SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT BUDGET AMENDMENT #2 – FISCAL YEAR 2008-09

DATE:	February 6, 2009				
SUBJECT:	Budget Amendment #2 (Follow-up Briefing)				
STAFF REPORT BY:	Sylvia Richards, Lehua Weaver and Karen Halladay				
CC:	David Everitt, Lyn Creswell, Gina Chamness, Gordon Hoskins, Frank Gray, LuAnn Clark, Chief Chris Burbank, Chief Tom Shannon, Rick Graham, Shannon Ashby, Sherrie Collins, Susi Kontgis, and Kay Christensen				

New Information:

The following information was provided by the Administration as a follow up to questions from the Council during the January 27th briefing:

A-1: Sidewalk Replacement Special Assessment Area (SAA) – 1500 to 2100 East, 1300 to 1700 South – Owners' Portion (\$1,050,000 – Source: CIP Fund) The Administration will provide a revised recommendation to the Council after reviewing the FY 2008-09 CIP allocations. Until then, the Administration recommends placing this item on hold.

A-5: Class "C" Asphalt Overlay – Fiscal Year 2009-10 Funding (\$1,500,000 – Source: Class "C" Fund)

The Administration has provided additional information on the handling of Class C funds for CIP and General Fund projects. Of the roughly \$6.2 million that the City receives each year in Class C funds, approximately \$3 million is deposited to the General Fund for projects split between streets, parks, and other departments' eligible projects. The balance goes towards the funding of CIP projects.

As additional clarification, Class C funds, by state law, can be used for construction, maintenance, sidewalks, and curb and gutter for eligible roads, as well as traffic signals and traffic signs. Historically, the City has used its Class C funding primarily for street repair, although some funding has been used for sidewalks and traffic signals.

Based on prior years' funding toward road projects, the City reconstructs just over one lanemile of pavement per year, and overlays about 6 lane-miles per year. The Administration's decision to use these funds for roads is based on a) lane miles in the city b) pavement condition c) future problems relating to the deterioration of pavement. The priority given to road projects is based on this information, and inspections from consultants on a rotating five to seven year basis. However, the money is available, as indicated above, for other sidewalk and construction projects.

The Council may wish to ask what the impact(s) would be if this request was not granted and the funds were held for later in the year.

The original request was for \$1.5 million to begin road construction projects slated for fiscal year 2009-10. If the Council chooses to not use the funds toward road projects, but would like to use the money toward other eligible general fund projects, this is a list of other pending 2009-10 projects that the Council may select from:

Sidewalk Replacement Special Area Assessment Area	2700 South to South City Limits and 1300 East/Highland Drive to East City Limits	\$ 850,000
Replace & upgrade 6 existing traffic signals		\$ 960,000
Installation of two traffic signals	California Avenue at 3400 West and 3800 West	\$ 320,000
Implement safety measures	1300 East Street (from 1300 East study)	\$ 250,000

The Administration has not changed their original recommendation, which is that the Council appropriate \$1.5 million of CIP funds for the asphalt overlay program.

Based on the current budget situation, and the questions raised by Council Members about this request, the **Council may wish to consider re-evaluating how these projects are funded and awarded**. They are often approved through this early request in order to be prepared for the construction season, but this results in the projects not being evaluated or weighed against the rest of the CIP projects later in the year. **The Council may also wish to discuss the current policy assumptions related to the allocation of Class C funds primarily for roads.**

A-8: Budget Increase Request - Plaza 349 Employee Shower – (\$26,000 – Source: CIP Fund)

The Administration requests that this item be **withdrawn** from the budget amendment, and indicates other shower facility options will be sought for City employees.

A-9: Leonardo – Additional Funding for Seismic Bracing

The Administration has transmitted a separate document regarding the Leonardo; the Council will receive a briefing on this issue Tuesday, February 10th.

A-10: East Capitol Blvd. Project – Request for design funding (\$50,000 – CIP Fund) **This item was previously approved by the City Council during the January 27, 2009 Announcements portion of the meeting. See details below:

In the FY 2009 CIP cycle, the Council allocated \$50,000 for the design of the project. At the time, Council Members agreed that this funding would be contingent upon "state matching funds" (although the exact matching amount was not specified). At the time, Administrative staff was under the impression that the State Capitol Preservation Board had money set aside for this project, or was in the process of requesting "matching funds". Apparently they do not have funds, nor will they be able to request funds for the project this coming year.

The State has indicated to the Administration that they would like the City to spend the \$50,000 on design so that more detailed cost estimates will be known. They are happy to be partners in the construction of the project, once more detailed cost estimates are known. They plan to ask for construction funds for the project as a part of the request to finish renovation of the North Office Building (the "last" building in the Capitol complex needing renovations). Administrative staff believes that the \$50,000 will be enough to establish a more detailed design (and cost estimates).

The Administration has asked if the Council would approve funding the design of this project and removing their requirement for state funds. All Council Members present were in favor of using the allocated \$50,000 for the design. Ms. Gust-Jenson said a motion would be added to the Budget Amendment to reflect the funding.

E-11: Establish a Grant Appropriation Holding Account (\$500,000 – Grant Fund) Options will be provided to the Council prior to Tuesday's briefing.

The following information was previously provided in Council packets for the budget amendment briefing on January 27, 2009. It is provided again for your information.

MATTERS AT ISSUE

The Administration classified the following as: <u>New Items</u>:

A-1: Sidewalk Replacement Special Assessment Area (SAA) – 1500 to 2100 East, 1300 to 1700 South – Owners' Portion (\$1,050,000 – Source: CIP Fund)

Funds were awarded for the creation of a Special Assessment Area (SAA) at the location of 1500 to 2100 East, 1300 to 1700 South during the 2008-09 fiscal year CIP process. \$700,000 was allocated for the design, construction and creation of the SAA for sidewalk improvements as well as ADA pedestrian ramps, and limited replacement of trees if funds were available.

During the Council's SAA briefing held January 13, 2009, a Council Member asked the Administration about the possibility of adding locations to the SAA as follows: the east side of 2000 East from 1073 South to 1183 South, both sides of Herbert Avenue from 2000 East to 2059 East, both sides of Princeton Road from 2000 East to 2060 East, and both sides of Yale Avenue from 2069 East to 2100 East. The corresponding increase in costs to fund the additional streets is \$200,000 of which \$100,000 is the City's portion and \$100,000 is the responsibility of the property owners.

This request would create the budget for the property owners' assessment (paid for by the property owners) in the amount of \$1,050,000, including the additional streets, and increase the City's portion of the budget by \$100,000 which will be funded by the CIP cost overrun account. **Does the Council wish to expand the scope of this project by adding**

the areas as mentioned in the previous paragraph to increase the appropriation to the CIP cost overrun account as well as the property owners' portion?

A-2: Budget Increase Request – Street Improvements for South Temple from Main Street to Virginia Street (\$245,000 – Source: CIP Class "C" Fund)

The Council appropriated \$990,000 of Class "C" funds for street improvements on South Temple from Main Street to Virginia Street during the 1999-2000 fiscal year CIP process. The \$990,000 represented a match to a \$12 million dollar Federal Highway Administration grant from UDOT. According to Administrative staff, as a result of several conflicts with phone, fiber optic and telecommunication utility lines during construction, there were a number of project delays resulting in claims from the contractor in the amount of \$245,000. Other delay claims to the project were paid from existing project funds. The Federal Highway Administration ruled that federal funds could not be used for the delay claims as a result of the City's utility franchise agreements requiring the utility companies to move their own lines.

The Administration has requested a budget increase of \$245,000 from the Class "C" overrun account to reimburse UDOT for the project delays and utility relocations. The Council may wish to note that the City is pursuing legal action to recover these unanticipated costs. The fund will be reimbursed upon recovery of funds.

A-3: Budget Increase Request – 700 South/Jordan River Bridge Replacement (\$50,000 – Source: Class "C" Fund)

During the 2003-04 CIP process, the Council appropriated \$200,000 as a required match for a \$900,000 Federal Highway Bridge Replacement grant from UDOT for the 700 South/Jordan River Bridge Replacement project. The Administration is requesting a budget increase of \$50,000 for added project costs due to construction delays. These delays resulted from unanticipated changes to the City waterline connected to the bridge, and modifications to the existing storm drain system. The changes required the project construction time be extended with associated increased costs for the contractor's overhead and additional contract administration costs. Also, additional concrete was necessary for the structure due to a design error.

A-4: Budget Increase Request – Emigration Canyon Bike Lane Project and Parley's Crossing Scope Revision (Source: \$100,000 of Grant Funds and \$140,000 of CIP Funds)

This item was **pulled** from the Budget Amendment by the Administration.

A-5: Class "C" Asphalt Overlay – Fiscal Year 2009-10 Funding (\$1,500,000 – Source: Class "C" Fund)

Each year the Administration requests approval to begin bidding for Class "C" road projects, including street resurfacing, installation of ADA ramps, and replacing curb and gutter. This would authorize the Administration to move forward in advance of receiving the funds, which are received quarterly. Approval to move ahead allows the projects to be started as soon as possible, and to be completed during the 2009 construction season. This also includes \$100,000 toward design for the following fiscal year.

A-6: Impact Fee Waivers – (\$7,340 – Source: Fund Balance of the General Fund)

The Administration processed one impact fee waiver request for Salt Lake City's Housing and Neighborhood Development's Sherwood Subdivision project. The project is located at Navajo Street and 400 South, and the homes will be available for households with income below 80% of the median income. This exemption is in keeping with the terms of the City Ordinance.

A-7: Budget Adjustment for the Salt Lake City Airport – (\$-12,914,500 – Source: Enterprise Fund and CIP Fund)

At the time the 2008-09 annual operating and capital budgets were presented to the airlines, Airport Board, and City Council negotiations with the airlines were still being finalized. The negotiations and agreement extension terms were finalized in April and a two year extension was signed on June 30th of 2008. As a result, the following budget amendment adjustments need to be made to the revenue and expenditure budgets. In addition, several changes occurred in the Capital Improvement Project accounts that require budget amendments. The following revenue and expenditure tables explain the changes:

Revenue Adjustments:

According to the Administration, the revenue adjustments are a result of negotiating with the airlines for a two-year lease agreement extension. 2008-09 budgeted revenues were adjusted down by \$1,685,300. The changes were driven by the re-measurement of leased space to the airlines, agreed upon methodology to recoup Airport Capital Investments, and negotiated maintenance responsibilities for leased areas. In addition, the sales of recycled glycol and Transportation and Safety Administration (TSA) reimbursement for utilities and janitorial costs were also under negotiation during the 2008-09 budget approval process. The changes are as follows:

Revenue Item	Amount of Adjustment		
Decrease – Scheduled Airlines	\$1,100,700		
Increase – Charters/Commuters	\$371,200		
Decrease – Cargo	\$79,700		
Decrease – Airline Terminal Rents – TU1	\$600,000		
Decrease – Airline Terminal Rents - TU2	\$276,100		
Increase – Sales of Recycled Glycol	\$357,800		
Increase – TSA reimbursement for			
utilities and janitorial costs	\$86,000		
Total Net Decrease to Revenue	\$1,241,500		

Expenditure Adjustments - Operating, Capital, and CIP:

Expenditure Item	Amount of Budget Adjustment	Additional Information	
Increase – 2007-08 Capital		The Airport is an Enterprise Fund.	
Equipment Encumbrance		Encumbrances for this fund	
Carryover		category do not carry forward into	
	\$463,100	the new year.	
Increase – Automated Bus		This project purpose was to	
Information System (Smart Bus)		enhance customer service, enhance	
		curb safety at terminals and	

	\$400,000	parking lots.
Increase – Additional cost for sewer	\$200,000	Emergency sewer line break
line repair Decrease – Various CIP Project	\$3,618,100	CIP project budgets are established
Adjustments from the Prior Year	\$5,010,100	at the time of project approval.
Augustinents nom the rhor rear		These budgets are based on
		preliminary project estimates. As
		the project gets refined during the
		planning, design, and bid phase the
		true project costs can be
		calculated. It is at this point the
		budget gets adjusted in the budget
		amendment process.
Increase – Architectural and	\$116,000	Taxiway Q & Taxiway S projects are
Engineering Consultant Fees for		being accelerated due to available
Taxiway Q & S projects.		grants from the FAA.
Decrease – End of Runway Deicing	Net Change - \$5,865,000	The design phase (\$31M) for
Projects – Runway 34R and		Runway 34R deicing project is
Taxiway L.		being deferred, but will be built at a
		future date. In addition, the end of
		the Runway deicing project for
		Taxiway L has entered into the
		construction and design phase with
		an additional cost of \$25M.
Increase – Land Purchase for 4th	\$10,000,000	In the Airport's long range
Runway		planning, the need for a 4 th runway
		was identified. The location
		identified was to the west side of
		the International Center. Current
		real estate market conditions and
		protection against incompatible
		land uses have been given for the need to purchase several parcels of
		land for this future site.
Decrease – End of Runway Deicing	\$13,369,000	Design costs related to the end of
Project	φ13,309,000	runway deicing project were
110,000		transferred to the project instead of
		keeping it with the Airport
		Expansion Program design budget.
Total – Decrease of Expenditure	\$11,673,000	
Budgets		

A map of the proposed 4th Runway is included for your review.

This budget amendment request is to address the above revenue and expenditure budgets. *The Council Chair and Vice Chair have recently directed Council staff to request a briefing on the Airport's future expansion plans prior to the 2009-10 budget briefing.*

A-8: Budget Increase Request - Plaza 349 Employee Shower – (\$26,000 – Source: CIP Fund)

In initially approving this request the Council and Administration noted that employee health, air quality, and reduced traffic are beneficial to Salt Lake City and its residents. A lack of easily accessible employee shower facilities is a reason cited by employees for not commuting to work by bicycle or exercising during the lunch hour. It was during the 2008-09 CIP Process that \$54,500 was awarded for Plaza 349 employee showers. The scope of this awarded project includes one handicap accessible and two regular showers which will be located next to the 2nd floor restroom facilities in Plaza 349. These shower facilities

would be in addition to the six showers located in the new City Library. Although there are six showers, the Administration indicates that only three could be made accessible to City employees. This number may be enough to support some City employees, in addition to Library employees, on a short term basis but would not support all existing and future needs.

In early summer of 2007, the Transportation Division sent out an email survey to Plaza 349 employees. They were asked the following question: "If a shower facility were installed in the Plaza 349 Building would you be likely to use it? Fifty eight employees responded favorably – 39 men (63%) and 19 women (37%). According to the Administration, City employees from other buildings would be able to use the shower facilities.

On November 19, 2008 bids for this project were opened. The lowest bid came in at \$63,640. The additional project costs: 1) Engineering - \$4,800, 2) Design Consultant - \$4,000, 3) Permit - \$1,660, and Contingency - \$6,400 bring the total project cost to \$80,500. This is \$26,000 higher than the amount of the CIP award of \$54,500. According to the Administration, the additional project scope and costs were discovered during the design phase, including a larger water heater, gas line, electrical components, and design fees. Added to this is the challenge of working within an existing and occupied space, which also added to the project's contractor costs. The Administration estimates that only about \$5,000 in savings would be realized if the project scope was reduced by one shower. Mechanical, electrical, and plumbing costs would be still be incurred whether there are two or three showers.

The total estimated Plaza 349 shower project cost is \$80,500. CIP Funds awarded for the project in 2008-09 are \$54,500. This budget amendment is requesting an additional \$26,000 for the showers.

Since the Library has three showers that could be made accessible to City employees, does the Council wish to reconsider the need for this project at this time?

Does the Council wish to ask the Administration for more detailed preliminary project estimates for projects being considered for CIP funding?

Does the Council wish to review the past three years of CIP projects to determine if initial appropriations provide adequate funding for approved projects?

A-9: Leonardo – Additional Funding for Seismic Bracing

The Administration will be approaching the Council with a request for the Leonardo. Additional information will be forthcoming.

The Administration classified the following as: Grants Requiring Existing Staff Resources

B-1: Continuation Grant from U.S. Department of Health and Human Services for Drug Free Communities Support (\$125,000 – Source: Grant Fund)

The Mayor's Office received continuation grant funding of \$125,000 in support of the Mayor's Coalition on Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs. *(The Council was briefed regarding)*

this program on Tuesday, January 13th.)

Grant funding will be used as follows:

\$59,950	Salary and benefits of the Coalition Coordinator
5,683	Grant monitor's time and oversight
10,354	Travel and training at conferences (including youth training)
180	Supplies
18,578	Memberships, conference registration, printing/copying, and postage
30,255	Program evaluation, creation of IMS Website domain, facilitator for annual
	strategic planning process and self assessment/planning session.
\$125,000	Total

A required in-kind match of \$142,868 will be met with coalition volunteer time, IMS staff time taping community forums, program evaluation, and volunteer speakers. A resolution was previously adopted by the Council authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant funding and any additional agreements or awards resulting from the initial grant.

B-2: Continuation Grant from Salt Lake County Social Services Block Grant for YouthCity Programs (Central City) (\$25,000 – Source: Grant Fund)

The Department of Public Services received a continuation grant from Salt Lake County for YouthCity programming at the Central City site. Grant monies will fund the hourly wages and benefits of two part time teacher positions and supplies. The grant requires a cash match of \$6,667 which will be provided by the site coordinator's time through the Department of Public Services budget. The Administration indicates that 515 participants are served annually through this program at the Central City site. A resolution was previously adopted by the Council authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant funding and any additional agreements or awards resulting from the initial grant.

B-3: Continuation Grant from the State of Utah Office of Crime Victim Reparations, Violence Against Women Formula Grant (\$45,120 – Source: Grant Fund)

The Prosecutor's Office received grant funding of \$45,120 to continue the salary and benefits of the Court Victim Assistant. This individual provides court advocacy to female victims of domestic violence, assists victims through the court process, and helps victims access community resources.

A match of \$11,280 is required and will be provided by the program coordinator, financial (grant) monitor and paralegal's time. A resolution was previously adopted by the Council authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant funding and any additional agreements or awards resulting from the initial grant.

The Administration classified the following as: Grants Requiring Additional Staff Resources

C-1: New Grant – U.S. Dept. of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services Universal Hiring Program (\$75,000 – Source: Grant Fund)

The Salt Lake City Police Department received a \$75,000 grant to fund a portion of the salary, benefits and costs associated with one Salt Lake City School resource officer at East

High School for three years. The total cost to fund this position over a three-year period is \$239,536, which includes:

- \$118.035 3 years of salary (\$39,345 per year) \$ 80,124 3 years of benefits, including insurance, retirement, medicare, etc., based on a salary of \$39,345 per year \$ 30,862 Police car and mobile radio for 3 years Office supplies, equipment/uniform, vehicle fuel/maintenance and laptop \$ 10,515 computer with car mount for three years \$239,536 <u>3 Ye</u>ar total - 75,000 Grant contribution - 86,211 70% School District contribution to salary & benefits only
- **\$ 78,325** City's portion includes balance of salary & benefits plus car & equipment

The Administration has indicated that the Salt Lake City School District is participating in the costs to fund this position and has agreed to pay a 70/30 split with the City for the salary and benefits in excess of the grant award for the duration of the grant period, and then pay 70% of the salary as long as the position is maintained. The Police Administration indicates that the School District will be billed monthly for their contribution.

A resolution was previously adopted by the Council authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant funding and any additional agreements or awards resulting from the initial grant.

The Administration classified the following as: <u>Housekeeping</u>

D-1: Grant Budget Increase – Georgia State University Improving Crime Data Project (\$15,790 – Grant Fund)

Grant funds in the amount of \$34,920 were awarded to the Salt Lake City Police Department in 2006 from Georgia State University for the Improving Crime Data (ICD) project. The program objective is to increase data sharing, searching and analysis between law enforcement agencies. The Police Department's use of the grant monies include upgrading the interoperable data communications equipment, funding a program application, as well as funding for consulting and training related to the access and analysis of the data from the new system. In 2008, additional funding was awarded in the amount of \$15,790, bringing the total grant funding to \$50,710.

The Administration requests that the Council increase the current budget to reflect the additional grant funding. A resolution was previously adopted by the Council authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant funding and any additional agreements or awards resulting from the initial grant. A grant match is not required.

D-2: Budget Correction – General Fund-CIP – 1300 East, 500 South to 2100 South (\$100,000 – Source: General Fund)

The Council originally allocated \$100,000 for the 1300 East Traffic Study in the 2006 general fund budget for the Dept. of Community Economic Development (CED)/ Transportation Division. During the FY 2009 CIP discussion, the Council and Administration agreed to reappropriate these funds to go towards physical improvements on 1300 East (the total amount allocated for 1300 East physical improvements in the FY 2009 discussion was \$565,000). This budget amendment will complete this accounting shift (shifting funds from CED's budget to the 1300 East CIP budget), per the Council's FY 2009 CIP discussion.

D-3: HUD Federal Program Budget Recapture (\$147,568)

The Administration is requesting to recapture \$147,568 of funding from the remaining budgets of 16 U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) programs/projects. The funding will be used for future programming. The amounts include the following:

\$122,506	10 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) programs
\$ 12,155	3 Emergency Services Grant (ESG) programs
\$ 9,277	1 Housing Opportunities for People with Aids (HOPWA) program
<u>\$ 3,630</u>	2 CDBG Capital Improvement Projects
\$147,568	Total

D-4: Impact Fee Revenue (\$3,001,986 - CIP Fund)

Each year the City traditionally adjusts the budget in the impact fee cost centers to reflect actual fees collected and interest earned. The Administration tracks these funds separately by police fire, parks and streets.

Impact Fees Police:	\$1,257,546 (total cash available \$2,883,499)
Impact Fees Fire:	\$439,862 (total cash available \$3,048,456)
Impact Fees Parks:	\$256,184 (total cash available \$540,350)
Impact Fees Streets:	\$1,048,394 (total cash available \$3,507,496)

D-5: Re-establish grant funds – Social Service Block Grant and State Emergency Management Grants (\$25,750 – Source: Grant Fund)

During the first budget amendment of the year, the Administration requests various carryovers, however this one was mistakenly left out. The Social Service Block Grant is \$25,000 which is used toward salaries and supplies for the part-time employees within the YouthCity program.

In addition to the \$25,000, the City received \$750 additional reimbursements from two state grants for Emergency Management.

D-6: Earned Interest – U.S. Dept. of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) (\$110,000 – Interest Income)

Grants received from the US Department of Justice JAG are required to be placed in an interest bearing account. Of four JAG grants, \$110,000 in interest has been earned, and the budgets need to be adjusted to allow for the revenue and related expenses. (It is part of the grant requirement that the interest earned on the grants be spent in keeping with the grant terms.)

D-7: Budget Corrections for Revenue and Expenditure – State Registration Fee for funding of Airport/TRAX Light Rail Project (\$-1,550,000)

As part of the agreement to direct \$2.00 of the motor registration fee to be used toward the Airport Light Rail expansion, it was anticipated that the money would come through the City and be paid to UTA. However, the money will be paid directly to UTA from the State. Therefore, the revenue and expense budgets need to be reduced.

The Administration classified the following as: Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

E-1: Continuation Grant – State of Utah Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice Drug Endangered Children's Conference (\$1,720 – Grant Fund)

The Police Department received this grant to be used toward the registration fee of eight law enforcement representatives to attend the National Alliance for Drug Endangered Children Conference.

The Council has previously adopted a resolution authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant award and any additional agreements or awards resulting from the initial grant.

E-2: Continuation Grant – State of Utah Department of Public Safety, 2007 Public Safety Interoperable Communication Grant (\$50,000 – Grant Fund)

This grant money will be used toward the purchase of additional equipment to enhance the City's emergency radio communication system, which is used in the event of a natural disaster. Some of the items to be purchased will increase redundancy in the system, as well as create a link to out of state responders and Federal command centers.

The grant requires a 20% match, which is met by the time spent by officers and IMS staff involved in training additional personnel. The Council has previously adopted a resolution authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant award and any additional agreements or awards resulting from the initial grant.

E-3: Continuation Grant – U.S. Dept. of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services Technology Program (\$93,530 – Grant Fund)

The Police Department received grant money to purchase additional video systems for use in Police vehicles. The \$93,530 will purchase (pending final RFP costs):

- \$44,874 a server and storage distribution system
- 32,940 six in-car video systems and installation; \$5,295 each for the system, \$195 each for installation
- 14,216 system support
 - 1,500 DVDs for the storage and back-ups.

This will add to the existing five in-car video systems already in place. The Administration plans to install the systems in traffic and motor units, and as funding allows, first-responder units will be equipped in the future. The server and storage system is adequate to accommodate the growth as more systems are installed. In the future, there may be an option to expand the server system to the Pioneer Precinct.

The Council has previously adopted a resolution authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant award and any additional agreements or awards resulting from the initial grant.

E-4: Continuation Grant – U.S. Dept. of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance Grant (\$274,801 – Grant Fund)

The Police Department receives this grant money on an annual basis. A portion of the money is kept within Salt Lake City, and the balance is split among other jurisdictions as outlined in the attached paperwork.

\$112,973 is kept in Salt Lake City and used toward training, Police overtime on community issues, software purchase for crime analysis, public relations, and supplies.

No match is required. The Council has previously adopted a resolution authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant award and any additional agreements or awards resulting from the initial grant.

E-5: Continuation Grant – Utah Dept. of Public Safety, Division of Homeland Security, 2007 Buffer Zone Protection Program Grant (\$361,495 – Grant Fund)

The Police Department has received a grant for use toward surveillance equipment to be set up at various high priority "Buffer Zone Sites." The sites, some of which are confidential, are considered "vulnerable or targeted in the event of a terrorist or weapons of mass destruction attack."

The grant money received will largely be used toward the purchase of surveillance equipment, which is portable and can be set up at different locations based on need. The grant will also fund training, maintenance, ongoing consultation, and some multi-media presentation systems which can be used at different sites as needed. This equipment is valuable to meet the needs of certain sites, and also provides flexibility to relocate.

The Council has previously adopted a resolution authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant award and any additional agreements or awards resulting from the initial grant.

E-6: Continuation Grant – U.S. Dept. of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women (Encourage Arrest Policies) (\$398,516 – Grant Fund)

The Police Department applied for and received a grant of \$398,516 from the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Office on Violence Against Women. This 2008 Grant is to Encourage Arrest Policies and Enforcement of Protection Orders Program.

Agencies in Salt Lake City coordinate services for victims of domestic violence. According to the Administration, the grant funds of \$398,516 are to be used in the following manner:

Purpose	Amount		
Officer Overtime – 2 Detectives X 8 Hours every other week X 2 Years @ \$42/Hour	\$35,000		
Technical Assistance Training and Travel Costs (airfare, lodging, meals, and transportation). Ten individuals from the Police Department, City Prosecutor Office, and local service providers will attend the training with the Office of Violence Against Women.			
	\$22,836		
Equipment to be used by the co-locating partners at the Salt Lake City Family Justice Center.	\$3,600		
Supplies for the Salt Lake Area Safe at Home Coalition	· · · ·		
	\$6,010		
Contractual Purposes for the YWCA, who will manage grant activities, provide staff, meeting space for all partners, provide foreign and sign language services, provide a program evaluation, and general operating costs, including utilities, telephone and SLAFJC			

brochures.	\$325,130
Other	\$5,940

This grant does fund employees and has the potential to continue.

Does the Council wish to ask the Administration if the grant funding would be used to offset the City's Victim Advocate personnel costs?

Does the Council wish to ask the Administration about other City grants that may fund the YWCA?

E-7: Continuation Grant – State of Utah Dept. of Public Safety, Division of Homeland Security, 2008 Urban Area Security Initiative Grant Program (\$1,476,000 - Grant Fund)

The Salt Lake Urban Area Security Initiate (UASI) was formed in 2007 to facilitate "all hazard" planning and response. The Salt Lake Urban Area includes all of Salt Lake County. The governing body is Urban Area Working Group (UAWG), which is comprised of voting member agencies from multiple disciplines/jurisdictions within the county. Salt Lake City serves as the lead/procurement agency.

The Salt Lake UASI was awarded \$1,476,000 in the 2008 grant cycle. The funds will be used to purchase equipment and materials needed to plan and prepare in the event of natural disasters or terrorist attacks. The expenditure of funds is facilitated by Salt Lake City on behalf of the UAWG. The proceeds were awarded, for the benefit of the Salt Lake UASI, based on "investment justifications" submitted to Homeland Security by the UAWG. The grant funds will be used in the following manner: (Note: Any re-direction of monies must be preceded by approved amendment/adjustment to the grant award.)

Department	Amoun t	Purpose	Additional Information	
Management Services/ Emergency Management	\$330,000	Contract Staff	3/yr funded executive director/coordinator for Salt Lake UASI. Position acts on behalf of UAWG performing grant management, planning, training , exercise, etc.	
Management Services/ Emergency Management	\$40,000	Program Development	UASI Homeland Security program development for Salt Lake UASI	
Management Services/ Emergency Management	\$75,000	Planning Materials and Supplies	Materials and expenses for UASI programs and personnel in support of program development	
Management Services/ Emergency Management	\$800,000	(2) Fully Equipped Mobile Interoperable Tactical Solution Vehicles	These vehicles facilitate emergency voice and data communications. The system is redundant for local systems and can link outside responder systems to local systems	
Management Services/ Emergency Management	\$231,000	Fusion/Data/Intelligence System	This money will be managed by the Utah State fusion unit. The State agrees to provide Intelligence analysis, software, planning, etc. for the Salt Lake UASI. This \$231,000 is combined with approximately \$370,000 awarded directly to the State for the Fusion center.	

This budget amendment request is to establish the budget for the UASI grant.

Does the Council wish to discuss the City's role in applying for and processing grants that include other jurisdictions and the associated costs and benefits the City receives from the joint efforts?

E-8: Continuation Grant – State of Utah Department of Health, Bureau of EMS Training Grant (\$2,826 – Grant Fund)

In order to keep Emergency Management Dispatcher (EMD) certifications current, dispatchers are required to have a minimum of 24 hours of training every two years. Trainings are held locally, in-house and/or out-of-state. The Police Department pays the training costs of its dispatchers.

In order to supplement its budget, the Police Department's Emergency Communications Unit applies for a Utah Department of Health, Bureau of Emergency Medical Services training grant. The amount of this year's award is \$2,826, which will allow approximately 10 dispatchers to receive training.

E-9: Continuation Grant – State of Utah Department of Natural Resources, 2009 State Trails and Pathways Matching Assistance Award for Jordan River Pkwy. Trail (\$250,000 – Grant Fund)

During spring 2008, the Salt Lake City Public Services Engineering Division (SLC) and Davis County jointly applied for a grant through the Utah State Parks Trails & Pathways program for a project to complete the Jordan River Trail between Redwood Road in Salt Lake City and the Legacy Highway Trail in Davis County. The planned project would include grading, constructing a ten foot wide paved pedestrian/bicycle path with a five foot soft trail for equestrian recreation, making improvements to existing river bridges, adding new railing, and constructing an elevated trail system over wetland areas.

The state grant program provides for 50/50 matching. The joint application requested a total of \$1,316,147 divided as follows: \$977,600 (SLC) and \$338,547 (Davis County). If approved as submitted, the state program would have provided a 50% grant in the amount of \$658,074 divided as follows: \$488,800 (SLC) and \$169,274 (Davis County). Each municipality would then have been required to provide equal amounts as their match.

During winter 2008, the state awarded Salt Lake City and Davis County a grant for the project in the amount of \$250,000. The full amount requested was not given due to budget shortfalls but the \$250,000 represents the entire amount of grant money available in this state program, this year, and it was all awarded to this one project.

To determine match requirements, the \$250,000 was divided into the same proportions as submitted with the original grant request. Hence, \$185,000 (74%) will be awarded to SLC and \$65,000 (26%) to Davis County. Each municipality is now required to provide equal amounts as their match.

According to the Administration, the \$185,000 matching funds for SLC could come from extra CIP funds available in the account awarded for construction of the Jordan River Trail from Redwood Road to the Rose Park Golf Course. Bids for this project were opened on January 14, 2008. According to the Administration, funds in the account in excess of the awarded bid could fund Salt Lake City's portion (\$185,000) of the required match.

Although the project is not yet fully funded, the awarded funds are enough to cover project design. The City and Davis County are currently drafting a funding agreement to begin the design work.

In an effort to obtain the remaining funds needed to complete the portion of the project within SLC, (from Redwood Road to the Davis County line), last fall, a CIP application and a state Land and Water Conservation Funds application were submitted. Both applications request \$645,200, which, based on a newer estimate, is the total amount needed to complete the portion of the project within SLC.

Once built, the annual maintenance for the Jordan River Trail will be provided by the jurisdiction in which the trail is located. For Salt Lake City, the estimated annual maintenance cost is \$6,000. The City's Public Service Department - Parks Division would provide trail maintenance. According to the Parks Director, additional funds from the General Fund must be allocated for the ongoing maintenance and repair of this new section of the trail.

Does the Council wish to request a briefing on the use, status and plans for the Jordan River Trail?

Does the Council wish to reallocate the excess CIP funds from an existing account to another account without going through the CIP process or does the Council view this project as an extension of the Jordan River Trail – Redwood Road to the Rose Park Golf Course?

Does the Council wish to clarify how the Administration will approach this project if the additional funds anticipated either from the CIP or the Grant are not available in the coming year?

Does the Council wish to discuss how to fund the additional ongoing maintenance and repair costs for the trail?

E-10: State of Utah Dept. of Agriculture and Food: City Creek Road Corridor (\$15,000 – Grant Fund)

The Public Utilities Department applied for and received a \$15,000 grant from the State of Utah, Department of Agriculture and Food, Invasive Species Mitigation Fund. This 2008 State appropriated fund is to be used for the prevention of wildland fire through land restoration.

Salt Lake City owns watershed property located in City Creek Canyon. The Public Utilities Department is responsible for this watershed area. In an effort to prevent wildland fires in this area near the City and reduce a potential fire liability, the Public Utilities Department has identified a mitigation plan. This plan will create a fire break 100 feet along the road that runs from the mouth of City Creek Canyon at the gate house to the top of Area 30 in Rotary Park. The plan removes dead fall, trims back brush and low tree growth, and breaks the overhead canopy to reduce the threat of a catastrophic fire. Large and native trees will not be removed, but, if necessary, will be trimmed back to a single truck. Shrubs, undergrowth, and diseased or damaged trees will be removed. Small trees, including scrub oak, will be thinned or removed.

The appearance of the canyon will be altered. Public Utilities plans to notify the community of the pending fire mitigation treatment and its benefits, which include better access for fire vehicles, increased safety and egress for users of the canyon, and better habitat conditions

for wildlife. Staff members will attend Community Council meetings, prepare press releases, and provide information on the Department's website. In addition, notices will be posted at the mouth of the canyon and in local libraries. An additional aspect of the project is to locate, identify, map, and mitigate invasive weed communities. Infested areas are mapped using GPS and GIS. This budget amendment request is to establish the budget for the grant.

E-11: Establish a Grant Appropriation Holding Account (\$500,000 – Grant Fund)

The Administration wishes to establish a grant appropriation holding account to facilitate the grant appropriation process. Each year City departments apply for and receive grants. The amount varies by year, but it is estimated that between \$500,000 to \$5,000,000 is awarded, received, and appropriated by Salt Lake City. The majority of the grant funds received by the City are for the Police Department. In addition, grants are received on behalf of other cities or agencies.

Grant requirements vary, but most grants have spending timelines, which specify that grants must be spent prior to the end of the fiscal year. This has presented challenges in the timing of the budget appropriation process and when the grant items get addressed in a budget amendment. The proposed holding account would allow the funds to be allocated and expenditures made within the grant's spending timeline. The funding of grants would not occur until the grant paperwork has been received.

The proposed process varies from the existing process in that with the \$500,000 grant holding account would allow the grant recipient to spend a portion of their grant funds (provided all of the necessary grant paperwork has been completed) prior to a budget amendment. This would allow additional time to meet the spending requirement.

Does the Council wish to consider circumstances where a grant would not be accepted by the Council? For example, when personnel or employees are being funded with a grant monies, but future years would require General Funds for an employee position to continue.

Does the Council wish to restrict the use of the holding account when employees or new personnel are being funded with grant that requires the employee to be hired upon completion of the grant, but without the grant funding?

The Administration classified the following as: <u>Donations</u>

F-1: Donation: Lawrence T. & Janet T. Dee Foundation for YouthCity Artways Imagination Celebration – (\$1,000 – Donation)

Imagination Celebration, started in the Fall of 2001, is a year-long festival that focuses on family arts education, experiences, and opportunities. This festival is held at schools, libraries, performing arts venues, and other community settings throughout Salt Lake City. The 2008-09 annual budget funded through grants and not the General Fund, is \$21,250. A grant of \$1,000 was received for the 2008-09 Festival from the Lawrence T. and Janet T. Dee Foundation. This request will create a budget for the incoming donation in the Salt Lake City Foundation account. In order for the funds to be spent for the Imagination Celebration, the \$1,000 will also need to be transferred to the Youth City Imagination Celebration Cost Center.

F-2: Donation: Microsoft for Sorenson Multi-Cultural Technology Center – (\$24,000 – Donation)

In October of 2008, Microsoft awarded \$24,000 to the Salt Lake City Foundation to be used for the Sorenson Multicultural Technology Center, specifically to pay for part-time/hourly instructors. This is the second grant awarded by Microsoft. The first grant was awarded in February of 2007. The Microsoft 2008 grant allows \$15,000 or 750 hours at \$20.00 per hour to be spent on personnel/salaries. The 2007 grant allocated \$33,700 to be spent for personnel costs. As of January 1st, 2009, there is approximately \$27,700 left and it is currently paying for one seasonal, part time individual working approximately 6 hour per week at \$20.00 per hour. According to the Administration, the Sorenson Community Center (SCC) does not anticipate financial assistance to cover personnel costs after the existing grant funds have been spent.

This budget amendment request is to create the budget for the \$24,000 Microsoft grant.

Does the Council wish to discuss the on-going personnel costs of course instructors who provide computer courses to the Sorenson Community Center? (The 2007 grant is for 6.0 hours/week or approximately \$6,000 and the 2008 grant is for 750 hours/year at \$20.00/hour or approximately \$15,000.)

Does the Council wish to study/review other organizations that provide educational courses at low or minimal cost to participants to determine whether or not the program can continue into the future without the Microsoft funding?

The Administration classified the following as: Cost Overruns

NONE

The Administration classified the following as: Follow-up on Previously Approved Items

NONE

Council Added Items



RALPH BECKER MAYOR

SALT' LAKE; GHIY CORPORATION

CITY COUNCIL TRANSMITTAL

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR

Everitt. Chief of Staff David

Date Received: 1/8/2009 Date sent to Council: 1/9/2009

TO: Salt Lake City Council Carlton Christensen, Chair DATE: January 8, 2009

FROM: David Everitt, Chief of Staff

SUBJECT: FY 2008-09 Budget Amendment #2

STAFF CONTACT: Gina Chamness, Budget Director 535-7766 Gordon Hoskins, Finance Director 535-6394

DOCUMENT TYPE: Ordinance Amending FY 2008-09 Budget

RECOMMENDATION: The Administration recommends that the City Council set a public hearing date to discuss Budget Amendment #2 to the FY 2008-09 budget.

BUDGET IMPACT: Budget Amendment #2 includes a General Fund increase of \$107,340 to address two different issues. The CIP Fund would increase by \$3,111,000, the Airport Fund would have a net decrease in appropriation of \$12,914,500 and the appropriation authority for other funds would increase by \$7,020,102.

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION:

The budget opening is separated in seven different categories:

- A. New Budget Items
- B. Grants for Existing Staff Resources
- C. Grants for New Staff Resources
- D. Housekeeping Items
- E. Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
- F. Donations
- I. Council Added Items

There are 8 new items in this budget amendment; only 1 of these items has an impact on the general fund. This item would increase the appropriation from Fund Balance in this fiscal year by \$7,340.

451 SOUTH STATE STREET, ROOM 306, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111 TELEPHONE: 801-535-7704 FAX: 801-535-6331 www.slcgov.com

There are 3 proposed items that would allow the City to recognize grant funds for which there are existing staff resources, another 10 items that recognize grant funds that require no new staff resources, and 1 item that would recognize a grant that fund additional staff resources. An additional item would create a grant appropriation holding account to be managed by Finance.

There are 7 items addressing housekeeping items, and 2 items that would recognize donations to the City. 1 housekeeping item would increase the amount appropriation from Fund Balance in this year by \$100,000; this is necessary to correctly reflect an error that was made during the CIP fund allocation process.

PUBLIC PROCESS: A public hearing will be held to discuss this proposed amendment to the FY 2008-09 budget.

SALT LAKE CITY ORDINANCE No. _____ of 2009 (Amending the Final Budget of Salt Lake City, including the employment staffing document, for Fiscal Year 2008-2009)

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SALT LAKE CITY ORDINANCE NO. 64 OF 2008 WHICH ADOPTED THE FINAL BUDGET OF SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH, THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING JULY 1, 2008 AND ENDING JUNE 30, 2009.

PREAMBLE

On August 12, 2008, the Salt Lake City Council adopted the final budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, including the employment staffing document, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2008 and ending June 30, 2009, in accordance with the requirements of Section 118, Chapter 6, Title 10 of the Utah Code Annotated, and said budget, including the employment staffing document, was approved by the Mayor of Salt Lake City, Utah.

The City's Policy and Budget Director, acting as the City's Budget Officer, prepared and filed with the City Recorder proposed amendments to said duly adopted budget, including the amendments to the employment staffing document, copies of which are attached hereto, for consideration by the City Council and inspection by the public.

All conditions precedent to amend said budget, including the employment staffing document, have been accomplished.

Be it ordained by the City Council of Salt Lake City, Utah:

SECTION 1. <u>Purpose</u>. The purpose of this Ordinance is to amend the final budget of Salt Lake City, including the employment staffing document, as approved, ratified and finalized by Salt Lake City Ordinance No.64 of 2008.

SECTION 2. <u>Adoption of Amendments</u>. The budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document, attached hereto and made a part of this Ordinance shall be, and the same hereby are adopted and incorporated into the budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, including the employment staffing document, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2008 and ending June 30, 2009, in accordance with the requirements of Section 128, Chapter 6, Title 10, of the Utah Code Annotated.

SECTION 3. <u>Certification to Utah State Auditor</u>. The City's Policy and Budget Director, acting as the City's Budget Officer, is authorized and directed to certify and file a copy of said budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document, with the Utah State Auditor.

SECTION 4. <u>Filing of copies of the Budget Amendments</u>. The said Budget Officer is authorized and directed to certify and file a copy of said budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document, in the office of said Budget Officer and in the office of the City Recorder which amendments shall be available for public inspection.

SECTION 5. <u>Effective Date</u>. This Ordinance shall take effect on its first publication.

2

Passed by the City Council of Salt Lake City, Utah, this _____ day of

, 2009.

CHAIRPERSON

ATTEST:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER

Transmitted to the Mayor on _____

Mayor's Action: _____ Approved _____ Vetoed

MAYOR

ATTEST:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER

APPROVED AS TO FORM Salt Lake City Attorney's Office Dale 1-2-09 By John H. 1 m

(SEAL)

Bill No. _____ of 2009. Published: _____. HB_ATTY-#5398-v1-Budget_Amendment_FY08-09.DOC

Fiscal Year 2009 Budget Amendment #2 – January

#	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
	Section A	New Items					
1.	Sidewalk Replacement SAA – Owners Portion	\$950,000.00					
2.		\$245,000.00					
3.	•	\$50,000.00					
4.		\$140,000.00					
5.		\$1,500,000.00					
6.	Impact Fee Waivers	\$7,340.00			\$7,340.00		\$-7,340.00
7.	Airport Budget Adjustments	\$-12,914,500.00					
8.	CIP Plaza 349 Employee Shower Budget Increase	\$26,000.00					
	Section B	Grants For Existi	ng Staff Resource	es			
1.	US Dept of Health Drug Free Comm. Grant	\$125,000.00					
2.		\$25,000.00					
3.	•	\$45,120.13					

42 #	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
	Section C	Grants For New	Staff Resources				
1.	US Justice Universal Hiring Program Grant	\$75,000.00					
	Section D	Housekeeping					
1.	Improving Crime Date Grant Budget Increase	\$15,790.00					
2.	CIP Budget Corrections	\$200,000.00			\$100,000.00		
3.	HUD Federal Program Budget Recaptures	\$147,568.25					
4.	Impact Fee Revenue Increase	\$3,001,986.01					
5.	Social Service Block Grant Adjustment	\$25,750.00					
6.	Interest Earning on Federal Grants	\$110,000.00					
7.	Airport TRAX Funding Correction	\$-1,550,000.00			-0-		

	Section E	Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
1.	St of Utah Comm on	\$1,720.00
	Criminal Drug	
	Endangered Children's	
	Conf.	

43		Fiscal Year	Annual Impact		General Fund	General Fund Fund Balance	Fund Balance
#	Initiative Name	Impact Amount	Amount (If Different)	FTE	Impact	Impact Positive	Impact Negative
2.	St of Utah Dept of Pub Safety Interoperable Comm, Grant	\$50,000.00					
3.	US Dept of Justice Technology Program Grant	\$93,530.00					
4.	US Dept of Justice Bureau of Justice Assist Grant	\$274,801.00					
5.	Utah Dept of Pub Safety Buffer Zone Protection Program Grant	\$361,495.00					
6.	US Dept of Justice Violence Against Women Grant	\$398,516.00					
7.	St of Utah Dept of Pub Safety Urban Area Security Grant	\$1,476,000.00					
8.	St of Utah Dept of Health Bureau of EMS Training Grant	\$2,826.00					
9.	St of Utah Natural Resources Jordan River Parkway Trail Grant	\$250,000.00					
10.	•	\$15,000.00					
11.		\$500,000.00					

4 Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
Section F	Donations					
. Dee Foundation – Youth City Artways Imagination Celebration	\$1,000.00					
2. Microsoft Donation to the Sorenson Technology Center	\$24,000.00					
Section I	Council Added Ite	ms				

Section A: New Items

Ini	itiaf	ive	Name:
	ula		nume.

Sidewalk Replacement SAA - 1500 to 2100 East, 1300 to 1700 South Owners Portion

Property

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #A-1

New Item

Initiative Discussion:

During the FY 08-09 CIP Process, \$700,000 was awarded for a Sidewalk Replacement Special Assessment Area (SAA) at 1500 East to 2100 East, 1300 South to 1700 South. These funds were awarded to design, construct and create the SAA for sidewalk improvements in the proposed area as funding permits. Improvements to include ADA pedestrian ramps, limited replacement of trees & some corner draining improvements.

This project is proposed to be partially funded with property owners assessments. This request is to create budget in the amount of \$950,000 for the property owners portion of funding.

<u> </u>		lacement SAA - 1500 to 1300 to 1700 South	
		y Owners Portion	-
		nitiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #A-1			2008-09
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
CED - HAND			New Item
Department			Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-6136 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		iscal Year	Annual
	Im	pact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Tatal		¢0	
Total Internal Service Fund		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		ψ	
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		+-	
83-CIP Fund	\$	950,000.00	
Total	\$	950,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			0
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
83-	1125	\$	950,000.00
	1120	Ŷ	
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
83-	2700	\$	950,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?			NA
Is there a potential for grant to conti	nue?		NA
If grant is funding a position is it exp	pected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the grant			NA
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?		NA
Will grant impact the community ond eliminated?	ce the grant funds are		NA
Does grant duplicate services provid	ded by private or		
Non-profit sector?	-		NA

Initiative Name:

South Temple, Main Street to Virginia Street Budget Increase

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #A-2

New Item

Initiative Discussion:

During the 2000 CIP Process, \$990,000 of Class "C" funds were awarded for street improvements on South Temple, from Main Street to Virginia Street. These funds were awarded as a match to a Federal Highway Administration grant from the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT).

During construction, conflicts with private utility lines on South Temple, caused considerable construction delays which resulted in claims from the contractor for loss of efficiency and additional employee overtime and shifts needed to complete the project within the contract terms. The \$245,000 of additional costs were disallowed by the Federal Highway Administration because of the requirement for the private utility companies to pay for relocations and project delays as part of their City franchise agreements.

Engineering is requesting a budget increase of \$245.000 which will come from the Class "C" over-run account. This increase will allow reimbursement to UDOT for the ineligible expense. The City is pursuing legal action against the private utility companies for the additional costs associated with the delays. Once this issue is resolved, the Class "C" Fund will be reimbursed.

Sol	th Temple, Main Street to Virginia	
	Street Budget Increase	
	Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #A-2		<u>2008-09</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
CED - HAND		New Item
Department		Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark / Sherrie Collins		<u>535-6136 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None	
Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		ψ0
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		¥*
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$C
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		C
Position Title:		

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	A 100 - 110 t
83-04097	2700	Amount \$ (245,000.00)
83-00041	2700	\$ 245,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to con	tinue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it ex	spected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gran	t?	NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community of	and the grant funds are	
Will grant impact the community or eliminated?	ice the grant runos are	NA
Does grant duplicate services prov	ided by private or	
Non-profit sector?	7 P	NA

Initiative Name:

CIP Class C 700 South Jordan River Bridge Replacement - Budget Increase

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #A-3

New Item

Initiative Discussion:

The 700 South Jordan River Bridge Replacement project was awarded \$200,000 during the 03-04 CIP Process as a required match to a \$900,000 Federal Highway Bridge Replacement grant from the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT).

This project was scheduled for completion in June of 2007, it was completed in March of 2008. Delays occurred due to an agreement to allow the contractor to extend the construction period through the winter so the project could be completed within the construction budget and an unanticipated problem between the City's storm drain system and water line connecting to the 700 South Bridge which resulted in a needed change in water line material placed within the structure.

Engineering is requesting a budget increase of \$50,000 which will come from the Class "C" over run account. This increase is necessary due to unforeseen delays resulting in additional engineering and contract administration costs and costs associated with additional structural concrete needed to complete the project.

All available Federal Funds have been obligated in accordance with the UDOT/City cooperative agreement. All additional costs to the project are the City's responsibility.

	1	
	P Class C 700 South Jordan River	
Bric	lge Replacement - Budget Increas	<u>e</u>
	Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #A-3		<u>2008-09</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
<u>CED - HAND</u>		New Item
Department		Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark / Sherrie Collins		<u>535-6136 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By	(Ne netive)	Telephone Contact Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	(Negative) None	Positive
Impact	None	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
Revenue impact by Fund.	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund	impact Amount	impact Amount
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		(
•		
Position Title:		

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83-04097	2700	\$ (50,000.00
83-04038	2700	\$ 50,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to conti	nue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it exp be eliminated at the end of the grant		NA
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community or	as the grapt funds are	
Will grant impact the community on eliminated?	ce the grant funds are	NA
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

Emigration Canyon Bike Lane Project Budget Increase and Parley's Crossing Scope Revision

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #A-4

New Item

Initiative Discussion:

The Emigration Canyon Bike Lane Project was awarded \$350,000 during the fiscal year 01-02 CIP Process for the design and construction of one half mile of bike lane improvements connecting the bike lanes on Sunnyside Avenue to the County bike lanes in Emigration Canyon. This was a cooperative agreement between the City and the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) due to the utilization of a federal ISTEA Enhancement grant in the amount of \$870,000. UDOT was the project lead and provided construction management and oversite of the project. It was completed in 2006. The City recently received UDOT's final bill for an additional \$240,000 for the City's share of the project. This increase is due to added work and materials needed for the bank stabilization, retaining wall and improvements to the existing roadway to provide an adequate pavement structure for the new bike lane.

In fiscal year 99/00 the City budgeted \$219,000 for the Parley's Crossing project to construct a bridge over I-215 to connect the Bonneville Shoreline Trail to Parley's Historic Nature Park. This too was a cooperative project between UDOT and the County, The City's required \$218,965 UDOT match has been paid and the City is no longer involved in the County and UDOT commitment for construction of the project. During project development, the City received a Utah Non-Motorized Trails Matching Fund Program grant in the amount of \$100,000. These funds were awarded as a match for the Parley's Crossing project. Since the City paid the required UDOT match from it's general fund allocation, the grant funds were not spent.

Transportation and Engineering is requesting that the \$100,000 Parley's Crossing grant be allocated to the Emigration Canyon Bike Lane Project. This amount and the \$140,000 being requested from CIP fund balance will be used to pay the final UDOT bill, totaling \$240,000, for the Emigration Canyon Bike Lane Project.

	Em	igration Canyon Bike Lane Projec	ct	
		get Increase and Parley's Crossir		
		Scope Revision		
		Initiative Name		
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #A-4			2008-09	
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year	
<u>CED - HAND</u>			New Item	
Department			Type of Initiative	
LuAnn Clark / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-6136 / 535-6150</u>	
Prepared By			Telephone Contact	
		(Negative)	Positive	
General Fund - Fund Balance-		(
Impact				
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual	
novonuo impuot by i unui		Impact Amount	Impact Amount	
General Fund		Impact Amount	impact Amount	
General i unu				
Total		\$0	\$0	
Internal Service Fund				
Internal Service Fund				
- - - -		00		
Total		\$0	\$0	
Enterprise Fund				
Total		\$0	\$0	
Other Fund				
Total		\$-	\$0	
Staffing Impact:				
Requested Number of FTE's:			0	
Position Title:				

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83-08099 83- New Cost Center	2700 2700	\$ (140,000.00 \$ 140,000.00
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Additional Accounting Details:		
72-80103	Reallocated funds	
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to conti	nue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it exp	bected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the grant	?	NA
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community one	ce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services provid	ded by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

Initiative	Name:
	1101101

Class "C" Asphalt Overlay - FY 2009-10 Funding

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #A-5

New Item

Initiative Discussion:

As in prior years, Engineering is requesting expedited budget approval of this Class "C" project to allow the work to be bid in February 2009 in order to obtain the best bid prices and have the project completed during the 2009 construction season. This work will improve pavement life, provide smoother street surfaces for improved riding and enhance the streetscape appearance. ADA barriers will be removed and sidewalk access ramps constructed and deteriorated curb and gutter replaced. This request includes approximately \$100,000 to design fiscal year 2010/2011 Overlay Project. Streets are selected based on pavement condition by the City pavement management in consultation with the street division.

This project is included in the fiscal year 2009/2010 CIP Process. It is requested on an annual basis by Engineering and is awarded Class "C" Funds.

<u>C1</u>	<u>ass "C" A</u>	<u>sphalt Overlay - FY 2009-1</u> <u>Funding</u>	<u>0</u>
		Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #A-5			2008-09
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
CED - HAND			New Item
Department			Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-6136 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Tatal			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		ψ0	
83-Class "C" Fund	\$	1,500,000.00	
	Ψ	1,000,000.00	
Total	\$	1,500,000.00	\$0
		.,000,000.00	_
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			C
•			
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
83-	1370	\$	1,500,000.00
	1370	Ψ	1,500,000.00
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
83-	2700	\$	1,500,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
2009/2010 Class "C" Fund Balance			
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?			NA
Is there a potential for grant to conti	nue?		NA
If grant is funding a position is it exp	pected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the grant			NA
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?		NA
Will grant impact the community one	a the grant funds are		
eliminated?			NA
Does grant duplicate services provid	led by private or		
Non-profit sector?			NA

Initiative Name:
Impact Fee Waivers
Initiative Number:
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #A-6
New Item
Initiative Discussion:
The Community Development Department has processed one (1) request for impact fee exemptions totaling \$7,340.00 for five (5) housing units. Salt Lake City Housing and Neighborhood Development received the exemption for the five units (homes) for the Sherwood Subdivision located at Navajo Street and 400 South. These homes will be available to households with incomes below 80% of the area median income. As outlined in the current City Ordinance, <i>a one hundred (100) percent exemption shall be granted for non-rental/rental housing, for which the annualized mortgage/rental payment does not exceed thirty (30) percent of the annual income of a family whose annual income equals eighty (80) percent of the median income for Salt Lake City as determined by HUD.</i>

	Impact Fee Waivers	
	Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #A-6		<u>2008-09</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
CED - HAND		New Item
Department		Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark / Sherrie Collins		<u>535-6136 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	\$ (7,340.00)	
Impact		
<u>Revenue Impact By Fund:</u>	Fiscal Year	Annual
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund	ψυ	ψ
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund	\$	
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total		\$0
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		(
Position Title:		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA	
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
		•	
84-84001 Police Impact Fee	1974-01	\$ 2,200.0	
84-84002 Fire Impact Fee	1974-01	\$ 2,050.0	
84-84003 Parks Impact Fee	1974-01	\$ 3,090.0	0
		\$ 7,340.0	0
			-
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
09-00700	2910-01	\$ 7,340.0	0
84-84001 Police Impact Fee	2700	\$ 2,200.0	
84-84002 Fire Impact Fee	2700	\$ 2,050.0	
84-84003 Parks Impact Fee	2700	\$ 3,090.0	0
		\$ 7,340.0	0
Additional Accounting Details:			
			_
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		NA	
s there a potential for grant to con		NA	
If grant is funding a position is it ex be eliminated at the end of the gran	xpected the position will nt?	NA	+
Will grant program be complete in		NA	
Will grant impact the community o eliminated?	nce the grant funds are	NA	
	ided humainet		+
Does grant duplicate services prov Non-profit sector?	vided by private or		
Non-protit sector?		NA	1

Airport FY 2008/2009 Budget Adjustment

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY 2009 Initiative #A-7

Initiative Type:

New Item

Initiative Discussion:

The FY 2008/2009 operating and capital budget of the Airport was presented to the airlines in February 2008 and was subsequently approved by the Airport advisory board in March 2008. During this time, negotiations with the airlines were in process since the extended agreement was supposed to expire by June 30, 2008. Terms of an extension of the agreement were finalized in April and a new two-year extension was signed by June 30, 2008.

Below is the impact of this on the FY 2008/2009 Budget:	
Revenue reduction	(\$1,685,300)
Terminal Seats and Carpet Replacements	575,100
Two revenue agreements were also under negotiation during the budget proce revenues were not included in the Airports' FY 2008/2009 budget:	ess, and those
Sales of recycled glycol	\$ 357,800
Utilities & janitorial cost reimbursement (TSA)	
Additional costs for the emegency repair of a borken sewer line	. 200,000
Some capital equipment placed on order during FY 2007/2008 was not	
delivered before June 30, 2008	463,100
To enhance customer service and safety on our terminal curbs and in our	
long term parking lot we will have an automated bus information system	
(smart bus) installed on all buses and at all bus stops	400,000
Due to some capital improvement projects being deferred, timing of	
project implementation, bid variances, and additional land acquisitions, the	
FY 2008/2009 CIP budget is being reduced by a net amount of	(\$12,736,100)

	Airport FY 2008/2009 Budget	
	Adjustment	
	Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY 2009 Initiative #A-7		2008-09
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Department of Airports		<u>New Item</u>
Department J. C. Bingham / J.L. Moratalla		Type of Initiative 575-2926 / 575-5918
Prepared By	(Negativa)	Telephone Contacts Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	(Negative)	
	\$-	\$-
Impact -		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Airport		
Revenues	\$ (1,241,500.00)	
Total	\$ (1,241,500.00)	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
	¥*	¥*
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		C
-		
Position Title:		
Carpenter II		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
54-03000	1700	\$ (1,100,700.00)
54-03000	1702	\$ 371,200.00
54-03000	1704	\$ (79,700.00)
54-03000	1720	\$ (600,000.00)
54-03000	1721	\$ (276,100.00)
54-42000	1789	\$ 357,800.00
54-17000	1792-02	\$ 86,000.00
		\$ (1,241,500.00)
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
54-05000	2750-15	\$ 463,100.00
54-25000	2750-91	\$ 400,000.00
54-16000	2399	\$ 200,000.00
54-19000	2773-10	\$ (3,618,100.00)
54-19000	2776-10	\$ 116,000.00
55-10000	2773-10	\$ (5,865,000.00)
54-19000	2771-10	\$ 10,000,000.00
55-30000	2776-10	\$ (13,369,000.00)
		\$ (11,673,000.00
	Image: Constraint of the second sec	
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		(Yes or No)
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	(Yes or No)
If grant is funding a position is it on be eliminated at the end of the graded at the end of the end of the end of the en		(Yes or No)
Will grant program be complete in	n grant funding time frame?	 (Yes or No)
Will grant impact the community of eliminated?	once the grant funds are	(Yes or No)
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or	
Does drant dublicate services for		

Initiative Name:
Plaza 349 Employee Shower's Budget Increase
Initiative Number:
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #A-8
New Item
Initiative Discussion:
The Plaza 349 Employee Shower Project was awarded \$54,500 during the 08-09 CIP Process. These funds were awarded to construct three showers on the 2nd floor, adjacent to the restroom facilities.
During the design portion of the project, it was determined that more mechanical, electrical and plumbing components would be necessary for the showers than anticipated when the estimate for the CIP application was prepared. Bids were opened for this project on November 19. The low bid received exceeded the available budget by \$26,000.
This request is to increase the budget by \$26,000 and reduce the cost overrun account by the same amount.

Plaza	a 349 Employee Shower's Budget	<u>.</u>
	Increase	
	Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #A-8		<u>2008-09</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
<u>CED - HAND</u>		<u>New Item</u>
Department		Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark / Sherrie Collins		<u>535-6136 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By	(Negative)	Telephone Contact Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		
Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund	ψυ	φ.
Total	\$0	\$C
Enterprise Fund		
-		
Total	\$0	\$C
Other Fund		
00		
83 Total		\$C
Total		φι
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		C
Position Title:		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83-07099	2700	\$ (26,000.00
83-09045	2700	\$ 26,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details.		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	NA
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
If grant is funding a position is it o	expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gra	ant?	NA
Will grant program be complete ir	n grant funding time frame?	NA
grant program as complete in		
Will grant impact the community of	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services pro Non-profit sector?	ovided by private or	
		NA

Section B: Grants for Existing Staff Resources

US Department of Health and Human Services- Drug Free Communities Support Grant

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #B-1

Grants for Existing Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Mayor's Office applied for and received \$125,000 of grant funding from the Department of Health and Human Services for continuation of the Mayor's Drug Free Communities Support program. This program supports the Mayor's Coalition on Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs in the reduction and prevention of substance abuse in Salt Lake City. This is year one of the grant which has been awarded for an additional 5 year period.

Of these funds, \$59,950 will be used for the salary and benefits of the Coalition Coordinator, who coordinates and supports the coalition strategy in program implementation and activities that include training, data collection, dissemination of findings, and liaising between the Coalition, the Mayor's Office and the community, and \$5,683 will fund the Grant Monitors time for the fiscal monitoring and oversight of the grant. In addition, \$10,354 will be used for travel and training to mandatory conferences; \$180 will be used for supplies; \$18,578 will be used for memberships, conference registrations, printing, photocopying and postage; and \$30,255 will be used for other contractual components to include continuation of program evaluation, Salt Lake IMS for Website domain, a consultant to assist in the facilitation of the annual strategic planning process and a facilitator to assist the Coalition in a two day self assessment and planning session.

The grant requires a \$142,868 in-kind match which will be met with the Mayor's Office staff, Coalition volunteer time, IMS staff time for Community Forums tapings, a portion of the evaluation services and Community Forum volunteer speakers.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #B-1 Initiative Number Initiative Number CED - HAND Department LuAnn Clark / Sherrie Collins Prepared By General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: General Fund Internal Service Fund Internal Service Fund	Department of Health and Human ervices- Drug Free Communities Support Grant Initiative Name Initiative Name Initiative Name (Negative) Initiative) None Initiative Name	2008-09 Fiscal Year
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #B-1 Initiative Number Initiative Number CED - HAND Department LuAnn Clark / Sherrie Collins Prepared By General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: General Fund Internal Service Fund Internal Service Fund	Support Grant Initiative Name Initiative Name (Negative) None Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year Grants for Existing Staff Resources Type of Initiative 535-6136 / 535-6150 Telephone Contact Positive Annual
Initiative Number IIII Initiative Number IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Grants for Existing Staff Resources Type of Initiative 535-6136 / 535-6150 Telephone Contact Positive Annual
Initiative Number Initiation Initiatio Initiane Initiation Initiation Initiation Initiation Ini	(Negative) None <u>Fiscal Year</u>	Fiscal Year Grants for Existing Staff Resources Type of Initiative 535-6136 / 535-6150 Telephone Contact Positive Annual
Initiative Number Initiation Initiatio Initiane Initiation Initiation Initiation Initiation Ini	None Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year Grants for Existing Staff Resources Type of Initiative 535-6136 / 535-6150 Telephone Contact Positive Annual
CED - HAND Department LuAnn Clark / Sherrie Collins Prepared By General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: General Fund General Fund Internal Service Fund Internal Service Fund	None Fiscal Year	Grants for Existing Staff Resources Type of Initiative 535-6136 / 535-6150 Telephone Contact Positive Annual
Department Impact Prepared By Impact General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Impact Impact General Fund Impact General Fund Impact Impact By Fund: Impact Total Impact Internal Service Fund Impact Total Impact	None Fiscal Year	Resources Type of Initiative 535-6136 / 535-6150 Telephone Contact Positive
Department Impact Prepared By Impact General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Impact Impact General Fund Impact General Fund Impact Impact By Fund: Impact Total Impact Internal Service Fund Impact Total Impact	None Fiscal Year	Type of Initiative 535-6136 / 535-6150 Telephone Contact Positive Annual
LuAnn Clark / Sherrie Collins Prepared By General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: General Fund General Fund Internal Service Fund Total Internal Service Fund	None Fiscal Year	535-6136 / 535-6150 Telephone Contact Positive
Prepared By General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: General Fund General Fund Total Internal Service Fund Total	None Fiscal Year	Positive Annual
Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: General Fund Impact Total Impact Internal Service Fund Impact Total Impact Internal Service Fund Impact	None Fiscal Year	Annual
Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: General Fund Impact Total Impact Internal Service Fund Impact Total Impact Internal Service Fund Impact	Fiscal Year	
Revenue Impact By Fund: General Fund		
General Fund		
Total Internal Service Fund Total Total	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
Total Internal Service Fund Total Total		
Internal Service Fund Total		
Internal Service Fund Total		
Internal Service Fund	<u>۴</u> ۵	
Total	\$0	\$0
	\$0	\$0
Total	\$O	\$0
Other Fund		
72-	\$ 125,000.00	
Total	\$ 125,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		0
Position Title:		
Coordinator Salary	\$43,253	
Benefits	16,697	
Grant Management	5,683.00	
Total	65,633.00	

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	93.267-2	2H79SP012433-06
Revenue:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	-	Amount
72-	1360	\$	125,000.00
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number 72-	Object Code Number 2590	¢	Amount 59,367.00
72-	211110	\$ \$	65,633.00
	211110	Ψ	00,000.00
		\$	125,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?			Yes
Is there a potential for grant to conti	nue?		Yes
If grant is funding a position is it exp			
be eliminated at the end of the grant	?		Yes
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?		Yes
Will grant impact the community ond	ce the grant funds are		
eliminated?			Yes
Does grant duplicate services provid	led by private or		
Non-profit sector?			No

Initiati	ve N	lame:
		annoi

Social Services Block Grant (SSBG) - YouthCity at Central City

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #B-2

Grants for Existing Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

YouthCity received a \$25,000 FY -2009 Social Services Block Grant (SSBG) from Salt Lake County to be used for YouthCity programming at Central City YouthCity site. These funds will be used to pay an hourly wage and benefits of PTE teacher positions, and program supplies.

A \$6,667 cash match is required and will be satisfied with the Central City site Coordinators time, which is budgeted for within the YouthCity general fund budget.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the County SSBG grant award and to sign any additional agreements or awards as a result of the initial grant.

5		s Block Grant (SSBG)	-
		ty at Central City tiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #B-2			2008-09
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
			Grants for Existing Staff
<u>CED - HAND</u>			<u>Resources</u>
Department			Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark / Sherrie Collins Prepared By			535-6136 / 535-6150 Telephone Contact
	()	Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	•	None	
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		iscal Year	Annual
General Fund	Imp	act Amount	Impact Amount
Total		\$0	\$C
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$C
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	
Other Fund		ΦŪ	\$C
72- New Cost Center	\$	25,000.00	
Total	\$	25,000.00	\$C
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			C
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	93.667	
Revenue:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
72- New Cost Center	1360	\$ 25,000.00	
	<u> </u>		
	+ + +		
	<u> </u>		
	<u>↓ </u>		
	<u> </u>		
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
72- New Cost Center	2590	\$ 25,000.00	
	ļ		
	<u> </u>		
	<u> </u>		
	+ + +	<u> </u>	
	+ + +		
Additional Accounting Details:			
Additional Aboodining Dotation			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?	<u> </u>	Yes	
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	Yes	
If grant is funding a position is it			
be eliminated at the end of the gra	ant?	Yes	
	for the stress from a D		
Will grant program be complete in	1 grant funding time frame?	Yes	
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are		
eliminated?	+	Yes	
	· · · · · ·		
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or		
Non-profit sector?		No	

State of Utah, Office of Crime Victim Reparations, Violence Against Women Formula Grant

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #B-3

Grants for Existing Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Prosecutor's Office applied for and received a \$45,120.13 grant to be used to continue to pay the salary and benefits of the Court Victim Assistant position. This position aids victims of domestic violence with accessing community support resources in addition to providing court advocacy that assists victims through the difficult, complex and intimidating court process when prosecuting their abusers.

A 25% or \$11,280 match is required and will be satisfied with time spent on grant related responsibilities by the Program Coordinator, the Financial Monitor and a paralegal whose salary and benefits are budgeted for within their perspective departments/divisions general fund budget.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the State of Utah, Violence Against Women Formula Grant award and to sign any additional agreements or awards as a result of the initial grant.

	f Utah, Office of Crime Vict	ina
Damana		
Reparat	ions, Violence Against Wor	nen_
	Formula Grant	
	Initiative Name	
		<u>2008-09</u>
		Fiscal Year
		Grants for Existing Staff
		Resources
		Type of Initiative 535-7762 / 535-6150
2		Telephone Contact
	(Negative)	Positive
	None	
I		
	Fiscal Year	Annual
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
	* •	
	\$0	\$C
	\$0	\$C
	ψ0	
	\$0	\$0
\$	45,120.13	
	45 400 40	
\$	45,120.13	\$C
		C
		s (Negative) None Fiscal Year Impact Amount \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	16.588	
Revenue:	I TO		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72-	1370	\$	45,120.13
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	^	Amount
72-	2590	\$	45,120.13
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?			Yes
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?		Yes
If grant is funding a position is it			
be eliminated at the end of the gra	ant?		Yes
Will grant program be complete in	n grant funding time frame?		Yes
· · · ·	-		
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are		
Will grant impact the community eliminated?	once the grant funds are		Yes
Will grant impact the community eliminated?	once the grant funds are		Yes
eliminated?			Yes
Will grant impact the community eliminated? Does grant duplicate services pro Non-profit sector?			Yes

Section C: Grants for New Staff Resources

U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) Universal Hiring Program (UHP)

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #C-1

Grants For New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The SLCPD received a \$75,000 grant from the US Department of Justice, Under the COPS Universal Hiring Program (UHP).

These funds will be used to pay for a portion of the salary and benefits to hire one new officer for a three year period, who's primary responsibility will be as school resource officer. The Salt Lake City School District is participating with the City and will sign an MOU to participate in the retention of this position by paying a portion of the officer's salary that represents the time the officer is assigned to the school. The City has agreed to retain the officer by covering the expenses of the officer while assigned to community policing during the summer months. The City will also cover costs associated with equipping a new officer.

The City will commit to this position for a three year period for a total cost of \$239,536.00. This amount includes \$118,035. for salary, \$80,124 fringe, \$30,862 for police car and mobile radio and \$10,515 for office supplies, officer personal equipment/uniform, vehicle fuel and maintenance and laptop computer with car mount. Of the \$198,159 for salary and benefits, \$75,000 will be paid for with this grant and a portion of this amount will be paid by the SLC School District.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the US Department of Justice, COPS grant funds and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

	U.S. Departr	ment of Justice, Office of	
		Driented Policing Service	
	OPS) Unive	rsal Hiring Program (UHF	<u>)</u>
		Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #C-1			<u>2008-09</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Deline Devertment			Grants For New Staff
Police Department			Resources
Department Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins			Type of Initiative 535-3265 / 535-6150
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact	I		- I
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
	Ir	npact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		<u> </u>	
Internal Service Fund		\$0	\$0
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
•			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72-	\$	75,000.00	
Tatal		75 000 00	
Total	\$	75,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			0
Position Title:			
1FTE \$39,345 x 3	\$	118,035.00	
Benefits \$26,708 x 3	\$	80,124.00	
	¢	100.450.00	
	\$	198,159.00	

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	2008ULWX0007 - 16.710
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-	1360	\$ 75,000.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-	2590	\$ 75,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to con	tinue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it ex		
be eliminated at the end of the gran	nt?	NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community o	nce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services prov	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?	nice by private or	NA
Non-pront Sector ?		NA NA

Section D: Housekeeping

Improving Crime Data (ICD) Grant Budget Increase

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #D-1

Housekeeping

Initiative Discussion:

In June of 2006, the SLCPD received a \$34,920.00 grant from the Georgia State University to participate in the Improving Crime Data (ICD) project. The ICD objective is to develop an interface of crime data within and between law enforcement agencies to allow data sharing, searching, and analysis of incident-based crime data. These funds were awarded to upgrade the PD's interoperable data communications equipment, pay for a program application, and hire a consultant to provide inhouse training to access and analyze shared data generated by the new system.

In June of 2008, additional funding in the amount of \$15,790.00 was awarded to the PD for a total grant allocation of \$50,710.00. The funds were awarded to continue participation of the original objectives and for expenses related to those objectives.

This request is to increase the current budget by the \$15,790.00 in order to facilitate the grant objectives.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the funds and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

		Crime Data (ICD) Grant	
		dget Increase	
DA#2 EV2000 Initiative #D 4	I	nitiative Name	2000.00
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #D-1 Initiative Number			2008-09 Fiscal Year
Police Department			Housekeeping
Department			Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins			535-3265 / 535-6150
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
General Fund	Im	pact Amount	Impact Amount
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72-20609	\$	15,790.00	
		,	
Total	\$	15,790.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			(
Requested Number of FTE's:			L L
Position Title:			
Position fille.			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	Voucher # 01111971
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-20609	1378	\$ 15,790.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-20609	2590	\$ 15,790.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Orent Information.		
Grant Information:		Na
Grant funds employee positions?		No
		X
Is there a potential for grant to con	itinue?	Yes
If grant is funding a position is it e	xpected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gra	nt?	NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community o	nce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
Does grant duplicate services prov	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

Initiative Name:
08/09 CIP Budget Corrections
Initiative Number:
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #D-2
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:
During the 08-09 CIP Process the 1300 East, 500 South to 2100 South was awarded \$565,000 to construct a series of street improvements to include signage, lighting, signals and street reconstruction. Funding for this project came from the reallocation of two projects that received prior funding for 1300 East improvements and study. During the reallocation budget process, an incorrect Class "C" fund project was identified for reallocation to the 1300 East project. This request is to formally correct that error by reimbursing the Class "C" funded 1300 East project and reducing the correct general fund project budgeted for in a prior amendment. No additional general fund is required.

		Budget Corrections		
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #D-2		nitiative Name	2008-09	
Initiative Number				
Police Department			Fiscal Year Housekeeping Type of Initiative 535-3265 / 535-6150	
Department				
Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins				
Prepared By			Telephone Contact	
		(Negative)	Positive	
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None		
Impact				
<u>Revenue Impact By Fund:</u>		Fiscal Year	Annual	
Concret Fund	Im	pact Amount	Impact Amount	
General Fund				
Total		\$0	\$C	
Internal Service Fund				
Total		\$0	\$0	
Enterprise Fund				
Total		\$0	\$0	
Other Fund		φυ	φα	
83	\$	100,000.00		
		,		
Total	\$	100,000.00	\$C	
Staffing Impact:				
Requested Number of FTE's:			C	
Position Title:				
Position Title:				

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83-09048	1974-01	\$ 100,000.00
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
03-11700	2700	\$ (100,000.00
09-00700	2910-01	\$ 100,000.00
83-07036	2700	\$ 100,000.00
83-09050	2700	\$ (100,000.00
83-09048	2700	\$ 100,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to conti	nue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it exp be eliminated at the end of the grant		NA
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community on	ce the grant funds are	NA
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

Initiative	Name:
	11411101

HUD Federal Program Budget Recaptures

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #D-3

Housekeeping

Initiative Discussion:

This request decreases the remaining budgets of sixteen (16) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Programs/Projects totaling \$147,568.25 and increases the cost over-run accounts of the same year. Remaining funds are recaptured for future reprogramming if programs/projects are complete or funds have not been spent within the allotted time frame.

Programs and amounts include ten (10) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) programs totaling \$122,506.59; three (3) Emergency Services Grant (ESG) programs totaling \$12,154.90; one (1) Housing Opportunities for People with Aids (HOPWA) program totaling \$9,277.02; and two (2) CDBG Capital Improvement Projects totaling \$3,629.74.

<u> </u>	HUD Federal Program Budget	
	Recaptures	
	Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #D-3		<u>2008-09</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
CED Department		Housekeeping Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark/ Sherrie Collins		<u>535-6136 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None	
Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund	* -	
Total	\$0	\$C
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$C
Other Fund		φα
Total	\$0	\$C
Staffing Impact:		C
Requested Number of FTE's:		
Position Title:		
rosition ritte.		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
Expenditure:			•
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
CDBG			
71-32060 Salvation Army	2590	\$	(42
71-32063 Odyssey House	2590	\$	(1,63
71-32099 32nd Yr CDBG Cost Over-run	2590	\$	2,05
71-33011 Assist	2590	\$	(38,72
71-33016 Community Health Center	2590	\$	(75
71-33002 Finance Support	2590	\$	(8,64
71-33006 HAND Support	2590	\$	(40,48
71-33045 Utah Alcohol Foundation	2590	\$	(1,83
71-33055 Alliance House	2590	\$	(17,50
71-33099 33rd Yr CDBG Cost Over-run	2590	\$	107,94
71-34019 CCS - Marillac House	2590	\$	(10,00
71-34063 Odyssey House	2590	\$	(2,50
71-34099 34th Yr CDBG Cost Over-run	2590	\$	12,50
ESG			
72-60821 CCS - St Mary's	2590	\$	(40
72-60824 Odyssey House	2590	\$	(1,75
72-60829 08 ESG Cost Over-run	2590	\$	2,15
72-60922 CCS - Marillac House	2590	\$	(10,00
72- New Cost Center - Over run Acct	2590	\$	10,00
HOPWA			
72-60818 HA SL County	2590	\$	(9,27
72 - New Cost Center - Over run Acct		\$	9,27
CDBG-CIP			· · ·
83-06068 Tree Plantings	2700	\$	(2,87
83-06098 CDBG CIP Cost Over-run	2700	\$	2,87
83-07060 Rosewood Pk Skate Park	2700	\$	(75
83-07098 CDBG CIP Cost Over-run	2700	\$	75
		¢	147.56
		\$	147,56
Additional Accounting Details:			

NA
NA
NA
NA
NA
NA

Initiative Name:
Impact Fee Revenue
Initiative Number:
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #D-4
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:
During the last fiscal year, the City has collected Impact Fees and accrued interest income on the Impact Fee Revenue. This action will increase the budgets of the Impact Fee revenue accounts to match the actual cash.

	Impact Fee Revenue	
	Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #D-4		2008-09
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
CED		Housekeeping
Department		Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark/ Sherrie Collins		<u>535-6136 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None	
Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund	ψυ	φ.
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		_
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$C
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		C
Position Title:		
		-

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expanditura		
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
84-84001 Police	2700	\$ 1,257,545
84-84002 Fire	2700	\$ 439,861
84-84002 File 84-84003 Parks	2700	\$ 256,184
84-84005 Streets	2700	\$ 1,048,394
		\$ 3,001,986
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		 NA
Is there a potential for grant to con	tinuo2	NA
If grant is funding a position is it ex		 NIA
be eliminated at the end of the gran		 NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community of	nce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		 NA
Does grant duplicate services prov	rided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

Social Service Block Grant (SSBG) Adjustment

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #D-5

Housekeeping

Initiative Discussion:

During fiscal year 2008 grant carry forwards, the \$25,000 budget established during BA#1 of 2008 for the Salt Lake County Social Services Block Grant (SSBG) grant was inadvertently missed. This grant is to pay for the hourly wage of the PTE Program Assistant, teacher positions, and program supplies. In addition, the City received reimbursement for two State grants for the Emergency Managers Salary during 2008 in the of amounts of \$250.00, and \$500.00. The revenues received exceeded the budget established.

This request is to re-establish the \$25,750 of grant budget in the three grants so that revenue and expenses can be appropriately accounted for.

	Social Service Block Grant (SSBG) Adjustment	
	Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #D-5		2008-09
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
CED		Housekeeping
Department		Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark/ Sherrie Collins		<u>535-6136 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None	
Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u>
General Fund	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund	\	
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
-		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
72	\$ 25,750.00	
Total		\$C
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		C
Position Title:		

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	:	
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72-60830	1360	\$	25,000
72-10503	1370	\$	250
72-10701	1370	\$	500
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72-60830	2590	\$	25,000
72-10503	2590	\$	250
72-10701	2590	\$	500
Additional Accounting Details:			
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?			NA
Is there a potential for grant to con	ntinue?		NA
If grant is funding a position is it e			
be eliminated at the end of the gra	nt?		NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?		NA
Will grant impact the community o	nce the grant funds are		
eliminated?			NA
Does grant duplicate services prov	vided by private or		
Non-profit sector?			NA

U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Interest Earned

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #D-6

Housekeeping

Initiative Discussion:

Funds received from the Bureau of Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) is federally required to be placed in an interest bearing account because funds are received in advance, rather than on a reimbursement basis. It is also a federal requirement that the interest earned be spent in accordance with grant requirements.

This request is to increase the budgets within four (4) JAG grants to accommodate the revenue and expense of the interest earned.

To date four (4) JAG grants have earned approximately \$110,000 in interest as follows: 2005 JAG, \$11,000; 2006 JAG, \$30,000; 2007 JAG, \$46,000; and 2008 JAG, \$23,000.

		-
	S. Department of Justice, Bureau o	
Ju	stice Assistance Grant (JAG) Intere	st
	Earned	
	Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #D-6		<u>2008-09</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Police Department		Housekeeping
Department Jerry Burton/ Sherrie Collins		Type of Initiative 799-3824 / 535-6150
Prepared By	(Negative)	Telephone Contact Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None	1031176
Impact	None	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
T . (.	*	
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$(
Other Fund		
72	\$ 110,000.00	
Total		\$0
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		(
Position Title:		

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	16.738
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-20508	1830	\$ 11,000.0
72-20611	1830	\$ 30,000.0
72-20807	1830	\$ 46,000.0
72-20905	1830	\$ 23,000.0
	Total	\$ 110,000.0
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-20508	2254	\$ 11,000.0
72-20611	2254	\$ 30,000.0
72-20807	2254	\$ 46,000.0
72-20905	2254	\$ 23,000.0
	Total	\$ 110,000.0
Additional Accounting Details:		
Increase Budgets Only		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to con	tinue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it e be eliminated at the end of the gra		NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	 NA
Will grant impact the community o	nce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services prov	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		 NA

Correct Revenue and Expenditure on \$2 State Registration Fee for funding of Airport/TRAX Light Rail Project

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #D-7

Housekeeping

Initiative Discussion:

Senate Bill #245 provided for \$2.00 of motor registration to come to the City for funding of the Airport TRAX light rail project.

The funds were budgeted as a revenue from the State and an expenditure to UTA.

The funds are going directly to UTA instead of coming to the City, so we need to reduce both the revenue and expenditure budgets of this funding.

5	State Regist		
		tration Fee for funding of	
	Airport/T	RAX Light Rail Project	
		Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #D-7			<u>2008-09</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Mgmt Service - Finance			Housekeeping
Department			Type of Initiative
Gordon Hoskins			<u>535-6394</u>
Prepared By		(Negative)	Telephone Contact Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	1031170
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
	Ir	npact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
01-00021	\$	(1,550,000.00)	
Total	\$	(1,550,000.00)	\$C
Internal Service Fund			
Tatal			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			_
Total		\$0	\$C
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			C
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
01-00021	1110	\$ (1,550,000.0
		(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
09-00719	2580	\$ (550,000.0
09-00720	2580	\$ (1,000,000.0
		\$ (1,550,000.0
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to con	tinue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it end		 NA
be eliminated at the end of the gra		 NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community o	nce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		 NA
Does grant duplicate services prov	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

Section E: Grants **Requiring No** New Resources

State of Utah, Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice (CCJJ) Drug Endangered Children's Conference

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-1

Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The SLCPD received a \$1,720 grant from the State of Utah CCJJ, under the Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) program in support of the 2008 National Alliance for Drug Endangered Children Conference. These funds will be used to pay for the registration of eight (8) law enforcement representatives to attend the National Alliance for Drug Endangered Children Conference sponsored in Salt Lake City.

This conference provides law enforcement officers a comprehensive approach in utilizing a multitude of agencies to better address the needs of children who are victims of drug endangerment, typically by their guardians.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept JAG grant funds and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

	State	e of Utah, Commission on Crin	ninal
		nd Juvenile Justice (CCJJ) Dru	
		dangered Children's Conferen	
		Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-1			2008-09
Initiative Number	ĺ		Fiscal Year
			Grants Requiring No
Police Department			New Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-3265 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	•	None	
Impact			Aronical
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u>
General Fund		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General i unu			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		· · · ·	
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Tatal		\$0	02
Total Other Fund		\$0	\$0
72-		\$ 1,720.00	
12-		\$ 1,720.00	
Total		\$ 1,720.00	\$0
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	¥*
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			0
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	Contract 7A59 - 16.738	
Revenue:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	-
72-	1370	\$ 1,720.00	
		•	
Expenditure:			
	Object Code Number	Amount	
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
72-	2590	\$ 1,720.00	
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?		No	
Is there a potential for grant to con	tinue?	Yes	
If grant is funding a position is it ex	spected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the gran		NA	
be entitled at the ond of the gra			
Will grant program be complete in g	grant funding time frame?	Yes	
win grant program be complete in g	grant funding time frame?	Tes	
Will grant impact the community or	nce the grant funds are		
eliminated?		Yes	
Does grant duplicate services prov	ided by private or		_
Non-profit sector?		No	-

State of Utah, Department of Public Safety, 2007 Public Safety Interoperable Communication Grant (PSIC)

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-2

Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The SLCPD received a \$50,000 grant from the State of Utah, Department of Public Safety, Division of Homeland Security, 2007 PSIC grant program.

These funds are awarded to upgrade or enhance the City's 800 MHz radio system which provides emergency radio communications in the event of a natural disaster. The PD will used these funds to purchase two 800 MHz Mobile Intelligent Repeater (IR) Trailers, four Tactical Mobility Interoperability Trailers, and Satellite Phone Technology as funding permits. The mobile IR trailers will provide backup to the City's repeaters in the event they are destroyed, the Tactical Mobility Trailers will provide a method to link existing communication systems of out of state responders, and provide communications with Federal command centers, and the satellite phones will be used if conventional cellular, land line and internet capabilities are out of service.

The grant requires a 20% match which will be satisfied with Officers who are certified to train additional personnel on this equipment and by City IMS personnel who will develop a communications training program for all City departments.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept Homeland Secruity grant funds and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

	St	ate of Utah, Department of Pul	blic
		ty, 2007 Public Safety Interope	
		Communication Grant (PSIC)	
		Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-2			<u>2008-09</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
			Grants Requiring No
Police Department			New Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins			535-3265 / 535-6150
Prepared By		(Negative)	Telephone Contact Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	i Ositive
Impact		None	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
T . (.)			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			Ç <u></u>
72-		\$ 50,000.00	
		+,	
Total		\$ 50,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			0
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	7-HLS-PSIC-009 - CFDA 1	1.555
Revenue:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
72-	1370	\$ 50,000.00)
			1
			1
			1
			1
			1
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
72-	2590	\$ 50,000.00)
		_	
			-
			-
Additional Accounting Details:			
Additional Accounting Details.			
			-
			-
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?		NA	-
Grant runus employee positions?		NA	
		NA	_
Is there a potential for grant to contin		NA	
If grant is funding a position is it expo			
be eliminated at the end of the grant?	,	NA	
 Will grant program be complete in gra	ant funding time frame?	NA	
 T			-l
Will grant impact the community once	e the grant funds are		
eliminated?		NA	
Does grant duplicate services provide	ed by private or		
Non-profit sector?	su by private of	NA	
		NA	

U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) Technology Program

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-3

Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The SLCPD received a \$93,530 grant from the US Department of Justice, Under the COPS Office Technology Program.

These funds will be used to purchase a DVM Server/Storage/Distribution system, 6 in-car video systems and installation, system support for a three year period and 10 boxes of DVD's for system storage and backup.

The Police Department currently has 10 in-car video systems. It is the intent of the PD to equip all first responder vehicles with in-car video capability. Studies prove that in-car video capability enhances officer safety, improves agency accountability, reduces agency liability, improves community/media perceptions, advances prosecution/case resolution, enhances officer performance and professionalism, increases homeland security and upgrades technology policies and procedures.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the US Department of Justice, COPS grant funds and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

		epartment of Justice, Office	
		unity Oriented Policing Serv	vices
	<u>(C</u>	OPS) Technology Program	
		Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-3			<u>2008-09</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year Grants Requiring No
Police Department			New Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-3265 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact Revenue Impost By Fund			Appuol
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year Impact Amount	<u>Annual</u> Impact Amount
General Fund		impact Amount	Impact Amount
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		Φ Ο	
72-	\$	93,530.00	
12	Ψ	50,000.00	
Total	\$	93,530.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			0
Position Title:			
	1		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	2008CKWX0775 - 16.710
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-	1360	\$ 93,530.00
		+
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
	Object Code Number	Amount
72-	2590	\$ 93,530.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to con	tinue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it e	xpected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gra		NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	NA
win grant program be complete m	grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community o	nce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services prov	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance Grant, (JAG)

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-4

Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Police Department applies for and receives this grant annually. It is awarded to provide operational support and services in the eligible areas of law enforcement, crime prevention and drug courts. The City received \$274,801 which includes other Police jurisdictions funding. The City will act as the lead agency, acting as the fiscal agent and reporting on the grant. Of the \$274,801 awarded, the Salt Lake County Sherriff's Office will receive \$54,161; West Valley City Police Department will received \$40,430; So. Salt Lake Police Department will receive \$15,893; West Jordan City Police Department will receive \$13,896; Murray City Police Department will receive \$13,595; Sandy City Police Department will receive \$13,431; and Taylorsville City Police Department will receive \$10,422. The City's amount is \$112,973.

The SLCPD proposes to fund the following projects/programs at the levels indicated: \$20,000 will be used for sworn personnel training, \$20,000 will be used on civilian personnel training, \$26,000 will be used for Directed Community Policing Overtime to work directly with the community in solving problems; \$10,000 will be used for Public Order Unit (POU) training, \$9,000 will be used to purchase I2 Software for crime analysis, \$10,000 will be used for leadership training, \$2,973 will be used for a public relations campaign, \$5,000 will be used to purchase crime prevention supplies such as Mobile Watch stickers, pens decals, vests, etc., and \$10,000 will be used for a for contractual purposes with Salt Lake Peer Court.

No match is required.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the US Department of Justice, JAG funds and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

		, 	
<u> </u>	.S. Department of J	ustice, Bureau of	
	Justice Assistance	e Grant, (JAG)	
	Initiative I	Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-4			<u>2008-09</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Police Department			Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-3265 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
	(Negat		Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	Non	e	
Impact		1	A
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Y		<u>Annual</u>
General Fund	Impact A	mount	Impact Amount
Total		\$0	\$C
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total			
Other Fund		\$0	\$C
72-20905	\$	274,801.00	
12-20300	Ψ	274,001.00	
Total	\$	274,801.00	\$0
	•	,	
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			C
Position Title:			
	1		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	2008-DJ-BX-0638 16.738
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-20905	1360	\$ 274,801.00
12 20000	1000	¢ 211,001.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-20905	2590	\$ 274,801.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to con	ntinue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it e	xpected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gra		NA
be emminated at the end of the gra		
		NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community o	nce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services prov	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA
- p		

Utah Department of Public Safety, Division of Homeland Security, 2007 Buffer Zone Protection Program Grant (BZPP)

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-5

Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The PD applied for and received \$361,495 of grant funding from the State of Utah, Department of Public Safety, under the Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP).

This grant is awarded on an annual basis to local PD's to purchase equipment for "Buffer Zone Sites" or key local facilities that the PD has been tasked to protect. These are local facilities that could be vulnerable or targeted in the event of a terrorist or weapons of mass destruction attack and have been authorized and approved under the US Department of Homeland Security to be eligible for equipment.

The City received two allocation of funds. BZPP-2007-002 in the amount of \$239.220 which is to be used to purchase equipment which includes one (1) Proxy Wireless Surveillance System, 10 days of on-site equipment acceptance, training and project management and a 12-month maintenance contract; and BZPP-2007-003 in the amount of \$122,275 which is to be used for planning, purchase of five (5) Orator Plus Systems (Multimedia Presentation Systems), 5-year equipment maintenance contract, 2 panoramic video systems, 2 panoramic still image systems, consultation and training for purchased equipment and shipping costs associated with equipment purchases.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept Utah Department of Public Safety, Homeland Security grant funds and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

	Division of	of Homeland Security, 2007	7
<u> </u>	Buffer Zon	e Protection Program Gra	<u>nt</u>
		(BZPP)	
		Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-5			<u>2008-09</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Police Department			Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
Department Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins			Type of Initiative 535-3265 / 535-6150
Prepared By		(Negative)	Telephone Contact Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	1001110
Impact		Hone	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		<u> </u>	<u>۴</u>
Other Fund		\$0	\$C
72-	\$	361,495.00	
12	Ψ	501,485.00	
Total	\$	361,495.00	\$C
	~		_
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			C
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	2007-002 & BZPP-2007-003
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-	1360	\$ 239,220.00
72-	1360	\$ 122,275.00
		\$ 361,495.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72- 72-	2590 2590	\$ 239,220.00 \$ 122,275.00
		\$ 361,495.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
2 Allocations of Funds		
BZPP-2007-002 \$239,220		
BZPP-2007-003 \$122,275		
Need two new cost centers	+	
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to conti	nue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it exp	bected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the grant		NA
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community one	ce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services provid	ded by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

US Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women, 2008 Grants to Encourage Arrest Polices

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-6

Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The PD applied for and received \$398,516 of grant funding from US Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs under the 2008 Grant to Encourage Arrest Policies and Enforcement of Protection Orders Program.

This grant is awarded every two years and is awarded to strengthen local advocacy service programs for victims of domestic and dating violence and to develop and establish comprehensive victim services and supports centers. The Salt Lake City PD and Prosceutor's Office will continue to partner with the Salt Lake Area Family Justice Center, the Salt Lake Area Safe at Home Coalition and the YWCA of Salt Lake City to enhance their coordinated community response to domestic violence and build stronger relationships amoung governmental and private non -profit service providers.

Of these funds, \$18,905 will be used to pay for the PD's Fiscal Coordinator's time, \$22,836 will be used for travel costs for Office of Violence Against Women Technical Assitance Training and includes airfare, lodging, meals and transportation of ten people that include staff of local service providers, Police staff and City Prosecutors staff, \$3,450 will be used to purchase equipment that will be used by the co-locating partners at the Salt Lake Area Family Justice Center, \$2,000 will be used for supplies for the Salt Lake Area Safe at Home Coalition, \$342,935 will be used for contractual purposes that includes the YWCA of Salt Lake City who will manage the grant activities and provide staff and meeting space for all partners, foreign language and sign language interpreters, Intermountain Injury Research and Control Center to provide a program evaluation, and the Family Justice Center, located at the YWCA and includes general operating costs that include utilities, telephone and SLAFJC brochures, and \$8,390 will be used for other.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept Deparment of Justice, Grants to Encourage Arrests grant funds and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

	IS Donortmont of lust	ion Office er	
	JS Department of Just		
<u>v</u>	olence Against Wome to Encourage Arres		<u>></u>
	Initiative Nam		
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-6		e	2008-09
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Initiative Number			Grants Requiring No
Police Department			New Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins			535-3265 / 535-6150
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
	(Negative)		Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None		
Impact		h	_ <u> </u>
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Yea	r	Annual
	Impact Amo	unt	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$(
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	
Enterprise Fund		<u> </u>	\$0
Total		\$0	\$(
Other Fund			¥`
72-	\$ 3	98,516.00	
Total	\$ 3	98,516.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			(
Position Title:			
Deline Dent Finant On a dia tan	<u>۴</u>	14 540 00	
Police Dept Fiscal Coordinator 16.13% of Time	\$	14,516.00	
Benefits		\$4,389.00	
		ψ-,003.00	
	\$	18,905.00	

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	008-WE-AX-0017 CFDA 16.5	90
Revenue:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
72-	1360	\$ 398,516.00	
			-
			-
			-
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
72-	2590	\$ 398,516.00	
			-
			+
Additional Accounting Details:			
			-
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?		Yes	
Is there a potential for grant to conti	inue?	Yes	
If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will			
be eliminated at the end of the grant	!?	NA	
	ment funding time from 2		
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	Yes	
Will grant impact the community on	as the grapt funds are		-
Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?		Yes	+
		100	+
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or		+
Non-profit sector?		No	+
			+
	1		1

State of Utah, Department of Public Safety, Division of Homeland Security (HSG) , 2008 Urban Area Security Initiative Grant (UASI) Program

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-7

Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The PD applied for and received \$1,476,000 of grant funding from the State of Utah Division of Homeland Security under the 2008 UASI program.

These funds were awarded to purchase equipment and materials needed to plan and prepare in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack.

Of these funds, \$330,000 will be used to hire full time and part time contract staff to assist with planning; \$40,000 will be used to develop and implement homeland security support programs and adopt ongoing DHS National Initiatives; \$75,000 will be used for materials required to conduct planning activities; and \$800,000 will be used to purchase as Stocked Mobile Interoperable Tactical Solution Vehicle. \$231,000 of these funds will be a contractual component with the State of Utah.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept State of Utah, Division of Homeland Security grant funds and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

	Safety, Di	vision of Homeland Securi	ity
		2008 Urban Area Security	
	Initiati	ve Grant (UASI) Program	
		Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-7			<u>2008-09</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Police Department			Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-3265 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		ψυ	
		• -	• -
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		* -	
72-	\$	1,476,000.00	
Total	\$	1,476,000.00	\$0
Statting Impact			
<u>Staffing Impact</u> Requested Number of FTE's:			0
Requested Number OFFES.			
Position Title:			
	¢		
	\$	-	

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	DES-2008-UASI-001 97.067	
Revenue:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
72-	1370	\$ 1,476,000.00	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
1			-
+			
<u> </u>			
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
72-	2590	\$ 1,476,000.00	
			-
Additional Accounting Details:			
			-
			-
Grant Information:			
		Na	
Grant funds employee positions?		No	
Is there a potential for grant to con	tinue?	Yes	
If grant is funding a position is it ex	xpected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the grai	nt?	NA	
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	Yes	
This grant program be complete in	grant randing time trante.	100	
Will grant impact the community o	nee the grapt funds are		
eliminated?	nce the grant funds are		
eliminated ?		Yes	
Does grant duplicate services prov	vided by private or		
Non-profit sector?		No	
•			

State of Utah, Department of Health, Bureau of EMS Training Grant

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-8

Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The PD Emergency Communications Unit applies for and receives these funds annually from the Utah Department of Health, Bureau of Emergency Medical Services. Funding is awarded for the costs associated with sending the units dispatchers to required, continuing medical education (CEM) training for new and/or continued certification. State law requires Emergency Dispatchers to be Post Certified and to maintain their certification by receiving a minimum of 40 hours training over a two year period. This years grant award is \$2,826.00.

The Communications Unit provides EMD dispatch operations which includes pre-arrival instruction, call triage and dispatch of EMD response unit.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

		epartment of Health,	
		MS Training Grant	
	Initia	ative Name	0000.00
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-8			<u>2008-09</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year Grants Requiring No
Police Department			New Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-3265 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		egative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		cal Year	Annual
General Fund	Impa	ct Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		ψυ	ψυ
72-	\$	2,826.00	
		_,	
Total	\$	2,826.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			0
Position Title:			
	\$	-	

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		
Revenue:	Object Code Number		A 4
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72-	1370	\$	2,826.00
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72-	2590	\$	2,826.00
Additional Accounting Dataila			
Additional Accounting Details:			
		<u> </u>	
		<u> </u>	
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?			NA
Is there a potential for grant to cor	ntinue?		NA
If grant is funding a position is it e	expected the position will		
			NA
	nt?	1	14/5
be eliminated at the end of the gra	int?		
be eliminated at the end of the gra			ΝΔ
			NA
be eliminated at the end of the gra Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?		NA
be eliminated at the end of the gra Will grant program be complete in Will grant impact the community c	grant funding time frame?		
be eliminated at the end of the gra Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?		NA
be eliminated at the end of the gra Will grant program be complete in Will grant impact the community c eliminated?	n grant funding time frame?		
be eliminated at the end of the gra Will grant program be complete in Will grant impact the community c	n grant funding time frame?		

State of Utah, Department of Natural Resources, FY 09 State Trails and Pathways Matching Fiscal Assistance Award - Jordan River Parkway Trail

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-9

Initiative Type:

Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Public Services Parks Division applied for and received a \$250,000 grant from the State of Utah, Department of Natural Resources for the Jordan River Parkway Trail, from Redwood Road to the Legacy Highway in Davis County.

This grant is a joint project between the City and Davis County to complete the shared use trail of the Jordan River Parkway from approximately 1800 North to the connection with Legacy Parkway at I-215 in Davis County. The project will include grading, construction of a 10 foot wide paved pedestrian/bicycle path with a 5 foot soft trail for equestrian recreation, existing river bridge improvements, new railing, and an elevated trail system over the wetland area. Each jurisdiction will provide a cash match for the project and will collaborate to complete the planning and design to maintain continuity as the trail passes from one jurisdiction to the next.

A \$250,000 or 100% match is required and will be satisfied by both City and Davis County funds. An interlocal agreement between the City and Davis County is currently being negotiated and will be brought before the Administration and Council when it is complete.

A resolution was previously passed authroizing the Mayor to accept and sign grant related awards from the State of Utah, Department of Natural Resources.

	State of U	tah, Department of Natural	
	Resource	es, FY 09 State Trails and	
	Pathways I	Matching Fiscal Assistance	
	Award - J	ordan River Parkway Trail	
		Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-9			<u>2008-09</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
			Grants Requiring No
Public Services			New Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Dell Cook / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-6378 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
T.(.)		* 2	
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		* ^	
		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		\$	
72-	\$	250,000.00	
	¥	200,000.00	
Total	\$	250,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			(
Position Title:			
	¢		
	\$	-	
	1		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	2:	
Revenue:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
72- New Cost Center	1370	\$ 250,000.0	0
			-
			_
			-
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
72- New Cost Center	2590	\$ 250,000.0	0
			-
			_
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			-
Grant funds employee positions?	,	NA	
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	NA	
If grant is funding a position is it	expected the position will		-
be eliminated at the end of the gra		NA	
Will grant program be complete in	n grant funding time frame?	NA	
- • -			
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are		
eliminated?		NA	
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or		
Non-profit sector?		NA	
•			

State of Utah, Utah Department of Agriculture & Food, City Creek Road Corridor

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-10

Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

The Public Utilities Department applied for and received a \$15,000 grant from the State of Utah, Department of Agriculture & Food under the Invasive Species Mitigation Fund. This fund was appropriated during the 2008 General Session and is to be used for the prevention of wildland fire through land restoration.

The City Creek Corridor project involves the reduction of potential fuels along the City Creek Road corridor in an attempt to create a fire break. Projects of this nature have been unpopular in the past because of the public's distaste for the removal of trees. However, extensive growth over the road will make access to the canyon in the event of a wildfire, impossible. These funds will be used for fuels reduction, tree trimming and removal, public outreach and systematic weeds mapping.

		ah Department of	
		d, City Creek Road	
		ridor	
	Initiativ	e Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-10			<u>2008-09</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Public Services			Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Dell Cook / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-6378 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		ative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	No	one	
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		l Year	Annual
	Impact	Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		ψ0	
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
-		\$ 2	0.0
Total Other Fund		\$0	\$C
72-	\$	15,000.00	
12-	Φ	15,000.00	
Total	\$	15,000.00	\$0
10141		10,000.00	
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			C
Position Title:			
	\$	-	

Accounting Detail Revenue: Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable: tot Applicable / Appropriati tot Applicable / Appropriati Participable / Appropriati Sevenue: Cost Center Number Object Code Number Amount 72- 1370 \$ 15,000.00 Expenditure: Discover and the sevence of t			
Cost Center Number Object Code Number Amount 72- 1370 \$ 15,000.00 72- 1370 \$ 15,000.00 73- 1370 \$ 15,000.00 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- 73- <t< th=""><th></th><th>Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:</th><th>lot Applicable / Appropriatio</th></t<>		Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	lot Applicable / Appropriatio
72- 1370 \$ 15,000.00 Expenditure: 1 Cost Center Number Amount Cost Center Number Amount 72- 2590 S 15,000.00			
Expenditures Amount Cost Center Number Object Code Number Amount 72- 2590 \$ 15,000.00 Additional Accounting Details:			
Cost Center Number Object Code Number Amount 72- 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 15,000.00 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590 2590	/2-	1370	\$ 15,000.00
Cost Center Number Object Code Number Amount 72- 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$			
Cost Center Number Object Code Number Amount 72- 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$			
Cost Center Number Object Code Number Amount 72- 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$			
Cost Center Number Object Code Number Amount 72- 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$			
Cost Center Number Object Code Number Amount 72- 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$			
Cost Center Number Object Code Number Amount 72- 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$			
Cost Center Number Object Code Number Amount 72- 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$			
Cost Center Number Object Code Number Amount 72- 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$			
Cost Center NumberObject Code NumberAmount72-2590\$15,000.0011111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			
Cost Center Number Object Code Number Amount 72- 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$			
Cost Center Number Object Code Number Amount 72- 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$			
Cost Center Number Object Code Number Amount 72- 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$			
Cost Center Number Object Code Number Amount 72- 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ 15,000.00 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$ \$ 2590 \$	Expenditure:		
72- 2590 \$ 15,000.00 Additional Accounting Details: Image: Constraint of the second of t		Object Code Number	Amount
Grant Information:			
Grant Information: Image: Constraint of the second sec	-		+,
Grant Information: Image: Constraint of the second sec			
Grant Information: Image: Constraint of the second sec			
Grant Information: Image: Constraint of the second sec			
Grant Information: Image: Constraint of the second sec			
Grant Information: Image: Constraint of the second sec			
Grant Information: Image: Constraint of the second sec			
Grant Information: Image: Constraint of the second sec			
Grant Information: Image: Constraint of the second sec			
Grant Information: Image: Constraint of the second sec			
Grant Information: Image: Constraint of the second sec			
Image: services provided by private orImage: se	Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant funds employee positions? NA Is there a potential for grant to continue? NA Is there a potential for grant to continue? NA If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will NA be eliminated at the end of the grant? NA Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame? NA Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are NA eliminated? NA Does grant duplicate services provided by private or NA			
Grant funds employee positions? NA Is there a potential for grant to continue? NA Is there a potential for grant to continue? NA If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will NA be eliminated at the end of the grant? NA Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame? NA Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are NA eliminated? NA Does grant duplicate services provided by private or NA			
Grant funds employee positions? NA Is there a potential for grant to continue? NA Is there a potential for grant to continue? NA If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will NA be eliminated at the end of the grant? NA Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame? NA Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are NA eliminated? NA Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Image: Na			
Grant funds employee positions? NA Is there a potential for grant to continue? NA Is there a potential for grant to continue? NA If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will NA be eliminated at the end of the grant? NA Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame? NA Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are NA eliminated? NA Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Image: Na			
Grant funds employee positions? NA Is there a potential for grant to continue? NA Is there a potential for grant to continue? NA If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will NA be eliminated at the end of the grant? NA Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame? NA Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are NA eliminated? NA Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Image: Na			
Grant funds employee positions? NA Is there a potential for grant to continue? NA Is there a potential for grant to continue? NA If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will NA be eliminated at the end of the grant? NA Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame? NA Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are NA eliminated? NA Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Image: Na			
Grant funds employee positions? NA Is there a potential for grant to continue? NA Is there a potential for grant to continue? NA If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will NA be eliminated at the end of the grant? NA Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame? NA Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are NA eliminated? NA Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Image: Na			
Is there a potential for grant to continue? NA Is there a potential for grant to continue? NA If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will NA be eliminated at the end of the grant? NA Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame? NA Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are NA eliminated? NA Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Image: State St			
If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will NA be eliminated at the end of the grant? NA Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame? NA Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are NA eliminated? NA Does grant duplicate services provided by private or NA	Grant funds employee positions?		NA
If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will NA be eliminated at the end of the grant? NA Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame? NA Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are NA eliminated? NA Does grant duplicate services provided by private or NA			
be eliminated at the end of the grant? Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame? NA Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are Image: Community once the grant funds are eliminated? NA Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Image: Community once the grant funds are	Is there a potential for grant to conti	inue?	NA
be eliminated at the end of the grant? NA Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame? NA Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are Image: Community once the grant funds are eliminated? NA Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Image: Community once the grant funds are	If most is funding a position is it or		
Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame? NA Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are NA eliminated? NA Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Image: Community on the community on the grant funds are Image: Community on the grant funds are			NA
Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are Image: Community once the grant funds are eliminated? NA Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Image: Community once the grant funds are	be eliminated at the end of the grant		NA
Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are Image: Community once the grant funds are eliminated? NA Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Image: Community once the grant funds are	Will great program be complete in g	rent funding time from 2	NA
eliminated? NA Does grant duplicate services provided by private or	will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	NA
eliminated? NA Does grant duplicate services provided by private or			
Does grant duplicate services provided by private or		ce the grant funds are	NA
	emmated ?		NA
Non-profit sector? NA		ded by private or	
	Non-profit sector?		NA

Establish a Grant Appropriation Holding Account

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-11

Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

Initiative Discussion:

We would like to establish a grant appropriation holding account in the amount of \$500,000.00.

During the fiscal year we receive grants that must be spent before the fiscal year ends. Many times the grant is received and processed in a budget opening with less than half of the fiscal year remaining to the spend the funds.

Several times we receive the grant in behalf of other cities or agencies. They have even less time to make the expenditures than we do by the time we are able to transfer the funds to them.

This holding account would allow us to have the appropriation to spend the grant as soon as we have notification of the grant and then replenish the holding account in the next budget opening.

This holding account would be handle by the finance division like we do the holding account for the donation (trust) funds.

	Establish a Grant Appropriation	
	Holding Account	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #E-11		2008-09
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
		Grants Requiring No
<u>Mgmt Serv - Finance</u>		New Staff Resources
Department		Type of Initiative
Gordon Hoskins		<u>535-6394</u>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None	
Impact	· · · · ·	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$C
Internal Service Fund	\$0	φυ
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$C
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$C
	\$	
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		C
Position Title:		
	\$ -	

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	lot Applicable / Appropriation	n
Revenue:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
72- New Cost Center	2590	\$ 500,000.00	
		φ 000,000.00	
Additional Accounting Details:			
Auditorial Accounting Details.			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?		NA	
Is there a potential for grant to cor	ntinue?	NA	
If grant is funding a position is it e			
be eliminated at the end of the gra	int?	NA	
Will great are great by complete in			
Will grant program be complete in	grant runding time traine r	NA	
Will grant impact the community o	once the grant funds are		
eliminated?		NA	
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or		
Non-profit sector?		NA	
· ·			

Section F: Donations

Lawrence T. & Janet T. Dee Foundation - Youth City Artways Imagination Celebration

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #F-1

Donations

Initiative Discussion:

The Lawrence T. & Janet T. Dee Foundation awarded the Salt Lake City Foundation, \$1,000 for the Youth City Artways Imagination Celebration program.

The Imagination Celebration of SLC is an annual arts education festival that encourages the life-long learning of youth, adults and families through participation in the performing, visual and cultural arts of the community. The 2008-2009 Imagination Celebration season includes a rang of performing and visual arts opportunities including the 21st Century Play Festival, the Children's Opera Showcase, Community Theater, Family Art, Theater and Professional Development Workshops, the Puppetry Festival and the Polynesian Arts Festival. All program engage local youth in the participation and performance of these arts.

This request will create budget in the amount of \$1,000 in the Salt Lake City Foundation cost center and then transferred to the Youth City Imagination Celebration cost center and will be used for the 2008-2009 Imagination Celebration related costs.

La		Janet T. Dee Foundation	<u>1 -</u>
		Artways Imagination	
		Celebration nitiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #F-1	1	nitiative Name	2008-09
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Public Services			<u>Donations</u>
Department			Type of Initiative
Ken Perko / Sherrie Collins			<u>535- 6052/ 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact	·		
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
	Im	pact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$C
Internal Service Fund		Ф О	\$C
Total		\$0	\$C
Enterprise Fund		+-	**
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72- New Cost Center	\$	1,000.00	
77-77123	\$	1,000.00	
Total	\$	2,000.00	\$C
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			C
Position Title:			
	\$	-	

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	on Federal - Priv	vate Donati
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amou	Int
72- New Cost Center	1895	\$	1,000.00
77-77123	1895	\$	1,000.00
			.,
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amou	
72- New Cost Center 77-77123	2590 2590	\$	1,000.00
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,000100
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?		NA	
Is there a potential for grant to conti	nue?	NA	
If grant is funding a position is it exp be eliminated at the end of the grant		NA	
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	NA	
Will grant impact the community one	ce the grant funds are		
eliminated?		NA	
Does grant duplicate services provid	ded by private or		
Non-profit sector?		NA	

itiative Nam	e:
	•••

Microsoft Unlimited Potential Donation to the Sorenson Technology Center

Initiative Number:

BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #F-2

Donations

Initiative Discussion:

Microsoft awarded the Salt Lake City Foundation a \$24,000 donation to be used for the Sorensen Multicultural Technology Center. These funds will pay for the part time/hourly instructors for digital arts, multimedia, science and engineering computer classes, an annual training conference for the Microsoft award, an internet connectivity line, small equipment purchases and printing costs.

These funds were awarded to the City's Foundation. This request is to create budget in the City's grant fund to receive the donation and expense the funds for the grant purposes.

	_		
	N A ²	en en fil Herling frei die De fers fiel De s	a d'ann
		rosoft Unlimited Potential Don the Sorenson Technology Ce	
		Initiative Name	
BA#2 FY2009 Initiative #F-2			2008-09
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
CED	1		Donations
Department			Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark / Sherrie Collins			<u>535- 6136/ 535-6150</u>
			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance	-	None	
Impact Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
Revenue impact by rund.		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		impact Amount	impact Amount
Total		\$0	D \$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$() \$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	D \$0
Other Fund			
72-		\$ 24,000.00)
77-77123		\$ 24,000.00	
Total		\$ 48,000.00	\$0
<u>Staffing Impact:</u> Requested Number of FTE's:			(
Requested Number of FIES:			
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	on Federa	on Federal - Private Donati	
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount	
72- New Cost Center	1895	\$	24,000.00	
77-77123	1895	\$	24,000.00	
			,	
Expenditure:				
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount	
72- New Cost Center 77-77123	2590 2590	\$	24,000.00 24,000.00	
		• •	21,000.00	
Additional Accounting Details:				
Grant Information:				
Grant funds employee positions?			NA	
Is there a potential for grant to conti	nue?		NA	
If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?			NA	
Will grant program be complete in g			NA	
Will grant impact the community one	ce the grant funds are			
eliminated?			NA	
Does grant duplicate services provid	ded by private or			
Non-profit sector?			NA	

Section I: Council Added Items