years after the contract terminates, or until all audits initiated within the four years, have been completed, whichever is later.
- 4. <u>AUDIT OF RECORDS</u>: The CONTRACTOR agrees to allow STATE and Federal auditors, and STATE Agency Staff, access to all the records to this contract, for audit and inspection, and monitoring of services. Such access will be during normal business hours, or by appointment.
- 5. <u>CONFLICT OF INTEREST</u>: CONTRACTOR represents that none of its officers or employees are officers or employees of the State of Utah, unless disclosure has been made in accordance with 67-16-8, <u>Utah Code Annotated</u>, 1953, as amended.
- 6. <u>CONTRACTOR</u>, AN <u>INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR</u>: The CONTRACTOR shall be an independent contractor, and as such, shall have no authorization, express or implied, to bind the STATE to any agreements, settlements, liability, or understanding whatsoever, and agrees not perform any acts as agent for the STATE, except as herein expressly set forth. Compensation stated herein shall be the total amount payable to the CONTRACTOR by the STATE. The CONTRACTOR shall be responsible for the payment of all income tax and social security amounts due as a result of payments received from the STATE for these contract services. Persons employed by the STATE and acting under the direction of the STATE shall not be deemed to be employees or agents of the CONTRACTOR.
- 7. <u>INDEMNITY CLAUSE:</u> The CONTRACTOR agrees to indemnify, save harmless, and release the STATE OF UTAH, and all its officers, agents, volunteers, and employees from and against any and all loss, damages, injury, liability, suits, and proceedings arising out of the performance of this contract which are caused in whole or in part by the negligence of the CONTRACTOR'S officers, agents, volunteers, or employees, but not for claims arising from the State's sole negligence.
- 8. EQUAL OPPORTUNITY CLAUSE: The CONTRACTOR agrees to abide by the provisions of Title VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42USC 2000e) which prohibits discrimination against any employee or applicant for employment or any applicant or recipient of services, on the basis of race, religion, color, or national origin; and further agrees to abide by Executive Order No. 11246, as amended, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; 45 CFR 90 which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age; and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, or the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 which prohibits discrimination on the basis of disabilities. Also, the CONTRACTOR agrees to abide by Utah's Executive Order, dated June 30, 1989, which prohibits sexual harassment in the work place.
- 9. <u>SEPARABILITY CLAUSE</u>: A declaration by any court, or any other binding legal source, that any provision of this contract is illegal and void shall not affect the legality and enforceability of any other provision of this contract, unless the provisions are mutually dependent.
- 10. <u>RENEGOTIATION OR MODIFICATIONS</u>: This contract may be amended, modified, or supplemented only by written amendment to the contract, executed by the parties hereto, and attached to the original signed copy of the contract.
- 11. <u>DEBARMENT</u>: The CONTRACTOR certifies that neither it nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction (contract) by any governmental department or agency. If the CONTRACTOR cannot certify this statement, attach a written explanation for review by the STATE.
- 12. <u>TERMINATION</u>: Unless otherwise stated in the Special Terms and Conditions, this contract may be terminated, with or without cause, in advance of the specified expiration date, by either party, upon 90 days prior written notice being given the other party. On termination of this contract, all accounts and payments will be processed according to the financial arrangements set forth herein for approved services rendered to date of termination.
- 13. <u>SALES TAX EXEMPTION</u>: The State of Utah's sales and use tax exemption number is E33399. The tangible personal property or services being purchased are being paid from State funds and used in the exercise of that entity's essential functions. If the items being purchased are construction materials, they will be converted into real property by employees of this government entity, unless otherwise stated in the contract.

14. WARRANTY (including Year 2000):

The contractor agrees to warrant and assume responsibility for each hardware, firmware, and/or software product (hereafter called the product) that it licenses, or sells, to the State of Utah under this contract. The contractor acknowledges that the Uniform Commercial Code applies to this contract.

In general, the contractor warrants that: (1) the product will do what the salesperson said it would do, (2) the product will live up to all specific claims that the manufacturer makes in their advertisements, (3) the product will be suitable for the ordinary purposes for which such product is used, (4) the product will be suitable for any <u>special purposes</u> that the State has relied on the contractor's skill or judgement to consider when it advised the State about the product, **especially to ensure year 2000 compatibility and fitness**, (5) the product has been properly designed and manufactured, and (6) the product is free of significant defects or unusual problems about which the State has not been warned.

In general, "year 2000 compatibility and fitness" means: (1) the product warranted by the contractor will not cease to perform before, during, or after the calendar year 2000, (2) the product will not produce abnormal, invalid, and/or incorrect results before, during, or after the calendar year 2000, (3) will include, but not be limited to, date data century recognition, calculations that accommodate same century and multi-century formats, date data values that reflect century, and (4) accurately process date data (including, but not limited to, calculating, comparing, and sequencing) from, into, and between the twentieth and twenty-first centuries, including leap year calculations.

If problems arise, the contractor will repair or replace (at no charge to the State) the product whose noncompliance is discovered and made known to the contractor in writing. If there is a Year 2000 problem, the contractor agrees to immediately assign senior engineering staff to work continuously until the product problem is corrected, time being of the essence.

Nothing in this warranty will be construed to limit any rights or remedies the State of Utah may otherwise have under this contract with respect to defects other than Year 2000 performance.

revision date: June 20, 1997

Attachement B

SALT LAKE CITY CORPORATION

January 5, 2005

Sonja Wallace Department of Environmental Quality 168 N 1950 W Salt Lake City, UT 84116

RE: EMS in Schools Work Plan

Dear Sonja:

Here is the work plan for the EMS in Schools project.

<u>Purpose</u>: The purpose of this project is to assist the Salt Lake City School District to implement Environmental Management Systems (EMS), resulting in improved environmental stewardship and cost savings, at district offices and individual schools. Environmental Management Systems create process by which organizations can identify the environmental impacts that they have, and take steps to minimize or eliminate these impacts. Most often, the items identified include waste reduction, the use of less hazardous materials, and energy and water use reductions. All of these improvements translate to greater efficiencies, and usually cost savings to the organization.

The main deliverable for this project will be a document that can be used by the school district to implement EMSs at both the district level and within the schools. The document will include instructions and examples of successful EMS models implemented in schools, and will include forms, checklists and details on the steps for EMS implementation.

Timeline: The project will be completed in three phases -

Phase 1: March – May, 2005

- a. Salt Lake City to hire contractor
- a. Identify Utah K-12 EMS objectives, targets and deliverables
- b. Internet based literature and program research

Salt Lake City Corporation will hire a contractor to complete the research and written portion of this project. Meetings will then be held with the Salt Lake City School district to identify their specific needs and current environmental management status. A complete review of existing

information will be completed to establish state-of-the-art best practices for schools. EMSs that have been implemented in other schools will be reviewed for their successes.

Phase 2: May – July, 2005

- a. Prepare draft Utah K-12 EMS framework document based on Phase I results
- b. Distribute document for comments

The draft document will include detail and information based on the needs identified in Phase I. It will be distributed to the DEQ, Salt Lake City, and the Salt Lake City School District for review. Environmental review checklists that the Salt Lake City District Offices may have will be requested at this time.

Phase 3: August – October, 2005

- a. Integrate document review results into the draft Utah K-12 EMS
- b. Complete final document

Comments will be incorporated and a final EMS will be produced. This document will be made available to all Utah schools, and published on the internet by the DEQ.

Please let me know if you need any additional information.

Sincerely,

Vicki Bennett

Bennett

Environmental Programs Manager

Salt Lake City Corporation

Contract #	



STATE OF UTAH CONTRACT

	Salt Lake City Corporation			LEGAL STATUS OF CONTRACTOR			
	Name			Sole Proprietor			
	451 South State Street, Roc		Non-Profit Corporation For-Profit Corporation				
	Salt Lake City	Address Utah	84111	Partnership	грогаціон		
	City		Zip	Government	Agency		
		nett Phone # <u>535-6540</u> Email Vendor # Commodity Code		<u>s</u>			
2.	GENERAL PURPOSE OF CONTRACT: The general purpose of this contract is to provide: Development and application of an EMS						
3.		ract is entered into as a result of the ation (from the Division of Purchas		RX#, FY <u>5</u> , Bid#	# or a <u>pre-</u>		
4.	CONTRACT PERIOD: Effective date: <u>01/05/05</u> Termination date: <u>10/30/05</u> unless terminated early or extended in accordance with the terms and conditions of this contract. Renewal options (if any): <u>None</u>						
5.	CONTRACT COSTS: CONTRACTOR will be paid a maximum of \$10,000 for costs authorized by this contract. Additional information regarding costs: 100% federal funds						
6.	ATTACHMENT A: Division of Purchasing's Standard Terms and Conditions ATTACHMENT B: Scope of Work ATTACHMENT C: Not Applicable Any conflicts between Attachment A and other Attachments will be resolved in favor of Attachment A.						
7.	DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED INTO THIS CONTRACT BY REFERENCE BUT NOT ATTACHED: a. All other governmental laws, regulations, or actions applicable to the goods and/or services authorized by this contract. b. Utah State Procurement Code, Procurement Rules, and CONTRACTOR'S response to Bid # dated						
	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, th	e parties sign and cause this contra	ct to be executed. STATE				
_					Date		
_	CONTRACTOR	e parties sign and cause this contra	STATE		Date		
-	CONTRACTOR Contractor's Signature	e parties sign and cause this contra	STATE	f Purchasing	Date Date		
-	CONTRACTOR Contractor's Signature Ross C. Anderson	e parties sign and cause this contra	Agency's Signature	<u> </u>			
-	CONTRACTOR Contractor's Signature Ross C. Anderson	e parties sign and cause this contra	Agency's Signature Director, Division o	<u> </u>	Date		

Salt APPROVED AS TO FORM Lake City, Attorney's Office

ATTACHMENT A

Standard Terms and Conditions

ATTACHMENT A: STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS

- 1. <u>AUTHORITY</u>: Provisions of this contract are pursuant to the authority set forth in 63-56, <u>Utah Code Annotated</u>, 1953, as amended, Utah State Procurement Rules (<u>Utah Administrative Code</u> Section R33), and related statutes which permit the STATE to purchase certain specified services, and other approved purchases for the STATE.
- 2. CONTRACT JURISDICTION: The provisions of this contract shall be governed by the laws of the State of Utah.
- 3. <u>RECORDS ADMINISTRATION</u>: The CONTRACTOR shall maintain, or supervise the maintenance of all records necessary to properly account for the payments made to the CONTRACTOR for costs authorized by this contract. These records shall be retained by the CONTRACTOR for at least four years after the contract terminates, or until all audits initiated within the four years, have been completed, whichever is later.
- 4. <u>AUDIT OF RECORDS</u>: The CONTRACTOR agrees to allow STATE and Federal auditors, and STATE Agency Staff, access to all the records to this contract, for audit and inspection, and monitoring of services. Such access will be during normal business hours, or by appointment.
- 5. <u>CONFLICT OF INTEREST</u>: CONTRACTOR represents that none of its officers or employees are officers or employees of the State of Utah, unless disclosure has been made in accordance with 67-16-8, <u>Utah Code Annotated</u>, 1953, as amended.
- 6. CONTRACTOR, AN INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR: The CONTRACTOR shall be an independent contractor, and as such, shall have no authorization, express or implied, to bind the STATE to any agreements, settlements, liability, or understanding whatsoever, and agrees not perform any acts as agent for the STATE, except as herein expressly set forth. Compensation stated herein shall be the total amount payable to the CONTRACTOR by the STATE. The CONTRACTOR shall be responsible for the payment of all income tax and social security amounts due as a result of payments received from the STATE for these contract services. Persons employed by the STATE and acting under the direction of the STATE shall not be deemed to be employees or agents of the CONTRACTOR.
- 7. <u>INDEMNITY CLAUSE:</u> The CONTRACTOR agrees to indemnify, save harmless, and release the STATE OF UTAH, and all its officers, agents, volunteers, and employees from and against any and all loss, damages, injury, liability, suits, and proceedings arising out of the performance of this contract which are caused in whole or in part by the negligence of the CONTRACTOR'S officers, agents, volunteers, or employees, but not for claims arising from the State's sole negligence.
- 8. EQUAL OPPORTUNITY CLAUSE: The CONTRACTOR agrees to abide by the provisions of Title VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42USC 2000e) which prohibits discrimination against any employee or applicant for employment or any applicant or recipient of services, on the basis of race, religion, color, or national origin; and further agrees to abide by Executive Order No. 11246, as amended, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; 45 CFR 90 which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age; and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, or the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 which prohibits discrimination on the basis of disabilities. Also, the CONTRACTOR agrees to abide by Utah's Executive Order, dated June 30, 1989, which prohibits sexual harassment in the work place.
- 9. <u>SEPARABILITY CLAUSE</u>: A declaration by any court, or any other binding legal source, that any provision of this contract is illegal and void shall not affect the legality and enforceability of any other provision of this contract, unless the provisions are mutually dependent.
- 10. RENEGOTIATION OR MODIFICATIONS: This contract may be amended, modified, or supplemented only by written amendment to the contract, executed by the parties hereto, and attached to the original signed copy of the contract.
- 11. <u>DEBARMENT</u>: The CONTRACTOR certifies that neither it nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction (contract) by any governmental department or agency. If the CONTRACTOR cannot certify this statement, attach a written explanation for review by the STATE.
- 12. <u>TERMINATION</u>: Unless otherwise stated in the Special Terms and Conditions, this contract may be terminated, with or without cause, in advance of the specified expiration date, by either party, upon 90 days prior written notice being given the other party. On termination of this contract, all accounts and payments will be processed according to the financial arrangements set forth herein for approved services rendered to date of termination.
- 13. <u>SALES TAX EXEMPTION</u>: The State of Utah's sales and use tax exemption number is E33399. The tangible personal property or services being purchased are being paid from State funds and used in the exercise of that entity's essential functions. If the items being purchased are construction materials, they will be converted into real property by employees of this government entity, unless otherwise stated in the contract.

14. WARRANTY (including Year 2000):

The contractor agrees to warrant and assume responsibility for each hardware, firmware, and/or software product (hereafter called the product) that it licenses, or sells, to the State of Utah under this contract. The contractor acknowledges that the Uniform Commercial Code applies to this contract.

In general, the contractor warrants that: (1) the product will do what the salesperson said it would do, (2) the product will live up to all specific claims that the manufacturer makes in their advertisements, (3) the product will be suitable for the ordinary purposes for which such product is used, (4) the product will be suitable for any <u>special purposes</u> that the State has relied on the contractor's skill or judgement to consider when it advised the State about the product, **especially to ensure year 2000 compatibility and fitness**, (5) the product has been properly designed and manufactured, and (6) the product is free of significant defects or unusual problems about which the State has not been warned.

In general, "year 2000 compatibility and fitness" means: (1) the product warranted by the contractor will not cease to perform before, during, or after the calendar year 2000, (2) the product will not produce abnormal, invalid, and/or incorrect results before, during, or after the calendar year 2000, (3) will include, but not be limited to, date data century recognition, calculations that accommodate same century and multi-century formats, date data values that reflect century, and (4) accurately process date data (including, but not limited to, calculating, comparing, and sequencing) from, into, and between the twentieth and twenty-first centuries, including leap year calculations.

If problems arise, the contractor will repair or replace (at no charge to the State) the product whose noncompliance is discovered and made known to the contractor in writing. If there is a Year 2000 problem, the contractor agrees to immediately assign senior engineering staff to work continuously until the product problem is corrected, time being of the essence.

Nothing in this warranty will be construed to limit any rights or remedies the State of Utah may otherwise have under this contract with respect to defects other than Year 2000 performance.

revision date: June 20,

Attachement B

SALT LAKE CITY CORPORATION

January 5, 2005

Sonja Wallace Department of Environmental Quality 168 N 1950 W Salt Lake City, UT 84116

RE: EMS in Schools Work Plan

Dear Sonja:

Here is the work plan for the EMS in Schools project.

<u>Purpose</u>: The purpose of this project is to assist the Salt Lake City School District to implement Environmental Management Systems (EMS), resulting in improved environmental stewardship and cost savings, at district offices and individual schools. Environmental Management Systems create process by which organizations can identify the environmental impacts that they have, and take steps to minimize or eliminate these impacts. Most often, the items identified include waste reduction, the use of less hazardous materials, and energy and water use reductions. All of these improvements translate to greater efficiencies, and usually cost savings to the organization.

The main deliverable for this project will be a document that can be used by the school district to implement EMSs at both the district level and within the schools. The document will include instructions and examples of successful EMS models implemented in schools, and will include forms, checklists and details on the steps for EMS implementation.

Timeline: The project will be completed in three phases -

Phase 1: March - May, 2005

- a. Salt Lake City to hire contractor
- a. Identify Utah K-12 EMS objectives, targets and deliverables
- b. Internet based literature and program research

Salt Lake City Corporation will hire a contractor to complete the research and written portion of this project. Meetings will then be held with the Salt Lake City School district to identify their specific needs and current environmental management status. A complete review of existing

information will be completed to establish state-of-the-art best practices for schools. EMSs that have been implemented in other schools will be reviewed for their successes.

Phase 2: May – July, 2005

- a. Prepare draft Utah K-12 EMS framework document based on Phase I results
- b. Distribute document for comments

The draft document will include detail and information based on the needs identified in Phase I. It will be distributed to the DEQ, Salt Lake City, and the Salt Lake City School District for review. Environmental review checklists that the Salt Lake City District Offices may have will be requested at this time.

Phase 3: August - October, 2005

- a. Integrate document review results into the draft Utah K-12 EMS
- b. Complete final document

Comments will be incorporated and a final EMS will be produced. This document will be made available to all Utah schools, and published on the internet by the DEQ.

Please let me know if you need any additional information.

Sincerely,

Vicki Bennett

Bennett

Environmental Programs Manager

Salt Lake City Corporation

Contract #	



STATE OF UTAH CONTRACT

1. CONTRACTING PARTIES: This contract is between the following agency of the State of Utah: Department: <u>DEQ</u> Agency Code: <u>480</u> Division: <u>PPA</u>, referred to as (STATE), and the following CONTRACTOR: Salt Lake City Corporation LEGAL STATUS OF CONTRACTOR Name Sole Proprietor 451 South State Street, Room 145 Non-Profit Corporation Address For-Profit Corporation Salt Lake City Utah 84111 Partnership City State Zip Government Agency Contact Person Vicki Bennett Phone #535-6540 Email Vicki.Bennett@si.slc.ut.us Federal Tax ID# 87-6000279 Vendor # Commodity Code # 2. GENERAL PURPOSE OF CONTRACT: The general purpose of this contract is to provide: Development and application of an EMS 3. PROCUREMENT: This contract is entered into as a result of the procurement process on RX# _____, FY5, Bid#_____ or a preapproved sole source authorization (from the Division of Purchasing) #: SSN/A. CONTRACT PERIOD: Effective date: 01/05/05 Termination date: 10/30/05 unless terminated early or extended in accordance with the terms and conditions of this contract. Renewal options (if any): None 5. CONTRACT COSTS: CONTRACTOR will be paid a maximum of \$10,000 for costs authorized by this contract. Additional information regarding costs: 100% federal funds 6. ATTACHMENT A: Division of Purchasing's Standard Terms and Conditions ATTACHMENT B: Scope of Work ATTACHMENT C: Not Applicable Any conflicts between Attachment A and other Attachments will be resolved in favor of Attachment A. DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED INTO THIS CONTRACT BY REFERENCE BUT NOT ATTACHED: All other governmental laws, regulations, or actions applicable to the goods and/or services authorized by this contract. Utah State Procurement Code, Procurement Rules, and CONTRACTOR'S response to Bid #______ dated _____. b. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties sign and cause this contract to be executed. CONTRACTOR Contractor's Signature Date Agency's Signature Date Ross C. Anderson Type or Print Name and Title Director, Division of Purchasing Date Director, Division of Finance Sonja F. Wallace 536-4477 536-4457 swallace@utah.gov Agency Contact Person Telephone Number Fax Number Email (Revision 09/30/2003)

Salt Lake City Attorney's Office
Date / Deed
ATTACHMENT A

Standard Terms and Conditions

ATTACHMENT A: STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS

- 1. <u>AUTHORITY</u>: Provisions of this contract are pursuant to the authority set forth in 63-56, <u>Utah Code Annotated</u>, 1953, as amended, Utah State Procurement Rules (<u>Utah Administrative Code</u> Section R33), and related statutes which permit the STATE to purchase certain specified services, and other approved purchases for the STATE.
- 2. <u>CONTRACT JURISDICTION</u>: The provisions of this contract shall be governed by the laws of the State of Utah.
- 3. <u>RECORDS ADMINISTRATION</u>: The CONTRACTOR shall maintain, or supervise the maintenance of all records necessary to properly account for the payments made to the CONTRACTOR for costs authorized by this contract. These records shall be retained by the CONTRACTOR for at least four years after the contract terminates, or until all audits initiated within the four years, have been completed, whichever is later.
- 4. <u>AUDIT OF RECORDS</u>: The CONTRACTOR agrees to allow STATE and Federal auditors, and STATE Agency Staff, access to all the records to this contract, for audit and inspection, and monitoring of services. Such access will be during normal business hours, or by appointment.
- 5. <u>CONFLICT OF INTEREST</u>: CONTRACTOR represents that none of its officers or employees are officers or employees of the State of Utah, unless disclosure has been made in accordance with 67-16-8, <u>Utah Code Annotated</u>, 1953, as amended.
- 6. CONTRACTOR, AN INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR: The CONTRACTOR shall be an independent contractor, and as such, shall have no authorization, express or implied, to bind the STATE to any agreements, settlements, liability, or understanding whatsoever, and agrees not perform any acts as agent for the STATE, except as herein expressly set forth. Compensation stated herein shall be the total amount payable to the CONTRACTOR by the STATE. The CONTRACTOR shall be responsible for the payment of all income tax and social security amounts due as a result of payments received from the STATE for these contract services. Persons employed by the STATE and acting under the direction of the STATE shall not be deemed to be employees or agents of the CONTRACTOR.
- 7. <u>INDEMNITY CLAUSE:</u> The CONTRACTOR agrees to indemnify, save harmless, and release the STATE OF UTAH, and all its officers, agents, volunteers, and employees from and against any and all loss, damages, injury, liability, suits, and proceedings arising out of the performance of this contract which are caused in whole or in part by the negligence of the CONTRACTOR'S officers, agents, volunteers, or employees, but not for claims arising from the State's sole negligence.
- 8. EQUAL OPPORTUNITY CLAUSE: The CONTRACTOR agrees to abide by the provisions of Title VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42USC 2000e) which prohibits discrimination against any employee or applicant for employment or any applicant or recipient of services, on the basis of race, religion, color, or national origin; and further agrees to abide by Executive Order No. 11246, as amended, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; 45 CFR 90 which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age; and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, or the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 which prohibits discrimination on the basis of disabilities. Also, the CONTRACTOR agrees to abide by Utah's Executive Order, dated June 30, 1989, which prohibits sexual harassment in the work place.
- 9. <u>SEPARABILITY CLAUSE</u>: A declaration by any court, or any other binding legal source, that any provision of this contract is illegal and void shall not affect the legality and enforceability of any other provision of this contract, unless the provisions are mutually dependent.
- 10. <u>RENEGOTIATION OR MODIFICATIONS</u>: This contract may be amended, modified, or supplemented only by written amendment to the contract, executed by the parties hereto, and attached to the original signed copy of the contract.
- 11. <u>DEBARMENT</u>: The CONTRACTOR certifies that neither it nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction (contract) by any governmental department or agency. If the CONTRACTOR cannot certify this statement, attach a written explanation for review by the STATE.
- 12. <u>TERMINATION</u>: Unless otherwise stated in the Special Terms and Conditions, this contract may be terminated, with or without cause, in advance of the specified expiration date, by either party, upon 90 days prior written notice being given the other party. On termination of this contract, all accounts and payments will be processed according to the financial arrangements set forth herein for approved services rendered to date of termination.
- 13. <u>SALES TAX EXEMPTION</u>: The State of Utah's sales and use tax exemption number is E33399. The tangible personal property or services being purchased are being paid from State funds and used in the exercise of that entity's essential functions. If the items being purchased are construction materials, they will be converted into real property by employees of this government entity, unless otherwise stated in the contract.

14. WARRANTY (including Year 2000):

The contractor agrees to warrant and assume responsibility for each hardware, firmware, and/or software product (hereafter called the product) that it licenses, or sells, to the State of Utah under this contract. The contractor acknowledges that the Uniform Commercial Code applies to this contract.

In general, the contractor warrants that: (1) the product will do what the salesperson said it would do, (2) the product will live up to all specific claims that the manufacturer makes in their advertisements, (3) the product will be suitable for the ordinary purposes for which such product is used, (4) the product will be suitable for any <u>special purposes</u> that the State has relied on the contractor's skill or judgement to consider when it advised the State about the product, **especially to ensure year 2000 compatibility and fitness**, (5) the product has been properly designed and manufactured, and (6) the product is free of significant defects or unusual problems about which the State has not been warned.

In general, "year 2000 compatibility and fitness" means: (1) the product warranted by the contractor will not cease to perform before, during, or after the calendar year 2000, (2) the product will not produce abnormal, invalid, and/or incorrect results before, during, or after the calendar year 2000, (3) will include, but not be limited to, date data century recognition, calculations that accommodate same century and multi-century formats, date data values that reflect century, and (4) accurately process date data (including, but not limited to, calculating, comparing, and sequencing) from, into, and between the twentieth and twenty-first centuries, including leap year calculations.

If problems arise, the contractor will repair or replace (at no charge to the State) the product whose noncompliance is discovered and made known to the contractor in writing. If there is a Year 2000 problem, the contractor agrees to immediately assign senior engineering staff to work continuously until the product problem is corrected, time being of the essence.

Nothing in this warranty will be construed to limit any rights or remedies the State of Utah may otherwise have under this contract with respect to defects other than Year 2000 performance.

revision date: June 20, 1997

Attachement B

SALT LAKE CITY CORPORATION

January 5, 2005

Sonja Wallace Department of Environmental Quality 168 N 1950 W Salt Lake City, UT 84116

RE: EMS in Schools Work Plan

Dear Sonja:

Here is the work plan for the EMS in Schools project.

<u>Purpose</u>: The purpose of this project is to assist the Salt Lake City School District to implement Environmental Management Systems (EMS), resulting in improved environmental stewardship and cost savings, at district offices and individual schools. Environmental Management Systems create process by which organizations can identify the environmental impacts that they have, and take steps to minimize or eliminate these impacts. Most often, the items identified include waste reduction, the use of less hazardous materials, and energy and water use reductions. All of these improvements translate to greater efficiencies, and usually cost savings to the organization.

The main deliverable for this project will be a document that can be used by the school district to implement EMSs at both the district level and within the schools. The document will include instructions and examples of successful EMS models implemented in schools, and will include forms, checklists and details on the steps for EMS implementation.

<u>Timeline</u>: The project will be completed in three phases –

Phase 1: March – May, 2005

- a. Salt Lake City to hire contractor
- a. Identify Utah K-12 EMS objectives, targets and deliverables
- b. Internet based literature and program research

Salt Lake City Corporation will hire a contractor to complete the research and written portion of this project. Meetings will then be held with the Salt Lake City School district to identify their specific needs and current environmental management status. A complete review of existing

information will be completed to establish state-of-the-art best practices for schools. EMSs that have been implemented in other schools will be reviewed for their successes.

Phase 2: May – July, 2005

- a. Prepare draft Utah K-12 EMS framework document based on Phase I results
- b. Distribute document for comments

The draft document will include detail and information based on the needs identified in Phase I. It will be distributed to the DEQ, Salt Lake City, and the Salt Lake City School District for review. Environmental review checklists that the Salt Lake City District Offices may have will be requested at this time.

Phase 3: August - October, 2005

- a. Integrate document review results into the draft Utah K-12 EMS
- b. Complete final document

Comments will be incorporated and a final EMS will be produced. This document will be made available to all Utah schools, and published on the internet by the DEQ.

Please let me know if you need any additional information.

Sincerely,

Vicki Bennett

N. Bennett

Environmental Programs Manager

Salt Lake City Corporation

Contract #		



STATE OF UTAH CONTRACT

1. CONTRACTING PARTIES: This contract is between the following agency of the State of Utah: Department: <u>DEQ</u> Agency Code: <u>480</u> Division: <u>PPA</u>, referred to as (STATE), and the following CONTRACTOR: Salt Lake City Corporation LEGAL STATUS OF CONTRACTOR Name Sole Proprietor 451 South State Street, Room 145 Non-Profit Corporation Address For-Profit Corporation Salt Lake City Utah 84111 Partnership City Government Agency State Contact Person Vicki Bennett Phone #535-6540 Email Vicki.Bennett@si.slc.ut.us Federal Tax ID# 87-6000279 Vendor #____ Commodity Code #____ 2. GENERAL PURPOSE OF CONTRACT: The general purpose of this contract is to provide: Development and application of an EMS 3. PROCUREMENT: This contract is entered into as a result of the procurement process on RX# _____, FY5, Bid#_____ or a preapproved sole source authorization (from the Division of Purchasing) #: SSN/A. CONTRACT PERIOD: Effective date: 01/05/05 Termination date: 10/30/05 unless terminated early or extended in accordance with the terms and conditions of this contract. Renewal options (if any): None 5. CONTRACT COSTS: CONTRACTOR will be paid a maximum of \$10,000 for costs authorized by this contract. Additional information regarding costs: 100% federal funds 6. ATTACHMENT A: Division of Purchasing's Standard Terms and Conditions ATTACHMENT B: Scope of Work ATTACHMENT C: Not Applicable Any conflicts between Attachment A and other Attachments will be resolved in favor of Attachment A. DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED INTO THIS CONTRACT BY REFERENCE BUT NOT ATTACHED: All other governmental laws, regulations, or actions applicable to the goods and/or services authorized by this contract. b. Utah State Procurement Code, Procurement Rules, and CONTRACTOR'S response to Bid # dated . . IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties sign and cause this contract to be executed. CONTRACTOR STATE Contractor's Signature Agency's Signature Date Date Ross C. Anderson Type or Print Name and Title Director, Division of Purchasing Date Director, Division of Finance Sonja F. Wallace 536-4477 536-4457 swallace@utah.gov Agency Contact Person Telephone Number Fax Number Email (Revision 09/30/2003)

Salt Lake City Attorney's Office

Date 1/36/3005

ATTACHMENT A

Standard Terms and Conditions

ATTACHMENT A: STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS

- 1. <u>AUTHORITY</u>: Provisions of this contract are pursuant to the authority set forth in 63-56, <u>Utah Code Annotated</u>, 1953, as amended, Utah State Procurement Rules (<u>Utah Administrative Code</u> Section R33), and related statutes which permit the STATE to purchase certain specified services, and other approved purchases for the STATE.
- 2. CONTRACT JURISDICTION: The provisions of this contract shall be governed by the laws of the State of Utah.
- 3. <u>RECORDS ADMINISTRATION</u>: The CONTRACTOR shall maintain, or supervise the maintenance of all records necessary to properly account for the payments made to the CONTRACTOR for costs authorized by this contract. These records shall be retained by the CONTRACTOR for at least four years after the contract terminates, or until all audits initiated within the four years, have been completed, whichever is later.
- 4. <u>AUDIT OF RECORDS</u>: The CONTRACTOR agrees to allow STATE and Federal auditors, and STATE Agency Staff, access to all the records to this contract, for audit and inspection, and monitoring of services. Such access will be during normal business hours, or by appointment.
- 5. <u>CONFLICT OF INTEREST</u>: CONTRACTOR represents that none of its officers or employees are officers or employees of the State of Utah, unless disclosure has been made in accordance with 67-16-8, <u>Utah Code Annotated</u>, 1953, as amended.
- 6. <u>CONTRACTOR</u>, AN <u>INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR</u>: The CONTRACTOR shall be an independent contractor, and as such, shall have no authorization, express or implied, to bind the STATE to any agreements, settlements, liability, or understanding whatsoever, and agrees not perform any acts as agent for the STATE, except as herein expressly set forth. Compensation stated herein shall be the total amount payable to the CONTRACTOR by the STATE. The CONTRACTOR shall be responsible for the payment of all income tax and social security amounts due as a result of payments received from the STATE for these contract services. Persons employed by the STATE and acting under the direction of the STATE shall not be deemed to be employees or agents of the CONTRACTOR.
- 7. <u>INDEMNITY CLAUSE:</u> The CONTRACTOR agrees to indemnify, save harmless, and release the STATE OF UTAH, and all its officers, agents, volunteers, and employees from and against any and all loss, damages, injury, liability, suits, and proceedings arising out of the performance of this contract which are caused in whole or in part by the negligence of the CONTRACTOR'S officers, agents, volunteers, or employees, but not for claims arising from the State's sole negligence.
- 8. <u>EQUAL OPPORTUNITY CLAUSE</u>: The CONTRACTOR agrees to abide by the provisions of Title VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42USC 2000e) which prohibits discrimination against any employee or applicant for employment or any applicant or recipient of services, on the basis of race, religion, color, or national origin; and further agrees to abide by Executive Order No. 11246, as amended, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; 45 CFR 90 which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age; and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, or the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 which prohibits discrimination on the basis of disabilities. Also, the CONTRACTOR agrees to abide by Utah's Executive Order, dated June 30, 1989, which prohibits sexual harassment in the work place.
- 9. <u>SEPARABILITY CLAUSE</u>: A declaration by any court, or any other binding legal source, that any provision of this contract is illegal and void shall not affect the legality and enforceability of any other provision of this contract, unless the provisions are mutually dependent.
- 10. <u>RENEGOTIATION OR MODIFICATIONS</u>: This contract may be amended, modified, or supplemented only by written amendment to the contract, executed by the parties hereto, and attached to the original signed copy of the contract.
- 11. <u>DEBARMENT</u>: The CONTRACTOR certifies that neither it nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction (contract) by any governmental department or agency. If the CONTRACTOR cannot certify this statement, attach a written explanation for review by the STATE.
- 12. <u>TERMINATION</u>: Unless otherwise stated in the Special Terms and Conditions, this contract may be terminated, with or without cause, in advance of the specified expiration date, by either party, upon 90 days prior written notice being given the other party. On termination of this contract, all accounts and payments will be processed according to the financial arrangements set forth herein for approved services rendered to date of termination.
- 13. <u>SALES TAX EXEMPTION</u>: The State of Utah's sales and use tax exemption number is E33399. The tangible personal property or services being purchased are being paid from State funds and used in the exercise of that entity's essential functions. If the items being purchased are construction materials, they will be converted into real property by employees of this government entity, unless otherwise stated in the contract.

14. WARRANTY (including Year 2000):

The contractor agrees to warrant and assume responsibility for each hardware, firmware, and/or software product (hereafter called the product) that it licenses, or sells, to the State of Utah under this contract. The contractor acknowledges that the Uniform Commercial Code applies to this contract.

In general, the contractor warrants that: (1) the product will do what the salesperson said it would do, (2) the product will live up to all specific claims that the manufacturer makes in their advertisements, (3) the product will be suitable for the ordinary purposes for which such product is used, (4) the product will be suitable for any <u>special purposes</u> that the State has relied on the contractor's skill or judgement to consider when it advised the State about the product, **especially to ensure year 2000 compatibility and fitness**, (5) the product has been properly designed and manufactured, and (6) the product is free of significant defects or unusual problems about which the State has not been warned.

In general, "year 2000 compatibility and fitness" means: (1) the product warranted by the contractor will not cease to perform before, during, or after the calendar year 2000, (2) the product will not produce abnormal, invalid, and/or incorrect results before, during, or after the calendar year 2000, (3) will include, but not be limited to, date data century recognition, calculations that accommodate same century and multi-century formats, date data values that reflect century, and (4) accurately process date data (including, but not limited to, calculating, comparing, and sequencing) from, into, and between the twentieth and twenty-first centuries, including leap year calculations.

If problems arise, the contractor will repair or replace (at no charge to the State) the product whose noncompliance is discovered and made known to the contractor in writing. If there is a Year 2000 problem, the contractor agrees to immediately assign senior engineering staff to work continuously until the product problem is corrected, time being of the essence.

Nothing in this warranty will be construed to limit any rights or remedies the State of Utah may otherwise have under this contract with respect to defects other than Year 2000 performance.

revision date: June 20,

Attachement B

SALT LAKE CITY CORPORATION

January 5, 2005

Sonja Wallace Department of Environmental Quality 168 N 1950 W Salt Lake City, UT 84116

RE: EMS in Schools Work Plan

Dear Sonja:

Here is the work plan for the EMS in Schools project.

<u>Purpose</u>: The purpose of this project is to assist the Salt Lake City School District to implement Environmental Management Systems (EMS), resulting in improved environmental stewardship and cost savings, at district offices and individual schools. Environmental Management Systems create process by which organizations can identify the environmental impacts that they have, and take steps to minimize or eliminate these impacts. Most often, the items identified include waste reduction, the use of less hazardous materials, and energy and water use reductions. All of these improvements translate to greater efficiencies, and usually cost savings to the organization.

The main deliverable for this project will be a document that can be used by the school district to implement EMSs at both the district level and within the schools. The document will include instructions and examples of successful EMS models implemented in schools, and will include forms, checklists and details on the steps for EMS implementation.

<u>Timeline</u>: The project will be completed in three phases –

Phase 1: March – May, 2005

- a. Salt Lake City to hire contractor
- a. Identify Utah K-12 EMS objectives, targets and deliverables
- b. Internet based literature and program research

Salt Lake City Corporation will hire a contractor to complete the research and written portion of this project. Meetings will then be held with the Salt Lake City School district to identify their specific needs and current environmental management status. A complete review of existing

information will be completed to establish state-of-the-art best practices for schools. EMSs that have been implemented in other schools will be reviewed for their successes.

Phase 2: May – July, 2005

- a. Prepare draft Utah K-12 EMS framework document based on Phase I results
- b. Distribute document for comments

The draft document will include detail and information based on the needs identified in Phase I. It will be distributed to the DEQ, Salt Lake City, and the Salt Lake City School District for review. Environmental review checklists that the Salt Lake City District Offices may have will be requested at this time.

Phase 3: August - October, 2005

- a. Integrate document review results into the draft Utah K-12 EMS
- b. Complete final document

Comments will be incorporated and a final EMS will be produced. This document will be made available to all Utah schools, and published on the internet by the DEQ.

Please let me know if you need any additional information.

Sincerely,

Vicki Bennett

Environmental Programs Manager

Salt Lake City Corporation