# M E M O R A N D U M

DATE:	June 5, 2009
TO:	City Council Members
FROM:	Russell Weeks, Jennifer Bruno
RE:	Salt Lake City Public Library Systems: Potential Property Tax Options
CC:	Cindy Gust-Jenson, David Everitt, Beth Elder, Gordon Hoskins, Frank Gray, Rick Graham, Wilf Sommerkorn, Gina Chamness, Pat Comarell, Mary De La Mare Schaefer

This memorandum is intended to help further the City Council discussion about a potential property tax increase for the Salt Lake City Public Library System. A majority of the City Council raised the issue for discussion purposes at its May 26 work session. The Library System's proposed budget for Fiscal Year 2009-2010, which the Library Board of Directors presented to the City Council for consideration, does not contain a proposal for a property tax increase.

The memorandum contains three attachments. One is a table of potential items that might be funded by a property tax increase. The second is a recommendation from the Salt Lake City Public Library Board of Directors that was presented by Library Director Beth Elder at the City Council's discussion June 2. The third is a memorandum dated January 30, 2009, and updated on May 26, 2009. The third item was used in meetings with the City Council Finance Subcommittee in February and in the City Council's May 26 meeting that focused on a variety of budget issues pertaining to the Library System.

The table uses as its starting point the estimated current property taxes the Library System would collect from a house valued at \$280,000 and a business valued at \$1 million. The \$280,000 figure is the value of a residence that the City Council used in August 2008 to advertise a truth-in-taxation hearing. It was the median home value for Salt Lake City in Fiscal Year 2009, according to Salt Lake County which provided the figure. If the City Council adopts the budget presented by the Library Board, City Council staff estimates the owner of a residence valued at \$280,000 would pay \$95.32 in property taxes to support the Library System. A business valued at \$1 million would pay \$618.

### **NEW INFORMATION**

Much of the City Council discussion centered on item No. 11 on the table prepared by City Council staff because item No. 11 was the same amount of revenue from a property tax increase that the Library Board recommended. Both options would generate \$3.44 million in new revenue and add \$29.42 in property taxes for the Library System on a home valued at \$280,000. Both options also would add about \$191 in property taxes on a business valued at \$1 million. Again, Council staff estimates that – without the proposed increase – the owner of a residence valued at \$280,000 would pay \$95.32 in property taxes to support the Library System, and a business valued at \$1 million would \$618. However, there are substantial differences between what item No. 11 would fund and the Library Board's recommendation.

Item No. 11 on the table prepared by City Council staff depicted the projected cost of saving to build two branch libraries simultaneously. Library administrators have estimated that a 20,000 square-foot branch library would cost about \$8 million to build. Council staff multiplied \$8 million by 2 and added \$1 million in land purchase costs that Library System administrators estimated. Total building and land costs equaled \$17 million. Staff then applied a formula to determine what property tax increase would be required to raise that amount over a period of five years two build two libraries. The projected increase raised about \$3.44 million per year.

The recommendation by the Library Board of Directors would use the same \$3.44 million but divide the uses differently. According to the attached recommendation, about \$1.74 million would be used to fund increased operating and maintenance needs of the Library System's Main Library and five branch libraries. The remaining \$1.7 million would "be used to fund construction and operating costs for two new branch libraries, the first in Glendale, the second in West Capitol Hill"/Marmalade neighborhood.

It should be noted that the City Treasurer's Office estimates that the cost for issuing sales tax bonds to fund construction of two branch libraries would be about \$1.35 million per year over 20 years. The City Council has never used sales tax bonds for Library System capital projects. However, it is a funding mechanism that Council staff has explored with the idea that the City might be able to issue sales tax bonds guaranteed with sales tax revenue, and then dedicate property tax from the Library System District in an amount equal to the guarantee. While the approach probably would allow both branches to be built sooner, it might mean more "cash out the door" in the long run due to interest payments over the 20 year period of repaying the bonds.

It also should be noted that issuing sales tax bonds would not address the operational goals in the Library Board's recommendation.

# PREVIOUS INFORMATION PERTAINING TO COUNCIL STAFF TABLE

Item No. 2 is in response to the City Council's discussion about holding the Library System's tax rate constant year-in and year-out similar to the practice of the Metropolitan Water District of Salt Lake City and Sandy.

Item No. 3 is the estimated cost of opening the Anderson-Foothill, Sweet and Chapman branch libraries on Sundays.

Item No. 4 is the estimated cost of maintaining the Library System's share of the heating and cooling system mechanical plant for the Main Library, the City & County Building and The Leonardo. The item is a new cost for the Library System in the next fiscal year.

Item No. 5 involves \$500,000 that the Library System's proposed budget for Fiscal Year 2009-2010 from the System's operating fund balance. The operating fund balance currently contains \$1,698,033 in unreserved funds. If one subtracts the \$500,000 from the unreserved funds, the operating fund balance is \$1,198,033. Library System administrators have indicated that the proposed budget for FY 2009-2010 sets aside another \$698,033 to meet the state legal requirement for maintaining fund balances.<sup>1</sup>

Ordinarily, the Library System transfers unspent money from its operating budget to capital reserves as a way to save toward either buying land for branch libraries or building branch libraries.

It should be noted that the proposed budget projects spending about \$1 million of current unreserved capital funds for a variety of capital improvements in the next fiscal year.<sup>2</sup> (Please see Item No. 7 on attached table.)

It should be noted that if one includes roughly \$2.4 million in unreserved funds for capital improvements to the operating fund balance (Utah law requires no capital reserves), the Library System would have a total of about \$3.598 million in unreserved fund balance if the City Council adopts the proposed budget.

Item No. 6 involves the estimated cost of operating a new branch library in either the Glendale or West Capitol Hill areas. The figure is taken from the attached memorandum that was given to the City Council on May 26.

Item No. 7 is the \$1 million amount previously discussed at the end of Item No. 5. The proposed Library System budget projects capital expenses for improvements to the Children's Library at the Main Library; designing and building a new Internet web site; replacing heating and cooling systems at the Sprague, Sweet and Anderson-Foothill branch libraries; installing self-check-out equipment at all branch libraries and installing electronic message screens at all branch libraries.

Item No. 8 would restore projected use of unreserved fund balances in the Library Systems operating and capital fund balances.

Item No. 9 contains a figure that would generate one year of operating costs for branch libraries in Glendale and West Capitol Hill. The amount might be used to purchase land in West Capitol Hill for a branch library. (The Library System already has acquired a site in Glendale.) The amount also might be used for construction costs of either or both branches.

Item No. 10 is the estimated costs of the Library Systems future funding needs including operating costs for the Glendale and West Capitol Hill branch libraries, ongoing capital and technology needs, the estimated cost of the Library System's share of maintaining the capital plant at that serves the Main Library, the City & County Building and The Leonardo, and Sunday hours at Anderson-Foothill, Sweet and Chapman branch libraries.

Item No. 11 is the estimated cost of generating enough money over five years to buy land in West Capitol Hill for a branch library and building branch libraries there and in Glendale. It should be noted that none of the items above includes sums for addressing increases to employee salaries and wages and benefits over the next five years, something Library System administrators say needs to be addressed.

# **OTHER ISSUES**

During the City Council discussion on May 26, some Council Members raised questions about potential increases in Library System employees' salaries. According to Library System administrator's, the projected \$141,357 increase in salaries and wages in the proposed budget would pay for five new employees who would:

- Conduct technology classes and training to the public.
- Provide literacy outreach to children five years old and younger.
- Develop a new Internet Web page and manage its content.

According to Library administrators, the new employees would account for about \$60,000 in new salaries and wages, the remaining \$81,357 is projected to be used for employee merit increases.

The Library System employs about 275 people full- and part-time – the equivalent of 179 full-time employees. Of the 275 people, about 200 are eligible for merit increases. Of the 200, roughly one-third (66 people) generally receive one-half of a standard merit increase. The remaining two-thirds (134 people) in the past have received a roughly 2 percent to 5 percent merit increase, according to Library System administrators. Under the proposed budget, the two-thirds eligible for a merit increase would receive on average somewhere between a 1 percent and 3 percent increase. The one-third who receive roughly half the standard increase would receive a one-time check that would not be part of their base salaries in future years.

It should be noted that health care costs under the Public Employees Health Program Local Government Risk pool are projected to rise by 9.5 percent in the next fiscal year. They increased 8.22 percent in Fiscal Year 2008-2009.<sup>3</sup> The Library System contributes an equal amount to each salaried member of the System's staff to support the health insurance program.<sup>4</sup> The System pays for 100 percent of a basic package. If an employee wants more coverage, he or she pays the difference in the cost of the premium. Employees pay the full amount of premiums for any family members, according to System administrators.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Proposed Budget Salt Lake City Library System 2009-2010, Salt Lake City Council Staff Report, Russell Weeks, May 6, 2009, Pages 2 and 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ibid., Page 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Proposed Budget Salt Lake City Library System 2009-2010, Salt Lake City Council Staff Report, Russell Weeks, May 6, 2009, Page 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Operating and Capital Budget Fiscal Year 2009-2010, Salt Lake City Public Library System, Page 20.

#### \*\*Staff Note\*\* This table reflects proposals expressed to Council Staff as of 6/12/09. Other options can be added or considered.

Library Property Tax P	roposals					
				Property Tax		
		Amount needed		\$280,000	\$1 millior	
Proposal 1	Description  > Increase revenue by \$560,000 for current library operations. Revenue increase could include:Stabilize Property Tax Revenue - \$134,121Adjust budget for new growth revenue - \$199,508 (no tax increase needed)Increase Property taxes above that by \$226,371 > Change Library Budget ordinance to appropriate \$300,000 from capital reserves to plan and conduct public process for new Glendale branch library > Council could decide next year, after planning process is complete, whether to move forward with a financing for construction	FY 201 \$ 360,492	_	Home 3.09		Comments > This proposal would delay a decision on whether and how to finance construction of a new library, after the Library system conducts a study. > If the Council ultimately decided to fund construction of a new library, it would necessitate an additional property tax increase, both for construction and operations.
Proposal 2	<ul> <li>&gt; Increase revenue by \$560,000 for current library operations. Revenue increase could include:</li> <li>- Stabilize Property Tax Revenue - \$134,121</li> <li>- Adjust budget for new growth revenue - \$199,508 (no tax increase needed)</li> <li>- Increase Property taxes above that by \$226,371</li> <li>&gt; Change Library Budget ordinance to appropriate \$300,000 from capital reserves to plan and conduct public process for new Glendale branch library</li> <li>&gt; Change Library Budget ordinance to appropriate \$25,000 from capital reserves to allocate for site selection and due diligence for Capitol Hill branch library</li> <li>&gt; Council could decide next year, after planning process is complete, whether to move forward with financing (Sales Tax or GO bond) for construction</li> </ul>	\$ 360,492	2 \$	\$ 3.09	\$ 20.02	<ul> <li>&gt; This proposal would delay a decision on whether and how to finance construction of a new library, after the Library system conducts a study.</li> <li>&gt; If the Council ultimately decided to fund construction of a new library, it would necessitate an additional property tax increase (either through GO or Sales Tax bond - to be determined later), both for construction and operations.</li> </ul>
Proposal 3	> Build one new library with a Sales Tax bond (\$7 million bond)	\$ 526,526	5 \$	\$ 4.51	\$ 29.25	<ul> <li>&gt; Assumes immediate construction of Glendale Branch</li> <li>&gt; Assumes \$2 million in Library reserves are dedicated to project</li> <li>&gt; This proposal would result in a necessary property tax increase for operations of the new branch (in 3 years) - \$900,000 - \$7.71 per yea (residential), \$49.99 per year (commercial)</li> <li>&gt; This proposal does not currently include an increase for existing Library operations (Library is requesting \$1.1 million total, \$560,000 needed in FY 2010)</li> </ul>
Proposal 3a	<ul> <li>&gt; Build one new library with a Sales Tax bond (\$7 million bond)</li> <li>&gt; Increase revenue by \$560,000 for current library operations. Revenue increase could include:</li> <li>-Stabilize Property Tax Revenue - \$134,121</li> <li>-Adjust budget for new growth revenue - \$199,508 (no tax increase needed)</li> <li>-Increase Property taxes above that by \$226,371</li> </ul>	\$ 887,018	3 9	5 7.60	\$ 49.27	<ul> <li>&gt; Assumes immediate construction of Glendale Branch</li> <li>&gt; Assumes \$2 million in Library reserves are dedicated to project</li> <li>&gt; This proposal would result in a necessary property tax increase for operations of the new branch (in 3 years) - \$900,000 - \$7.71 per yea (residential), \$49.99 per year (commercial)</li> </ul>
Proposal 4 (Reflected in Library Board Letter - 6/9/09)	<ul> <li>Increase Library system tax rate to produce \$1.1 million (would cover projected increases in operating costs through FY 2012-13). Revenue increase could include:</li> <li>-Stabilize Property Tax Revenue - \$134,121</li> <li>-Adjust budget for new growth revenue - \$199,508 (no tax increase needed)</li> <li>-Increase Property taxes above that by \$766,371</li> <li>&gt; Build two new libraries with a Sales Tax bond, debt service paid for by an increase in Library property taxes (\$16 million bond, \$1.2 million/year debt service)</li> </ul>			5 18.01	\$	<ul> <li>&gt; Assumes immediate construction of both Glendale and Marmalade branch</li> <li>&gt; Assumes \$2 million in Library reserves are dedicated to project</li> <li>&gt; This proposal would result in a necessary property tax increase for operations of the new branch (in 3 years) - \$1,800,000 - \$15.42 per year (residential), \$99.98 per year (commercial)</li> </ul>
Proposal 5 (Reflected in Library Director Proposal as af 6/12/09	<ul> <li>&gt; Increase revenue by \$560,000 for current library operations. Revenue increase could include:         <ul> <li>-Stabilize Property Tax Revenue - \$134,121</li> <li>-Adjust budget for new growth revenue - \$199,508 (no tax increase needed)</li> <li>-Increase Property taxes above that by \$270,271</li> <li>&gt; Build one new library with a Sales Tax bond, debt service paid for by an increase in Library property taxes (\$7.5 million bond, \$556,777/year debt service)</li> <li>&gt; Fund Planning/Public process for Glendale Library - site development/arch planning</li> <li>&gt; Fund Planning process, site selection, potential land/site purchase of Marmalade Library Branch</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	\$ 917,269	) <u></u>	5 7.09	\$ 45.94	<ul> <li>&gt; Assumes immediate construction of Giendale Library</li> <li>&gt; Assumes \$2.95 million in Library reserves are dedicated to various projects for FY 2010 (\$150k for Giendale planning process, \$800k fo Marmalade public process, \$500k for Children's Library Improvements, Other Capital Improvements; \$1.5 million for Giendale construction)</li> <li>&gt; This proposal would result in a necessary property tax increase for operations of the new branch (in 3 years) - \$900,000 - \$7.71 per yead (residential), \$49.99 per year (commercial)</li> </ul>
Proposal 6 Library budget as presented to the City Council on May 12	> Recognize "new growth" property tax revenue - \$199,508	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	> Would allow the Library to reduce the amount drawn from reserves (from \$500,000 to \$300,492)

#### Notes:

- All construction cost estimates include a budget for land acquisition which may not be necessary in the Glendale area.

- Current property taxes for the SLC Library System - \$95.32 on a \$280,000 residential property; \$618 on a \$1 million commercial property

- Not listed above is the cash-accumulation option. To build one new library with cash in the future - total amount to save is \$8.9 million over 5 years (\$1,787,911).

School District Tax Information:

The SLC School District has recently approved their FY 2010 budget, which includes a tax increase to offset the "School Equalization Bill." The bill authorized \$8 million to be transferred from the SLC SChool District to the Jordan School District. This results in a \$69.61 per year increase on a residential property valued at \$280,000 and a \$452 per year increase on a \$1 million commercial property.

Rule of Thumb:

For every \$1 million increase, property tax increase for avg residential is \$8.57; property tax increase for \$1 million commercial is \$55.55



Salt Lake City Public Library Tax Rate Increase Recommendation Presented to City Council, June 2, 2009

## Statement of the Problem

The City Library's proposed FY 2009-2010 budget includes using \$1.5m from operating and capital reserves, or the Library will need a tax rate increase. In addition, plans for two new branch libraries have been under consideration for more than seven years. A tax rate increase could also fund construction and then operating for the new branches.

### Recommendation

The Library Board of Directors recommends the tax rate be raised from .000618 to .000809 to provide an additional \$3.44m per year.

\$1.74m will be used to fund increased operating and maintenance needs of current facilities.

The balance of the tax increase, \$1.7m, will be used to fund construction and operating costs for two new branch libraries, the first in Glendale, the second in West Capitol Hill.

Rationale

- Library has not had a tax rate increase since 2004
- A tax rate increase for basic operating costs is needed and new branch funding has been on hold until a time when a tax rate increase was under consideration
- The Library tax rate is well below the cap of .001 at .000618
- Needed funding will still be below the cap at .000809
- A tax rate increase may be used to fund capital projects
- Many other city projects may require bond funding in 2009 and 2010
- Timing for site acquisition and construction is opportune
- The new branch libraries have widespread support of City leaders and community members
- An economic downturn creates increased demand for library services

# Impact on the Taxpayer

For a home valued at \$280,000, the increase would be approximately \$29.42 per year.

Main Library 210 East 400 South Salt Lake City Utah 84111 T: 801-524-8200 Hearing impaired: 801-364-4669 F: 801-322-8194 Anderson-Foothill 1135 South 2100 East Salt Lake City Utah 84108 T: 801-594-8611 F: 801-322-8181 Chapman 577 South 900 West Salt Lake City Utah 84104 T: 801-594-8623 F: 801-322-8180 Day-Riverside 1575 West 1000 North Salt Lake City Utah 84116 T: 801-594-8632 F: 801-322-8182 Sprague 2131 South 1100 East Salt Lake City Utah 84106 T: 801-594-8640 F: 801-322-8183 Corinne & Jack Sweet 455 "F" Street Salt Lake City Utah 84103 T: 801-594-8651 F: 801-322-8184 City Council Finance Committee January 30, 2009, updated 5/26/09

Questions to the City Council Finance Committee

- What are the potential funding sources for the construction of new branch libraries and other capital needs?
- Is a tax rate increase for new annual operating needs a possibility?
- How should the Library proceed?

#### Statement of Need

For many years, new branch libraries in the communities of Glendale and W. Capitol Hill have been under discussion. A bond in 2003 was unsuccessful, failing to pass by only 149 votes.

Libraries in these neighborhoods could prove a powerful catalyst for building community, economic development and educational support. In the article "Ten Trends Shaping the Future of Our Communities" January 2009, trend #5 is that of Libraries Emerging As New Town Squares, "they already provide a resource center for their communities, but many of them are now...civic centers that foster a sense of community and offer a unique gathering place...virtual and literal town squares for their neighborhoods and downtowns."

New one time funding needs (estimates)	
Construction Glendale Library*	\$8.2 million
Construction and land W. Capitol Hill Library	\$9 million
Library Square integration	\$?
Tech Upgrade (rfid, auto check-in, network core repl)	\$3 million
	\$21.2 million

\*New Branch Construction Costs based on general estimates, as follows

\$4,700,000
\$2,000,000
\$ 700,000
\$ 376,000
\$ 250,000
<u>\$ 210,000</u>
\$8,236,000

New annual funding needs (estimates)	
Operating costs for Glendale Library**	\$825,000
Operating costs for W. Capitol Hill Library	\$825,000
Ongoing Capital/Technology needs	\$400,000
Boiler maintenance cost	\$150,000
Sunday hours at Foothill, Sweet and Chapman	\$100,000
Method for managing inflation (5% per year)	<u>\$ ?</u>
	\$2,300,000+

\*\*New Branch Operating Costs based on general estimates, as follows

Personnel (11 FTE)	\$480,000
Materials	\$200,000
Maintenance	\$125,000
Services	\$ 25,000

• •

Library Funding Background Library Revenue

The Library's 2008-09 anticipated revenues are \$13,575,448. This figure was used to develop the Library's 2008-09 Operating and Capital budgets.

Utah law 9-7-401 establishes a "city library fund" for municipal libraries but limits the amount a city's library fund can raise to .001 of the "taxable value of taxable property" within a city.

The Library's tax rate is currently set at .000618

Property Tax Revenues (.000618)	\$11,125,968	82%
Misc. Taxes and Fees	\$ 1,319,480	10%
Other Revenues	\$ 1,130,000	8%

Property Tax Revenues: In 2008, with a tax rate set at .000618, an average household paid \$77.85 in taxes for the Library on a home valued at \$229,030 (median owner-occupied housing value at 55% taxable value).

Additional Tax Collections: Delinquent taxes, motor vehicle fees, and miscellaneous collections received from the Salt Lake County Treasurer.

Other revenues: Fines (3.1%), interest (2.5%), donations and grants (1.2%), leased space (0.5%), events/conference fees (0.2%), copier/printer and sundry (0.5%).

#### Library Operating and Capital Budgets

Each year the tax rate funding level is set by the City Council. Generally, it is set to produce the same level of funding as the previous year.

The Library's 2008-09 Operating and Capital Budgets fund the following areas:

Personnel	\$9,017,643	66%
Materials	\$1,878,805	14%
Buildings and Grounds	\$1,278,000	10%
Services	\$1,151,000	8%
Capital Improvements	\$ 250,000	2%

The Library receives heavy use from the community. Last year, the Library had:

- More than 3.5 million visits
- 3.4 million items circulated
- 3.8 million hours of computer/internet access

ų

• 2,600 programs.

#### **Operating and Capital Fund Balances**

If during the course of a year, the tax rate generates more funds than anticipated, the Library generally transfers any excess operating funds to the capital fund balance to support capital projects.

In a year when the tax rate generates less funds than anticipated, the Library must make year end adjustments to bring the budget in line with actual revenues. Depending upon the amount of the deficit, funds from the operating or capital fund balance may be needed to cover the deficit.

In recent years, excess funds have been generated that have been set aside in Operating and Capital Fund Accounts. "Reserved" Funds, which often come from grants and gifts may only be used for designated projects identified by the donor or grantee. "Unreserved" Funds provide a buffer against emergencies and unforeseen shortfalls or can contribute to the funding of larger projects. For several years, there has been discussion about using a portion of these funds to build a Glendale Branch Library.

As of June 30, 2008, balances were:

Operating Fund Balance (Reserved)	\$212,150	
Capital Fund Balance (Reserved)	\$597,605	
Operating Fund Balance (Unreserved)	\$1,698,033	
Capital Fund Balance (Unreserved)	\$3,421,401	

We believe it is prudent to carry a balance in the Operating Fund Balance (Unreserved) of 10% of total annual revenues (\$1,300,000).

Increasing the Tax Rate

The last Library tax rate increase was in 2004-05.

The highest certified tax rate for the City Library was in 1993-94 at .000934. Listed below are the tax rates for the past nine years:

2000	.000781
2001	.000773
2002	.000755
2003	.000777
2004	.000887 (tax rate increase for Main Library operating)
2005	.000869
2006	.000762
2007	.000657
	•

# 2008 .000618

Because home values have been increasing, the tax rate has been decreased but continues to generate revenues at the same level as the previous year. In order to increase the tax rate, proposed budget increases must be advertised to the public as a tax increase and public hearings must be held.

Increased tax rates based on 2008 Property Tax values would produce the following additional revenues:

	Tax Rate	<b>Revenue Produced</b>	Change	Change in taxes for Avg. Household
<u> </u>	.000618	\$11,125,968	_	\$77.85
	.000700	\$12,602,229	+\$1,476,261	\$88.18
	.000800	\$14,402,548	+\$3,276,580	\$100.77
	.000900	\$16,202,866	+\$5,076,898	\$113.37

-