SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT

BUDGET AMENDMENT #3 - FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

DATE: November 10, 2005

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2005-2006 Budget Amendment #3

STAFF REPORT BY: Sylvia Jones

CC: Cindy Gust-Jenson, Rocky Fluhart, Sam Guevara, Steve Fawcett, Chief

Dinse, LeRoy Hooton, Louis Zunguze, Jerry Burton, Jim Lewis, Gordon Hoskins, Luann Clark, Krista Dunn, Shannon Ashby, Sherrie Collins,

Laurie Donnell, Susi Kontgis, and Kay Christensen

The briefing and discussion of the third budget amendment for fiscal year 2005-06 is scheduled for November 15, 2005. The proposed amendment contains 17 proposed adjustments including six relating to grants. None of the adjustments propose the use of fund balance.

On December 6, 2005, the Council is tentatively scheduled to set the date of December 13, 2005 for a public hearing. This would require the City to advertise in advance of setting the date. *Does the Council approve of this action?*

In December of 2003, the City Council passed a resolution requesting that the Administration provide a revenue forecast at least four times each fiscal year with one revenue forecast report in conjunction with each quarterly budget amendment request. The Administration's forecast through September 2005 projects that the City's revenues will be \$1,990,000 greater than anticipated. (Please see attachment.) The Administration projects that property tax revenue will be flat by year end; however, sales tax, franchise tax, license and permit fees, interest income and charges for services are projected to be greater than projected at year end by \$2.6 million. Permit revenue is the primary source of this increase of nearly \$1.6 million. Fines and forfeitures are projected to be less than anticipated at year end by \$628,000. *Note: The back page of the Administration's schedule doesn't include transfers, intergovernmental fees or miscellaneous revenues.

In an effort to make the review of the budget openings more expedient, the Administration has attempted to categorize budget opening items as follows where possible:

- A. "New" those items that are new issues that the Council may have discussed but now need budget appropriation to be carried out.
- B. "Grant requiring existing staff resources" -- those grants that will require the City's existing staff to complete a specific project. (Some of these could have policy implications, since employees involved with these projects have less time to focus on other projects within the scope of their work.)
- C. "Grant requiring additional staff resources" those grants that provide additional staff positions and require a City match. These generally have policy implications because they may add a new service or create an expectation that the City will fund the position after the grant has expired.

- D. "Housekeeping" -- those items that are strictly accounting actions and do not have policy implications. These include transfers internal to the City.
- E. "Grants requiring No New Staff Resources" those grants that provide funding for costs that are not associated with positions.
- F. "Donation" -- those items that are donations that require Council appropriation to be used, are consistent with previous Council discussions, or do not have policy implications.

MATTERS AT ISSUE

A-1: Salgado Exhibit in Old Library Structure (\$18,000 - Public Services) ("New Item")

Salt Lake City entered into a lease agreement with the Center for Documentary Arts for space in the old main library to present the Salgado Exhibit. The agreement requires reimbursement for electricity (\$9,000) and for natural gas (\$9,000). The lease is for the period August 1, 2005 through January 15, 2006. The proposed budget amendment will increase the general fund revenue budget for these lease payments, as well as appropriate this amount in the budget of the Facilities Services Division to pay the additional utility costs.

A-2: CIP Funds - Unity Center (\$300,000 - CIP Fund) ("New Item")

The Public Services Department is requesting an increase of \$300,000 in the CIP cost center to facilitate the transfer of funds from the Unity Center special revenue donation fund. According to the Administration, the funds will be used for programming, design and development of construction documents and the bidding phase of the Unity Center project. Funds will be transferred from the donation fund as needed. A request for funding the construction phase of the project will be included in a future budget opening.

The Administration recommends that the Council appropriate the necessary budget increase to facilitate the transfer of funds from the special revenue donation fund for the Unity Center project.

A-3: Regional Sports Complex (\$350,000 - CIP Fund) ("New Item")

The Public Services Department is requesting a reallocation of \$350,000 from the North Rosewood Park CIP project for the Regional Sports Complex. Funds will be used for schematic design, environmental analysis, and cost estimates of the Regional Sports Complex. Data obtained from the analysis and cost estimates will provide additional information for prospective community partners (donors), as well as promote community awareness and support for the project.

Currently, the North Rosewood Park project has budget and cash in the amount of \$838,400 for park expansion, additional land purchase and other park improvements. Rosewood Park could become a more neighborhood friendly park as adult sports leagues shift to the sports complex. The remaining balance of \$488,400 will be used for Phase I improvements to North Rosewood Park as identified in the master plan. These include improvements to existing facilities associated with the new skate park, including the parking lot and tennis courts.

The Administration recommends that the Council approve the reallocation of funds from the North Rosewood Park CIP project to the Regional Sports Complex.

A-4: CIP SID Sidewalk Replacement 900 South to 1300 South, from 1100 East to 1500 East (\$400,000 - CIP Fund) ("New Item")

In connection with a sidewalk special improvement district, property owners are provided the option to have driveway approaches or curb and gutter replaced with the property owner being responsible for the additional costs. To date 160 of the 1100 properties involved have requested additional optional concrete work, which is more than the original budgeted estimates. The Administration is requesting that the City Council appropriate \$400,000 to the SID project for the additional amount that will come from the property owners.

A-5: CIP SID Sidewalk Replacement 700 East to 1100 East, 1300 South to 1700 South; 900 East, 1700 South to 2100 South; and 1100 East, 1700 South to 2100 South (\$700,000 - CIP Fund)

The 2005-06 CIP budget included three proposed concrete-replacement SID projects at a total cost to the City of \$599,823. The Administration is now requesting that the property owners' share of the projects be budgeted at an estimated amount of \$700,000.

A-6: CIP SID Strong Count (\$80,000 - CIP Fund) ("New Item")

Strong Court property owners submitted the paperwork to establish an SID, and the City's share of project costs are already budgeted. The Administration is now requesting that the property owners' share of the project be budgeted at \$80,000.

A-7: CIP SID Fenway Avenue (\$80,000 - CIP Fund) ("New Item")

Fenway Avenue property owners have also submitted the paperwork to establish an SID. The City's share of Fenway Avenue SID project is already budgeted. The Administration is requesting that the property owners' share of the project be budgeted at \$80,000.

B-1: Continuation Grant -- U.S. Dept. of Health & Human Services Drug Free Communities (\$100,000 - Grant Special Revenue Fund) ("Grant requiring existing staff resources")

The Mayor's Office received \$100,000 of continuing grant funds from the Department of Health and Human Services for the Mayor's Drug Free Communities program, which supports the Mayor's Coalition on Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs. The Administration proposes to use the funds as follows:

Coordinator's salary and benefits	\$55,273
Grant Monitor's time	\$ 4,404
Conference travel and training	\$ 4,112
Brochures, pamphlets and media packets	\$ 1,261
Memberships and conference registration	\$ 1,000
Program evaluation, mini grants, website maintenance,	\$33,950
consulting fees for strategic planning, and graphic design	gn
of logo. TOTAL	\$100,000

A \$100,000 in-kind match is required, which will be met by the Mayor's Office staff and Coalition volunteer time, IMS Brown Bag Lunch taping, volunteer Brown Bag speakers and mini-grant subgrantees who will match the mini-grants. The Council previously adopted a resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept and sign the original grant agreement and any additional agreements related to this grant. The Administration is requesting that the Council accept the grant and appropriate the necessary budget to facilitate the grant.

B-2: US Department of Justice Weed and Seed (\$225,000 - Misc. Grant Fund) ("Grant requiring existing staff resources")

The Administration is requesting that the Council establish a budget for the last year of a five-year Weed and Seed grant. The Weed and Seed program aims to prevent, control and reduce violent crime, drug abuse and gang activity in targeted high-crime neighborhoods. This program "weeds" out crime and "seeds" programs for residents and youth living in target areas: Glendale, Poplar Grove, and State Fairpark neighborhoods. The uses requested in the grant application and approved by the Department of Justice are as follows:

- \$75,446 Coordinator salary with benefits
- \$ 7,862 Fiscal monitor salary Department of Community Development
- \$15,001 Sorenson Computer Clubhouse (2 part-time instructors)
- \$ 5,006 Sorenson Tech Center Coordinator
- \$ 4,705 Supplies
- \$ 2,772 Travel
- \$35,184 Police overtime
- \$75,000 Contracts with the Boy's & Girl's Lied and Capitol West Clubs, the Sorenson Multi-Cultural Center, the Housing Authority, Peer Court, and the Salt Lake County Therapeutic Justice Court
- \$ 4,024 Other costs

The Weed and Seed strategy was designed with the understanding that programs will become sustainable after the 5 year grant award period. Given that this is the last year of the grant for this particular area, the Council may wish to ask whether the Administration plans to continue the program with funding from the General Fund, or whether there are other funding opportunities to continue the Weed and Seed program. (The Administration indicated they will be exploring the possibility of whether the Rose Park area qualifies for Weed and Seed grant funding.)

D-1: Recapture CIP, CDBG/CIP Program Funds (\$199,801) ("Housekeeping")

Each year the City Council "recaptures" remaining appropriations from completed or closed projects. Eight Capital Improvement Program (CIP) projects have remaining appropriations of \$169,045. The Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) fund had three CIP completed projects with remaining funds of \$30,756. These amounts are available to the Council for future appropriations.

D-2: Water Fund CIP Carryover (\$1,975,579 - Misc. Funds) ("Housekeeping")

On June 30, 2005, unexpended appropriations lapsed in accordance with State law. The Administration is requesting that the Council bring forward, or "carryover" the appropriations for existing construction projects in progress (\$1,381,000) and for outstanding purchase orders for equipment (\$544,579). The fiscal year ends on June 30th of each year, which falls in the middle of a normal summer construction period. Equipment is similar, having been ordered and encumbered in one fiscal year but received in the next fiscal year. The budget amendment also includes one new construction project for replacement of a water line at 3400 South above Lakeline Drive at an estimated cost of \$50,000. This is an old steel water line, which has had eight breaks.

D-3: Sewer Fund CIP Carryover (\$5,002,144 - Sewer Fund) ("Housekeeping")

On June 30, 2005, unexpended appropriations lapsed in accordance with State law. The Administration is requesting that the Council bring forward, or "carryover" the appropriations for existing construction projects in progress (\$4,139,500) and for outstanding purchase orders for equipment (\$412,644). The proposed budget amendment also includes the replacement of the boiler at the treatment plant at an estimated cost of \$450,000, which was not previously budgeted. The existing outdated boiler is not compatible with the new co-generation equipment.

D-4: Stormwater Fund CIP Carryover (\$2,720,403 - Stormwater Fund) ("Housekeeping")

On June 30, 2005, unexpended appropriations lapsed in accordance with State law. The Administration is requesting that the Council bring forward, or "carryover" the appropriations for existing construction projects in progress (\$2,356,745) and for outstanding purchase orders for equipment (\$363,658).

E-1: Utah Dept of Public Safety Buffer Zone Equipment Grant (\$276,417 - Special Revenue Grant Fund) ("Grants requiring No New Staff Resources")

The Police Department applied for and received an equipment grant under the Buffer Zone Protection Program relating to some local facilities that could be vulnerable or targeted in the event of a terrorist attack. Some of the equipment will be located at the authorized sites such as vehicle crash barriers, tire deflation devices, monitoring camera systems, outdoor lighting, and fencing. Other equipment will be maintained by the Police Department such as self-contained breathing apparatus, helmet mounted night vision goggles, jump suits that provide flash fire and liquid-chemical splash protection, tactical hearing protection, and improvised explosive device remediation tool (long pole that can be used to move a possible explosive device to a better location for disruption).

The Administration recommends that the Council appropriate the budget to facilitate this grant, and adopt the necessary resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept and sign the grant agreement.

E-2: Law Enforcement Technology Grant (\$493,322 – Special Revenue Fund) ("Grants requiring No New Staff Resources")

In February 2004, the City decided to purchase and construct a new public safety communication system since use of the County system was no longer an option. Phase 1 consisted of the purchase of a smart zone controller and 10 channels. An existing tower on City Creek Peak was utilized. The cost for Phase One was \$1.2 million of which about half was funded from a federal grant and the other half from CIP. This system is utilized by both the Police and Fire Departments.

In June 2005, four grants were received to upgrade the communication system by adding an additional tower on Farnsworth Peak at a cost of \$1.3 million all from grants. The 10 channels were split with 6 channels remaining at City Creek Peak and 4 channels at the Farnsworth site. Phase 2 also added Omnilink, which allowed Salt Lake City to communicate with other Utah state and local public safety agencies via the Utah Communications Agency Network (UCAN).

Phase 3 is included in this proposed budget amendment. The City received a grant from the US Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services under the Law Enforcement Technology Grant Program of \$493,322 to enhance the City's radio

communication system. The improvements will include increasing the number of channels to 10 at each of the two towers. Simulcast capabilities will be added, which will increase the coverage area and provide better quality radio communication. Microwave links will be added between the two towers and the Public Safety Building.

Future improvements to complete the interoperable communications system will be to add another tower site (probably at the Airport) and to link the communication systems of the other departments of the City to the Public Safety system.

The Council previously adopted a resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept and sign the original grant agreement and accept any additional agreements related to the original grant. The Administration recommends that the Council appropriate the necessary budget to facilitate the grant.

E-3: Utah Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice Training Grant (\$6,625 – Special Revenue Fund) ("Grants requiring No New Staff Resources")

This training grant from the Utah Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice is to defray the costs of sending nine police officers to the California Narcotics Officers Association training in Reno, Nevada. The training offers 41 classes such as 5 classes on prescription drug abuse/trafficking, 4 classes on financial oversight of asset forfeiture funds, and 14 supervisory classes. These nine officers will attend different classes so that the Police Department will benefit from all 41 classes that are available. The officers will cross-train each other and other narcotics officers who will not be attending. The grant requires a \$2,208 match, which will be met from within the Police Department's general fund budget.

The Administration recommends that the Council appropriate the budget to facilitate this grant, and adopt the resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept and sign the grant agreement.

E-4: U.S. Department of Energy Solar Roof Grant (\$40,000 - Special Revenue Fund) ("Grants requiring No New Staff Resources")

In September 2002, the City received a Million Solar Roofs Partnership grant of \$50,000 from the U.S. Department of Energy to hire two technical consultants to work with the Utah Public Service Commission and Utah Power to help overcome financial obstacles of implementing photovoltaic solar energy systems. The Administration reports that Utah Power has committed to include net-metering and subsidies in their upcoming rate case to encourage implementation of photovoltaic solar systems. Net metering allows the meter to run backward when more solar electrical energy is produced than used at each moment in time. The proposed budget amendment includes a second grant of \$40,000 from the U.S. Department of Energy to raise public awareness and educate consumers of the resources available for private installation of solar energy devises. Grant funds of \$37,900 will be used to contract for technical assistance and \$2,100 will be for travel. The grant requires a \$10,000 in-kind match, which will be met with \$7,000 of Mayor's Office staff time and \$3,000 of in-kind services provided by contractors.

The Council previously adopted a resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept and sign the original grant agreement and accept any additional agreements related to the grant. The Administration recommends that the Council appropriate the necessary budget to facilitate this grant.

MEMORANDUM

TO:

ROCKY FLUHART, CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

FROM:

STEVE FAWCETT, DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF MANAGEMENT

SERVICES How

DATE:

11/9/2005

RE:

SEPTEMBER REVENUE FORECAST

In compliance with Council Resolution #59, of 2003, I'm providing an FY2006 revenue update. This update is in conjunction with Budget Amendment #3.

The Finance Division analyzes revenue each month and provides written updates each month beginning with the August analysis. This analysis, through September, shows that property tax revenue is projected to be flat by year end. Sales Taxes, Franchise Taxes, License and Permit Fees, Interest Income, and Charges for Services are forecasted to be better than budget at year end by \$2.6 million. Permit revenue is the primary source of this increase, almost \$1.6 million. The down side is in Fines and Forfeitures, projected to be worse than budget at year end by \$628,000.

Although it is still early in the year, it is clear that some areas of the economy are improving, notably in the areas of sales of durable goods-such as wholesale purchases, business services, and private (business related) motor vehicle purchases, in interest income, due to rates gradually increasing, and in construction permits which indicates a building up within the City of infrastructure.

We will continue to monitor revenue collections closely and provide monthly analysis.

Revenue	FY 05/06 Annual Budget	FY 05/06 Revised Forecast	FY05/06 Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)
Total General Fund	171,850,358	173,840,838	1,992,931
Total Property Taxes Discussion: Property Taxes are down slightly due to personal property tax.	62,986,649	62,954,226	(32,423)
Total Sales and Use Tax Discussion: Sales tax is approximately 10% higher than the last three years resulting in a increase in revenue with the major industry being durable goods.	42,575,979	42,931,925	355,946
Total Franchise Tax Discussion: Utah Power has had an increase in revenue which has resulted in an increase in frachise fees.	22,956,972	23,399,816	442,844
License and Permits: Discussion: Plan Check fees and building permits have increased in revenue due to the fact that commercial building has increasing.	10,169,815	11,756,085	1,586,270
Interest income Discussion: Interest Income has a slight increase because of rising interest rates.	2,235,575	2,436,551	200,976
Total Fines & Forfeiture Discussion:	8,949,300	8,320,543	(628,757)
Fines and Forfeitures have a deficit due to an increase in the distribution going to the state for traffic tickets. As well as a some decrease in the number of tickets issues in the last 3 months for parking tickets.		·	
Parking Meters Discussion:	1,493,000	1,491,157	(1,843)
Charges and Services Discussion:	2,949,960	3,003,942	56,433

ROSS C. ANDERSON MAYOR

COUNCIL TRANSMITTAL

TO:

Dale Lambert, Chair

Salt Lake City Council

FROM:

Rocky J. Fluhart, Chief Administrative Officer

SUBJECT:

Budget Amendment No. 3

Recommendation: We recommend that on December 6, 2005, the City Council set a date to hold a public hearing on December 13, 2005 to discuss Budget Amendment No. 3.

The attached amendment packet is transmitted to Discussion and Background: the City Council Office for the briefing on November 15, 2005.

Legislative Action: The attached ordinance to amend this budget has been approved by the City Attorney.

cc:

Dan Mulé, City Treasurer

Shannon Ashby

FY 2006 Initiatives in Budget Amendment #3 – December

Initiative Name Initiative Amount Initiative Amount Impact Initiative Amount Impact Impa				FY 2006		FY 2006
1. Salgado Exhibit in Old Library Structure 2. CIP Unity Center 3. CIP Sports Complex Schematic & Cost Est. 4. CIP SID Sidewalk Replacement 5. CIP SID Sidewalk Replacement 6. CIP SID Strong Court 7. CIP SID Fenway Ave. Section B Grants For Existing Staff Resources 1. Dept of Health & Human Serv. Drug Free Comm. 2. US Dept of Justice Weed and Seed Section C Grants For New Staff Resources Section D Housekeeping 1. Recapture CIP, and CDBG CIP Programs 2. Water Fund CIP Carryover 3. Sewer Find CIP Carryover 4. Stornwater Fund CIP Carryover 5. State of Utah Dept of Public Safety Buffer Zone 2. US Dept of Justice COP's Technology 3. State of Utah CCJJ, CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar Roof Section C Salon.00 S		Initiative Name			FTE	Fund Balance
Library Structure 2. CIP Unity Center 3. CIP Sports Complex Schematic & Cost Est. 4. CIP SID Sidewalk Replacement 5. CIP SID Sidewalk Replacement 6. CIP SID Strong Court 7. CIP SID Fenway Ave. Section B Grants For Existing Staff Resources 1. Dept of Health & Human Serv. Drug Free Comm. 2. US Dept of Justice Weed and Seed Section C Grants For New Staff Resources Section D Housekeeping 1. Recapture CIP, and CDBG CIP Programs 2. Water Fund CIP Carryover 3. Sewer Find CIP Carryover 4. Stormwater Fund CIP Carryover 5. State of Utah Dept of Public Safety Buffer Zone 2. US Dept of Justice COP's Technology 3. State of Utah CCJJ, CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar Roof \$300,000.00 S350,000.00 S490,000.00 \$40,000.00 \$370,000.00 \$40,000.00 \$40,000.00 \$40,000.00 \$40,000.00 \$40,000.00		Section A	New Items			
3. CIP Sports Complex Schematic & Cost Est. 4. CIP SID Sidewalk Replacement 5. CIP SID Sidewalk Replacement 6. CIP SID Strong Court 7. CIP SID Fenway Ave. Section B Grants For Existing Staff Resources 1. Dept of Health & Human Serv. Drug Free Comm. 2. US Dept of Justice Weed and Seed Section C Grants For New Staff Resources Section D Housekeeping 1. Recapture CIP, and CDBG CIP Programs 2. Water Fund CIP Carryover 3. Sewer Find CIP Carryover 4. Stormwater Fund CIP Carryover Section E Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources \$22,720,403.00 Crants Requiring No New Staff Resources \$276,417.00 Public Safety Buffer Zone 2. US Dept of Justice COP's Technology 3. State of Utah CCJJ, CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar Roof \$40,000.00	1.		\$18,000.00	\$18,000.00	1	
Schematic & Cost Est. 4. CIP SID Sidewalk Replacement 5. CIP SID Sidewalk \$700,000.00 Replacement 6. CIP SID Strong Court \$80,000.00 7. CIP SID Fenway Ave. \$80,000.00 Section B Grants For Existing Staff Resources 1. Dept of Health & Human Serv. Drug Free Comm. 2. US Dept of Justice Weed and Seed Section C Grants For New Staff Resources Section D Housekeeping 1. Recapture CIP, and CIP S1,975,579.00 Carryover 3. Sewer Find CIP \$5,002,144.00 Carryover 4. Stormwater Fund CIP \$2,720,403.00 Carryover Section E Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources 2. US Dept of Justice COP's Technology 3. State of Utah Dept of Public Safety Buffer Zone 2. US Dept of Justice COP's Technology 3. State of Utah CCJJ, \$6,625.00 CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar Roof	2.	CIP Unity Center	\$300,000.00			
Replacement 5. CIP SID Sidewalk Replacement 6. CIP SID Strong Court \$80,000.00 7. CIP SID Fenway Ave. \$80,000.00 Section B Grants For Existing Staff Resources 1. Dept of Health & Human Serv. Drug Free Comm. 2. US Dept of Justice Weed and Seed Section C Grants For New Staff Resources Section D Housekeeping 1. Recapture CIP, and CIP S1,975,579.00 Carryover 3. Sewer Find CIP \$5,002,144.00 Carryover 4. Stormwater Fund CIP \$2,720,403.00 Carryover Section E Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources 1. State of Utah Dept of Public Safety Buffer Zone 2. US Dept of Justice COP's Technology 3. State of Utah CCJJ, S6,625.00 CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar Roof	3.		\$350,000.00			
Replacement 6. CIP SID Strong Court 7. CIP SID Fenway Ave. \$80,000.00 Section B Grants For Existing Staff Resources 1. Dept of Health & Human Serv. Drug Free Comm. 2. US Dept of Justice Weed and Seed Section C Grants For New Staff Resources Section D Housekeeping 1. Recapture CIP, and CDBG CIP Programs 2. Water Fund CIP \$1,975,579.00 Carryover 3. Sewer Find CIP \$5,002,144.00 Carryover 4. Stormwater Fund CIP \$2,720,403.00 Carryover Section E Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources 1. State of Utah Dept of Public Safety Buffer Zone 2. US Dept of Justice COP's Technology 3. State of Utah CCJJ, \$6,625.00 CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar Roof	4.		\$100,000.00			
7. CIP SID Fenway Ave. Section B Grants For Existing Staff Resources 1. Dept of Health & Human Serv. Drug Free Comm. 2. US Dept of Justice Weed and Seed Section C Grants For New Staff Resources Section D Housekeeping 1. Recapture CIP, and CIP S1,975,579.00 Carryover 3. Sewer Find CIP \$5,002,144.00 Carryover 4. Stormwater Fund CIP \$2,720,403.00 Carryover Section E Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources 1. State of Utah Dept of Public Safety Buffer Zone 2. US Dept of Justice COP's Technology 3. State of Utah CCJJ, CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar Roof Section B \$100,000.00 \$225,000.00 \$225,000.00 \$21,975,579.00 \$21,975,579.00 \$227,20,403.00 \$227,2	5.		\$700,000.00			
Section B Grants For Existing Staff Resources 1. Dept of Health & Human S100,000.00 Serv. Drug Free Comm. 2. US Dept of Justice Weed and Seed Section C Grants For New Staff Resources Section D Housekeeping 1. Recapture CIP, and S199,801.31 CDBG CIP Programs 2. Water Fund CIP S1,975,579.00 Carryover 3. Sewer Find CIP S5,002,144.00 Carryover 4. Stormwater Fund CIP S2,720,403.00 Carryover Section E Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources 1. State of Utah Dept of Public Safety Buffer Zone 2. US Dept of Justice COP's Technology 3. State of Utah CCJJ, S6,625.00 CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar Roof	6.					
1. Dept of Health & Human Serv. Drug Free Comm. 2. US Dept of Justice Weed and Seed Section C Grants For New Staff Resources Section D Housekeeping 1. Recapture CIP, and S199,801.31 CDBG CIP Programs 2. Water Fund CIP \$1,975,579.00 Carryover 3. Sewer Find CIP \$5,002,144.00 Carryover 4. Stormwater Fund CIP \$2,720,403.00 Carryover Section E Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources 1. State of Utah Dept of Public Safety Buffer Zone 2. US Dept of Justice COP's Technology 3. State of Utah CCJJ, \$6,625.00 CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar \$40,000.00	7.	expression from the region of the control of the co	ostave era distrutorización i cari, meno disclave per un poloció love escr			
Serv. Drug Free Comm. 2. US Dept of Justice Weed and Seed Section C Grants For New Staff Resources Section D Housekeeping 1. Recapture CIP, and \$199,801.31 CDBG CIP Programs 2. Water Fund CIP \$1,975,579.00 Carryover 3. Sewer Find CIP \$5,002,144.00 Carryover 4. Stormwater Fund CIP \$2,720,403.00 Carryover Section E Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources 1. State of Utah Dept of Public Safety Buffer Zone 2. US Dept of Justice COP's Technology 3. State of Utah CCJJ, \$6,625.00 CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar Roof \$40,000.00		Section B	Grants For Existing	ng Staff Resour	ces	
and Seed Section C Grants For New Staff Resources Section D Housekeeping 1. Recapture CIP, and \$199,801.31 CDBG CIP Programs 2. Water Fund CIP \$1,975,579.00 Carryover 3. Sewer Find CIP \$5,002,144.00 Carryover 4. Stormwater Fund CIP \$2,720,403.00 Carryover Section E Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources 1. State of Utah Dept of \$276,417.00 Public Safety Buffer Zone 2. US Dept of Justice COP's \$493,322.00 Technology 3. State of Utah CCJJ, \$6,625.00 CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar \$40,000.00 Roof	1.	•	\$100,000.00	•		
Section D Housekeeping 1. Recapture CIP, and \$199,801.31 CDBG CIP Programs 2. Water Fund CIP \$1,975,579.00 Carryover 3. Sewer Find CIP \$5,002,144.00 Carryover 4. Stormwater Fund CIP \$2,720,403.00 Carryover Section E Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources 1. State of Utah Dept of Public Safety Buffer Zone 2. US Dept of Justice COP's Technology 3. State of Utah CCJJ, \$6,625.00 CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar Roof \$40,000.00	2.		\$225,000.00			
1. Recapture CIP, and CDBG CIP Programs 2. Water Fund CIP \$1,975,579.00 Carryover 3. Sewer Find CIP \$5,002,144.00 Carryover 4. Stormwater Fund CIP \$2,720,403.00 Carryover Section E Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources 1. State of Utah Dept of Public Safety Buffer Zone 2. US Dept of Justice COP's Technology 3. State of Utah CCJJ, \$6,625.00 CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar Roof \$40,000.00		Section C	Grants For New S	Staff Resources		
1. Recapture CIP, and CDBG CIP Programs 2. Water Fund CIP \$1,975,579.00 Carryover 3. Sewer Find CIP \$5,002,144.00 Carryover 4. Stormwater Fund CIP \$2,720,403.00 Carryover Section E Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources 1. State of Utah Dept of Public Safety Buffer Zone 2. US Dept of Justice COP's Technology 3. State of Utah CCJJ, \$6,625.00 CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar Roof \$40,000.00		Section D	Housekeeping			
Carryover 3. Sewer Find CIP \$5,002,144.00 Carryover 4. Stormwater Fund CIP \$2,720,403.00 Carryover Section E Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources 1. State of Utah Dept of \$276,417.00 Public Safety Buffer Zone 2. US Dept of Justice COP's \$493,322.00 Technology 3. State of Utah CCJJ, \$6,625.00 CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar \$40,000.00 Roof	1.	-				
Carryover 4. Stormwater Fund CIP \$2,720,403.00 Carryover Section E Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources 1. State of Utah Dept of \$276,417.00 Public Safety Buffer Zone 2. US Dept of Justice COP's \$493,322.00 Technology 3. State of Utah CCJJ, \$6,625.00 CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar \$40,000.00 Roof	2.		\$1,975,579.00			
Carryover Section E Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources 1. State of Utah Dept of \$276,417.00 Public Safety Buffer Zone 2. US Dept of Justice COP's \$493,322.00 Technology 3. State of Utah CCJJ, \$6,625.00 CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar \$40,000.00 Roof	3.		\$5,002,144.00			
 State of Utah Dept of Public Safety Buffer Zone US Dept of Justice COP's Technology State of Utah CCJJ, CNOA Training US Dept of Energy Solar Roof \$276,417.00 \$493,322.00 \$6,625.00 \$6,625.00 \$40,000.00 \$40,000.00 	4.	Carryover	\$2,720,403.00			
Public Safety Buffer Zone 2. US Dept of Justice COP's \$493,322.00 Technology 3. State of Utah CCJJ, \$6,625.00 CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar \$40,000.00 Roof			Grants Requiring	No New Staff I	Resource	es
Technology 3. State of Utah CCJJ, \$6,625.00 CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar \$40,000.00 Roof		Public Safety Buffer Zone				
CNOA Training 4. US Dept of Energy Solar \$40,000.00 Roof		Technology	\$493,322.00			
Roof	3.		\$6,625.00			
Section F Donations	4.	_	\$40,000.00			
		Section F	Donations			

Initiative Name:		
	Salgado Exhibit in Old Library Structure	
Initiative Number:		
	BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #A-1	
Initiative Type:		
	New Item	

Initiative Discussion:

Salt Lake City Corporation will enter into a lease agreement with the Center for Documentary Arts (CDA) in October 2005.

The lease term will be from 1st of Aug. 2005 to 15th of Jan. 2006. In the agreement, the Center for Documentary Arts agrees to pay Salt Lake City Corporation \$3,000 per month for the lease rights for space to present the Salgado Exhibit.

Total base lease payments are anticipated to be \$18,000 (\$3,000 X 6 months).

CDA is contractually obligated to pay for the utility costs which Salt Lake City incurs in excess of the \$3,000 per month lease payments.

	Salgade	Exhibit in Old Library	
13.5		Structure Initiative Name	
		Initiative Name	2005-06
BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #A-1			Fiscal Year
Initiative Number			New Item
Public Services			Type of Initiative
Department			535-6397
Greg Davis			Telephone Contact
Prepared By			relephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance) In	mpact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:		<u>1st Year</u>	2nd Year
		FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
General Fund	\$	18,000.00	0
			00
Total	\$	18,000.00	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Effections			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		-	
			00
Total		0	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		0	0
Total		0	0
Description			
			,

accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicab	le:	
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
	1802	\$	18,000.00
7-00927	1002		10,000.00
Expenditure:	Object Code Number		Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number 2331	\$	9,000.00
07-00927 07-00927	2331	\$	9,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			N/A
Grant funds employee positions?			N/A
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?		N/A
If grant is funding a position is it e	expected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the gra			N/A
Will grant program be complete in			N/A
Will grant impact the community of	once the grant funds are		N/A
eliminated?			N/A
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or		NI/A
Non-profit sector?			N/A

Initiative Name:

CIP - Unity Center

Initiative Number:

BA#3 Fy2006 Initiative #A-2

Type of Initiative

New Item

Initiative Discussion:

The Public Services Department is requesting a \$300,000 increase of budget in the CIP cost center 83-04020 to facilitate transfer of funds from the Unity Center special revenue donation fund to the CIP.

These funds will be used for programming, design and development of construction documents and the bidding phase of the project. The special revenue donation funds are drawn and/or transferred from the donation fund as needed. Prior to this request, \$300,000 was transferred to the CIP for the purchase of the land. Funding for the construction phase of the project will be brought forward in a future budget opening. The special revenue donation fund currently has an approximate cash balance of \$4.3 million.

It is recommended that the City Council appropriate the necessary budget increase to facilitate the transfer of funds from the special revenue donation fund for the Unity Center Project.

BA#3 FY2006 Initiative#A-2 Initiative Number Public Services Dept. Department Rick Graham/Sherrie Collins Prepared By	CIP - Unity Center Initiative Name	Z005-06 Fiscal Year New Item Type of Initiative 535-6136/535-6150 Telephone Contact
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>1st Year</u> FY 2005-06	<u>2nd Year</u> FY 2006-07
General Fund		
Total Internal Service Fund		\$0 \$0
Total		\$0 \$0
Enterprise Fund		
Other Fund		\$0 \$0
83-04020 CIP Unity Center Transfer from Special revenue donation fund	\$ 300,000	0.00
Total	\$ 300,000	
Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's		0 0
Existing Number of FTE's Total Description		0 0

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicabl	e:	NA
Revenue:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	0	Amount
33-04020	1974-77	\$	300,000.00
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
77-77141	2910-83	\$	300,000.00
83-04020	2700	\$	300,000.00
	,		
Additional Accounting Details:			
To reallocate 300,000 of cash and	budget		
from 77-77141 to 83-04020 cost ce	enter for		
Unity Center			
Grant Information:			NA
Grant funds employee positions	<i>(</i>		NA
Is there a potential for grant to c	ontinue?		NA
If grant is funding a position is it			
be eliminated at the end of the g	rant?		NA
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?		NA
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are		
eliminated?	3		NA
Does grant duplicate services p	rovided by private or		
Non-profit sector?			NA

Initiative Name: CIP - Sports Complex Schematic and Cost Estimate **Initiative Number:** BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #A-3 Type of Initiative New Item

Initiative Discussion:

The Public Services Department is requesting a reallocation of \$350,000 from the North Rosewood Park CIP project for the schematic design, environmental analysis, and cost estimates of the Regional Sports Complex. This information will be used by the City and the community to provide more tangible information to potential partners who will be asked to donate funds for the complex and to promote community awareness and support.

The North Rosewood Park project has current budget and cash of \$838,400 for park expansion, additional land purchase and other improvements to the park. With the advent of the Regional Sports Complex, Rosewood Park could convert to a more neighborhood friendly park, as current adult sports leagues shift to the complex. The additional purchase of land would not be needed for park expansion as proposed in the original funding request. The remaining balance of \$488,400, would be used for Phase I improvements to North Rosewood as identified in the master plan for the park.

This request will reallocate \$350,000 of the \$838,400 to provide cash and budget for the sports complex project. Funds are being requested now to allow for immediate progress of the sports complex, demonstrating the City's commitment to this initiative.

It is recommended that the City Council appropriate the necessary budget adjustment to facilitate the Sports Complex Project.

	CIP - Sp	orts Complex Schematic and Cost Estimate Initiative Name	
BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #A-3 Initiative Number Public Services Dept. Department Rick Graham/ Sherrie Collins Prepared By			2005-06 Fiscal Year New Item Type of Initiative 535-6136/535-6150 Telephone Contact
Revenue Impact By Fund:		<u>1st Year</u> FY 2005-06	2nd Year FY 2006-07
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total Other Fund		\$0	\$0
Tota		0	0 0 \$0
Staffing Impact:			
New Number of FTE's Existing Number of FTE's Total		0 0	0 0
Description			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
	-	
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
33-98070 North Rosewood Park	2700	\$ (350,000.00)
33- New Cost Center	2328	\$ 350,000.00
Sport Complex		
Additional Accounting Details:		
To reallocate 350,000 of cash and b	udget	
from 83-98070 to new 83 cost cente		
Sports Complex		
Grant Information:		NA
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it	expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gra		NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services pro	wided by private or	
Non-profit sector?	vided by private or	NA

Initiative Name: CIP - SID - Sidewalk Replacement - Job No. 102112 Initiative Number: BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #A-4 Initiative Type: New Item Initiative Discussion: This CIP project was funded \$400,000 of CIP monies in the 03-04 CIP Process and is proposed to be partially funded from property owner assessments. The SID area includes 900 So. to 1300 So., from 1100 East to 1500 East. This request will increase the current SID budget by \$100,000, to receive and expend property owners portion of the SID. Considerable interest has been expressed by the property owners to have their deteriorated curbs and driveways replaced as optional improvements during the process of the construction, as well as additional sidewalk in front of their properties. The property owners will pay 100% of the additional replacement costs. To date approximately 160 of 1,100 properties involved, have requested the additional optional work be done. It is recommended that the City Council appropriate the increased budget to facilitate the neighborhood portion of the SID.

	CIP - S	No. 102112 Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2006 Initiative #A-4 Initiative Number Community Development Department			2005-06 Fiscal Year New Item Type of Initiative 535-6136/535-6150
LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins Prepared By			Telephone Contact
Revenue Impact By Fund:		<u>1st Year</u> FY 2005-06	2nd Year FY 2006-07
General Fund		F1 2005-00	F1 2000-01
Total		\$0.00	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total Other Fund		\$0	\$0
CIP 83-04048 Property Owners	\$	100,000.00	***
Total	\$		\$0
Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's Existing Number of FTE's		0	0
Total Description		0	0

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
COSt Center Number	1125	\$	100,000.00
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	We're	Amount
CIP 83-04048	2700	\$	100,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?			NA
Is there a potential for grant to continue?			NA
If grant is funding a position is it expected the	e position will		
be eliminated at the end of the grant?			NA
Will grant program be complete in grant fund	ing time frame?		NA
Will grant impact the community once the gra	ant funds are		
eliminated?			NA
Does grant duplicate services provided by pr	rivate or		
Non-profit sector?			NA

Initiative Name:
CIP - SID - Sidewalk Replacement - Job No. 102136
Initiative Number:
BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #A-5
Initiative Type:
New Item
Initiative Discussion:
This CIP project was funded \$599,823 of CIP monies in the 05-06 CIP Process and is proposed to be partially funded from property owner assessments. The SID areas include 700 East to 1100 East, 1300So. to 1700 So.; 900 East, 1700 South to 2100 South; and 1100 East, 1700 So. to 2100 So. This request will provide the \$700,000 budget to receive and expend the property owners portion of the SID.
It is recommended that the City Council appropriate the necessary budget to facilitate the neighborhood portion of the SID.

	CIP - SID - Sidewalk Replacement	loh
	No. 102136	30 <u>0</u>
	Initiative Name	
	Initiative Name	
BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #A-5		2005-06
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Community Development		New Item
Department		Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins		535-6136/535-6150
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>1st Year</u> FY 2005-06	<u>2nd Year</u> FY 2006-07
General Fund	11 2000-00	11 2000
Total	\$0.00	0 \$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$(0 \$0
Enterprise Fund	51	50
Total	\$	0 \$0
Other Fund		
CIP 83-New Cost Center - SID	\$ 700,000.00	
Total		
Staffing Impact:		
New Number of FTE's		0 0
Existing Number of FTE's		0
Total		0 0
Description		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
CIP 83-New Cost Center - SID	1125	\$ 700,000.00
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
CIP 83-New Cost Center - SID	2700	\$ 700,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:	23	
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to continue?		NA
If grant is funding a position is it expected the	ne position will	
be eliminated at the end of the grant?		NA
Will grant program be complete in grant func	ling time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community once the gr	ant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services provided by p	rivate or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

Initiative Name:
CIP - SID - Strong Court - Job No. 102109
Initiative Number:
BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #A-6
Initiative Type:
New Item
Initiative Discussion:
This CIP project was funded with both CDBG and CIP monies and is proposed to be partially funded from property owner assessments. The City Council appropriated \$20,000 of CDBG and an additional \$220,000 of CIP monies for both Strong and Fenway, after both streets submitted the paperwork establishing the SID. This request will provide the \$80,000 budget to receive and expend the Strong Court property owners portion of the SID.
It is recommended that the City Council appropriate the necessary budget to facilitate the neighborhood portion of the SID.

	CIP - SID	- Strong Court Job No. 102109 Initiative Name	
BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #A-6 Initiative Number Community Development			2005-06 Fiscal Year New Item
Department LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins Prepared By			Type of Initiative 535-6136/535-6150 Telephone Contact
Revenue Impact By Fund:		<u>1st Year</u> FY 2005-06	<u>2nd Year</u> FY 2006-07
General Fund		FY 2005-06	F1 2000-07
Total		\$0.00	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
CIP 83-New Cost Center - SID Total	\$	80,000.00 80,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
New Number of FTE's Existing Number of FTE's		0	0
Total Description		0	0

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
CIP 83-New Cost Center - SID	1125	\$ 80,000.00
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
CIP 83-New Cost Center - SID	2700	\$ 80,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:	260	
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to continue?		NA
If grant is funding a position is it expected th	e position will	
be eliminated at the end of the grant?		NA
Will grant program be complete in grant fund	ling time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community once the grant	ant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services provided by pr	rivate or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

+

Initiative Name:		
	CIP - SID - Fenway Ave Job No. 102129	
Initiative Number:		
	BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #A-7	THE THE STREET STREET,
Initiative Type:		
	New Item	

Initiative Discussion:

This CIP project was funded with CIP monies and is proposed to be partially funded from property owner assessments. The City Council appropriated \$220,000 of CIP fund monies for both Fenway and Strong, after both streets submitted the paperwork establishing the SID. This request will provide the \$80,000 budget to receive and expend the Fenway Ave. property owners portion of the SID.

It is recommended that the City Council appropriate the necessary budget to facilitate the neighborhood portion of the SID.

Initiative Name 1st Year FY 2005-06 \$0.00	2005-06 Fiscal Year New Item Type of Initiative 535-6136/535-6150 Telephone Contact 2nd Year FY 2006-07
FY 2005-06	Fiscal Year New Item Type of Initiative 535-6136/535-6150 Telephone Contact 2nd Year FY 2006-07
FY 2005-06	Type of Initiative 535-6136/535-6150 Telephone Contact 2nd Year FY 2006-07
FY 2005-06	535-6136/535-6150 Telephone Contact 2nd Year FY 2006-07
FY 2005-06	Telephone Contact 2nd Year FY 2006-07
FY 2005-06	<u>2nd Year</u> FY 2006-07
FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
\$0.00	
\$0.00	-
	\$0
\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0
80,000.00	
80,000.00	\$0
0	0
	0
0	0
	\$0 80,000.00 80,000.00

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
CIP 83-New Cost Center - SID	1125	\$ 80,000.00
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
CIP 83-New Cost Center - SID	2700	\$ 80,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to continue?		NA
If grant is funding a position is it expected th	ne position will	
be eliminated at the end of the grant?		NA
Will grant program be complete in grant func	ding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community once the gr	ant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services provided by p	rivate or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

Initiative Name:

Department of Health and Human Services Drug Free Communities

Initiative Number:

BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #B-1

Initiative Type:

Grant for Existing Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Mayor's Office applied for and received \$100,000 of grant funding from the Department of Health and Human Services for continuation of the Mayor's Drug Free Communities program. This program supports the Mayor's Coalition on Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs in the reduction and prevention of substance abuse in Salt Lake City. This is year 3 of this funding.

Of these funds, \$55,273 will be used for the salary and benefits of the Coalition Coordinator, who coordinates and supports the coalition strategy in implementation and activities that include training, data collection, dissemination of findings, and liaising between the Coalition, the Mayor's Office and the community. \$4,404 will fund 8% of the Grant Monitors time for the fiscal monitoring of the grant. \$4,112 will be used for travel and training at mandatory conferences; \$1,261 will be used for printing or brochures pamphlets and other media packets; \$1,000 will be used for other costs such as memberships and conference registration; and \$33,950 will be used for other contractual components to include continuation of program evaluation, three \$5,000 mini grants to local service providers to further drug abuse prevention, Salt Lake IMS for Website domain; a consultant to assist in the facilitation in the annual strategic planning process and graphic design of Coalition logo.

The grant requires a \$100,000 in-kind match which will be met with the Mayor's Office staff and Coalition volunteer time, IMS Brown Bag Lunch taping, volunteer Brown Bag speakers and mini-grant Subgrantee's who will match the \$5,000 mini-grants.

It is recommended that the City Council appropriate the necessary budget to facilitate this grant. The Council previously adopted the Resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept and sign the original grant agreement and to sign and accept any additional agreements related to the original grant.

	Departi	ment of Health & Human Service - Drug Free Communities Initiative Name	es	
BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #B-1			THE RESERVE TO BE SEEN THE PARTY OF THE PART	2005-06
Initiative Number			Fi	scal Year
		-	Grant fo	r Existing Staff
Mayor's Office		19		esources
Department	L. Barrens			e of Initiative
Abby Vianes /Sherrie Collins			and the second s	936/535-6150
Prepared By	-		I elep	hone Contact
Revenue Impact By Fund:		<u>1st Year</u> FY 2005-06		<u>2nd Year</u> Y 2006-07
General Fund		11 2005-00	STORY STREET, ST.	1 2000-01
Tota		\$0		\$0
Internal Service Fund		Φ0		φυ
Tota		\$0		\$0
Enterprise Fund		ψ0		-
Tota		\$0		\$0
Other Fund				
72 Misc. Grant Fund	\$	100,000.00		
Tota	1 \$	100,000.00		\$0
Staffing Impact:				
New Number of FTE's				(
Existing Number of FTE's		1		C
Total		1		C
Description		2444.04	0	20 440 00
100% Coalition Coordinator Salary Benefits		2111-01	\$	39,140.00 16,133.00
8% Grant Monitor		2111-01	\$	3,812.00
Benefits			\$	592.00
C.			\$	59,677.00
8% of Grant Monitor's time is now allowable				
in this grant				

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	2H/9SP1	2433-03/93.276
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72- New Cost Center	1360	\$	100,000.00
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72- New Cost Center	2700	\$	100,000.00
72-New Cost Certies	2100		100,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
100% Coalition Coordinator Salary	2111-01	\$	39,140
Benefits		\$	16,133
8% Grant Monitor	2111-01	\$	3,812
Benefits		\$	592
Grant Information:		\$	59,677
Grant funds employee positions?			Yes
Is there a potential for grant to continue?			Yes
If grant is funding a position is it expected the	ne position will		
be eliminated at the end of the grant?			Yes
Will grant program be complete in grant fun	ding time frame?		Yes
Will grant impact the community once the gr	rant funds are		Yes
eliminated?			
Does grant duplicate services provided by p	rivate or		
Non-profit sector?			No

Initiative Name:

US Department of Justice - Weed and Seed

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2006 Initiative #B-2

Initiative Type:

Grant for Existing Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Housing and Neighborhood Development Division applied for and received \$225,000 of grant funding from the US Department of Justice, under the Weed and Seed grant program. These funds will be used to continue and complete the 5 year grant for FY- 05-06.

Salt Lake City has received Weed and Seed funding for the targeted area, Glendale, Poplar Grove and State Fairpark, for the past 5 years. The overall Weed and Seed strategy is to provide funding assistance to reduce crime (Weed), and implement (Seed) programs that provide alternate choices for residents and youth living within the targeted area.

These funds will be used to fund the four core elements of the Weed and Seed strategy, Law Enforcement, Community Policing, Prevention/Intervention and Neighborhood Restoration, by contracting with the Boy' & Girl's Lied and Capitol West Clubs, the Sorenson Multi-Cultural Center, the Housing Authority of Salt Lake, Peer Court, and the SL County Therapeutic Justice Court for a total amount of \$75,000. In addition, \$138,499 of these funds will pay 100% of the Weed and Seed Coordinators salary and benefits, a portion of the grant monitors time, and as required by the US Department of Justice, Police OT for tactical operations that include narcotics, vice, gangs, Pioneer Patrol and officer back up within the Therapeutic Justice Program. Remaining funds will be used as follows: Travel-\$2,772; Supplies-\$4,705 and other \$4,024. This is the last year of funding for this site. Currently no other sites have been recognized or established.

It is recommended that the City Council appropriate the necessary budget to facilitate this grant. The Council previously adopted the Resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept and sign the original grant agreement and to sign and accept any additional agreements related to the original grant.

200		partment of Justice leed and Seed nitiative Name	
BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #B-2 Initiative Number			2005-06 Fiscal Year Grant For Existing Staff
Community Development Department LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins Prepared By			Resources Type of Initiative 535-6136/535-6150 Telephone Contact
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year FY 2005-06	<u>2nd Year</u> FY 2006-07
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72 Fund Grant Total	\$	225,000.00 225,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		1	0
Total		0	0
Description- Salary & Benefits	0	75 446 00	
1 FTE Weed and Seed Coordinator Position	\$	75,446.00	
13.04% Grant Monitor Sorenson Center 2 PTE	\$	7,862.00 15,001.00	
310 hrs Sorenson Tech Center Coordinator	\$	5,006.00	
PD OT Task Forces, Gangs, Narcs, Vice, PSN & Patrol	\$	35,184.00	
	\$	138,499.00	

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	2005-WS-Q5-0262/16.595
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72- New Cost Center	1360	\$ 225,000.00
		· .
,		
Expenditure:	A Marie Control	
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72- New Cost Center	211101	\$ 114,358.00
72- New Cost Center	2191	\$ 24,141.00
72- New Cost Center	2525	\$ 2,772.00
72- New Cost Center	2299	\$ 4,705.00
72- New Cost Center	2399	\$ 75,000.00
72- New Cost Center	2590	\$ 4,024.00
Additional Accounting Details:	Total	\$ 225,000.00
Grant Information:		V
Grant funds employee positions?		Yes
Is there a potential for grant to continue?		No
If grant is funding a position is it expected the	ne position will	
be eliminated at the end of the grant?		Yes
Will grant program be complete in grant fun	ding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community once the gr	rant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
Does grant duplicate services provided by p	rivate or	
Non-profit sector?		No

Initiative Name:			
Recapture Completed Projects in the CIP and CDBG CIP Funds			
nitiative Number:			
BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #D-1			
Initiative Type:			
Housekeeping			
Initiative Discussion:			
This action decreases the remaining budgets and/or cash balances of completed an closed CIP Funded Projects and increases the cash and budget of the CIP fund bala account in year 2006. This involves closing eight (8) projects totaling \$169,044.88.			
This request also decreases the remaining budget and/or cash of three (3) complete Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Fund CIP Projects, totaling \$30,756.4 increases the cash and budget of the same years CDBG fund balance account.			
It is recommended that the City Council adopt the appropriate action to decrease the remaining budgets and/or cash of completed CIP Fund Projects and increase the 06 Fund Balance account; and decrease the remaining budgets and/or cash in the com CDBG CIP projects and increase the budgets and/or cash of the same years CDBG Balance Accounts.	CIP pleted		

......

	Recapture Completed Projects in the CIP and CDBG CIP Funds Initiative Name	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##
BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #D-1 Initiative Number Community Development Department		2005-06 Fiscal Year Housekeeping Type of Initiative 535-6136/535-6150
LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins Prepared By		Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>1st Year</u> FY 2005-06	<u>2nd Year</u> FY 2006-07
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total Other Fund	\$0	\$0
Ottler Fulla		
Total	\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's	0	0
Existing Number of FTE's Total	0	0
Description		

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	《新兴》的《西州》。
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83-06099 CIP Fund Balance	2700	\$ 169,044.88
Miscellaneous CIP Fund Projects (See detail below)	2700	\$ (169,044.88
83-04098 2003-2004 CDBG Fund Balance	2700	\$ 30,756.43
Miscellaneous CIP CDBG Fund Projects (See	2700	00,700.10
detail below)	2700	\$ (30,756.43
Additional Accounting Details:		\$ -
Additional Accounting Details.	· ·	
CIP Fund Budget & Cash	Debit	Credit
83-03008 ADA Transition Plan Citywide Parks	\$ (19,106.82)	
83-03076 Legacy Project District 6	\$ (3,161.61)	
83-05034 Utahna & 1300 South Conduit	\$ (1,926.25)	
83-04024 Steenblik Park	\$ (43,178.47)	
83-04019 Quiet Zone	\$ (66,849.75)	
83-00092 Gateway Infrastructure SID	\$ (34,821.98)	
		\$ 169,044.88
83-06099 CIP Fund Balance		
CIP Fund Budget Only		
83-02032 East Liberty Park SID	\$ (5,434.47)	
83-04046 Sugarhouse Lighting SID	\$ (145,942.14)	
CDBG CIP Budget		
83-04051Utahna Street Design	\$ (2,305.03)	
83-0405 1300 South	\$ (26,100.39)	
71-29039 Tenth East Senior Center	\$ (2,351.01)	
83-04098 CDBG Fund Balance		\$ 30,756.43
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to continue?		NA
If grant is funding a position is it expected the	position will	
be eliminated at the end of the grant?		NA
Will grant program be complete in grant fundir	ng time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community once the gran	nt funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services provided by priv	rate or	
Non-profit sector?	ate of	NA
		IVA

Initiative Name:		
	Water Fund CIP Carryover	
Initiative Number:		
	BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #D-2	
Initiative Type:		
	Housekeeping	

Initiative Discussion:

The Water Utility is asking to amend the 2005-2006 budget for carryover projects and equipment purchases. The funding comes from Utility reserves of the prior years. The Water Utility will require this amendment to complete projects that have been delayed or started but not completed at year end, which require on-going funding in this fiscal year.

The fiscal year ends on June 30th of each year, which falls in the middle of a normal summer construction period. Projects are started in one budget period and usually completed in the next depending on the size and complexity of the project. The Utility tries to anticipate and stagger the budget for projects that may carryover into the next year, however projects and timing always change since the budget is prepared 6 months before the actual budget begins. Equipment is similar, having been ordered or encumbered but not received or paid for in the last fiscal year.

Shops and office Conversion	\$100,000
Walker Lane Well	44,000
Water Main Replacements (15)*	1,187,000
Dump Trucks & Pickups	430,563
Various tools and small equipment	109,516

Total Amendment \$ 1,975,579

^{* \$50,000 2400} So. Above Lakeline Drive (new water line not previously budgeted)

	Water Department CIP Carryover Initiative Name	
BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #D-2		2005-06
Initiative Number	10010	Fiscal Year
Public Utilities - Water Fund	Dalle.	Housekeeping
Department	ALIMIT .	Type of Initiative
Jim Lewis		483-6773 Telephone Contact
Prepared By		relephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>1st Year</u> FY 2005-06	2nd Year FY 2006-07
General Fund	F1 2005-06	F1 2000-07
Control I wild		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund	\$0	90
Other Fund		
Total	0	\$0
0.5		
Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's	0	0
Existing Number of FTE's	0	0
Total	0	0
Description		
No impact on staffing levels for this		
initiative		
-		

Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
51-02201	2720-10	\$ 200,000.00
51-01301	2730-04	\$ 44,000.00
51-01301	2730-08	\$ 1,187,000.00
51-00101	2750-10	\$ 325,666.00
51-00201	2750-10	\$ 26,330.00
51-01701	2750-10	\$ 69,900.00
51-02201	2750-10	\$ 8,667.00
51-02501	2760-10	\$ 5,000.00
51-00801	2760-20	\$ 22,500.00
51-02601	2760-90	\$ 65,000.00
51-01801	2760-90	\$ 21,516.00
Total		\$ 1,975,579.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
New item-water line at 2400 So		
above Lake Line Dr.		\$ 50,000.00
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		N/A
Is there a potential for grant to cor	ntinue?	N/A
If grant in funding a new Mary to Mary	venetad the constitution will	
If grant is funding a position is it e		N/A
be eliminated at the end of the gra	ntr	N/A
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	N/A
Will grant impact the community o	nce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		N/A
Dono mant di l'actioni		
Does grant duplicate services prov	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		N/A

Initiative Name:		
	Sewer Fund CIP Carryover	
Initiative Number:		
	BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #D-3	
Initiative Type:		
	Housekeeping	

Initiative Discussion:

The Sewer Utility is asking to amend the 2005-2006 budget for carryover projects and equipment purchases. The funding comes from Utility reserves of the prior years. The Sewer Utility will require this amendment to complete projects that have been delayed or started but not completed at year end, which require on-going funding in this fiscal year.

The fiscal year ends on June 30th of each year, which falls in the middle of a normal summer construction period. Projects are started in one budget period and usually completed in the next depending on the size and complexity of the project. The Utility tries to anticipate and stagger the budget for projects that may carryover into the next year, however projects and timing always change since the budget is prepared 6 months before the actual budget begins. Equipment is similar, having been ordered or encumbered but not received or paid for in the last fiscal year.

\$2,575,000
105,924
178,500
128,220
2,014,500

Total Amendment \$ 5,002,144

^{* \$450,000} boiler for treatment plant (new item not previously budgeted)

			CONTRACTOR
	Sewer Fund CIP Carryover		
	Initiative Name	NT DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER, THE	
BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #D-3			2005-06 Fiscal Year
Initiative Number Public Utilities - Sewer Fund		10 1 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Housekeeping
Department	MANUTAL TO STATE OF THE STATE O	1000	Type of Initiative
Jim Lewis			483-6773
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impact			
General Fullu (Fullu Balance) Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:	1st Year		2nd Year
	FY 2005-06		FY 2006-07
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		ΨΟ	ΨΟ
		00	
Enterprise Fund		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
Total			\$0
Other Fund			
Total		0	\$0
Otalling impacts	美国人 主义的主义,但是自己的		
New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's Total		0	0
Description		U	U
No impact on staffing levels for this			
initiative			
			7
- A			
			V

.

Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Gode Number		Amount
xpenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
2-11201	2720-30	\$	2,575,000.00
2-10401	2730	\$	2,014,500.00
2-11201	2750-10	\$	105,924.00
2-12201	2760-20	\$	178,500.00
2-12201	2760-90	\$	128,220.00
		1	
Total		\$	5,002,144.00
Additional Accounting Details:			0,002,111.00
New item-treatment plant Boiler		\$	450,000.00
			C .
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?			N/A
static futius employee positions :			N/A
s there a potential for grant to conti	nue?		N/A
f grant is funding a position is it exp	ected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the grant			N/A
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?		N/A
Will grant impact the community one	e the grant funds are		N/A
eliminated?			N/A
Does grant duplicate services provid	ded by private or		
Non-profit sector?			N/A

Initiative Name:		
	Stormwater Fund CIP Carryover	
Initiative Number:		
	BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #D-4	
Initiative Type:		Residue Amportul A Compression Common Co.
	Housekeeping	

Initiative Discussion:

The Stormwater Utility is asking to amend the 2005-2006 budget for carryover projects and equipment purchases. The funding comes from Utility reserves of the prior years.

The Stormwater Utility will require this amendment to complete projects that have been delayed or started but not completed at year end, which require on-going funding in this fiscal year.

The fiscal year ends on June 30th of each year, which falls in the middle of a normal summer construction period. Projects are started in one budget period and usually completed in the next depending on the size and complexity of the project. The Utility tries to anticipate and stagger the budget for projects that may carryover into the next year, however projects and timing always change since the budget is prepared 6 months before the actual budget begins.

Land & lift station upgrade	\$60,245
Various vehicles	312,374
Equipment-mower, radio & sampler	51,284
Collection lines incl. 900 South	2,296,500
Collection lines incl. 900 South	2,290,300

Total Amendment \$ 2,720,403

177	Stormwater Fund CIP Carryover	
BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #D-4	Initiative Name	2005-06
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Public Utilities - Stormwater Fund		Housekeeping
Department <u>Jim Lewis</u>		Type of Initiative 483-6773
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	1st Year	2nd Year
General Fund	FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
Control I und		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund	Ψ0	40
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund	-	
Other Fund		\$0
Other Fund		
Total	0	\$0
Total		90
Staffing Impact:		
New Number of FTE's Existing Number of FTE's	0	0
Total	0	0
Description		
No impact on staffing levels for this initiative		
inidad 4 C		

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicab	ie:	
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
53-10301	2720-10	\$	58,745.00
53-10301	2720-05	\$	1,500.00
53-10301	2730-18	\$	2,296,500.00
53-10201	2750-10	\$	312,374.00
53-10201	2750-30	\$	31,605.00
53-10201	2760-90	\$	19,679.00
Total		\$	2,720,403.00
Additional Accounting Details:		Φ	2,720,403.00
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions	2		N/A
Is there a potential for grant to o	continue?		N/A
If grant is funding a position is i			
be eliminated at the end of the o	grant?		N/A
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?		N/A
Will grant impact the communit	y once the grant funds are		
eliminated?			N/A
Does grant duplicate services p	rovided by private or	-	N/A
Non-profit sector?			N/A

Initiative Name:

State of Utah, Department of Public Safety, Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP)

Initiative Number:

BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #E-1

Initiative Type:

Grant Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Police Department applied for and received \$276,417 of grant funding from the State of Utah, Department of Public Safety, under the Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP).

This grant is awarded to local Police Departments to purchase equipment for "Buffer Zone Sites" or key local facilities that the Police Department has been tasked to protect. These are local facilities that could be vulnerable or targeted in the event of a terrorist or weapons of mass destruction attack and have been authorized and approved under the US Department of Homeland Security to eligible for equipment.

The following is a listing of dollar amounts and equipment purchases at each of the six Buffer Zone Sites: \$62,070-Tesoro Oil Refinery -Self Contained Breathing Apparatus (SCBA's) to be used by SWAT, vehicle crash barriers and tire deflation devices, NOMEX jumpsuits for tactical operations, closed circuit TV system for monitoring and thermal imaging device for searching capabilities; \$2,120-Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, SLC Branch -10 portable water filled barricades placed on outside lane of traffic during heightened terror alerts and 10 portable barricades to restrict pedestrian and traffic flow around the bank; \$41,64-Gadsby Power Generation Plant -portable water filled traffic barriers, SCBA's and tactical hearing protection for SWAT; \$56,44-Thatcher Chemical Company -24 concrete barriers, monitoring camera system and SCBA's specifically designed for hazardous materials for SWAT; \$66,000 -Delta Center -2 hydraulic bollard systems for security against vehicle born attack, outdoor lighting and

fencing for access control into air intakes; and \$48,145-LDS Church Campus -2 traffic cameras, located at North Temple and Main Street and West Temple and South Temple Streets, an IED remediation tool for bomb squad and 5 sets of helmet mounted night vision goggles SWAT.

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary Resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept and sign the grant agreement and to appropriate budget to facilitate this grant.

S		, Department of Public Zone Protection Program (BZPP)	
		itiative Name	
BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #E-1	A-SHEE	illative Ivaille	2005-06
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
	2402		Grant Requiring No New
Police		*	Staff Resources
Department .	Barriel		Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn/Sherrie Collins			799-3640/535-6150
Prepared By	negran s		Telephone Contact
Revenue Impact By Fund:		<u>1st Year</u> FY 2005-06	<u>2nd Year</u> FY 2006-07
General Fund		F1 2005-06	F1 2000-07
Internal Service Fund		\$0	\$0
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total	-	\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72 Misc. Grant Fund	\$	276,417.00	
Total	\$	276,417.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		0	C
Total		0	С
Description			
*			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	BZPP-	2005-012/97.078
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72- New Cost Center	1370	\$	276,417.00
72- New Cost Center	1370	Ψ	276,417.00
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number 72- New Cost Center	Object Code Number 2760	\$	Amount 276,417.00
72*New Cost Center	2700	Ψ	270,417.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		-	No
Grant funds employee positions?			NO
Is there a potential for grant to continue?			Yes
If grant is funding a position is it expected the	ne position will		No
be eliminated at the end of the grant?			
Will grant program be complete in grant fun	ding time frame?		Yes
Will grant impact the community once the gr	rant funds are		
eliminated?			No
Does grant duplicate services provided by p	rivate or		
Non-profit sector?			No

Initiative Name:

US Department of Justice, COPs Technology Grant
Initiative Number:

BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #E-2
Initiative Type:

Grant Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Police Department applied for and received \$493,322 of grant funding from the US Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPs) under the Law Enforcement Technology Grant program. These funds will be used to purchase equipment for the City's Interoperable Communications Systems.

The Interoperable Communications Systems is a multi-disciplinary/jurisdictional radio communications system that is interoperable across all SLC departments and statewide. It allows SLC to have Police, Fire, Public Services, Public Utilities, and the SLC International Airport on the same radio system for seamless communication during emergency events while maintaining individual frequencies. This system also allows the City to link to the existing Utah Communications Agency Network (UCAN). These grant funds will be used to purchase equipment for the implementation of Phase 3, which will enhance the system to a three-site, 10 channel simulcast system with OMNILINK capabilities to tie in UCAN. The City currently has 2 sites.

Equipment includes Microwave Link to include an additional 4 lines, installation hardware, simulcast controller modem and interface, a control site which provides linkage to other controllers, site repeaters to enhance coverage and signal, GPS tracking system, channel banks or space on the microwave system, an antenna, and COGNOS Crime Data Analysis software to upgrade the Versaterm Communications system.

It is recommended that the City Council appropriate the necessary budget to facilitate this grant. The Council previously adopted the Resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept and sign the original grant agreement and to sign and accept any additional agreements related to the original grant.

	I	nent of Justice, COPs echnology itiative Name	
BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #E-2 Initiative Number Police Department Krista Dunn/Sherrie Collins Prepared By			2005-06 Fiscal Year Grant Requiring No New Staff Resources Type of Initiative 799-3640/535-6150 Telephone Contact
Revenue Impact By Fund:		<u>1st Year</u> FY 2005-06	<u>2nd Year</u> FY 2006-07
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total Other Fund		\$0	\$0
72 Misc. Grant Fund	\$	493,322.00 493,322.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
New Number of FTE's Existing Number of FTE's Total		0 0 0	0 0
Description			

Object Code Number 1370	Amount \$ 493,322.00
1070	Ψ 400,022.00
Object Code Number	Amount
2760	\$ 493,322.00
	No
	Yes
osition will	
	No
g time frame?	Yes
f	
funds are	
	2760 2760 cosition will g time frame?

Initiative Name:

State of Utah, CCJJ, CNOA Training
Initiative Number:

BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #E-3
Initiative Type:

Grant Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Police Department applied for and received \$6,625.00 of grant funding from the State of Utah, Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice (CCJJ) for nine (9) Narcotics Detectives to attend the California Narcotic's Officers' Association (CNOA) training in Reno Nevada.

The CNOA has a national reputation for providing the best training pertaining to narcotic investigation in the Western United States. CNOA offers a total of 41 classes from which the detectives can benefit. It is the intent to send 9 Officers so that each can cover the wide variety of classes offered and cross-train detectives who are unable to attend. Classes include supervisory, financial oversight of asset forfeiture funds, drug abuse/trafficking and many others. The objective of the grant is to provide partial funding for the costs associated with the travel and registration of the nine (9) Officers. This grant requires a \$2,208 match which will be met within the Police Departments general fund budget.

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary Resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept and sign the grant agreement and to appropriate the necessary budget to facilitate this grant.

	State of Utah	CCJJ - CNOA Training	
199		tiative Name	
BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #E-3		CATEFORNIA CONTRACTOR OF STATEMENT OF STATEM	2005-06
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
	M.F		Grant Requiring No New
<u>Police</u>			Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn/Sherrie Collins			799-3640/535-6150
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year	2nd Year
		Y 2005-06	FY 2006-07
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72 Misc. Grant Fund	\$	6,625.00	
Total	\$	6,625.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			Harry Control
New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		0	0
Total		0	0
Description			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	3D	52/
Revenue:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		ount
72- New Cost Center	1370	\$	6,625.00
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		ount
	2394	\$	3,325.00
72- New Cost Center 72- New Cost Center	2525	\$	3,325.00
72 New Oost Center	2020		0,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		1	lo
Is there a potential for grant to continue?			es
is there a potential for grant to continue?		-	65
If grant is funding a position is it expected th	e position will		
be eliminated at the end of the grant?		1	No.
Will grant program be complete in grant fund	ling time frame?	Y	es
Will grant impact the community once the gra	ant funds are		
eliminated?		1	No
Does grant duplicate services provided by pr	ivate or		
Non-profit sector?			No

Initiative Name:

US Department of Energy - Solar Roof - Pollution Prevention & Environmental Action through Community Education

Initiative Number:

BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #E-4

Initiative Type:

Grant Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Mayor's Office applied for and received \$40,000 of grant funding from the US Department of Energy for Phase 2 of the Million Solar Roof Partnership.

Of these funds, \$37,900 will be used for contractual components with Utah Clean Energy and local independent contractors for technical assistance, and \$2,100 for travel. The purpose of the grant is to raise public awareness and educate consumers of the resources available for private installation of solar energy devices. The Phase 2 implementation plan builds upon the successes of the Phase I partnership, to raise awareness and to further reduce financial barriers to Photovoltaic (PV). During Phase I of the program, the partnerships successfully worked to include PV as an allowable resource in Utah Power's Blue Sky green pricing program tariff and reached a consensus on the value of PV to the utility, Utah Power and rate payers. Utah Power has committed to including a Pilot PV buy-down program in their upcoming rate case. It is anticipated that a 500kW program could yield a projected buy-down of \$2.50 per watt.

The grant requires a \$10,000 in-kind match which will be met with the Mayor's Office staff time, and the remaining \$3,000 will be in-kind services provided in the contractual components.

It is recommended that the City Council appropriate the necessary budget to facilitate this grant. The Council previously adopted the Resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept and sign the original grant agreement and to sign and accept any additional agreements related to the original grant.

Initiative Name BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #E-4 Initiative Number Initiative Number Mayor's Office Department Abby Vianes (Sherrie Collins Prepared By Telephone Contact Revenue Impact By Fund: Total So Sitaffing Impact Staffing Impact Existing Number of FTE's Exist			ment of Energy - Solar Roof Prevention & Environmental Action	
BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #E-4 Initiative Number				
Initiative Number Mayor's Office Department Abby Vianes / Sherrie Collins Prepared By Revenue Impact By Fund: Total T	BA#3 FY2006 Initiative #F-4		CONTRACTOR OF CO	2005-06
Mayor's Offlice Department				
Mayor's Office Department Type of Initiative Total				and the second s
Department Abby Vianes / Sherric Collins Prepared By Revenue Impact By Fund: Total	Mayor's Office			
Abby Vianes /Sherrie Collins Prepared By Revenue Impact By Fund: Total		5640,005,000		
Revenue Impact By Fund: Revenue Impact By Fund: Total T				
Revenue Impact By Fund:				Telephone Contact
FY 2005-06 FY 2006-07				
Total \$0 \$0 \$0	Revenue Impact By Fund:			
Total \$0 \$(Internal Service Fund	Congral Fund		FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
Internal Service Fund	General Fund			
Internal Service Fund	Tota	ı	\$0	\$(
Enterprise Fund Total \$0 \$5 Other Fund 72 Misc. Grant Fund \$ 40,000.00 Total \$ 40,000.00 \$5 Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's \$ (0 \$1 Existing Number of FTE's \$ (0 \$1 Description \$ (0 \$1 B% of Grant Monitor's time is now allowable				
Enterprise Fund Total \$0 \$\$ Other Fund 72 Misc. Grant Fund \$ 40,000.00 Total \$ 40,000.00 Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's Existing Number of FTE's Existing Number of FTE's Description 8% of Grant Monitor's time is now allowable	Total		02	\$0
Total \$0 \$1 Other Fund \$1 72 Misc. Grant Fund \$1 Total \$1 Tota		-	Ψ01	
Other Fund 72 Misc. Grant Fund Total Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's Existing Number of FTE's Existing Number of FTE's O Description 8% of Grant Monitor's time is now allowable	Enterprise Fund			
72 Misc. Grant Fund \$ 40,000.00 Total \$ 40,000.00 \$ Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's \$ (a) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	Tota	1	\$0	\$(
Total \$ 40,000.00 \$ Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's Existing Number of FTE's O Description 8% of Grant Monitor's time is now allowable	Other Fund			
Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's Existing Number of FTE's Total Description 8% of Grant Monitor's time is now allowable	72 Misc. Grant Fund			
New Number of FTE's Existing Number of FTE's Total Description 8% of Grant Monitor's time is now allowable	Tota	\$	40,000.00	\$(
Existing Number of FTE's Total 0 Description 8% of Grant Monitor's time is now allowable				PROTEIN COLUMN CONTRACTOR DE SENTE PROTEIN MACADAMINA SIDADA SE DESENTA
Total 0 (Description				
Description 8% of Grant Monitor's time is now allowable				
8% of Grant Monitor's time is now allowable			0	
	Description			
in this grant	8% of Grant Monitor's time is now allowable in this grant	9		

Object Code Number 1360 Object Code Number 2590	Amount \$ 40,000 Amount \$ 40,000	
1360 Object Code Number	Amount	
		0.00
		0.00
		0.00
		00.00
		00.00
		00.00
		00.00
-	No	
	Yes	
tion will		
	NA	
transport of the second of the	Vaa	
ne trame r	res	
nds are	No	
or		
VI	No	
1	ition will me frame? nds are	nds are No

SALT LAKE CITY ORDINANCE

No. of 2005

(Amending the Final Budget of Salt Lake City, including the employment staffing document, for Fiscal Year 2005-2006)

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SALT LAKE CITY ORDINANCE NO. 26 OF 2005 WHICH ADOPTED THE FINAL BUDGET OF SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH, AND ORDINANCE NO. 48 OF 2005 WHICH RATIFIED AND RE-ADOPTED THE FINAL BUDGET THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING JULY 1, 2005 AND ENDING JUNE 30, 2006.

PREAMBLE

On June 21, 2005, the Salt Lake City Council adopted the final budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, including the employment staffing document, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2005 and ending June 30, 2006, in accordance with the requirements of Section 118, Chapter 6, Title 10 of the Utah Code Annotated, and said budget, including the employment staffing document, was approved by the Mayor of Salt Lake City, Utah. On August 23, 2005, the City Council ratified and re-adopted the final budget.

The City's Policy and Budget Director, acting as the City's Budget Officer, prepared and filed with the City Recorder proposed amendments to said duly adopted budget, including the amendments to the employment staffing document, copies of which are attached hereto, for consideration by the City Council and inspection by the public.

All conditions precedent to amend said budget, including the employment staffing document, have been accomplished.

Be it ordained by the City Council of Salt Lake City, Utah:

SECTION 1. <u>Purpose</u>. The purpose of this Ordinance is to amend the final budget of Salt Lake City, including the employment staffing document, as approved, ratified and finalized by Salt Lake City Ordinance No. 26 of 2005 and Ordinance No. 48 of 2005.

SECTION 2. Adoption of Amendments. The budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document, attached hereto and made a part of this Ordinance shall be, and the same hereby are adopted and incorporated into the budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, including the employment staffing document, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2005 and ending June 30, 2006, in accordance with the requirements of Section 128, Chapter 6, Title 10, of the Utah Code Annotated.

Certification to Utah State Auditor. The City's Policy and Budget Director, acting as the City's Budget Officer, is authorized and directed to certify and file a copy of said budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document, with the Utah State Auditor.

SECTION 4. Filing of copies of the Budget Amendments. The said Budget

Officer is authorized and directed to certify and file a copy of said budget amendments,
including amendments to the employment staffing document, in the office of said Budget

Officer and in the office of the City Recorder which amendments shall be available for public inspection.

SECTION 5. <u>Effective Date</u>. This Ordinance shall take effect on its first publication.

Passed by the City Council of Sai	lt Lake City, U	tah, this day of
, 2005.		
	CHAIRPE	ERSON
ATTEST:		
CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER		
Transmitted to the Mayor on		
Mayor's Action: Approved	_ Vetoed	
	MAYOR	
ATTEST:		
		APPROVED AS TO FORM Salt Lake City Attorney's Office
CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER		Date 11-8-05 By 74 74-Pm
(SEAL)		
Bill No of 2005. Published:		
I:\Ordinance 05\Budget\Budget Amendment #3 2005-2006.do	oc	