SALT LAKE; GITY CORPORATION

ROSS C. ANDERSON

Toda

COUNCIL TRANSMITTAL

TO:

Dale Lambert, Chair

Salt Lake City Council

FROM:

Rocky J. Fluhart, Chief Administrative Officer

DATE:

May 4, 2005

SUBJECT:

Municipal Building Authority Budget Amendment No. 2

Recommendation: We recommend that on May 17, 2005, the City Council set a date to hold a public hearing on June 7, 2005, to discuss Municipal Building Authority Budget Amendment No. 2.

<u>Discussion and Background</u>: The attached amendment packet is transmitted to the City Council Office for the briefing on May 24, 2005.

<u>Legislative Action:</u> The attached ordinance to amend this budget has been approved by the City Attorney.

cc:

Dan Mulé, City Treasurer

Shannon Ashby

Municipal Building Authority FY 2005 Initiatives in Budget Amendment #2 – June

				FY 2005	;	FY 2005
	I	nitiative Name	Initiative Gen. Fund FTE Amount Impact		Gen. Fund Fund Balance Impact	
	Section	A	New Items			
	Section	В	Grants For Existi	ng Staff Resou	rces	
	Section	C	Grants For New S	Staff Resources		
	Section	D	Housekeeping			
1.	Debt R	etirement	\$47,125,000.00			
2.	Budget	Carryover	\$540,069.36			
3.	Interes	t on Sales Tax	\$80,000.00			
	Bonds					
	Section	E	Grants Requiring	No New Staff	Resour	rces
	Section	F	Donations			

Initiative Name:	
Municipal Building Authority Carryover	
Initiative Number:	
BA#2 FY2005 Initiative #1	
Initiative Type:	
Housekeeping	
Initiative Discussion:	
Salt Lake City has, as a matter of policy, adopted annual budgets for internal service fur and re-approriated any end of year remaining budgets for any unfinished construction of improvement activity in those funds.	
In fiscal 2004, the City Council acting as the Municipal Building Authority Board of Direct budgeted for the construction or improvement of the Gateway Area improvement, Justi Court, Police Precinct and improvements on 400 West. These projects were not 100% complete at the end of the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004. Appropriations for internal service funds lapse at year end.	ce %
The appropriations of funds for these unfinished projects lapsed at June 30, 2004 and action has yet been taken to reappropriate funds. It is recommended that the Board of Directors of the Municipal Building Authority (City Council) reappropriate the remaining balances plus interest income earned during fiscal	al 2005.

	ing Authority Carryover	
In	nitiative Name	
		2004-05 Fiscal Year Housekeeping Type of Initiative 535-6424 Telephone Contact
	1st Year -Y 2004-05	2nd Year FY 2005-06
	\$0	\$0
		0
T April		
S	540,069.36	\$0
	\$0	\$0
	0	\$0
		\$16.18.00 (BROWN) (15)
	0	0
	0	0
	1 1 1 1 1 1	og sagatone abhultana
	Vanadinos (4.16)	home a notestial for the
		\$ 540,069.36 \$ \$0 \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicab	le:	
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
66-02020	1840	S	500,000.00
66-01035	Fund Balance	\$	40,069.36
saled and to say?			esono con
EARCHAIL National arrangement		100	Etwin Hallow Revenue
		19000	a plant i Shut wa
		S	540,069.36
			and the second
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
66-02020	2700	\$	500,000.00
66-01035	2700	\$	40,069.36
			The I selled
98			
			5au33
		\$	540,069.36
Additional Description:			
20 20202 : 500 M 2 4 5% 0 1 2	1 - 1 -		
66-02020 is 500 W. 2nd-5th S. Land 66-01035 is the Police Precinct	sales		III to manual
			printers.
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?			N/A
			N/A
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?		N/A
	veneted the position will		
If grant is funding a position is it e	expected the position will		NI/A
If grant is funding a position is it e be eliminated at the end of the gra			N/A
be eliminated at the end of the gra	int?		N/A N/A
	int?		
be eliminated at the end of the gra Will grant program be complete in Will grant impact the community of	grant funding time frame?		N/A
be eliminated at the end of the gra	grant funding time frame?		
be eliminated at the end of the gra Will grant program be complete in Will grant impact the community of	grant funding time frame? once the grant funds are		N/A

Initiative Name:
Municipal Building Authority Debt Retirement
Initiative Number:
BA#2 FY2005 Initiative #2
Initiative Type:
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:
Salt Lake City has decided to refund all remaining Municipal Building Authority Bonds before the end of fiscal 2005. The source of funds will be the issuance of sales tax revenue bonds.
In order to properly reflect these transactions, it is necessary to budget the principal retirement amount in the Municipal Building Authority internal service fund. The revenue source is a transfer from the City's Debt Service Fund.
It is recommended that the City Council acting as the Municipal Building Authority Board of Directors budget for the transfer from the Debt Service and appropriate the funds necessary to advance refund the outstanding Municipal Building Authority debt.

Municipal Building Authority Debt Retirement Initiative Name BA#2 FY2005 Initiative #2 2004-05 Initiative Number Fiscal Year Management Services Finance Div Housekeeping Department Type of Initiative Elwin Heilmann 535-6424 Prepared By Telephone Contact General Fund (Fund Balance) Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: 1st Year 2nd Year FY 2004-05 FY 2005-06 General Fund Total \$0 \$0 Internal Service Fund 47,125,000.00 \$ Municipal Building Authority 0 47,125,000.00 \$0 Total \$ Enterprise Fund Total \$0 \$0 Other Fund Total \$0 0 Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's 0 0 0 0 Existing Number of FTE's Total 0 0 Description

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	C	
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
66-00660	1974-05	\$	47,125,000.00
	CH olivi-ulini SUBSY'I SNAIB		
			the Dypas
	Balaconsmit		
			rapiasusalifacil
Expenditure:	A SECTION OF STREET	i la	
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	111 2911	Amount
66-00660	2811	\$	47,125,000.00
ter fees would be granged to but	and thought that the fourth quarter	N STORE	nd quartery in an
and has been	d second behave a cooper of		2005 A 2005
AU CHARDE IN USE MARK EVERSE VE FLUYU SA	ned tealers to trees est at apart.	EB U	Fund, The squide
	ob sciencinos to beau sou bri	bill b	t to the Ivilian Bon
Additional Description:			The state of the s
\$10,795,000 is MBA 99A, \$24,935,0	00 is MBA 99B, and \$11,395,000 is	MBA 200	1
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?			N/A
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?		N/A
If grant is funding a position is it e	expected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the gra			N/A
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?		N/A
Will grant impact the community of	once the grant funds are		
eliminated?			N/A
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or		

Initiative Name:	B Sidesting AN EACH SERVICE THE	And the profession of the
tauomA.	Interest on Sales Tax Bonds	Cost Center Number
Initiative Number:	V	08309-88
	BA#2 FY2005 Initiatvie #3	
Initiative Type:		
	Housekeeping	

Initiative Discussion:

Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, are variable rate bonds with weekly reset rates and interest paid monthly. The amount budgeted as interest expense for the current fiscal year was based on anticipated interest rates at the time the bonds were issued, September 2004. However, interest rates have risen much faster than expected, and interest expense will exceed the amount appropriated by year end. Furthermore, there are quarterly remarketing fees and letter of credit provider fees that are paid quarterly in arrears. It was thought that the fourth quarter fees would be charged to July, 2005, the monthy they are paid. However, these costs need to be accrued and the expense charged to FY 2005. A total of approximately \$80,000 is needed to cover interest and fees.

The MBA Bond Fund has cash available to cover the anticipated shortfall in the Sales Tax 2004 Bond Fund. The source of these funds is the result of interest earnings in the MBA Reserve Fund that flowed to the MBA Bond Fund and was used for semiannual debt service during the current fiscal year, thus freeing up funds that were budgeted to pay the debt service.

rest on Sales Tax Bonds	
Initiative Name	2004-05 Fiscal Year House Keeping Type of Initiative 535-6411 / 535-6641 Telephone Contact
\$0	
1st Year FY 2004-05	<u>2nd Year</u> FY 2005-06
\$0	\$0
1-0193	08300.48
\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0
40	
0	\$0
0	0
0	0
Samilnos of fai	so tolkistanted a evedt al
	\$0 1st Year FY 2004-05 \$0 \$0 0 0 0 0

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CEDA # If Applicable:	NA
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
66 Fund Fund Balance		\$ 80,000.00
melater to east gand act virial Acts burned overhead?		ville visca i Visca i suo
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
66-00660	2910-11	\$ 80,000
		hood out
Additional Description:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee position	IS?	NA
ls there a potential for grant to	continue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is be eliminated at the end of the		NA
Will grant program be complet	e in grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the communi	ty once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services Non-profit sector?	provided by private or	NA
Non-pront sector?		110

May 05 3005

SALT LAKE CITY RESOLUTION No. of 2005

(Amending Salt Lake City Resolution No. 36 of 2005 which adopted the Budget of the Municipal Building Authority of Salt Lake City for Fiscal Year 2004-2005)

A RESOLUTION AMENDING SALT LAKE CITY RESOLUTION NO. 36 OF 2004 WHICH ADOPTED THE BUDGET OF THE MUNICIPAL BUILDING AUTHORITY OF SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH, FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING JULY 1, 2004 AND ENDING JUNE 30, 2005.

PREAMBLE

On June 17, 2004, the Salt Lake City Council acting as the Board of Trustees, adopted the budget of the Municipal Building Authority of Salt Lake City, Utah, including the employment staffing document, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2004 and ending June 30, 2005, in accordance with the requirements of Section 118, Chapter 6, Title 10 of the Utah Code Annotated.

The City's Policy and Budget Director, acting as the City's Budget Officer, has prepared and filed with the City Recorder proposed amendments to said duly adopted budget, copies of which are attached hereto, for consideration by the City Council and inspection by the public.

The City Council fixed a time and place for a public hearing to be held to consider the attached proposed amendments to the budget and ordered notice thereof be published as required by law.

Notice of said public hearing to consider the amendments to said budget was duly published and a public hearing to consider the attached amendments to said budget was

held in accordance with said notice at which hearing all interested parties for and against the budget amendment proposals were heard and all comments were duly considered by the City Council.

All conditions precedent to amend said budget have been accomplished.

Be it resolved by the City Council of Salt Lake City, Utah, acting in its capacity as the Board of Trustees of the Municipal Building Authority of Salt Lake City:

SECTION 1. <u>Purpose</u>. The purpose of this Resolution is to amend the budget of the Municipal Building Authority of Salt Lake City as adopted by Salt Lake City Resolution No. 36 of 2004.

SECTION 2. Adoption of Amendments. The budget amendments attached hereto and made a part of this Resolution shall be, and the same hereby are adopted and incorporated into the budget of the Municipal Building Authority of Salt Lake City, Utah for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2004 and ending June 30, 2005, in accordance with the requirements of Section 128, Chapter 6, Title 10, of the Utah Code Annotated.

SECTION 3. <u>Certification to Utah State Auditor</u>. The City's Policy and Budget Director, acting as the City's Budget Officer, is authorized and directed to certify and file a copy of said budget amendments with the Utah State Auditor.

SECTION 4. Filing of copies of the Budget Amendments. The said Budget Officer is authorized and directed to certify and file a copy of said budget amendments in the office of said Budget Officer and in the office of the City Recorder which amendments shall be available for public inspection.

SECTION 5. <u>Effective Date</u>. This Resolution shall take effect on its first publication.

Passed by the City Council of Salt I	Lake City, Utah, this	day of
, 2005.		
	CHAIRPERSON	
ATTEST:		
CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER		
(SEAL)		
Resolution No of 2005. Published:		

APPROVED AS TO ACAM
TRATE LAKE JULY AND ADDRESS OFFICE
THE STATE THE STATE OFFICE
THE STATE OF THE STATE OFFICE
THE STATE OFFICE
THE STATE OFFI
THE STATE OF

Revenue	FY 04/05 Annual Budget	FY 04/05 Revised Forecast	FY04/05 Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)
Total General Fund	167,549,995	168,917,858	1,367,863
Total Property Taxes Discussion:	62,457,887	63,167,059	709,172
Property taxes have increased due to two different elements. First, proprty values for a certain property were incorrectly placed in Salt Lake City's taxing district, resulting in less revenue to RDA and more revenue to the City. It is anticipated that there will be a correction in next fiscal year. Second, the county's allocation process changed for judgement levies, which resulted in the City receiving this revenue. It is one time revenue, that does not add to the base, but will be collected in any year that a judgment levy is adopted.			
Total Sales and Use Tax Discussion: Sales tax is approximately 5% higher than the last three years resulting in a slight increase in revenue with the major industry being business equipment. Questar has had an increase in revenue which will result in increased revenue in the MET tax.	40,188,200	40,964,081	775,881
Total Franchise Tax Discussion: Franchise revenue is a negative due to a slow implementation of the \$1 per linear foot charge.	22,483,972	22,327,708	(156,264)
License and Permits: Discussion: Plan Check fees and building permits have increased in revenue due to the fact that building has increasing.	9,762,000	10,609,447	847,447
Interest income Discussion:	2,241,250	2,107,660	(133,590)
Anticipated interest income is down due to rates remaining down. Total Fines & Forfeiture Discussion: Fines and Forfeitures have a deficit due to an increase in the distribution going to the state for traffic tickets. As well as a decrease in the number of tickets issues in the last 2 months.	9,424,104	8,836,992	(587,112)
Parking Meters Discussion:	1,200,360	1,191,287	(9,073)
Charges and Services Discussion: Charges and Services have a deficit due to timing issues on bills sent out verse payments received.	3,320,840	3,212,897	(107,943)
Total Interfund Discussion: An error was found in the computation of Adminstrative Fees. This error was corrected resulting in a deficit.	8,634,528	8,533,826	(100,702)
