

City Council Announcements

March 27, 2007

A. Information Needed by Council Staff

1. Council Member Simonsen would like to check in with Council Members regarding some ideas that have developed out of conversations with those most affected by pending redevelopment projects in the Sugar House Business District. Council Member Simonsen would like feedback from the Council to determine if these ideas are worth pursuing.
 - a. While the architectural historic building survey in the Sugar House Business District is underway, establish a parallel process (that would take place during the same timeframe as the survey) to explore implementation options to provide protection for structures that are identified as contributing or significant by the survey. The intent would be to a) identify preservation/conservation tools, options or incentives that could be implemented at the conclusion of the survey and b) reduce the customary implementation timeframe (which would generally not take place until after the conclusion of the survey).
 - b. Examine City business, Economic Development and Redevelopment Agency programs currently in place to support small businesses to determine how to maximize relocation and other types of assistance. For example, the potential economic impact from pending developments on the Granite block in Sugar House would affect approximately 60 existing businesses with a total of approximately \$3,000,000 in relocation costs. Council Member Simonsen has roughly estimated that relocation costs could range from \$10,000 to \$100,000. Types of assistance could include a Main Street incentive-type program, expanded RDA relocation program, joint RDA staff and Economic Development staff assistance to individual businesses, and addressing relocation and construction impacts on existing businesses.
 - c. Creation of a Council subcommittee to address local merchant and small business owner issues not only in Sugar House but on a citywide basis.

Does the Council wish to express support for pursuing these ideas in further detail with the understanding that more information will be provided in the future?

B. For Your Information

1. Attached is a Grant application submitted by the City.

Grant Submission Update Memo

TO: Sam Guevera, Steve Fawcett, Cindy Gust-Jenson

FROM: Grant Acquisition Team

DATE: 3/23/2007

SUBJECT: Accident Investigations Grant

FUNDING AGENCY: Utah Highway Safety Council

REQUESTED AMOUNT: \$15,000

DEPARTMENT APPLYING: Police Department

COLLABORATING AGENCIES: Utah Highway Safety Council, Salt Lake City Police Department

DATE SUBMITTED: March 22, 2007

SPECIFICS:

- Training Supplies Equipment
- Personnel:
- Existing New Overtime Only Requires Funding After Grant
- Match Required In Kind Cash
- Computer Software Development In House Contract Services:
- New Program (City not performing function now)

GRANT DETAILS:

The Salt Lake City Police Department is seeking funding to receive accident investigation equipment and software in the amount of \$15,000. The equipment includes mapping software, pocket pc's, cases and cameras. This equipment will aid our accident investigators in responding to serious injury/fatal traffic accidents. The squad encompasses 10 field response officers, 3 detective positions and one supervisor.

The focus of this squad is to reduce traffic accidents through enforcement and investigation. Most of these accidents involve alcohol.

The squad uses two systems to diagram and record accident scenes. One system uses speed gun laser to plot points in a diagram. The second uses a photo-grametry system to record the scene. Both have benefits in different locations and weather conditions. The equipment we are using was obtained through grant funding, and is reaching the end of its service life. All of the equipment has been in use for at least five years.