SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT

BUDGET AMENDMENT #5 - FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

DATE:

May 12, 2006

SUBJECT:

Budget Amendment #5

STAFF REPORT BY:

Gary Mumford

CC:

Rocky Fluhart, Sam Guevara, Steve Fawcett, Gordon Hoskins, Luann Clark, Chief Querry, Chief Burbank, LeRoy Hooton, Louis Zunguze, Rick Graham, Shannon Ashby, Sherrie Collins,

Susi Kontgis, Kay Christensen

The proposed budget amendment contains 25 adjustments including 8 relating to grants. Three of the budget adjustments propose the use of fund balance for a total decrease in fund balance of \$210,000. The Council previously requested that a current-year revenue forecast be included with each budget amendment. The Administration's revenue forecast projects that the City's revenues will be \$3,393,000 greater than anticipated for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2006. (See attachment.) The public hearing for this budget opening is tentatively planned for June 6, 2006.

In an effort to make the review of the budget openings more expedient, the Administration has attempted to categorize budget opening items as follows:

- A. "New" those items that are new issues.
- B. "Grant requiring existing staff resources" -- those grants that will require the City's existing staff to complete a specific project. (Employees involved with these projects may have less time to focus on other projects within the scope of their work.)
- C. "Grant requiring additional staff resources" those grants that provide additional staff positions and require a City match. These generally have policy implications because they may add a new service or create an expectation that the City will fund the position after the grant has expired.
- D. "Housekeeping" -- those items classified by the Administration as strictly accounting actions that do not have policy implications.
- E. "Grants requiring No New Staff Resources" those grants that provide funding for costs that are not associated with positions.
- F. "Donation" -- those items that are donations that require Council appropriation to be used, are consistent with previous Council discussions, or do not have policy implications.

MATTERS AT ISSUE

The Administration classified the following as: New Items:

A-1: CIP cost overrun – Redwood Road Sidewalk Project – east side of Redwood Road between California Ave and Indiana Avenue (\$66,000 CIP Fund) source: \$16,500 CIP cost over-run account; \$49,500 UDOT

Under a grant from the Utah Department of Transportation, sidewalk is being installed on the east side of Redwood Road from California Avenue to Indiana Avenue. The City's match is 25% of the cost. Costs have increased by \$66,000 due to additional curb and gutter to facilitate drainage, additional drive approaches, increase in asphalt thickness for pavement tie-ins, and escalation of construction costs. The City's match of \$16,500 is proposed to come from the CIP cost over run account. This will leave \$1,115,283 in the overrun account including funds that will be recaptured and reallocated in this budget amendment.

A-2: CIP cost overrun – 700 South Jordan River Bridge Project (\$400,000 – CIP Fund) source: surplus in 900 South, Main St. to 900 West project

The bids for the 700 South Jordan River Bridge Replacement project are over the original project estimates. The Administration has decided to re-bid the project in September with some minor changes in design and schedule requirements in an effort to receive better bids. The Administration is requesting that \$400,000 of Class C funds that were originally allocated to the 900 South, Main Street to 900 West project be transferred to this bridge project. The section of the 900 South project is substantially complete with minimal change orders and material quantity overruns.

A-3: Grant - Water Efficiency Benchmarking (\$530,000 - Grant Fund)

The Department of Public Utilities received a grant from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for a water efficiency study to benchmark efficient water usage for single family homes. An independent consultant will collect data from ten large water utilities across the U.S. in an effort to demonstrate how the use of advanced technologies can reduce water use. Salt Lake City is the lead agency for the grant. In addition to the \$350,000 grant, each of the other nine water departments will contribute \$20,000 for the study for a total of \$530,000 for the study.

A-4: Contribution to Local First (\$20,000 - General Fund) source: Fund Balance

The Vest Pocket Business Coalition is developing a Local First campaign for Salt Lake City that will emphasize the benefits of buying from locally based businesses by educating consumers and businesses on the importance of purchasing locally. The Local First directory has over 500 participating Utah businesses. Website, brochure, window decals and media campaign have been produced. A loyalty buying card is planned to provide benefits to consumers who buy locally. Local First Utah has requested a \$20,000 donation from the City to continue the efforts. The Administration has prepared a benefit analysis study identifying some of the benefits received (see attached to Administration's paperwork). A public hearing is also required under Utah Code 10-8-2. The Council may wish to consider this in context with the Mayor's annual budget, so that it can be considered with other uses of one-time funding.

A-5: Street Lighting Electrical Power (\$182,204 - General Fund) source: \$150,000 from fund balance; \$32,204 from surplus SID funds

The Administration is requesting an additional appropriation to fund increases to electricity for street lights. The costs for replacement of bulbs and other basic maintenance have also increased. The Administration is requesting an appropriation from fund balance of \$150,000 as well as \$32,204 that has accumulated within the special improvement districts. Another request for \$150,000 is included in the proposed annual budget for next year.

A-6: Street Lighting Extraordinary Maintenance (\$40,000 - General Fund) source: fund balance

Some extraordinary maintenance is required to keep lighting systems operational such as fixing damaged wiring and replacing downed street lighting poles. Costs have increased due to the raising cost of steel, overall system aging, more use of underground power service, and an increase in knockdowns. The Administration is requesting an appropriation of \$40,000 from fund balance. A request of \$28,659 for extraordinary maintenance is also included in the proposed annual budget. A contract is ready and waiting for this \$40,000 appropriation.

A-7: CIP cost overrun – Strongs Court/Fenway Avenue SID (\$74,000 – CIP Fund) source: \$32,000 CIP fund balance; \$42,000 SID assessments

The Engineering Division received only one bid for the Strongs Court/Fenway Avenue special improvement district. Due to increasing costs, re-bidding the project at a later date may not result in lower prices according to the Engineering Division. The additional costs for the Strongs Court project will be \$15,000 for the City and \$15,000 for property owners plus \$10,000 for the property owners for the lighting. The additional costs for the Fenway Avenue project will be \$17,000 for the City and \$17,000 for the property owners. The property owners have been informed and, accordingly, have agreed to the increased assessments including the lighting. The Administration proposes that the City's share come from CIP fund balance.

A-8: Bonding - The Leonardo at Library Square (\$10,200,000 - CIP Fund) source: bond proceeds

In November 2003, the voters approved bonding for the remodel of the old library building for an art, cultural and science center. The issuance of the bonds is contingent on the Leonardo Foundation raising matching funds of at least \$10,200,000. The Council may wish to confirm with the Administration that the required matching funds have been raised and that these funds have been certified. The Council may wish to clarify at what point the contributions that are in the from of certified pledges will be converted to funds directly available for the project, and whether bond funds and contributions will all be available at the same time for use of the project.

A-9: Bonding - Open Space (\$5,400,000 - CIP Fund) source: bond proceeds

In November 2003, the voters approved issuing bonds for acquiring and preserving open space, parks and recreation lands. The Administration is proposing issuing these bonds at the same time as the bonds for the remodel/retrofit of the old library building.

The Administration classified the following as: Grants Requiring Existing Staff Resources

B-1: Grant - High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas (\$145,800 - Grants Fund)

The Police Department received a continuing grant from the Executive Office of the President, Office of National Drug Control Police for the Rocky Mountain High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area (HIDTA). HIDTA is a multi-agency enforcement program that targets illegal distribution of drugs. This grant will allow the Police Department to continue to fund three officers assigned to Metro Narcotics for drug enforcement one of which is stationed at the Airport working with a drug detection dog. The grant pays for most but not all of the officers' costs. The remaining funds are budgeted within the Police Department's general fund budget.

B-2: Grant - Emergency Management Performance (EMGP) (\$24,000 - Grant Fund)

The Department of Management Services received a continuation grant from the Utah Division of Emergency Services and Homeland Security. The grant pays a portion of the salary of the City's emergency manager.

B-3: Grant - Project Safe Neighborhood (\$20,000 - Grant Fund)

The City received a continuation grant from the State of Utah as part of a pass through grant from West Valley City for deterring gun violence in the Weed and Seed area of the City. The grant will continue to fund a part-time employee in the Prosecutor's Office to help the Prosecutor's Office identify and file appropriate cases involving domestic violence, gangs and juveniles with firearms in the City's Weed and Seed targeted area.

The Administration classified the following as: Housekeeping

D-1: Workers Compensation and Unemployment Compensation (\$257,000 - Insurance & Risk Management Fund)

The City is self insured for workers compensation and unemployment compensation claims. The City's Insurance & Risk Management Fund pays the claims to third party administrators and collects the actual claim amounts from the departments where the employees works or used to work. By year end, workers compensation claims are expected to be \$240,000 greater than the budget in the Insurance & Risk Management Fund and unemployment compensation claims are expected to be \$17,000 greater than the budget. The Administration is not requesting more funding to pay for the increased costs. This budget amendment will adjust the budgets in the Insurance & Risk Management Fund to reflect actual cash that goes in and out of this fund. The Administration classified this request as "housekeeping" because this represents money that during the year just moved from the departments to the Insurance & Risk Management Fund. Apparently there was sufficient funding in the departments' budgets to cover the increased costs.

D-2: Tracy Aviary/Hogle Zoo Bond Interest Earnings (\$207,199 - CIP Fund)

The proceeds from bonds that were sold in April 2004 were placed with a trustee who reimburses the City for construction costs. As of today, there is \$1,681,000 remaining in the Zoo construction account and \$28,000 remaining in the Aviary construction account. Interest on these funds is eligible to fund construction of the respective projects. During the past year, interest of \$195,135 for the Zoo and \$12,064 for the Aviary has been earned. This amendment is to appropriate the interest earned to be added to the funds available for construction at the Zoo and Aviary.

D-3: Housing Loan Fund - accounting change (\$6,607,793 - Special Revenue Fund)
Formerly some housing loan activity was accounted for in the Grants Operating Fund. Now all housing activity is accounted for in the Housing Loans Fund (a special revenue fund). The Administration is requesting this budget amendment to transfer remaining housing loan assets (loans receivable and inventory of houses held for resale) to the Housing Loans

D-4: Recapture CIP Funds (\$75,441 - CIP Fund)

Fund.

The Administration is requesting that excess cash in the Quiet Zone CIP project of \$63,391 be transferred to the CIP cost over-run account. A budget of \$12,050 remains in the Guardsman Way project (but no cash). The Administration is requesting the this project be closed.

D-5: Urban Search and Rescue Disaster Response Reimbursement (\$364,425 - Fire Department)

The Fire Department sent its urban search and rescue team to help with disaster relief for Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita. The City continues to pay the team members while deployed including overtime for the extended hours worked. FEMA reimburses the City for all the costs associated with this deployment. The Department is requesting that the FEMA reimbursement be appropriated to its budget to cover its costs.

D-6: Computer Rental Program (\$41,430 - Information Management Services Fund) source: IMS rental revenue

The Information Management Services (IMS) Fund has provided a computer rental program to City departments since 2000. This program has been successful in bringing about standardization of operating systems and equipment, which has helped IMS to control costs. IMS estimated that 304 units would be handled in fiscal year 2005-06 but actually placed 346 units. Rental revenue was \$43,430 more than originally estimated. The Administration is requesting that the budget for IMS be increased by this amount to allow for the increased cost of providing the computers. This is not a request for new funding, but a request to allow excess lease money already paid by the departments to be spent by IMS for the computer rental program.

D-7: Police overtime reimbursement (\$32,500 – Police Department)

The Police Department provides police officers to some taskforces and events on a reimbursement basis. The officers are paid overtime for the extra time to which the taskforce or events reimburses the Police Department. The Department is requesting that the reimbursements be appropriated where actuals exceeded original estimated budgets.

D-8: Grant - Protective/Restraining Order Management Information System (\$36,000 - Information Management Services Fund)

In 2003, the Police Department received \$500,000 grant from the U.S. Department of Justice for the purpose of encouraging enforcement of protection orders. A portion of the grant was made available to the City's Information Management Services (IMS) Fund to develop a web service that linked Salt Lake City's protective orders to the State of Utah's data systems and other non-profit agencies for the purpose of tracking protection orders and violations of protection orders. The first grant extension was from September 2005 to December 2005. A second extension from January 2006 to July 2006 reallocated \$58,000 to IMS to extend the capacities of the domestic violence case filing system. The goal is to have fewer instances of where cases are delayed due to the defense not having the

discoverable documents. This will also reduce the time required for the prosecutor to create the discoverable document packet. The Administration requests that the \$36,000 allocation be appropriated to the IMS Fund.

D-9: Accounting Change for CDBG CIP projects (\$761,219 - CIP and Special Revenue Funds)

Construction projects of nonprofit groups are accounted for in the CDBG operating fund rather than in the Capital Projects Fund. In budget amendment #4 (March 2006), the Administration requested that CDBG construction projects for non-profit organizations also be accounting for in the CIP fund. It has since been determined that this created an accounting problem for tracking and reporting project costs to HUD. The Administration is now requesting that the March amendment for this item be reversed.

The Administration classified the following as: Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

E-1: Grant - Utah, Division of State History to prepare a National Register nomination of the Yalecrest neighborhood (\$10,000 - Grant Fund)

The Planning Division received this grant to complete an intensive-level survey of 10 properties in the Yalecrest neighborhood to assist in preparing a national register nomination of the Yalecrest neighborhood. Funding will be used to hire a professional consultant. The 100% match will be met with the in-kind salary of the Division's historic planner position.

E-2: Grant – FEMA to replace obsolete personal protective equipment for firefighters (\$108,719 – Grant Fund)

Each year the Fire Department receives an Assistance to Firefighters Grant from FEMA. The funds were awarded to replace old personal protective equipment for firefighters. The grant requires a 20% match of \$27,180, which is budgeted within the Fire Department's budget.

The Administration classified the following as: Donations

F-1: Library Paver replacement project (\$173,200 - Donation Fund)

Funds of \$1,173,200 have been received for replacing the Library's pavers. The Council previously adopted a \$1,000,000 budget. The Administration is requesting that the additional \$173,200 be budgeted in the donation fund for this project.

F-2 Contribution - Underage Drinking Prevention Campaign (\$1,000 - Donation Fund)

CRP Inc., a management consulting firm located in Washington DC, contributed \$1,000 to the Mayor's Coalition on Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs to host town hall and school meetings on prevention of underage drinking. The drug free coordinator is working with the Mayor's Coalition to determine dates and times of town hall meetings and school presentations.

MEMORANDUM

TO: ROCKY FLUHART, CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

FROM: STEVE FAWCETT, DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF MANAGEMENT

SERVICES

DATE: 5/1/06

RE: MARCH REVENUE FORECAST

In compliance with Council Resolution #59, of 2003, I'm providing an FY2006 revenue update. This update is in conjunction with Budget Amendment #5.

The Finance Division analyzes revenue each month and provides written updates each month beginning with the August analysis. This analysis, through March, shows that property tax revenue is projected to be under budget by year end. This is due to very large judgments awarded. Additionally, other factors are impacting property taxes such as large uncollected amounts. Sales Taxes are expected to generate a substantial increase over budget due to solid growth and increased revenue from Questar Gas, resulting from their rate increases. You may know we anticipated a 14% rate increase in their rates when we set the budget last year. The Legislature, in the past session, has capped the revenue growth from Questar at 10% over prior year actual collections. Hence, in December we may need to rebate some of the increase we are recognizing.

Franchise Taxes are projected to be less than budget, primarily due to a refund requested by Qwest. Although this amount has not been paid we are projecting year end revenue as if it were. License and Permit Fees continue to rise substantially, and none of this increase is the result of permits taken out for the downtown mall projects. Interest Income is recovering as rates rise, and we expect them to continue to rise each quarter over the next year. Fines and Forfeitures have seen a positive upturn due to an increase in traffic school and the collection of overdue traffic fines. Parking meter revenue is not keeping pace with projections.

Overall, we are projecting to end the year approximately \$3.4 million better than budget. We will continue to monitor revenue collections closely and provide monthly analysis.

Revenue	FY 05/06 Annual Budget	FY 05/06 Revised Forecast	FY 05/06 Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)
Total General Fund	171,929,918	175,356,504	3,393,296
Total Property Taxes Discussion: Property Taxes are down due to: 1.) A judgment of 1.3 million awarded	62,986,649	60,860,905	(2,125,744)
primarily to Qwest and Southwest Airlines. 2.)The non payment of taxes by Delta Airlines of approximately \$938,000.			
Total Sales and Use Tax Discussion:	42,575,979	45,088,700	2,512,721
Sales tax is approximately 10% higher than the last three years average resulting in a slight increase in revenue with the major categories of change being durable goods, auto sales, and a small portion coming from retail sales. Additionally, revenue is up as anticipated because of rate increases in Questar Gas service. This increase is offset by increases in the expenses the City will pay for natural gas service this year.			
Total Franchise Tax Discussion: Franchise fees are down due primarily to two companies disputing the Franchise fees that were received by Salt Lake City	22,956,972	22,398,964	(558,008)
License and Permits: Discussion: Plan check fees and building permits have a surplus in revenue due to the increase in commercial building. This increase is not the result of the remodeling plans of the malls.	10,169,815	12,400,505	2,230,690
Interest income	2,235,575	3,746,422	1,510,847
Discussion: Interest Income has an increase because of rising interest rates.			•
Total Fines & Forfeiture Discussion:	8,949,300	8,992,861	43,561
Fines and Forfeitures shows a slight increase due primarily to an increase in traffic school and collections of late fees for traffic violations			
Parking Meters Discussion:	1,493,000	1,462,970	(30,030)
This decrease is due to over estimated increase in the revenue when the hourly rate was raised in FY06 for parking meter collections			
Charges and Services Discussion:	2,967,960	3,091,490	123,530

SAUT' LAKE; GHTY CORPORATION

ROSS C. ANDERSON MAYOR

COUNCIL TRANSMITTAL

TO:

Dave Buhler, Chair

Salt Lake City Council

FROM:

Rost Rocky J. Fluhart, Chief Administrative Officer

DATE:

April 25, 2006

SUBJECT:

Budget Amendment No. 5

We recommend that on May 16, 2006, the City Council set a date Recommendation: to hold a public hearing on June 6, 2006 to discuss Budget Amendment No. 5.

The attached amendment packet is transmitted to **Discussion and Background:** the City Council Office for the briefing on May 16, 2006.

The attached ordinance to amend this budget has been approved by **Legislative Action:** the City Attorney.

cc:

Dan Mulé, City Treasurer

Shannon Ashby

SALT LAKE CITY ORDINANCE

No. of 2006

(Amending the Final Budget of Salt Lake City, including the employment staffing document, for Fiscal Year 2005-2006)

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SALT LAKE CITY ORDINANCE NO. 26 OF 2005 WHICH ADOPTED THE FINAL BUDGET OF SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH, AND ORDINANCE NO. 48 OF 2005 WHICH RATIFIED AND RE-ADOPTED THE FINAL BUDGET THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING JULY 1, 2005 AND ENDING JUNE 30, 2006.

PREAMBLE

On June 21, 2005, the Salt Lake City Council adopted the final budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, including the employment staffing document, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2005 and ending June 30, 2006, in accordance with the requirements of Section 118, Chapter 6, Title 10 of the Utah Code Annotated, and said budget, including the employment staffing document, was approved by the Mayor of Salt Lake City, Utah. On August 23, 2005, the City Council ratified and re-adopted the final budget.

The City's Policy and Budget Director, acting as the City's Budget Officer, prepared and filed with the City Recorder proposed amendments to said duly adopted budget, including the amendments to the employment staffing document, copies of which are attached hereto, for consideration by the City Council and inspection by the public.

All conditions precedent to amend said budget, including the employment staffing document, have been accomplished.

Be it ordained by the City Council of Salt Lake City, Utah:

SECTION 1. <u>Purpose</u>. The purpose of this Ordinance is to amend the final budget of Salt Lake City, including the employment staffing document, as approved, ratified and finalized by Salt Lake City Ordinance No. 26 of 2005 and Ordinance No. 48 of 2005.

SECTION 2. Adoption of Amendments. The budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document, attached hereto and made a part of this Ordinance shall be, and the same hereby are adopted and incorporated into the budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, including the employment staffing document, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2005 and ending June 30, 2006, in accordance with the requirements of Section 128, Chapter 6, Title 10, of the Utah Code Annotated.

SECTION 3. <u>Certification to Utah State Auditor</u>. The City's Policy and Budget Director, acting as the City's Budget Officer, is authorized and directed to certify and file a copy of said budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document, with the Utah State Auditor.

SECTION 4. Filing of copies of the Budget Amendments. The said Budget

Officer is authorized and directed to certify and file a copy of said budget amendments,
including amendments to the employment staffing document, in the office of said Budget

Officer and in the office of the City Recorder which amendments shall be available for public inspection.

SECTION 5. <u>Effective Date</u>. This Ordinance shall take effect on its first publication.

Passed by the City Council of Salt	t Lake City, Utah, this	day of
, 2006.		
	-	
	CHAIRPERSON	
ATTEST:		
CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER		
CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER		
Transmitted to the Mayor on		
Mayor's Action: Approved	_ Vetoed	
	MAYOR	
ATTEST:		
CHIEF DEBLITY CITY DECORDED		APPROVED AS TO FORM
CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER		Salt Lake City Attorney's Office Date 4-25-00 By A. A.
		By Am A. Im
(SEAL)		
Bill No of 2006. Published:		

RESOLUTION NO. _____ OF 2006 (ACCEPTING THE STUDY PERFORMED IN COMPLIANCE WITH *UTAH CODE* SECTION 10-8-2 AND AUTHORIZING A \$20,000.00 CONTRIBUTION TO LOCAL FIRST, A 501(C) (3) ORGANIZATION, WITH THE GOAL OF PROMOTING LOCAL BUYING

WHEREAS, the City Administration has recommended a contribution of \$20,000.00 from the City's Non-Departmental Budget to Local First, a 501(c) (3) organization, with the goal of promoting local buying; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has received and reviewed a Study regarding said proposed contribution prepared by the City's Department of Management Services in compliance with the requirements of *Utah Code* Section 10-8-2, and public notice has been given at least 14 days prior hereto in a newspaper of general circulation within the City; and

WHEREAS, the Council has reviewed the Study, and has fully considered the analysis and conclusions set forth therein, and all comments made during the public hearing;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of Salt Lake City, Utah:

- 1. The City Council hereby adopts the conclusions set forth in the Study, and hereby finds and determines that, for all the reasons set forth in the Study, the net value to be received by the City by making this grant will constitute adequate consideration, or equivalent value, both tangible and intangible, for the benefit being provided by the proposed contribution;
- 2. In the judgment of the City Council, this appropriation will provide for the safety, health, prosperity, moral well-being, peace, order, comfort, or convenience of the inhabitants of Salt Lake City;
- 3. That \$20,000.00 be and is hereby appropriated from the City's Non-Departmental Budget to Local First, a 501(c) (3) organization, with the goal of promoting local buying as described in the aforementioned Study.

Passed by the City Council of Salt Lake City, Utah, this _____ day of June, 2006.

SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL

By_____
CHAIRPERSON

ATTEST:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER

APPROVED AS TO FORM
Salt Lake City Attorney's Office
Date 4/2/2066

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Steve Fawcett

FROM:

Kay Christensen

DATE:

April 20, 2006

SUBJECT:

Non-Departmental Budget - Contribution to Local First:

Study to Comply with Utah Code Annotated Section 10-8-2

It is recommended that Salt Lake City contribute \$20,000 to Local First, a 501(c) (3) organization with the goal of promoting local buying.

To ensure that a contribution by the City to Local First would be in compliance with UCA 10-8-2, the following study has been performed. UCA 10-8-2 states the purposes for which a municipal body may appropriate funds and the factors that must be considered in determining the propriety of such an appropriation. This study will consider the following factors:

- (1) The specific benefits to be received by the City;
- (2) The City's purpose in making the appropriation, including an analysis of how the safety, health, prosperity, moral well-being, peace, order, comfort or convenience of the residents of Salt Lake City will be enhanced; and
- (3) Whether the appropriation is "necessary and appropriate" to accomplish the City's goals.

Benefits and Costs to Salt Lake City: Last year Salt Lake City Corporation contributed \$20,000 to the Vest Pocket Business Coalition as seed money to organize the Local First 501(c)(3) organization. Those funds were used to research and prepare a long-term strategy for the organization, to prepare public education and promotional literature and materials, and to build a state-wide local business registration base. The organization also

implemented Local First Utah week and promoted it with press conferences, TV coverage, and radio interviews.

Local First is now a separate 501(c)(3) organization with a governing board and campaign committee. The budget for the year has been set at \$60,000. This budget will allow Local First to hire an executive director with duties shared between Local First (campaign, promotions and resource development) and the Vest Pocket Business Coalition (primarily networking, advocacy and member benefits). The organization would also like to hire a community organizer with a special grant to increase Local First business registrations and establish a series of Local First networks throughout the state.

In July, Local First is planning what they intend to be an annual "Independents" Week. They also plan to implement a "loyalty buying card" that will provide benefits to consumers who buy locally and will build brand awareness of Local First Utah.

Meeting Salt Lake City's Purposes and Enhancing the Quality of Life for Residents: Salt Lake City Corporation has adopted a performance measurement tool called the Balanced Scorecard to assist the City in articulating strategic goals, measures and targets for all departments and divisions within the City. The Balanced Scorecard is divided into eight focus areas, including Community Building/Diversity, and Revitalization of Downtown/Neighborhoods and Economic Development. The accompanying goals include strengthening neighborhoods by investing in quality of life initiatives, revitalizing downtown by improving the City's economic base, and increasing the number of people living and working in the City, downtown and otherwise. Support of local business will likely increase the number of neighborhood businesses, improve the City's economic base and increase the number of people who desire to live and work in the City.

Therefore, at least three of the City's eight identified focus areas would be positively impacted by the work of Local First.

Accomplishing Salt Lake City's Goals: The proposed contribution is necessary and appropriate to accomplish Salt Lake City's goals. Benefits from the \$20,000 contribution to Local First will be realized as small local

businesses thrive and revitalize neighborhoods, and improve the City's economic base.

FY 2006 Initiatives in Budget Amendment #5 – June

			FY 2006 FY 2006			
	Initiative Name	Initiative Amount	Gen. Fund FTE Impact	Gen. Fund Fund Balance Impact		
	Section A	New Items				
1.	CIP - Redwood Road Sidewalk - Calif. Ave	\$66,000.00	make for each one of the ordinated by a 2001 for our overlands and analysis and the size of the size o	ad Stiff (1993) Problem in Stiffer (engight styll at the Philade Control of the C		
2.	CIP - 700 S Jordan River Bridge Replacement	\$400,000.00				
3.	EPA Water Efficiency Benchmarking	\$530,000.00				
4.	Local First Campaign	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00		
5.	Street Light Operation and Maintenance	\$182,203.79	\$150,000.00	\$150,000.00		
6.	Street Light Extraordinary Maintenance	\$40,000.00	\$40,000.00	\$40,000.00		
7.	Strong/Fenway SID	\$42,000.00				
	Construction	\$32,000.00				
8.	Leonardo At Library Square Bonding	\$10,200,000.00				
9.	Open Space, Parks and Recreational Lands Bonding	\$5,400,000.00				
	Section B	Grants For Existi	ng Staff Resources			
1.	Police Dept Metro Narcotics/Drug Enforcement Grant	\$145,800.00	· (1996年) - 1984 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 1985 - 198	는 Tabel 19 전 Tabel 15 See 10 Miles Asses 전 20 Tabel 18 T		
2.	State of Utah Emergency Management Grant	\$24,000.00				
3.	•	\$20,000.00				
	Section C	Grants For New S	Staff Resources			
	Section D	Housekeeping				
1.		\$257,000.00				
	Unemployment					
2.	Interest	\$207,199.00				
3.	Housing Loans Program Income	\$6,607,793.03				
4.	CIP Fund Recapture	\$75,440.51				

FY 2006 Initiatives in Budget Amendment #5 – June

Initiative Name			FY 2006		FY 2006		
		Initiative Amount	Gen. Fund Impact	FTE	Gen. Fund Fund Balance Impact		
5.	FEMA Disaster Response Reimbursement	\$364,425.00					
6.	IMS Computer Rental Income	\$41,430.00					
7.	Police Services Overtime Reimbursement	\$32,500.00					
8.	IMS PROMIS Grant	\$36,000.00					
9.	Move CDBG From 83 Fund CIP to 71 CDBG Fund	\$761,219.41					
	Section E	Grants Requiring	No New Staff F	Resource	es		
1.	State of Utah Survey Grant for Yalecrest Neighborhood	\$10,000.00					
2.	FEMA Grant for Fire Dept Personal Protective Equipment	\$108,719					
	Section F	Donations					
1.	Library Square Pavers	\$173,200.00			erano, hansan je ranssati visika 100 iz nasioni visita teta eta eta eta erani visita ili eta eta eta 100 iza e		
2.	Prevent Underage Drinking Scholarship	\$1,000.00					

Initiative Name:		
	Redwood Road Sidewalk - Job 102132	
Initiative Number:		
	BA#5 FY 2006 Initiative #A-1	
Initiative Type:		
	New	

Initiative Discussion:

In Budget Amendment #1 of this fiscal year, the Engineering Division requested a \$25,000 match to a Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) grant of \$75,000 which was brought into Budget Amendment #1 also. This project consists of the installation of sidewalk on the east side of Redwood Road, from California Ave. to Indiana Ave.

The current estimated cost of construction for the Redwood Road safer sidewalk UDOT grant is \$166,000. This \$66,000 increase is due to additional curb and gutter needed to facilitate drainage; additional drive approaches; increases in asphalt thickness for pavement tie-ins; and the recent escalation of construction costs.

This request is to increase the CIP budget/match by \$16,500 and reduce the CIP cost overrun account by the same amount, and increase the UDOT grant budget by \$49,500. This action will leave a balance of \$238,654 in the Fiscal Year 03-04 CIP cost over run account. UDOT has agreed to cover it's portion of the increased costs.

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary budget adjustment to facilitate this project.

Japan		46
	Redwood Road Sidewalk - Job	
	0102132	
- 第二年 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #A-1	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	2005-06
Initiative Number	REPUBLIC	Fiscal Year
Initiative Number Community Development - HAND	NOTE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	Fiscal Year New
Department	550.085	Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins		535-6136/535-6150
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
		,
General Fund (Fund Balance) Ir	mpact	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	1st Year	2nd Year
Conord Fund	FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund	ΨΟ	
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
•		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		, , ,
83-06050 UDOT Grant	\$ 49,500.00	
Total	\$ 49,500.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:		
New Number of FTE's	0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		0
Total	0.00	0
Description		
·		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicab	le: NA
Revenue Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83-06050	1370	\$ 49,500.00
		· ·
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83-06049	2700	16,500.00
83-06050	2700	49,500.00
83-04099	2700	(16,500.00)
Additional Accounting Details:	,	
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee position	s?	NA NA
Is there a potential for grant to	continue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is	it expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the	grant?	NA
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?	NA NA
Will grant impact the communit	y once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services p	provided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA NA

Initiative Nam	ne:
	700 South Jordan River Bridge Replacement - Job 107088
Initiative Num	nber:
	BA#5 FY 2006 Initiative #A-2
Initiative Type	e:
	New

Initiative Discussion:

The 700 South Jordan River Bridge replacement was awarded \$900,000 of Federal Highway funding during FY03-04. The required Match of \$200,000 was also awarded during the FY03-04 CIP Process from the Class "C" fund.

This project was recently bid and the Engineering Division received two (2) bids. The low bid came in \$360,000 over the Engineers estimate. The escalating construction costs currently being experienced is even greater for bridge construction projects. The City attempted to secure additional federal funds for the increase, but recent reallocation of federal bridge replacement funds has resulted in a significant dollar decrease to the program and funds were denied. Although the revised cost to replace this bridge is approaching the point of being cost prohibitive, Engineering recommends approval of the cost increase as it will allow the City to utilize the \$900,000 of federal funds already approved for this project. The project will be re-bid in September, with some minor changes in design and schedule requirements in an effort to receive better bids.

This request is to move \$400,000 of Class "C" funds allocated from the 900 South, Main Street to 900 West project to the 700 So. Jordan River Bridge project. The 900 So. project is substantially complete. Excess project funds are available in the 900 So. project due to good bids received and an excellent design resulting in minimal change orders and material quantity overruns.

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary budget adjustment to facilitate this project.

2. 数300 (1) 数300 (2) 数300 (3) 数300 (4) 000 (4) 0	700 South Jordan River Bridge	
77 (F)	Replacement - Job 107008	
	Initiative Name	
BA#5FY2006 Initiative #A-2		<u>2005-06</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Community Development - HAND		<u>New</u>
Department		Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins		<u>535-6136/535-6150</u>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance) Imp	pact	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	1st Year	2nd Year
	FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
	40	ΨΟ
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		·
	·	
Total	0	\$0
Staffing Impact:		4
New Number of FTE's	0	0
Existing Number of FTE's	2.22	0
Total	0.00	0
Description		

			·	
accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	2:	NA	
devenue:				
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount	
Expenditure:				
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount	
33-04035	2700	\$	(400,000.00)	
33-04038	2700	\$	400,000.00	
Additional Accounting Details:				
·				
		<u> </u>		
Grant Information:				
Grant funds employee positions?)		NA	
Crank range employee pectacine.				
ls there a potential for grant to co	ontinue?		NA	
f grant is funding a position is it				
be eliminated at the end of the gr	ant?		NA	
Will grout program be complete!	n grant funding time from 2		NA	
Will grant program be complete i	ii giant lunding time frame?		Ari	
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are			
eliminated?	2.123 tile grant fande tile		NA	
			l	
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or			

Initiative Name:		
United States Environmental Protection Agency Water Efficiency Benchmarking		
Initiative Number:		
	BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #A-3	
Initiative Type:		
·	New	
Initiative Discussion:		
	partment applied for and received this US Environmental Protection Agency \$350,000 to participate in a water efficiency benchmarking of single family	
who will provide \$20,00 benchmarking study.	City Public Utilities will partner with nine (9) other nationwide water departments 00 each (\$180,000) to Salt Lake City, to participate in the water efficiency Other water departments include Roseville CA., Las Vegas NV., Clearwater FL. O., Eugene OR., Daytona Beach FL., Denver Co., and Cary NC.	
investigator and to pro- project is to collect da and answers pertaining use of advanced techn	ncy, Salt Lake City will contract with Aqua craft Inc, to serve as the principal vide the data collection and analysis for the research study. The intent of the ta from several large water utilities across the US that will provide information g to water usage in new and existing homes, in an effort to demonstrate how the cologies can reduce water use. Fund will be used for payment to consultant grant oversight and management.	
	t the City Council adopt the necessary Resolution authorizing the Mayor to ant agreement and to appropriate the necessary budget to facilitate this grant.	

		US EPA - Water Efficiency	
		<u>Benchmarking</u>	
		Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #A-3			2005-06
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Public Utilities			<u>New</u>
Department Stephanie Duer/Sherrie Collins			Type of Initiative
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
Frepared by			r eleptione contact
General Fund (Fund Balance)	lmpa	act	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year	2nd Year
		FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		ΨΟ	ΨΟ
72 Fund Fed EPA Grant		\$ 350,000.00	
72 Fund Other Cities		\$ 180,000.00	
Total		\$ 530,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		0	0
Total		0	0
Description			
Grant Monitoring		\$ 12,375.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Benefits	<u></u>	\$ 4,409.00	
		1	

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicab	le:	
Revenue:	Ohio et Conto Number	1	Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number 1370	\$	350,000.00
72- New Cost Center	1890	\$	180,000.00
72- New Cost Center	1890	Ψ	100,000.00
		\$	530,000.00
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72- New Cost Center	2590	\$	530,000.00
		<u> </u>	
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee position	ns?		
Is there a potential for grant to	continue?		No
If grant is funding a position is			NA
be eliminated at the end of the	grants		NA .
Will grant program be complet	e in grant funding time frame?		Yes
Trin grant program be complete	grant tananing time manner	-	
Will grant impact the commun	ity once the grant funds are		
eliminated?			No
Does grant duplicate services	provided by private or		
Non-profit sector?			No

Initiative Name:					
	L	ocal First Car	npaign		
I . : 4! - 4! NI			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Initiative Number:		·			
Initiative Trace	BA#	5 FY2006 Initia	ative #A-4		
Initiative Type:		New			
Salt Lake City grant initiate a Local Fir businesses. Websi the Local First. The consumers to Buy community, contribution community being diaccounting, and local has been delayed additional \$20,000 momentum.	rst Campaign. The ite, brochure, wind ite, brochure, wind ite campaign is a Local First since ute economic vital istributed to other cal service vendors, and in order to	ne Local First dow decals and grass roots s local business lity, and bolste local business s. The Local F o keep the o	directory now dimedia campai support of local es help to crear the local econes in support seirst Campaign's ampaign moments.	has over 500 gn have been businesses to the a sense of omy by dollars ervices such a request for 50 entum, has r	participating produced for to encourage place in our separation the sadvertising of 1(c) 6 status equested ar

	r (NACHOR), KONGO (NACHO), SANGAN MACHANING MACHANING MACHANING AND	E L
	<u>Local First Campaign</u>	
	Initiative Name	estiteedulinkuitusisessa aan aan aan aan aan aan ah aan aan ah aan aan
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #A-4		<u>2005-06</u>
Initiative Number	and an arrangement of the second of the seco	Fiscal Year
Mayor's Office		<u>New</u>
Department		Type of Initiative
Alison McFarlane		<u>535-7704</u>
Prepared By	·	Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance)	(\$20,000)	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	1st Year	2nd Year
Nevenue impact by i and.	FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
General Fund	11 2005-00	1 2000-07
General i unu		· ·
Total	to.	40
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
·		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	0	\$0
Total		Ψ0
Staffing Impact:		
New Number of FTE's	0	0
Existing Number of FTE's	0	0
Total	0	0
Description		
Description		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•		

		,	
Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicab	ole:	
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
General Fund	Fund Balance	\$	20,000.00
General i unu	T una Dalanoc		20,000.00
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
09- New Cost Center	2328	\$	20,000.00
<u> </u>			
Additional Accounting Details:			
	<u> </u>		
	 		
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions	5?		N/A
Is there a potential for grant to o	continue?		N/A
If			
If grant is funding a position is			N/A
be eliminated at the end of the g	Jiant :		IV/A
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?		N/A
Will grant impact the communit	y once the grant funds are		
eliminated?	y once the grant funds are		N/A
emmiated:			14/5
Does grant duplicate services p	provided by private or		
Non-profit sector?			N/A
	·		

Initiative Number: BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #A-5 Initiative Type: New Initiative Discussion: There has been an increase in the costs for operation and maintenance of City street lights. The operation and maintenance costs include costs for supplying electricity to the street lights as well as the basic maintenance services such as replacement of bulbs and other basic fixture components. The budget does not include services for non-basic repairs such as pole knock-downs and deteriorating infrastructure (poles, wiring, etc.). The increase in costs is due to increasing power rates (electricity), increasing maintenance costs (materials, fuel and labor) and an increase in the number of lights operated by the City. The City operates and maintains over 14,000 street lights. The operation and maintenance of street lights is essential to the safety and security of the public right-of-way. A private contractor is scheduled to begin providing maintenance service April 1st at less cost than UP&L, but the savings will not offset the other cost increases. UP&L has announced another significant power rate increase proposal. This will be taken into account in the budget proposal for next fiscal year. This request is asking for \$150,000 from the General Fund Fund Balance. In addition in the	nitiative Name:
Initiative Type: New Initiative Discussion: There has been an increase in the costs for operation and maintenance of City street lights. The operation and maintenance costs include costs for supplying electricity to the street lights as well as the basic maintenance services such as replacement of bulbs and other basic fixture components. The budget does not include services for non-basic repairs such as pole knock-downs and deteriorating infrastructure (poles, wiring, etc.). The increase in costs is due to increasing power rates (electricity), increasing maintenance costs (materials, fuel and labor) and an increase in the number of lights operated by the City. The City operates and maintains over 14,000 street lights. The operation and maintenance of street lights is essential to the safety and security of the public right-of-way. A private contractor is scheduled to begin providing maintenance service April 1st at less cost than UP&L, but the savings will not offset the other cost increases. UP&L has announced another significant power rate increase proposal. This will be taken into account in the budget proposal for next fiscal year. This request is asking for \$150,000 from the General Fund Fund Balance. In addition in the	Street Lighting Operation and Maintenance
Initiative Type: New Initiative Discussion: There has been an increase in the costs for operation and maintenance of City street lights. The operation and maintenance costs include costs for supplying electricity to the street lights as well as the basic maintenance services such as replacement of bulbs and other basic fixture components. The budget does not include services for non-basic repairs such as pole knock-downs and deteriorating infrastructure (poles, wiring, etc.). The increase in costs is due to increasing power rates (electricity), increasing maintenance costs (materials, fuel and labor) and an increase in the number of lights operated by the City. The City operates and maintains over 14,000 street lights. The operation and maintenance of street lights is essential to the safety and security of the public right-of-way. A private contractor is scheduled to begin providing maintenance service April 1st at less cost than UP&L, but the savings will not offset the other cost increases. UP&L has announced another significant power rate increase proposal. This will be taken into account in the budget proposal for next fiscal year. This request is asking for \$150,000 from the General Fund Fund Balance. In addition in the	nitiative Number:
Initiative Discussion: There has been an increase in the costs for operation and maintenance of City street lights. The operation and maintenance costs include costs for supplying electricity to the street lights as well as the basic maintenance services such as replacement of bulbs and other basic fixture components. The budget does not include services for non-basic repairs such as pole knock-downs and deteriorating infrastructure (poles, wiring, etc.). The increase in costs is due to increasing power rates (electricity), increasing maintenance costs (materials, fuel and labor) and an increase in the number of lights operated by the City. The City operates and maintains over 14,000 street lights. The operation and maintenance of street lights is essential to the safety and security of the public right-of-way. A private contractor is scheduled to begin providing maintenance service April 1st at less cost than UP&L, but the savings will not offset the other cost increases. UP&L has announced another significant power rate increase proposal. This will be taken into account in the budget proposal for next fiscal year. This request is asking for \$150,000 from the General Fund Fund Balance. In addition in the	
Initiative Discussion: There has been an increase in the costs for operation and maintenance of City street lights. The operation and maintenance costs include costs for supplying electricity to the street lights as well as the basic maintenance services such as replacement of bulbs and other basic fixture components. The budget does not include services for non-basic repairs such as pole knock-downs and deteriorating infrastructure (poles, wiring, etc.). The increase in costs is due to increasing power rates (electricity), increasing maintenance costs (materials, fuel and labor) and an increase in the number of lights operated by the City. The City operates and maintains over 14,000 street lights. The operation and maintenance of street lights is essential to the safety and security of the public right-of-way. A private contractor is scheduled to begin providing maintenance service April 1st at less cost than UP&L, but the savings will not offset the other cost increases. UP&L has announced another significant power rate increase proposal. This will be taken into account in the budget proposal for next fiscal year. This request is asking for \$150,000 from the General Fund Fund Balance. In addition in the	
There has been an increase in the costs for operation and maintenance of City street lights. The operation and maintenance costs include costs for supplying electricity to the street lights as well as the basic maintenance services such as replacement of bulbs and other basic fixture components. The budget does not include services for non-basic repairs such as pole knock-downs and deteriorating infrastructure (poles, wiring, etc.). The increase in costs is due to increasing power rates (electricity), increasing maintenance costs (materials, fuel and labor) and an increase in the number of lights operated by the City. The City operates and maintains over 14,000 street lights. The operation and maintenance of street lights is essential to the safety and security of the public right-of-way. A private contractor is scheduled to begin providing maintenance service April 1st at less cost than UP&L, but the savings will not offset the other cost increases. UP&L has announced another significant power rate increase proposal. This will be taken into account in the budget proposal for next fiscal year. This request is asking for \$150,000 from the General Fund Fund Balance. In addition in the	
There has been an increase in the costs for operation and maintenance of City street lights. The operation and maintenance costs include costs for supplying electricity to the street lights as well as the basic maintenance services such as replacement of bulbs and other basic fixture components. The budget does not include services for non-basic repairs such as pole knock-downs and deteriorating infrastructure (poles, wiring, etc.). The increase in costs is due to increasing power rates (electricity), increasing maintenance costs (materials, fuel and labor) and an increase in the number of lights operated by the City. The City operates and maintains over 14,000 street lights. The operation and maintenance of street lights is essential to the safety and security of the public right-of-way. A private contractor is scheduled to begin providing maintenance service April 1st at less cost than UP&L, but the savings will not offset the other cost increases. UP&L has announced another significant power rate increase proposal. This will be taken into account in the budget proposal for next fiscal year. This request is asking for \$150,000 from the General Fund Fund Balance. In addition in the	
There has been an increase in the costs for operation and maintenance of City street lights. The operation and maintenance costs include costs for supplying electricity to the street lights as well as the basic maintenance services such as replacement of bulbs and other basic fixture components. The budget does not include services for non-basic repairs such as pole knock-downs and deteriorating infrastructure (poles, wiring, etc.). The increase in costs is due to increasing power rates (electricity), increasing maintenance costs (materials, fuel and labor) and an increase in the number of lights operated by the City. The City operates and maintains over 14,000 street lights. The operation and maintenance of street lights is essential to the safety and security of the public right-of-way. A private contractor is scheduled to begin providing maintenance service April 1st at less cost than UP&L, but the savings will not offset the other cost increases. UP&L has announced another significant power rate increase proposal. This will be taken into account in the budget proposal for next fiscal year. This request is asking for \$150,000 from the General Fund Fund Balance. In addition in the	nitiative Discussion:
collected for street lighting power and maintenance, but were not used in a previous fiscal	The operation and maintenance costs include costs for supplying electricity to the street light as well as the basic maintenance services such as replacement of bulbs and other basic fixture components. The budget does not include services for non-basic repairs such as pole knock-downs and deteriorating infrastructure (poles, wiring, etc.). The increase in costs is due to increasing power rates (electricity), increasing maintenance costs (materials, fuel and labor) and an increase in the number of lights operated by the City. The City operates and maintains over 14,000 street lights. The operation and maintenance of street lights is essential to the safety and security of the public right-of-way. A private contractor is schedule to begin providing maintenance service April 1st at less cost than UP&L, but the savings will not offset the other cost increases. UP&L has announced another significant power rate increase proposal. This will be taken into account in the budget proposal for next fiscal year. This request is asking for \$150,000 from the General Fund Fund Balance. In addition in the SID maintenance account there is a surplus of \$32,203.79. This account contains funds
The state of the s	years.
years.	

	The state of the s	hting Operation and Maintenance	
		Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #A-5			2005-06
Initiative Number	TO SELECTION OF THE SECOND OF		Fiscal Year
Comm Dev - Transportation			<u>New</u>
Department			Type of Initiative
Michael Barry			535-7147
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
Frepared by			1 diophone contact
General Fund (Fund Balance)		(\$150,000)	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year	2nd Year
		FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
General Fund			
Non Dept Transfer from SID	\$	32,203.79	
Total	\$	32,203.79	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		0	0
Total		0	. 0
Description			
		4	
			1
		·	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicab	e:	
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
	1974-06	\$	32,203.79
09-00800	1974-00	Ψ	32,203.19
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
09-00800	2331	\$	182,203.79
30-02331	2910-08	\$	32,203.79
	•		
Additional Accounting Details:			
Additional Accounting Documen			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee position	s?		N/A
Is there a potential for grant to	continue?		N/A
If grant is funding a position is	it expected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the			N/A
Will grant program be complete	e in grant funding time frame?		N/A
Will grant impact the communi	ty once the grant funds are		
eliminated?			N/A
Does grant duplicate services p	provided by private or		
Non-profit sector?			N/A

Street Light Extraordinary Maintenance	
Initiative Number:	
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #A-6	
Initiative Type:	
New	
Initiative Discussion:	
There has been a trend of increasing expenditures to the street lighting extraordinary maintenance. This maintenance covers fees for repairs to the street lighting system to ke operational. Typical repairs include fixing damaged wiring systems (both above and below ground), replacing downed street lighting poles, and making safe (for the public) the local where damage has occurred. There are many factors that have increased the costs for the maintenance with the foremost being increases to fuel costs and the cost of steel product Other factors are the continual aging of our overall system, more use of underground powervice, and an increase in knockdowns of decorative style poles. Without this increase in the possible to do the needed repairs. The areas with non-functioning lights will remainder.	v tions nis ts. ver will
dark at night.	11 1

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Street Light Extraordinary Maintenance	
	Maintenance Name of Initiative	
		2005-06
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #A-6		
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Com Dev - Transportation		<u>New</u>
Department		Type of Initiative
<u>Michael Barry</u>		<u>535-7147</u>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance)	(\$40,000)	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	1st Year	2nd Year
	FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
-		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund	·	
	00	
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
	00	# 0
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
		#0
Total	0	\$0
Staffing Impact:	0	0
New Number of FTE's Existing Number of FTE's	0	0
Total	0	. 0
	0	
Description		
		·
	·	
		·

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	e:	
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
	•		
			to the state of th
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
03-12300	2358-03	\$	40,000.00
Additional Association Detailed			
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:		-	,
Grant funds employee positions?			N/A
Grant rando empreyos poetas no			
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?		N/A
If grant is funding a position is it e			NI/A
be eliminated at the end of the gra	ant?		N/A
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?		N/A
Will grant impact the community o	once the grant funds are		NI/A
eliminated?	·		N/A
	uidad ku pukusta ay	-	
Does grant duplicate services pro Non-profit sector?	ovided by private or		N/A

.

Initiative Name:		
	Strong/Fenway SID Construction	
Initiative Number:		
	BA#5 FY 2006 Initiative #A-7	
Initiative Type:		
	New	

Initiative Discussion:

The Strong Court/Fenway Avenue SID projects were awarded CDBG funds in the 04-05 CDBG process for design, and CIP funds in 05-06 for the City's portion of the SID for construction.

These projects were recently bid and the Engineering Division received one (1) bid which exceeded the engineer's estimate. The bid is higher due to the increased costs for street lighting, concrete pavement, excavation and road base. Due to increasing costs, re-bidding the project at a later date may not result in lower bid prices.

Discussions are currently underway with the Strong Court and Fenway Avenue property owners to receive their input on a proposed SID assessment increase. Further discussions are being held with the property owners on Strong Court to determine if they want to include the construction of the street lights or proceed without the lights.

This request would increase the City's portion of the SID for Strong Court by \$15,000 and Fenway Avenue for \$17,000. This request is to reduce the 04-05 CIP fund balance by \$32,000 and increase the budgets in the Strong Court and Fenway Avenue projects.

In addition, this request proposes the increase of the property owners SID budgets. The budget increase for Strong Court including the lights would be \$25,000 and for Fenway Avenue \$17,000. This request assumes property owners are in agreement with proceeding based on higher assessments.

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary budget adjustments to facilitate this project.

	Administration of the Committee of the	36
	Strong/Fenway SID Construction	
	Initiative Name	
BA#5FY2006 Initiative #A-7	midative Name	2005-06
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Community Development - HAND	An Annual	New
Department	77.00	Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins	E TELEVISION CONTRACTOR CONTRACTO	535-613 <u>6/535-6150</u>
Prepared By	SBM-1-9-14	Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance)	Impact	
	4at Vaar	2nd Voor
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>1st Year</u> FY 2005-06	<u>2nd Year</u> FY 2006-07
General Fund	F1 2003-00	1 1 2000-01
General Fullu		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
	·	
Tatal	\$0	\$0
Total	\$0!	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
CIP 83 Fund SID	\$ 42,000.00	
·		
Total	\$ 42,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:		
New Number of FTE's	0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		0
Total	0.00	. 0
Description		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicabl	e:	NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
83-06071	1890	\$	25,000.00
83-06072	1890	\$	17,000.00
Expenditure:	Object Code Number		A
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number 2700	<u> </u>	Amount 32,000.00
83-05023 83-04099	2700	\$	(32,000.00)
83-06071	2700	\$	25,000.00
83-06072	2700	\$	17,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee position	s?		N/A
Is there a potential for grant to	continue?		N/A
If grant is funding a position is	it expected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the			N/A
Will grant program be complete	e in grant funding time frame?		N/A
Will grant impact the communi eliminated?	ty once the grant funds are		N/A
			14/7
Does grant duplicate services	provided by private or		N/A
Non-profit sector?			N/A

Initiative Name:	
·	The Leonardo at Library Square Building Remodel
Initiative Number:	
·	BA#5 FY 2006 Initiative #A-8
Initiative Type:	
	New Item

Initiative Discussion:

In 2003 the public approved the issuance by the City of general obligation bonds to finance the retrofitting and renovation of the Main Salt Lake City Library located at 200 East 500 South into an art, cultural and science center. The issuance of the bonds is contingent on the Leonardo Foundation raising a matching \$10,200,000. The Leonardo Foundation has matching funds in the amount of \$10,213,000 but the City has not certified those funds yet. The matching funds the City has certified are as follows:

				ec.					06		
		es							64		
St									496		
					tior				338		
			ıtcl						04		

The additional \$150,000 that needs to be certified will be done before the amendment is passed. The \$10 million will be used to retrofit and renovate the existing building. The extent of the renovation will depend upon the value the \$10 million will purchase with today's construction costs. Those dollars will be approximately \$8 million when the construction begin later this year. The funding is being requested at this time to fund the design work.

Retrofitting for earthquake will be the first priority of the renovation.

Any additional construction cost above the \$10 million will be funded by additional donation, contributions or grants the foundation might obtain.

The Engineering Division of the Public Service Department will be responsible for the construction and renovation of the building.

The foundation will be responsible for all of the interior design and remodeling.

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary budget to facilitate this project.

	The Leonardo at Library Square	
	Building Remodel	
	Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #A-8		<u>2005-06</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Community Development		New Item
Department		Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins		<u>535-6136/535-6150</u>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance) I	mpact	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	1st Year	2nd Year
	FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
nternal Service Fund		
·		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
	4	
Total	\$0	9 \$0
Other Fund		·
83 CIP Bond Proceeds	\$ 10,200,000.00	
		·
Total	\$ 10,200,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:		
New Number of FTE's	C	
Existing Number of FTE's		0
Total	0.00	0
Description		
		<u> </u>
		1 1

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA	_
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
83- New Cost Center	1980	\$ 10,200,000.00	+
	1000	Ψ 10,200,000.00	+
			L
			+
			†
			1
			ł
			1
	·		+
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	I
83- New Cost Center	2700	\$ 10,200,000.00	+
			t
			1
			+
			1
			\downarrow
			\dagger
Additional Accounting Details:			1
			+
			\dagger
			1
			+
			1
Grant Information:			1
Grant funds employee positions	<i>(</i>	N/A	+
Is there a potential for grant to c	ontinue?	N/A	1
			4
If grant is funding a position is it be eliminated at the end of the g		N/A	+
	·		1
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?	N/A	1
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are		+
eliminated?	The street stree	N/A	\dagger
			1
Does grant duplicate services pr	ovided by private or	NI/A	+
Non-profit sector?		N/A	\downarrow

Initiative Name:
Open Space, Park and Recreational Lands
Initiative Number:
BA#5 FY 2006 Initiative #A-9
Initiative Type:
New Item
Initiative Discussion:
On November 4, 2003, Salt Lake City residents voted to approve the allocation of \$5.4 million in bonds funds for the purpose of acquiring and preserving open space, parks, and recreation lands and amenities within Salt Lake City or its environs. In November, 2004 the Salt Lake City Council created a Salt Lake City ordinance enacting Chapter 2.88 of the Salt lake City Code creating the Salt lake City Open Space Lands Program, and the Salt Lake City Open Space Lands Fund. The purpose of the Program is to provide an administrative structure for the protection, acquisition management and compatible of open space lands in Salt Lake City, and to implement the bond measure passed on November 4, 2003.
The Fund is created as a designated account within the accounting fund structure of the City that shall be used exclusively to acquire, preserve, protect and maintain open space lands.
No expenditure can be made from the Fund without prior approval of the City Council
It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary budget to facilitate this project.

	0000	saca Barka and Baaraadia	
	<u>Open Sp</u>	pace, Parks and Recreational	
		<u>Lands</u>	
<u></u>		Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #A-9			<u>2005-06</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Community Development			New Item
Department			Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins			<u>535-6136/535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance)	Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year	2nd Year
10 o o na o na paoc 2 y 1 an an		FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
nternal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		Ψ 0 1	*
33 CIP Bond Proceeds	\$	5,400,000.00	· ·
Total	\$	5,400,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:	į		
New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's			0
Total		0.00	0
Description			
	i		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83- New Cost Center	1980	\$ 5,400,000.00
	1000	Ψ 0,100,000.00
·		
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83- New Cost Center	2700	\$ 5,400,000.00
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	· .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	·	
Additional Accounting Details:		
Cuant Information		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions	?	N/A
Is there a potential for grant to c	ontinue?	N/A
		IV/A
If grant is funding a position is i be eliminated at the end of the g		N/A
be eminiated at the end of the g		IN/A
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?	N/A
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		N/A
Does grant duplicate services p	rovided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		N/A

Initiative Name:					
Executive Office of the President, Office of National Drug Control - Rocky Mountain HIDTA Grant					
Initiative Number:					
BA#5FY 2006 Initiative #B-1					
Initiative Type:					
Grants for Existing Staff Resources					
Initiative Discussion:					
The Police Department applied for and has received confirmation that the City will be receiving a in the amount of \$145,800 from the Executive Office of the President, Office of National Drug Co The grant will continue to fund three (3) Officers assigned to the Metro Narcotics/Drug Enforcer of which one (1) Officer is assigned to the Airport working with a drug detection dog.	ntro				
Although the grant caps benefits at 35% of base salary, the remaining funds needed are budgete within the Police Department's general fund budget.	ed fo				
There is no required match.					
It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary budget to facilitate this grant. A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.					

	Off	cutive Office of the President, ice of National Drug Control - ocky Mountain HIDTA Grant	
		Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #B-1			<u>2005-06</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
			Grants for Existing
Police Department			Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn/Sherrie Collins	_		<u>799-3265/535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance)	Impa	ct	
			01.
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year	2nd Year
		FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
General Fund	+	<u> </u>	
Tota	,1	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund	-	Ψ0	1 40
internal Service Fund	+		
Tota		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund	<u> </u>		
Tota	ıl	\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72 Fund Fed Grant		\$ 145,800.00	
Tota	ai	\$ 145,800.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		3	0
Total		3	0
Description			
	-		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicab	le:	
Revenue:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	00
72- New Cost Center	1370	\$ 145,800.	00
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	00
72- New Cost Center	2111-01 2191-10	\$ 108,000. \$ 37,800.	
	2191-10	\$ 37,800.	UU
		\$ 145,800.	.00
·			
	:		
Additional Accounting Details:			
		·	
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?		Yes	
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	Yes	
If grant is funding a position is it e	exposted the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the gra		Yes	
be eminiated at the end of the gra	411.	163	
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	Yes	
Will grant impact the community of	once the grant funds are		
eliminated?		No	
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or	Al -	
Non-profit sector?		No	

Initiative Name:

State of Utah, Department of Public Safety, Emergency Management Performance Grant (EMPG)

Initiative Number:

BA#5FY 2006 Initiative #B-2

Initiative Type:

Grant for Existing Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

Management Services receives this grant annually from the State of Utah, Division of Emergency Services and Homeland Security. It is awarded to Salt Lake City to defray some of the costs associated with the Emergency Managers salary and benefits.

The \$24,000 awarded will be used to defray salary expenses of the Emergency Managers Position. This position is responsible to design, implement and apply the Emergency Operating Procedure manual and to educate Salt Lake City Corporation, community groups and local businesses of emergency procedures and policies in the event of a natural disaster, or terrorist attack.

The grant requires a 100% or \$24,000 match which is met within Management Services general fund budget.

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary budget to facilitate this grant. A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

		nance i komposació sabbidido California de Sal	No of the control of	
	State of	Utah, Department Of Public		
		y, Emergency Management		
		formance Grant (EMPG)		
	191	Initiative Name	220	
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #B-2			2005-06	
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year	
			Grant for Existing Staff	
Management Services			Resources	
Department			Type of Initiative	-
Mike Stever/Sherrie Collins	L		<u>535-6030/535-6150</u>	
Prepared By			Telephone Contact	
General Fund (Fund Balance)	Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year	2nd Year	
		FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07	
General Fund				
			6 0	
Total		\$0	\$0	
Internal Service Fund				
:				
T-1-1		#O	40	
Total		\$0	\$0	
Enterprise Fund		· · ·		
Total		\$0	\$0	
Other Fund		\$U	1	
	•	24 000 00		
72 Fund- State of Utah Grant	\$	24,000.00		
Total	\$	24,000.00	\$0	
lotai	Ψ	24,000.00	90	
Staffing Impact:				
New Number of FTE's		0	0	
Existing Number of FTE's		1	0	
Total		1	0	
Description		-		
Doonpaon				
:				

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	97.042 EMPG-2006-DHLS-CTY17
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72- New Cost Center	1370	\$ 24,000.00
72- New Cost Genter	1070	Ψ Σησούσ
Expenditure:	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number 72- New Cost Center	Object Code Number 2590	\$ 24,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions	?	Yes
Is there a potential for grant to c	continue?	Yes
If grant is funding a position is i		NA
be eliminated at the end of the g	rant (NA NA
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		No ·
Does grant duplicate services p	rovided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No ·

Initiative Name:	
State of	Utah West Valley City Pass Through - Project Safe Neighborhood
Initiative Numbe	or:
	BA#5FY 2006 Initiative #B-3
Initiative Type:	
	Grant for Existing Staff Resources
Initiative Discus	sion:
funding from We a Sub recipient o This grant is awa juvenile violent o	ghborhood Development applied for and received this \$20,000 pass through st Valley City. It is a State pass through grant to West Valley with SLC being f West Valley. This is the third year that the City has received these funds. rded to deter gun violence in the Weed and Seed area through the adult and ffender re-entry pilot program, gun violence prevention education for at-risk rating new crime -mapping software to facility cross -jurisdictional data
work with the Sal involving domest the City's Weed a	be used to continue to support an hourly Paralegal/Executive Assistant to t Lake City Prosecutors Division in identifying and filing appropriate cases ic violence, gang and juvenile incidences with firearms that are coming from and Seed targeted area. This is an hourly position being paid approximately for providing approximately 624 hours of service.
Resolution was p	ed that the City Council adopt the necessary budget to facilitate this grant. A previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant and any or agreements that stem from the original grant.

Total \$0	Initiative Name			ley City Pass Through-	
BA#5 FY206 Initiative #B-3	BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #B-3		Projec		HE H
Initiative Number Community Development Department LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins Prepared By General Fund (Fund Balance) Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: Total To	Initiative Number	DAME EVOCAC Initiative #D 2		Initiative Name	0005
Community Development Department Depar	Community Development Department Department Type of Initiative Type of Initiative Department Department Department Department Type of Initiative Department Departm				
Resources Type of Initiative Type of Initiative S35-6136/535-6150 Telephone Contact	Community Development Department Department Department Department Type of Initiative S35-6136/535-6150 Telephone Contact	initiative Number			——————————————————————————————————————
Type of Initiative Type of Initiative EuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins 535-6136/535-6150 Telephone Contact	Department	Community Development			
LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins 535-6136/535-6150 Prepared By Telephone Contact General Fund (Fund Balance) Impact Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: 1st Year 2nd Year FY 2005-06 FY 2006-07 General Fund \$0 \$0 Internal Service Fund \$0 \$0 Enterprise Fund \$0 \$0 Other Fund \$0 \$0 72 Fund State of Utah Grant \$0,000.00 \$0 Staffing Impact: Number of FTE's \$0 \$0 Existing Number of FTE's \$0 \$0 Total \$0 \$0 Description \$0 \$0	LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins				
Prepared By Telephone Contact	Prepared By Telephone Contact				
See the content of	See Fund Fund Balance Impact Impact Ist Year FY 2005-06 FY 2006-07				
FY 2005-06 FY 2006-07	FY 2005-06	General Fund (Fund Balance)			
Total \$0	Total \$0 \$0	Revenue Impact By Fund:			
Total \$0 \$0 \$0 Internal Service Fund	Total \$0 \$0 \$0			FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
Total \$0 \$0	Total \$0 \$0	General Fund			
Total \$0 \$0	Total \$0 \$0				
Total \$0 \$0	Total \$0 \$0	Total		\$0	\$0
Total \$0	Total \$0 \$0 \$0	nternal Service Fund			
Total \$0	Total \$0 \$0 \$0				
Total \$0	Total \$0 \$0 \$0				
Total \$0 \$0	Total \$0 \$0	Total	·	\$0	\$0
Other Fund \$ 20,000.00 72 Fund State of Utah Grant \$ 20,000.00 Total \$ 20,000.00 Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's 0 Existing Number of FTE's 0 Total 0 Description 0	Other Fund \$ 20,000.00 72 Fund State of Utah Grant \$ 20,000.00 Total \$ 20,000.00 Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's 0 Existing Number of FTE's 0 Total 0 Description 0	Enterprise Fund			
Other Fund \$ 20,000.00 72 Fund State of Utah Grant \$ 20,000.00 Total \$ 20,000.00 Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's 0 Existing Number of FTE's 0 Total 0 Description 0	Other Fund \$ 20,000.00 72 Fund State of Utah Grant \$ 20,000.00 Total \$ 20,000.00 Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's 0 Existing Number of FTE's 0 Total 0 Description 0				
Other Fund \$ 20,000.00 72 Fund State of Utah Grant \$ 20,000.00 Total \$ 20,000.00 Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's 0 Existing Number of FTE's 0 Total 0 Description 0	Other Fund \$ 20,000.00 72 Fund State of Utah Grant \$ 20,000.00 Total \$ 20,000.00 Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's 0 Existing Number of FTE's 0 Total 0 Description 0				
Total \$ 20,000.00 \$0	Total \$ 20,000.00 \$0			\$0	\$0
Total \$ 20,000.00 \$0 Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's 0 0 Existing Number of FTE's 0 Total 0 0 Description	Total \$ 20,000.00 \$0 Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's 0 0 Existing Number of FTE's 0 Total 0 0 Description				
Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's 0 0 Existing Number of FTE's 0 Total 0 0 Description	Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's 0 0 Existing Number of FTE's 0 Total 0 0 Description	72 Fund State of Utah Grant	\$	20,000.00	·
Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's 0 0 Existing Number of FTE's 0 Total 0 0 Description	Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's 0 0 Existing Number of FTE's 0 Total 0 0 Description	Total	•	20,000,00	••
New Number of FTE's 0 0 0 Existing Number of FTE's 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	New Number of FTE's 0 0 Existing Number of FTE's 0 Total 0 0 Description	Total	Φ	20,000.00	\$0
New Number of FTE's 0 0 0 Existing Number of FTE's 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	New Number of FTE's 0 0 Existing Number of FTE's 0 Total 0 0 Description	Staffing Impact:			
Total 0 0 Description	Total 0 0 Description	New Number of FTE's		0	0
Description	Description			·	0
				0	0
		Description			
		1 PTE Hrly Position			
			•		

Accounting Detail	Constitution CEDA Life A Life A		
Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72- New Cost Center	1890	\$	20,000.00
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72- New Cost Center Additional Accounting Details:	2590	\$	20,000.00
Grant Information:		-	
Grant funds employee positions	?		Yes
ls there a potential for grant to c	ontinue?		Yes
If grant is funding a position is it	expected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the g	rant?	+	Yes
Will grant program be complete i	n grant funding time frame?		Yes
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are		
eliminated?			No
Does grant duplicate services pr	ovided by private or		

	Risk Fund Workers Comp & Unemployment
Initiative Number:	
	BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #D-1
Initiative Type:	
	Housekeeping
	on: ects the workers compensation and unemployment premiums from the ments. The Risk Fund then makes the payments to the third party
	crease the Risk Fund revenue and expenditure budgets for higher than
expecied workers c	Compensation and Unemployment activity during the current fiscal year.
expected workers c	compensation and Unemployment activity during the current fiscal year.
expecieu workers c	compensation and Unemployment activity during the current fiscal year.
expected workers c	ompensation and Unemployment activity during the current fiscal year.
expected workers o	compensation and Unemployment activity during the current riscal year.
expected workers o	ompensation and Unemployment activity during the current riscal year.
expecieu workers c	ompensation and Unemployment activity during the current riscal year.
expected workers c	ompensation and Unemployment activity during the current riscal year.
expected workers c	ompensation and Unemployment activity during the current riscal year.
	compensation and Unemployment activity during the current fiscal year.

	Risk F	Fund Workers Comp & Unemployment Initiative Name	
BA#5 Initiative #D-1			<u>2005-06</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Mgmt Serv - Finance			<u>Housekeeping</u>
Department			Type of Initiative
Jeffrey Hill			<u>535-6478</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance)	Impact		·
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year	2nd Year
		FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		Ψ0	<u> </u>
Risk Fund	\$	257,000.00	
		201,000.00	
Total	\$	257,000.00	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
Total		0	\$0
CA-ff: I A			
Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's		0	
Existing Number of FTE's		0	0
Total		0	0
Description			

~	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicab	e:	
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
37-40080	1860-60	\$	6,000.00
37-40120	1860-60	\$	6,000.00
87-40150	1860-60	\$	5,000.00
37-30030	1860	\$	3,000.00
87-30030	1860-65	\$	68,000.00
87-30540	1860	\$	4,000.00
87-30540	1860-65	\$	138,000.00
87-30190	1860	\$	1,000.00
87-30190	1860-65	\$	17,000.00
87-30120	1860	\$	5,000.00
87-30120	1860-65	\$	4,000.00
		\$	257,000.00
		T	
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
27.40000	0400	•	
87-40080	2196	\$	6,000.00
87-40120	2196	\$	6,000.00
87-40150	2196	\$	5,000.00
87-30030	2197-02	\$	71,000.00
87-30190	2565	\$	1,000.00
87-30190	2197-02	\$	17,000.00
87-30540	2197-01	\$	12,000.00
87-30540	2197-02	\$	94,000.00
87-30540	2197-03	\$	21,000.00
87-30540	2565	\$	15,000.00
87-30120	2197-02	\$	9,000.00
		\$	257,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?			N/A
Grant funus employee positions?			N/A
Is there a potential for grant to cont	inue?		N/A
If grant is funding a position is it ex	pected the position will		·
be eliminated at the end of the gran	t?		N/A
Will grant program be complete in g	grant funding time frame?		N/A
Will grant impact the community on	ce the grant funds are		
eliminated?			N/A
Doos grant dunlicate carriers provi	dod by private or		
Does grant duplicate services provi	ueu by private or		NI/A
Non-profit sector?		1	N/A

Initiative Name:
Bond Construction Funds Interest
Initiative Number:
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #D-2
Initiative Type: Housekeeping
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:
In April of 2004, General Obligation Bond Series 2004, was issued to fund the construction
of new facilities at the Tracy Aviary and the Hogle Zoo. At the time the bonds were issued the
proceeds were deposited with the Trustee contracted for the issue. Since then the proceeds
have been earning interest. In June of 2005 an amendment was passed to appropriate the
interest earned from the time the series of bonds was issued until the end of the 3rd quarter

A total of \$12,064 for the Aviary and \$195,135 for the Zoo has accumulated in each respective fund since the last budget amendment was passed for this purpose. These amounts reflect interest earned during the last quarter of fiscal year 2005 and the first three quarters of fiscal year 2006.

or the end of March, 2005. This amendment is to appropriate the interest earned during the

last quarter of FY 2005 and the first three quarters of FY 2006.

	Daga karang sebagai daga karang kalang karang k	
		政権を対象
# 1	Bond Construction Funds Interes	3t
	Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY 2006 Initiative #D-2		<u>2005-06</u>
Initiative Number	818.9	Fiscal Year
Mgmt Serv - Treasurer		Housekeeping
Department		Type of Initiative
Dan Mulé / Randy Hillier		<u>535-6411 / 535-6641</u>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance) Im	npact	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	1st Year	2nd Year
Nevende impaec by raina.	FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
General Fund		
,		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund	Ψ0	1
internal convictor and		·
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
CIP 83-04073 Tracy Aviary Const	\$ 12,064.00	
CIP 83-04073 Hogle Zoo Const	\$ 195,135.00	
Fund Total	\$ 207,199.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:		
New Number of FTE's Existing Number of FTE's	0	
Total		
Description) 0
Description		
· ·		
	,	
	F	1 1

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CEDA # If Applicab	le:	
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
33-04073	1830	\$	12,064.00
33-04074	1830	\$	195,135.00
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
83-04073	2700	\$	12,064.00
83-04074	2700	\$	195,135.00
Additional Description:			
The 83-04073 CC is the Tracy Aviary	Construction Fund		
The 83-04074 CC is the Hogle Zoo C	Construction Fund		
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?			NA
ls there a potential for grant to cor	ntinue?		NA
If grant is funding a position is it e	expected the position will		·
be eliminated at the end of the gra			NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?		NA
Will grant impact the community o	once the grant funds are		
eliminated?			NA
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Non-profit sector?			NA

	Housing Loans Program Income
Initiative Number:	
	BA#5 FY2006 Initiative # D-3
Initiative Type:	
	Housekeeping
Initiative Discussio	n:
Fund to the Housing	ar, the Council approved a transfer of cash from the Grants Operating Loans Fund so that all housing activities could be better accounted for ing Loans Fund. This request is to transfer the loans receivable and the
inventory of houses	held for resale to the Housing Loans Fund.
inventory of houses	
It is recommended t	
It is recommended t	held for resale to the Housing Loans Fund. hat the Council appropriate the necessary adjustments to these budgets
It is recommended t	held for resale to the Housing Loans Fund. hat the Council appropriate the necessary adjustments to these budgets ded transfer of all assets to the Housing Loans Fund.

	. National de la company d	et dan 1485 av et Santar valletina i Falandakuria esiliki albullulla	
	Housing	Loans Program Income	
		Initiative Name	
BA# X FY2006 Initiative # D-3			<u>2005-06</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Magmt Serv - Finance			<u>Housekeeping</u>
Department		·	Type of Initiative
Elwin Heilmann			<u>535-6424</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance) I	mpact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year	2nd Year
		FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
General Fund			
Total		0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		, 0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Enterprise runu			
Total		0	\$0
Other Fund			
72 Fund fund balance	\$	6,607,793.03	
Total	\$	6,607,793.03	\$0
Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		0	0
Total		0	0
Description			
2000 ptoli			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
8-78903	1974-72	\$ 3,080,888.06
8-78905	1974-72	\$ 2,597,330.94
8-78906	1974-72	\$ 929,574.03
		6,607,793.03
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-17004	2910-15	\$ 3,080,888.06
72-60011	2910-15	2,597,330.94
72-72906	2910-15	929,574.03
Additional Description:		6,607,793.03
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee position	s?	NA
ls there a potential for grant to	continue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is	it expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the		NA
Will grant program be complete	e in grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the communi	ty once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA NA
Does grant duplicate services	provided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA NA

Initiative Name:				
Recapture CIP Funds - Completed Projects				
Initiative Type:				
Housekeeping	-			
Initiative Discussion:				
This request decreases the remaining cash and budget in one (1) completed, closed CI project and increases the cash and budget of the FY05 cost over-run account. In additithis request decreases the remaining budget in one (1) project.				
It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary budget adjustment to facil	itate			
It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary budget adjustment to facil this project.	itate			

79 and 1 1		
Rec	apture CIP Funds - Completed	
	<u>Projects</u>	
	Initiative Name	
BA#5FY2006 Initiative #D-4		2005-06
Initiative Number		2005-06 Fiscal Year
Community Development - HAND		Housekeeping
Department		Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins		535-6136/535-6150
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
1 repared by		Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance) Impac	rt .	
(and Dalarice) impac		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	1st Year	2nd Vacu
Nevertue impact by fund.	FY 2005-06	2nd Year
General Fund	F1 2005-00	FY 2006-07
General Pullu		
Tatal		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	0	\$0
		ΨΟ
Staffing Impact:		
New Number of FTE's	0	0
Existing Number of FTE's	- 0	0
Total	0.00	0
Description	0.00	1 0
Description		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		·
1 1		· ·

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA		
Revenue.				
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount		
Expenditure:				
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount		
83-05099 GF Cost over-run	2700	\$ 63,391.00		
83-04019 Quiet Zone	2700	\$ (63,391.00)		
83-02048 Guardsman Way	2700	\$ (12,049.51)		
(Reduce Budget Only)				
Additional Accounting Details:				
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions	?	NA		
ls there a potential for grant to c	ontinue?	NA		
If grant is funding a position is i be eliminated at the end of the g		. NA		
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?	NA		
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are			
eliminated?		NA		
Does grant duplicate services p	rovided by private or			
Non-profit sector?		NA		

Initiative Name:
Fire Department / Urban Search and Rescue (USAR) Disaster Response Reimbursement
Initiative Number:
BA#5 FY 2006 Initiative #D-5
Initiative Type: Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
Initiative Discussion:
As a participating member of the national Urban Search and Rescue program the Fire Departmen sent some of its members to help with disaster relief for Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita.
As per the policies set forth by FEMA for all USAR Teams the Fire Department paid those individuals for the time spent assisting in the disaster recovery. FEMA will then reimburse the Fire Departmen for all costs associated with the deployment.
The Fire Department has received a portion of the reimbursement and is doing all it can to insure i receives the full reimbursement prior to the end of the fiscal year.
The Fire Department does expect to receive full reimbursement from FEMA.

	Fire Depar	tment / Urban Search and	
		JSAR) Disaster Response	
		Reimbursement	
		Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #D-5			2005-06
Initiative Number	VIIII III II		Fiscal Year
Fire Department			Housekeeping
Department			Type of Initiative
<u>John Vuyk</u>			<u>799-4210</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance)	Impact		·
Revenue Impact By Fund:		<u>1st Year</u> FY 2005-06	<u>2nd Year</u> FY 2006-07
General Fund		1 2005-00	1 1 2000-07
12-00005 FEMA Reimbursement	\$	41,825.00	
12-00120 FEMA Reimbursement	\$	311,000.00	
12-01160 FEMA Reimbursement	-	2.1,000,00	
	\$	11,600.00	
Total	\$	364,425.00	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
	•		
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
			_
Total		0	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		0	0
Total		0	. 0
Description			
			<u> </u>
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	EMW-2005-FG-1677	′2
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
12-00005	Object Code Number 1956	Amount \$ 41,825.	00
12-00120	1956	\$ 311,000.	
12-01160	1956	\$ 11,600.	00
12 01100		Ψ 11,000.	
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
12-00005	2199	\$ 41,825.	.00
12-00120	2199	\$ 311,000.	
12-01160	2199	\$ 11,600.	
· ·			
Additional Accounting Details:			
Additional Accounting Details.			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions	57	N/A	
ls there a potential for grant to o	continue?	N/A	
If grant is funding a position is i	t expected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the g	grant?	N/A	
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?	N/A	
Will grant impact the community	y once the grant funds are		
eliminated?		N/A	
Does grant duplicate services p	rovided by private or		
Non-profit sector?		N/A	

Initiative Name:
IMS Computer Rental Income
Initiative Number:
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #D-6
Initiative Type:
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:
1) The IMS rental program was created in 2000, and has been very successful in helping city departments control their desktop computers and monitor their costs. The program has helped to bring about standardization of operating systems and equipment, which assists IMS in reducing costs and maintaining a stable citywide network.
2) The IMS rental program has experienced additional growth during FY06 than anticipated. IMS estimated 304 units, but actually handled 346 units, which is 42 new computers being installed.
3) The IMS rental revenue budget for FY06 is \$423,179; actual IMS rental revenue as of 02/28/06 is \$466,400. This reflects the increase in rental units.
IMS is requesting a budget opening to increase rental revenues and expenses by \$41,430. This increase will allow IMS to recover the increased costs of these rentals.

	184		
	<u> IIV</u>	IS Computer Rental Income Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #D-6		initiative Name	 2005-06
Initiative Number			 Fiscal Year
Management Services / IMS	L_	7,2 2, 3, 2, 3, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3,	Housekeeping
Department			Type of Initiative
Daye Abbott			<u>535-6343</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance)	Impac	et	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year	 2nd Year
		FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
General Fund			
+		00	
Total nternal Service Fund		\$0	 \$0
65 Fund IMS Rental Income		\$ 41,430.00	
oo i ulid iiwio i telitai ilicollie		41,430.00	
Total	9	\$ 41,430.00	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
	•		
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		ΨΟ	 Ι ψΟ
Total		0	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		0	0
Гotal		0	. 0
Description			
	1		,

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicab	le:	
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
65-01000	1954	\$	41,430.00
	1001		+1,+00.00
Evranditura			
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
65-01000	2750	\$	41,430.00
		<u> </u>	
·		 	
			····
Additional Description:			
A Controlled Description:			
			,
Command Information			
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions	?		N/A
Crain varius employes pecialone			N/A
ls there a potential for grant to c	ontinue?		N/A
If grant is funding a position is it	avported the position will		
If grant is funding a position is it be eliminated at the end of the g		 	N/A
be eminiated at the end of the g	ant:		IN/A
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?		N/A
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are		
eliminated?	once the grant funds are		N/A
			. 107 1
Does grant duplicate services pr	ovided by private or		
Non-profit sector?			N/A

nitiative Name:					
Police Department Service Overtime Reimbursment					
Initiative Number: BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #D-7					
Houseke	eping				
Latitativa Diagonaliana					
Initiative Discussion:					
The Police Department provides services to a variety of law enforcement taskforces and offective duty events on an overtime basis. These expenses are processed thru the department's payroll system. Those reimbursements have been larger than what is budgeted for fiscal year 2006 by \$32,500. This request is to recoginize those reimbursements by increasing the overtime budget in both the department expense and the general fund revenue by \$32,500.					
2006 by \$32,500. This request is to recogin	ize those reimbursements by increasing the				
overtime budget in both the department expense	and the general rund revenue by \$32,500.				

-			
	Police Dep	<u> artment Service Overtime</u>	14.1 14.1 14.1 14.1 14.1
		<u>Reimbursement</u>	
		Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #D-7			<u>2005-06</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
<u>Police</u>			<u>Housekeeping</u>
Department			Type of Initiative
Jerry Burton			<u>799-3824</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance)	Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year	2nd Year
		FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
General Fund		00 700 00	
Off Duty Overtime Reimbursement	\$	32,500.00	
Total	\$	32,500.00	\$0
nternal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
Total		0	\$0
TOtal		UI UI	3 0
Staffing Impact:			
New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		0	0
Total		0	0
Description			
None			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		·	

.

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicab	le:	
Revenue Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amour	t
02- Police Department	1446	\$ 3	2,500.00
oz i olioo boparanoni	1770	Ψ 3	2,300.00
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amour	
02- Police Department	2133	\$ 3	2,500.00
	<u>.</u>		·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	, , ,		
Additional Accounting Details:			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-
	·		
			-
Grant Information: Grant funds employee position:	No grant funds	N/A	
Grant funds employee position	51	N/A	
Is there a potential for grant to	continue?	N/A	
If grant is funding a position is	it expected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the		N/A	
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?	N/A	
Will grant impact the communit	y once the grant funds are		
eliminated?		N/A	
Door grout dunlingto comiters			
Does grant duplicate services p Non-profit sector?	provided by private or	N/A	
promotori		IN/A	

PROMIS Grant Amendment	
Initiative Number:	
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #D-8	
Initiative Type:	
Housekeeping	•

Initiative Discussion:

The purpose of the grant is to create the Protective / Restraining Order Management Information System (PROMIS). This is a secure, web-based inter-agency information sharing network for criminal and civil domestic violence case data to identify and track protection orders and violations of protection orders. The grant was originally funded on 09/01/03 for \$500,000, of which the IMS portion of the funding for programming was \$75,000 for programming services and \$10,000 for capital expenditure. The funding was from 09/01/03 to 08/31/05.

The first extension to the grant was to extend the time frame only from 09/01/05 to 12/31/05.

The second extension is to extend the time frame from 01/01/06 to 07/31/06. The total grant will remain at the funded \$500,000; however, the categories for funding have been modified resulting in \$58,000 of additional monies awarded to IMS for completion of the programming.

The PROMIS grant modification has been awarded to Salt Lake City for the completion of the project. The approved grant fund modifications is for specific programming that will need to be completed within the time restraints of the grant terms and conditions. This modification will specifically extend the capacities of the Domestic Violence case filing system. Enhancements will be made that will make delivering the discoverable documents for the defense attorney quicker and recording these results. The system will provide these case filings by either of three methods:

- 1. Prosecutors printing a packet of discoverable documents that can be delivered to the defense
- 2. Prosecutors e-mail a secured packet of discoverable documents to the defense
- 3. Prosecutors providing a secured web based system for the defense to retrieve the discoverable documents

The system will record each of these steps so there is an audit of when the documents were delivered. Thereby speeding up the deliverable process of these documents. This will assist in fewer instances of where cases are delayed due to the defense not having these documents. This will result in a speedier process for the injured parties with fewer courts visits. In addition this will greatly reduce the time required for the prosecutor to create the discoverable document packet.

The grant summary identified the criteria for the project. IMS will follow these requirements. The software engineering design and development will be coordinated and monitored by IMS personnel and performed by qualified IMS / contract labor.

The IMS portion of the grant modifications total \$58,000 as awarded to Salt Lake City for the PROMIS project requiring software programming and computer support through IMS. Measurability of this project is the actual tracking of identified expenses and the completion of the project.

		PROMIS Grant Amendment	
·		Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #D-8			2005-06
Initiative Number Management Services / IMS Department			Fiscal Year Housekeeping Type of Initiative
Daye Abbott Prepared By			535-6343 Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance)	Impa	ct	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year	2nd Year
General Fund		FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
Ŧ.(.)		20	
Total Internal Service Fund		\$0	\$0
65 Fund IMS PROMIS Grant		\$ 36,000.00	\$ 22,000.00
amendment and extension for FY06 and FY07		30,000.00	22,000.00
Total		\$ 36,000.00	\$ 22,000.00
Enterprise Fund			
Total Other Fund		\$0	\$0
Total		0	\$0
		.	40
Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		0	0
Total		0	0
Description			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicat	ole:
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
65-03200	1954-02	\$ 36,000.00
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amarina
65-03200	2163	Amount \$ 36,000.00
00 00200	2100	Ψ 30,000.00
Additional Description:		
	·	
		<u> </u>
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions	s?	Contract Labor
ls there a potential for grant to	continue?	Until 07/31/06
If grant in funding a position is	it avported the position will	
If grant is funding a position is be eliminated at the end of the		N/A
be eminiated at the end of the	grant:	IN/A
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the communit	y once the grant funds are	·
eliminated?		Yes
Does grant duplicate services p	provided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

Initiative Name:
Move CDBG CIP from 83 to 71 Fund
Initiative Number:
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #D-9
Initiative Type:
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:
In Budget Amendment #4, the CDBG CIP Building Improvement projects were moved from the 71 fund to the 83 fund which includes all capital improvement projects. This was done in an effort to coordinate the cost over-run budgets established for CDBG and to enable HAND to better monitor and track CIP CDBG projects.
It has since been determined that this action creates an accounting problem for the Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) project tracking system and the City's Capital Improvement reporting requirements.
This request reverses the action taken in Budget Amendment #4. HAND staff will continue to coordinate the cost over-run budgets through the Budget Opening process.
It is recommended that the Council approve the necessary adjustments to the budgets to continue facilitation of these projects.

	Move C	DBG CIP From 83 to 71 Fund	
		Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative#D-9			2005-06
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Community Development			<u>Housekeeping</u>
Department			Type of Initiative
<u>LuAnn Clark/Sherrie Collins</u>			<u>535/6136/535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance)	Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year	2nd Year
Revenue Impuot By Faira.		FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
General Fund		1 1 2000 00	1 1 2000 01
onora. Tana			
Total		0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		<u> </u>	40
internal Service I unu			
Total		0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		0	\$0
Other Fund			·
83 Fund CDBG Funding	\$	(761,219.41)	
71 Fund CDBG Operating	\$	761,219.41	
Total	\$	-	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		0	0
Total		0	0
Description			
,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	-		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
71-31013	1310	\$	107,400.00
71-31013	1310	\$	15,000.00
71-31016	1310	\$	9,900.00
71-31052	1310	\$	19,600.00
71-31030	1310	\$	41,564.00
71-31058	1310	\$	62,982.00
	1310	\$	
71-31057	1310	\$	10,000.00
71-31043			17,300.00
71-31059	1310	\$	59,400.00
71-31060	1310	\$	60,000.00
71-31044	1310	\$	8,000.00
71-31055	1310	\$	5,500.00
71-31045	1310	\$	27,723.00
71-31054	1310	\$	3,400.00
71-31056	1310	\$	16,975.00
71-31036	1310	\$	118,000.00
71-30054	1310	\$	15,000.00
71-30055	1310	\$	7,500.00
71-30057	1310	\$	10,000.00
71-30059	1310	\$	7,975.41
71-30060	1310	\$	120,000.00
71-30063	1310	\$	18,000.00
		\$	761,219.41
83-06999	1310	\$	(761,219.41)
	1010	Ψ	(101,210.41)
		+	
·			
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
71-31013	Object Code Number 2590	\$	Amount 107,400.00
		\$	
71-31018	2390		15,000.00
71-31052	2590	\$	9,900.00
71-31053	2590	\$	19,600.00
71-31030	2590	\$	41,564.00
71-31058	2590	\$	62,982.00
71-31057	2590	\$	10,000.00
71-31043	2590	\$	17,300.00
71-31059	2590	\$	59,400.00
71-31060	2590	\$	60,000.00
71-31044	2590	\$	8,000.00

71-31055	2590	\$	5,500.00
71-31045	2590	\$	27,723.00
71-31054	2590	\$	3,400.00
71-31056	2590	\$	16,975.00
71-31036	2590	\$	118,000.00
71-30054	2590	\$	15,000.00
71-30055	2590	\$	7,500.00
71-30057	2590	\$	10,000.00
71-30059	2590	\$	7,975.41
71-30060	2590	\$	120,000.00
71-30063	2590	\$	18,000.00
		\$	761,219.41
83-06999	2590	\$	(761,219.41)
Additional Description:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions	?		N/A
Is there a potential for grant to c	ontinue?		N/A
If grant is funding a position is it	expected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the g			N/A
	in grant funding time frame?		N/A
Will grant program be complete		1 1	
	once the grant funds are		·
Will grant program be complete Will grant impact the community eliminated?	once the grant funds are		N/A
Will grant impact the community			N/A

Initiative Name:

State of Utah, Department of Community & Culture, Division of State History National YaleCrest Nomination

Initiative Number:

BA#5FY 2006 Initiative #E-1

Initiative Type:

Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Salt Lake Planning Division applied for and received this \$10,000 grant from the State of Utah, Department of Community & Culture, Division of State History, to complete an intensive-level survey of ten (10) properties in the Yalecrest neighborhood. The area, which encompasses 1300 to 1900 East, and Sunnyside Avenue to 1300 South, was surveyed at the reconnaissance-level in 2004-2005. The completion of the intensive-level survey will assist a consultant in preparing a National Register nomination of the Yalecrest neighborhood.

Funding will be used hire a professional consultant to complete the survey and provide a published analysis of findings.

This grant requires a 100% or \$10,000 match which will be met with the in-kind salary of the Historic Planner Position and is budgeted for within the Planning Divisions general fund budget.

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary budget to facilitate this grant. A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

	Cultu	of Utah, Dept of Community & re, Division of State History - onal Yalecrest Nomination Initiative Name		
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #E-1 Initiative Number Community Development			Fiscal Year Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources	
Department Elizabeth Giraud/Sherrie Collins Prepared By			Type of Initiative <u>535-7128/535-6150</u> Telephone Contact	
General Fund (Fund Balance)	Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		<u>1st Year</u> FY 2005-06	<u>2nd Year</u> FY 2006-07	
General Fund		2000 00	1 1 2000-01	
Total Internal Service Fund		\$0	\$0	
internal Service Fund			-	
Total Enterprise Fund		\$0	\$0	
Total Other Fund		\$0	\$0	
72Fund State of Utah Grant	\$	10,000.00		
Total	\$	10,000.00	\$0	
Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's		0	0	
Existing Number of FTE's Total Description		0	0	
νεουτιμαστι				

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicabl	e:	
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amo	unt
72- New Cost Center	1370	\$	10,000.00
72 How Cook College	10,0		10,000.00
· ·			
	·		
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number 72- New Cost Center	Object Code Number 2590	Amo	10,000.00
72- New Cost Center	2590	Φ	10,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		·	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions	2	N	•
orant rando employee positions	•		
Is there a potential for grant to c	ontinue?	Ye	S
If grant is funding a position is it	t expected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the g		N.	A.
Will grant program be complete	In grant funding time frame?	Ye	es
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are		
eliminated?		N	0
Does grant duplicate services pr	<u> </u>		
Non-profit sector?	ovided by private or	N	

Initiative Name:

US Dept of Homeland Security, Emergency Preparedness and Response- Federal Emergency Management Agency - Assistance to Firefighters Grant

Initiative Number:

BA#5FY 2006 Initiative #E-2

Initiative Type:

Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Fire Department applied for and received \$108,719 from the Department of Homeland Security, Emergency Preparedness and Response/FEMA, under the Assistance to Firefighters Grant. They receive this grant on an annual basis.

These funds were award to the Fire Department to purchase and replace old obsolete personal protective equipment for the Firefighters. Equipment includes MSA push to connect mask mounted retrofit to a quarter turn docking system, face pieces and eight (8) chemical/biological suits.

The grant requires a 20% match or \$27,180 which is budgeted for within the Fire Departments general fund budget.

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary budget to facilitate this grant. A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

	Security	Department of Homeland , Emergency Preparedness & se- Assistance to Firefighters	
		<u>Grants</u>	
		Initiative Name	
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #E-2			<u>2005-06</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
			Grants Requiring No
<u>Fire Department</u>			New Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
John Vuyk/Sherrie Collins			799-4210/535-6150
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance)	Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year	2nd Year
		FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
General Fund			
Total		60	00
Total	-	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		Ψυ	<u>ψυ</u>
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund	 		
72 Fund Fed Grant	\$	108,719.00	
721 dila i da Gran	T		
Total	\$	108,719.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		·	0
Total		0	0
Description			
·			
	ļ		
	1		1

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicab	e: EM	EMW-2005-FG-16772 Amount		
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number				
72- New Cost Center	1360	\$	108,719.00		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		-			

			5		
Expenditure:		<u> </u>			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount		
72- New Cost Center	2750-20	\$	108,719.00		
Additional Accounting Details:					
Additional Accounting Details.					
Grant Information:					
Grant funds employee positions	s?		No		
Is there a potential for grant to	continue?	-	Yes		
If grant is funding a position is	it expected the position will				
be eliminated at the end of the		+	NA		
be eminiated at the end of the	grant				
Will grant program be complete	in grant funding time frame?		Yes		
Will grant impact the communit	y once the grant funds are				
eliminated?			No		
Does grant duplicate services p	provided by private or				
Non-profit sector?			No		

Initiative Name	
Initiative Numb	Library Pavers Donations
initiative Numi	BA #5 FY2006 Initiative # F-1
Initiative Type:	
	Donations
Initiative Discu	ssion:
donations recei	ver Donations fund currently has a donations budget of \$1,000,000. Act ved total \$1,173,200, with 164,000 from private donations and the remain c donations.
donations recei	ed total \$1,173,200, with 164,000 from private donations and the remain
donations recei	ed total \$1,173,200, with 164,000 from private donations and the remain
donations recei	ed total \$1,173,200, with 164,000 from private donations and the remain
donations recei	ed total \$1,173,200, with 164,000 from private donations and the remain
donations recei	ed total \$1,173,200, with 164,000 from private donations and the remain
donations recei	ed total \$1,173,200, with 164,000 from private donations and the remain
donations rece 9,200 from pub	ed total \$1,173,200, with 164,000 from private donations and the remain
donations rece 9,200 from pub ,	ed total \$1,173,200, with 164,000 from private donations and the remain c donations.
donations rece 9,200 from pub ,	ed total \$1,173,200, with 164,000 from private donations and the remain c donations.

		l Osai y 1551 y lan nya joi - 149 fyyddio y 20 fydd 1804 1907 1970 Meithillad ac te minesia f 1806 at	
		<u>Library Paver Donations</u>	
		Initiative Name	
DA #E TV2006 Initiative #E 4		Illitiative Ivaille	2005.06
BA #5 FY2006 Initiative #F-1			<u>2005-06</u>
Initiative Number Mgmt Serv - Finance			Fiscal Year Donations
Department			Type of Initiative
Elwin Heilmann			535-6424
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
Frepared by			r elephone Contact
General Fund (Fund Balance)	lmp	act	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year	2nd Year
		FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07
General Fund			
Total		0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
V. T. V.			
Total		.0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		0	\$0
Other Fund			
77 Donations Fund		\$ 173,200.00	
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Total		\$ 173,200.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
New Number of FTE's		0	0
Existing Number of FTE's		0	0
Total		0	0
Description			
·			
	1		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue:		<u> </u>
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
77-77157	1895	\$ 164,000.00
77-77157	1897	\$ 9,200.00
Evpanditura		
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
77-77157	2700	\$ 173,200.00
Additional Description:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it o		
be eliminated at the end of the gra	ant?	NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community of eliminated?	once the grant funds are	NA
		INA.
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

Initiative Name:						
Prevent Underage Drinking Scholarship Initiative Number: BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #F-2 Initiative Type:						
						Donation
						Initiative Discussion:
The SLC Mayor's Coalition on Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs received a \$1,00 scholarship from CRP Incorporated for participation in the SAMHSA's Underage Drinkir Prevention Campaign.						
This campaign is geared toward prevention of underage drinking by hosting town hall meetings and providing "Reach Out Now" educational information at local schools.						
The Drug Free Grant Coordinator is currently working with the Mayor's Coalition to determine dates and times of town hall meetings and school presentations.						
This request is to increase the current budget in the Drug Free Communities grant by \$1,000 to facilitate the check received. This is a private donation and requires no match.						
It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary budget to facilitate this donation A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.						

	<u>Prever</u>	t Underage Drinking		
		Scholarship		
		Initiative Name		
BA#5 FY2006 Initiative #F-2			2005-06	
Initiative Number		·	Fiscal Year	
<u>Mayor's Office</u>			<u>Donation</u>	
Department			Type of Initiative	
Abby Vianes/Sherrie Collins			<u>535-6030/535-6150</u>	
Prepared By			Telephone Contact	
General Fund (Fund Balance) Im	pact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		1st Year	2nd Year	
General Fund		FY 2005-06	FY 2006-07	
Total		\$0	\$0	
Internal Service Fund		Ψ0	,	
Total		\$0	\$0	
Enterprise Fund		·		
Other Fund		\$0	\$0	
	\$	1,000.00		
72 Fund Donation	Ф	1,000.00		
Total	\$	1,000.00	\$0	
Chaffing Invasion				
Staffing Impact: New Number of FTE's		0	0	
Existing Number of FTE's			0	
Total		0	0	
Description		·		
·				

ccounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applica	able: None - NA
levenue:	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	1895	\$ 1,000.00
2-60519	1695	Ψ 1,000.00
•		
Evnanditura:		
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-60519	2590	\$ 1,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details.		·
Increase existing budget by \$1,00	00	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Grant Information:		· ·
Grant funds employee positior	ns?	NA NA
	continuo?	NA NA
Is there a potential for grant to	Continue:	
If grant is funding a position is	s it expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the	grant?	NA NA
		No.
Will grant program be complet	te in grant funding time frame?	NA NA
	ity once the grapt funds are	
Will grant impact the commun eliminated?	ity once the grant funds are	NA NA
emmnateu r		
Does grant duplicate services	provided by private or	