

**SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT**  
**BUDGET ANALYSIS – FISCAL YEAR 2009-10**

**DATE:** May 26, 2009  
**BUDGET FOR:** **NON-DEPARTMENTAL (General Fund)**  
**STAFF REPORT BY:** Jennifer Bruno, Budget & Policy Analyst  
**cc:** David Everitt, Gina Chamness, Gordon Hoskins

---

The Non-Departmental budget provides a means to account for General Fund monies transferred to other funds, and disbursements to civic organizations that provide a service on behalf of Salt Lake City but which are not legal entities of the City. The Non-Departmental budget represents 21.5% of the total General Fund budget. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2009-10 contains several increases and decreases for a net decrease of \$4,734,661 (10%). The proposed budget, by line item, compared to FY 2009, is listed in the chart on the next page.

PROPOSED NON-DEPARTMENTAL GENERAL FUND BUDGET					
	Adopted Budget 2008-09	Proposed Budget 2009-10	Difference	Percent Change	
<b>Municipal Contributions/Civic Support</b>					
Civic Opportunities Fund - First Night	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ -	0.0%	
Civic Opportunities Fund - Special Events Fund	-	150,000	150,000	new	
Community Emergency Winter Housing	70,980	74,530	\$3,550	5.0%	
Economic Development Corporation of Utah	132,992	132,992	\$0	0.0%	
Gifts/Receptions	15,000	15,000	\$0	0.0%	
Gang Prevention	-	70,000	\$70,000	new	
Hispanic Chamber of Commerce	1,500	1,500	\$0	0.0%	
Housing Authority Transitional Housing	125,000	127,500	\$2,500	2.0%	
Legal Defenders	795,881	833,881	\$38,000	4.8%	
National League of Cities	11,535	11,535	\$0	0.0%	
North Temple/Grand Boulevard	-	300,000	\$300,000	new	
Sales Taxes Rebate	170,000	165,000	(\$5,000)	-2.9%	
Salt Lake Arts Council	336,000	300,000	(\$36,000)	-10.7%	
Salt Lake Area Chamber of Commerce	50,000	50,000	\$0	0.0%	
Salt Lake Council of Governments	23,190	23,190	\$0	0.0%	
Salt Lake Valley Conference of Mayors	225	225	\$0	0.0%	
Sister Cities	10,000	10,000	\$0	0.0%	
Sugarhouse Park Authority	190,184	190,184	\$0	0.0%	
Tracy Aviary	500,000	450,000	(\$50,000)	-10.0%	
U.S. Conference of Mayors	13,262	12,609	(\$653)	-4.9%	
Utah League of Cities and Towns	117,869	117,869	\$0	0.0%	
<b>One-time Appropriations</b>					
Municipal Elections - Primary & General for 4 Council Districts	-	275,000	\$275,000		
Municipal Elections - GO Bond election in all Council Districts	-	102,082	\$102,082		
Local First	20,000	20,000	\$0		
Salt Lake Solutions	52,000	52,000	\$0		
No More Homeless Pets of Utah - feral cat initiative **note - \$40,000 was recaptured in the FY 2009 budget amendment process. Therefore the actual year to year difference is \$0.	60,000	20,000	\$0		
Envision Utah - funding for Jordan River Blueprint	10,000	-			
TRAX - Airport light rail pass-through	1,550,000	-			
HB 40 costs	37,000	-			
Riparian Signage	5,000	-			
Sugarhouse Streetcar Study	67,000	-			
<b>Total Municipal Contributions/Civic Support</b>	<b>\$ 4,379,618</b>	<b>\$ 3,520,097</b>	<b>(\$859,521)</b>	<b>-19.6%</b>	
<b>Other Programs</b>					
Annual Financial Audit	\$ 260,100	\$ 260,100	\$ -	0.0%	
IFAS - Accounting System Maintenance Agreement	79,423	81,756	\$2,333	2.9%	
One-time - Purchase of IFAS-related software	-	30,000	\$30,000	new	
Animal Services	1,201,836	1,241,836	\$40,000	3.3%	
Geographic Information System Support	35,000	35,000	\$0	0.0%	
One-time - GIS clean-up software	50,000	-			
Local lobbyist	20,000	20,000	\$0	0.0%	
Non CDBG Mailings	6,000	6,000	\$0	0.0%	
Pension Contribution (all GF departments)	n.a.	16,695	new	new	
Retirement payments (cash payouts and severance)	1,000,000	693,899	(\$306,101)	-30.6%	
Street lighting – electrical power	1,350,000	1,475,000	\$125,000	9.3%	
Tuition aid program	85,000	85,000	\$0	0.0%	
Washington DC Consultant	60,000	60,000	\$0	0.0%	
<b>One-time Appropriations</b>					
Energy Fund for the Future	515,000	0	(\$515,000)	n.a.	
<b>Total Other Programs</b>	<b>\$ 4,662,359</b>	<b>\$ 4,005,286</b>	<b>(\$657,073)</b>	<b>-14.1%</b>	
<b>Debt Service – Tax &amp; Revenue Anticipation Notes</b>					
Interest Expense on Tax Revenue Anticipation Notes	\$ 729,167	\$ 729,167	\$ -	0.0%	
Bonding/Note Expense	35,000	35,000	\$0	0.0%	
<b>Total Debt Service</b>	<b>\$ 764,167</b>	<b>\$ 764,167</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	
<b>Transfers</b>					
Capital Improvement Projects Fund (GO, Debt Service, On-going)	\$ 23,182,686	\$ 20,875,982	\$ (2,306,704)	-10.0%	
Fleet Replacement Fund	5,726,318	4,735,381	(\$990,937)	-17.3%	
Information Management Services	5,600,136	5,549,148	(\$50,988)	-0.9%	
Insurance & Risk Management Fund	1,901,945	2,128,213	\$226,268	11.9%	
Bus pass program (enhanced)	48,926	48,926	-	0.0%	
Governmental Immunity Fund	1,000,000	900,000	(\$100,000)	-10.0%	
Street Lighting Special Assessment Districts	113,669	117,963	\$4,294	3.8%	
<b>Total Transfers</b>	<b>\$ 37,573,680</b>	<b>\$ 34,355,613</b>	<b>(\$3,218,067)</b>	<b>-8.6%</b>	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 47,379,824</b>	<b>\$ 42,645,163</b>	<b>(\$4,734,661)</b>	<b>-10.0%</b>	

## **Description of selected proposed Non-Departmental appropriations:**

1. Accounting System Maintenance Agreement (\$2,217 decrease, \$30,000 one-time) - The City has traditionally accounted for the maintenance agreement on the accounting system within the Non-Departmental budget under the assumption that the system benefits all departments. The FY 2010 budget request of \$81,756 represents a 2.9% increase from FY 2009. The Administration has also requested a \$30,000 one-time appropriation in this category, to purchase software that will allow the City to design web-based financial system screens for internal City use.
2. Animal Control Services contract (\$40,000 increase) - Salt Lake County has been providing animal control services to Salt Lake City for several years by contract. The contract with the County Animal Services is a five year contract fixed for the first two years and variable afterwards. Salt Lake City is in continuing negotiations with Salt Lake County for costs and service delivery. The budget request reflects a 3.3% increase. The Council adopted a legislative intent in conjunction with the FY 2008 budget as follows:

*"It is the intent of the City Council that the Administration explore the cost effectiveness of the Salt Lake Police Department providing dispatch services for the City's animal service calls. This would include providing the cost of additional dispatch personnel, if necessary, the costs associated with reprogramming radios, and allowing County animal service personnel access to the City's CAD system, etc. The Administration should explore the willingness of the County to allow City dispatching of County employees in this limited situation. As an alternative, the Administration could review with Salt Lake County the allocation of dispatch costs to assure that it is accurate."*

The Administrations' response in FY 2009 was as follows:

The Administration has explored the willingness of Salt Lake County to allow City dispatching of Salt Lake County Animal Services employees. Salt Lake County provides animal services to three other cities, the University of Utah and unincorporated Salt Lake County. Salt Lake County is willing to enter into discussion about the possibility of Salt Lake City Corporation dispatching Animal Services employees provided that the City is willing to dispatch all animal services calls, including those for the County as well as the other contract cities. Salt Lake County does not wish to dispatch Animal Services officers using multiple dispatch services. In addition, the Administration has reviewed the allocation of dispatch costs with Animal Services as well as with the Salt Lake County Sheriff's Office.

3. Arts Council (\$36,000 decrease) - The Non-Departmental budget includes \$300,000 for the Salt Lake Arts Council. In FY 2008, the Council approved \$75,000 in one-time grant funds for the Arts Council. Before FY 2009, the Arts Council was funded at a level of \$243,600. In FY 2009 this on-going amount was increased to \$336,000. The proposed on-going budget for FY 2010 is a 10.7% decrease from the FY 2009 level. Salaries of the Arts Council employees are separately budgeted within the Department of Community Development.
4. Eco-pass program (\$48,926) - The City purchases a number of eco-passes offered by UTA, to encourage the use of mass transit, and offers them at no charge to City employees. The Mayor's proposed budget includes a \$48,926 line item in the non-departmental budget for the City's eco-passes, which currently allow City employees to ride for free on TRAX, UTA buses, and Front-runner. Because of an agreement previously negotiated with UTA relating to the TRAX extension project to the Intermodal Hub, the City was originally supposed to begin paying the full cost of this program in FY 2010. In 2009, the general fund supplied eco-passes to all City employees,

including enterprise funds. However, enterprise funds reimbursed UTA directly for the cost of their eco-passes in FY 2009, resulting in UTA effectively allowing the City general fund to roll that amount into the general fund pass program for FY 2010. The City will begin to pay the full cost of this program in FY 2011. *The Council may wish to ask the Administration to track the number of employees who use these passes, for future reference.*

5. **Election Processing (*One-time - \$377,082*)** – Every other year, funds must be budgeted for local elections. The City contracts with Salt Lake County to provide election services. In April, the Council approved a resolution authorizing the Mayor to enter into an interlocal agreement with Salt Lake County, detailing the charges for the 2009 regularly-scheduled primary and general elections (Council Districts 1, 3, 5, and 7), and the possibility of a General Obligation bond vote (all Council Districts). The cost is broken down as follows:
  - The total cost for the regularly scheduled primary and general elections without a City-wide GO Bond election (4 Council Districts - 60,623 registered voters) is not to exceed \$266,135.
  - The total cost for the election with a City-wide GO Bond election (All Council Districts - 105,593 registered voters) is not to exceed \$368,217 (\$102,082 extra).
  - The Administration has indicated that the extra \$102,082 in election expenses could be reimbursed with bond proceeds should the GO Bond be successful.
  - Should the Council decide not to place the Public Safety Facilities GO bond on the 2009 ballot, the Council could recapture \$102,082 in one-time funds.
  - Costs for a 2009 City-wide election are 14% less than the 2007 election (\$61,126 less). The County has indicated that this is due to operating efficiencies that they have realized since that time.
  
6. **Transfer to CIP** - The Administration is proposing a reduction in the on-going contribution to the City's capital improvement budget (*the Administration's proposed budget reflects a 7% contribution, instead of the recommended 7.95%*). The total amount proposed to be transferred to CIP is \$20,875,982. In FY 2009, a total of \$23,182,686 (7.98%) was transferred to CIP - \$2.3 million more than is recommended for FY 2010. Of the amount recommended to be transferred for FY 2010, it should be noted that \$14,166,484 is pledged for debt-service related expenses. This leaves \$6.7 million available for the Council to allocate to “pay as you go” projects (*compared to \$7.2 million available for projects in FY 2009*).
  - The CIP 10 Year Plan had anticipated the allocation of \$8.8 million for “pay as you go” projects in FY 2010.
  - If the Council wished to achieve 7.95% of general fund revenue, an additional \$1.9 million would need to be added. If the Council wished to achieve the previous goal of 9% of general fund revenue, an additional \$3.9 million would need to be added.
  - The Mayor and the CDCIP Board have reviewed all funding applications and made recommendations. The Mayor’s recommendations are included in the **Attachment A**. The Council will receive an in depth briefing regarding the CIP funding applications and time sensitive projects on June 2, with a follow-up briefing and decision process later in the summer.
  - **Class C Funds** – The Mayor and CDCIP Board used a slightly different approach this year and considered all CIP Projects that were eligible for Class C funds, along with General Fund projects. Previously the Mayor and CDCIPO Board would only consider allocating Class C funds to those projects that Engineering recommended.
  - **North Temple/Grand Boulevard** – The Mayor’s proposed budget for CIP includes a \$2,884,653 allocation for the North Temple/Grand Boulevard construction. This is in addition to \$300,000 that has been identified from on-going sources for the North Temple project in the Non-Departmental budget (not CIP). In total, the Mayor’s proposed budget recommends approximately \$3.2 million for North Temple construction. *The Council may*

wish to discuss a single allocation for the North Temple Grand Boulevard Project, and may wish to ask the Administration for an update on the progress of identifying City costs for the project. Staff note: It is likely that City costs for the project will exceed the \$3.2 million identified in the proposed budget.

7. Civic opportunities fund (First Night) (\$15,000) – The proposed \$15,000 budget is for the First Night celebration. The City’s contribution assists the Downtown Alliance to help with the funding of the First Night celebration. This same amount was requested last year.
8. Special Events (\$150,000 – on-going) – The Administration’s proposed budget includes an on-going allocation of \$150,000 to establish a special events fund. The Administration indicates that this fund could be used to help events locating within Salt Lake City to defray the costs that the City charges for services. The Administration has not proposed any formal process for reviewing applications to this fund, nor has it proposed any recommendations about which City department should administer these funds. The Council may wish to consider adopting a legislative intent in conjunction with the FY 2010 budget, outlining possible criteria for this fund. Criteria for either a tiered fee structure or for review and consideration for the special events fund, that certain Council Members have suggested are:
  - o Profit vs. non-profit
  - o Admission vs. no admission
  - o Organizational cash flow
  - o Demonstrated commitment (contracts to use) local businesses/vendors/rental companies
9. Community Emergency Winter Housing (\$3,550 increase) – Salt Lake City’s share of the operating costs of the winter overflow shelter located in Midvale is based upon population. The proposed request for FY 2010 is \$74,530, which is a 5.0% increase over FY 2009. The cost is \$20.00 per night per individual for approximately 3,400 individuals.
10. Economic Development Corporation of Utah (\$0 increase) – In 1997, the total municipal funding of the Economic Development Corporation of Utah (EDCU) was assessed based 50% on population and 50% on certain revenues (sales tax, franchise & utility tax, licenses & permits, and other fees). Salt Lake City’s contribution was calculated to be \$126,659 in 1997. This amount remained unchanged until 2000 when all assessments increased 5%. Salt Lake City’s contribution for FY 2010 is **\$132,992**. This dues amount has not changed since 2000.
11. Fleet Replacement (\$990,937 decrease) – The proposed budget for Fleet replacement is proposed to decrease this year from \$5726318 to \$4735381 (17.3% decrease). This reduction reflects an offset of one-time increases for vehicle purchases in FY 2009, and a \$500,000 on-going reduction from the general fund (independent of vehicle purchases). This resulting \$500,000 shortfall in the Fleet Fund will be addressed by a draw from Fleet’s reserves. *The Council will receive a detailed briefing on the Fleet Division budget at the May 26<sup>th</sup> work session.*
12. Gang Prevention (\$70,000) – The Administration is proposing a new initiative with the FY 2010 budget. With this appropriation the Administration will fund a contract employee to work on a personal level in neighborhoods affected by gang violence, and connect people in need to services and programs through the City’s recently formed Gang Intervention Community Action Team. The federal government has develop a “Comprehensive Gang Model” to help communities address issues associated with gangs, and the community outreach worker is identified as an essential component to this model.
13. Geographic Information System(GIS) Support (\$35,000) –Each year, beginning in 1997, the Non-Departmental budget has included funding for equipment to help with additional GIS

applications or implementation. For FY 2010, the Administration is proposing funding of \$35,000, the same as it has been in prior years. In FY 2009, the Council funded a one-time purchase of software (\$50,000) to help the GIS system with cleaning up addresses (to help avoid significant amounts of returned mail). *The Council may wish to ask the Administration if this software has been implemented and if it is successful.*

14. Gifts and Receptions (\$15,000) – This appropriation pays for receptions including the Mayor’s holiday luncheon for City employees. The budget also pays the expenses relating to the induction ceremony, as well as gifts presented by the Mayor to visiting dignitaries. This fund, along with other funds from the Council Office and other general fund sources, helped fund the Mayor/Council Induction Ceremony held January 2008. The Mayor is not proposing to increase this appropriation. *The Council may wish to note that the fund was not adequate to fully cover the expenses for both the holiday luncheon and the induction as well as the gifts provided by the Mayor. Gifts from the City Council are paid through the City Council Office budget.*
15. Governmental Immunity Fund (\$100,000 decrease, on-going) – The City’s Governmental Immunity und provides for protection against unfounded claims of liability and for payment of legitimate claims. Net assets in the Governmental Immunity Fund have increased over the past four years. As noted in Council discussions, the funding available is not consistent with the level that would be recommended in the private sector, given the level of exposure. The fallback funding source is the general fund balance, and ultimately a separate property tax levy. The Administration is proposing to decrease general fund contribution towards this fund in the amount of \$100,000 (a 10% decrease), to bring the total amount funded to \$900,000 (compared to \$1.15 million funded in FY 2008). This is in addition to a one-time transfer of Governmental Immunity fund balance to the General Fund in the amount of \$500,000. *The Council may wish to ask the Administration for an analysis of the City’s exposure given these budget reductions, in terms of claims liability.*
16. Hispanic Chamber of Commerce (\$1,500) – In fiscal year 2003, the City joined the Hispanic Chamber of Commerce as a dues paying member. The appropriation is proposed to continue this year at \$1,500. It has remained at this amount since the City began paying dues.
17. Housing Authority Transitional Housing (\$2,500 increase) – The proposed budget includes a payment to the Housing Authority of \$127,500, which a \$2,500 increase over the amount appropriated in FY 2009. This is the amount that the Housing Authority paid the city in lieu of paying property taxes (PILOT). Federal regulations allow housing authorities to make payments from federal funds to cities in lieu of property taxes. The proposed budget includes a contribution of these funds back to the Housing Authority as a match for a federal grant used for maintaining existing transitional housing. The City has traditionally transferred the payment in lieu of taxes (PILOT) back to the Housing Authority.
18. Information Management Services Fund (\$50,988 decrease in transfer from general fund) – The City’s Information Management Services (IMS) Division maintains the City computer infrastructure. The General Fund’s portion of major systems is funded by a direct transfer from the General Fund. A \$5,549,148 transfer is proposed for FY 2010, which is a 0.9% decrease as compared to fiscal year 2009. City departments are charged for computer maintenance (set fee per computer), for discretionary computer support services not covered by the maintenance agreement, and for telephone services. Council staff will brief the Council on the IMS Fund in detail on June 2<sup>nd</sup>, providing the Council with information about IMS activities in the coming year.
19. Insurance & Risk Management Fund (\$226,268 increase) – The General Fund’s share of fire insurance and administrative costs for the Insurance & Risk Management Fund is increasing by \$226,268 or 11.9%, for a total amount of \$2,128,213. The increase is due to fees for property

insurance (a result of the reallocation of property insurance costs away from City enterprise funds). The Administration is also proposing to allocate \$500,000 of the fund balance of the Risk Management fund, to use as a one-time general fund revenue source. *Council staff will prepare a separate report on Insurance and Risk Management, to be briefed at the Council's May 26<sup>th</sup> work session.*

20. Legal Defenders (\$40,000 increase) – The City is required to provide legal counsel for indigent defendants where jail time is a possibility. The City contracts this responsibility to Salt Lake Legal Defenders (LDA) at a proposed cost of \$833,881 for fiscal year 2010. This is a 4.8% increase over FY 2009.

According to the Administration, the City is legally obligated to provide "adequate costs of defense for persons charged with a public offense who are determined by the court to be indigent under Title 77, Chapter 32". Further, the U.S. Supreme Court in *Alabama v. Shelton* requires appointment of counsel for any jailable offense.

Previous research conducted by the Administration has indicated that there are no legal requirements to give the requested increases. The LDA would determine based on their caseload if they are able to perform this service on behalf of the City. If they determine that they cannot perform with the contract price, the Administration would void the contract and have to find another vendor, which could lead to a higher price per case, and an increase in funding, than if the request is granted to the LDA's Office.

21. Local First (\$20,000 – one-time) – The Administration is proposing a one-time allocation of \$20,000 to support the Local First program. The Council also approved one-time funding for Local First in the same amount during the FY 2008 and FY 2009 budgets. Local first is a non-profit organization “dedicated to strengthening communities and local economies by promoting, preserving, and protecting local, independently owned businesses throughout Utah.” It was founded in 1995, and has a criteria that a business must be at least 51% locally owned to qualify for membership.
22. Local lobbyist (\$20,000) – The Administration is proposing to maintain the budget from FY 2009 for a local lobbyist. The Administration has augmented the City's contracted lobbying efforts with in-house legislative staff. The Administration has indicated that as special lobbying needs arise, funds may be sought for outside support on a case-by-case basis. *The Council may wish to evaluate this further. If funds are needed with short notice, they may not coincide with a scheduled budget amendment. The Council may also wish to confirm past practice, that the Council reviews all legislative tracking items.*
23. National League of Cities (\$11,535) – The Mayor's Recommended Budget recommends funding Salt Lake City's support of the National League of Cities and Towns, for a total amount of \$11,535. The dues are based on a formula, based on City population. Dues remain unchanged from FY 2009.
24. No More Homeless Pets – Feral Cat Initiative (\$20,000 – one-time) – The Mayor's proposed budget includes a one-time allocation of \$20,000 for No More Homeless Pets to continue the feral cat trap, spay, and neuter program. In FY 2009, the Council approved \$60,000 in one-time funds, to be paid \$20,000 per year over 3 years. However, during the mid-year budget adjustments in FY 2009, the Council recaptured the remaining \$40,000 as a cost-saving measure. Therefore an additional one-time allocation for FY 2010 is necessary.
25. Non-CDBG mailings (\$6,000) – The Mayor's Office mails community council newsletters and agendas to those residents registered with community councils. Community Development Block

Grant funding is available to offset the cost of mailings in CDBG eligible areas. Several years ago, the Council added \$6,000 for mailings in non-eligible areas.

26. Retirement payments (\$693,899) – The Mayor is proposing to budget \$693,899 of general fund monies in anticipation of employees retiring, which a reduction of \$306,101 (30.6%) from FY 2009. Before FY 2009, the City had funded retirements in the amount of \$750,000 per year. The Administration indicated that due to conditions in the stock market, they were not expecting the employee retirement rate to be higher than FY 2009. These funds are available to departments on a first-come, first-serve basis and are usually not adequate to cover 100% of the payments to retirees. Some departments cover retirees' cash payments by leaving positions vacant or by using savings within their department. Any unspent amount will be transferred to a separate account to accumulate for the expected increases in future years. *The Council may wish to ask the Administration the status of any current figures on expected employee retirement rates, and what affect this will have on the City's budget.*
27. Sales Tax Rebates (\$5,000 decrease) – The City is contractually obligated for sales tax rebates relating to incentives for two retail businesses – Fred Meyer (Smith's Marketplace) and Sutherlands on North Temple. The Mayor's proposed budget includes a decrease of \$5,000 for this purpose, bringing the total proposed budget for FY 2010 to \$165,000. This is due to the actual sales tax revenue experienced by one of these businesses, that has met the criteria for an increase in the rebate. The criteria are evaluated and confirmed by the City's Finance Division annually.
28. Salt Lake Area Chamber of Commerce(\$50,000) – The Administration requests \$50,000 for membership dues for FY 2010, which is the same amount that has been charged since FY 2008.
29. Salt Lake Council of Governments (COG) (\$23,190) – The Salt Lake Council of Governments includes Salt Lake County representatives and representatives from cities in the county. COG requested \$23,190, which is the same amount as has been requested since FY 2008. Salt Lake City's portion of the total budget for COG is 27.3%.
30. Salt Lake Valley Conference of Mayors (\$225) – During the mid 1990s, mayors in Salt Lake County began to meet together to discuss strategies to compel the County to eliminate double taxation and address other issues. Dues of \$175 began in 1997-98, and increased to \$225 a number of years ago. The request for \$225 is the same amount as was budgeted in FY 2009.
31. Salt Lake Solutions (\$52,000 – one-time) – The Council appropriated \$52,000 in one-time money in conjunction with the FY 2009 budget to support a contract for a consultant to develop the Salt Lake Solutions Program. The Administration is proposing to fund this contract again in FY 2010. *The Council may wish to ask for a periodic progress report from this program.*
32. Sister Cities (\$10,000) – Salt Lake City has established Sister City relationships with several cities in other countries. Since the adoption of Salt Lake City's first sister city, Matsumoto, Japan in 1958, Salt Lake City's program has expanded to include five additional sister cities and two friendship cities. The six sister cities include: Matsumoto, Japan (1958); Quezon City, Philippines (1960); Oruro, Bolivia (1977); Keelung, Taiwan (1979); Chernivtsi, Ukraine (1989); and Thurles Town, Ireland (2000). The two friendship cities include Yinchuan, P.R. China (2003) and Torino, Italy (2003). Friendship city relationships may be promoted to sister city status following a successful assessment period of several years. The goal of the Salt Lake Sister Cities Program is to promote peace and unite local and global communities through friendship, economic opportunities and cultural and educational exchanges. City elected officials sometimes attend functions hosted by local organizations in honor of the Sister City guests, present welcoming gifts to visiting dignitaries, arrange for tours of the City & County Building, etc. The Mayor's Recommended Budget proposes no increase to this budget of \$10,000. Prior to FY 2008, this budget had been \$7,000 (the Mayor and Council increased the appropriation).



33. Street lighting electrical power (\$125,000 increase) - The electricity budget for general street lighting, excluding special improvement lighting district electrical costs, is budgeted within Non-Departmental. The total costs City-wide for FY 2010 \$1,475,000 million, which is a 9.3% increase over FY 2009. *The Council may wish to ask the Administration if savings can be expected in future years with the implementation of projects funded with the recently-approved Federal Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grants.*
34. Street Lighting Special Assessment Fund (\$4,294 increase) - The City pays 25% of street lighting costs of special districts since the City would have provided some lighting within districts. The General Fund's total share of district costs is projected to be \$117,963 which is 3.8% more than budgeted in FY 2009.
35. Sugar House Park Authority (\$190,184) - The City and County share equally the costs of operating the Sugar House Park. The budget reflects the amount requested from the Sugar House Park Authority, and is flat from FY 2009.
36. Tax & Revenue Anticipation Notes (\$729,167; \$35,000 cost of issuance) - The proposed budget includes \$729,167 for interest on tax and revenue anticipation notes and \$35,000 for issuance costs. Both figures are unchanged from FY 2009. As is customary, each year the City Treasurer borrows funds to help support General Fund operations until property taxes are received. In FY 2008 the actual cost of issuance was \$25,853. *Additional information regarding this item can be provided upon request.*
37. Tracy Aviary (\$50,000 decrease) - The Administration is recommending a \$50,000 decrease in the City's contribution to the Tracy Aviary, bringing the total contribution to \$450,000. Prior to FY 2008, the City had budgeted \$250,000 per year to assist the Aviary with on-going expenses. The City has been providing a financial contribution to the Aviary for over 12 years, in addition to assisting in small capital projects.
38. Tuition aid program (\$85,000) - With prior approval, Salt Lake City reimburses employees 70% of tuition paid to an accredited institution for job related classes taken for credit on employees' own time up to a maximum of \$2,000 per employee per calendar year. The reimbursement percentage for career development classes is reduced to 50%. Employees must submit receipts and grades prior to reimbursement. The City reimburses only for grades of C or better. If an employee leaves City employment within one year of receiving payment for tuition, the tuition payment is withhold from the employee's last paycheck. The proposed budget is \$85,000, which is the same as last year's request.
39. U.S. Conference of Mayors (\$653 decrease) - The Adminsitration is proposing a \$653 decrease in the membership dues to the US Conference of Mayors (a 4.9% decrease). Total dues for FY 2010 will be \$12,609.
40. Utah League of Cities & Towns (\$117,869) - The Mayor's proposed budget recommends keeping Salt Lake City's support of the Utah League of Cities and Towns (ULCT) in the amount of \$117,869. There is no proposed increase over last fiscal year's allocation, as the ULCT did not request a dues increase. The dues are derived using a formula taking into account assessed value of properties and sales tax revenues. The ULCT has kept the dues amount flat despite the formula dictating a small increase in dues.
41. Washington DC consultant (\$60,000) - The Administration is proposing to continue to fund a Washington DC consultant, at the same level funded in FY 2009. In FY 2006, the Council made the appropriation contingent upon the Council's approval of the items to be lobbied.

## Attachment A

<i>CIP FY 2010 Project Recommendations</i>						
Project Description	District	CIP 10 Year Plan?	FY 2009-2010 Funding Request	Mayor's Proposed GF Amount	Mayor's Proposed Class "C" Amount	
<i>Debt Service Allocations</i>						
City & County Building Debt Service - GO Bond Series 2001 (mature 6/15/2011)			\$2,367,420	\$2,367,420		
Library GO Bond Debt Service - Series 1999 (mature 6/15/2019)			\$4,115,288	\$4,115,288		
Library GO Bond Debt Service - Series 2002 (mature 6/15/2019)			\$2,740,069	\$2,740,069		
Open Space GO Bond Debt Services - Series 2009A (mature 12/15/2018)			\$103,978	\$103,978		
Sales Tax - Series 2004* (mature 6/1/2015)			\$17,795	\$17,795		
Zoo/Aviary Debt Service GO Bond - Series 2004A (mature 6/15/2024)			\$866,788	\$866,788		
Sales Tax - Series 2005A* (mature 10/1/2020)			\$1,389,972	\$1,389,972		
Sales Tax - Series 2007 - TRAX Extension/Grant Tower (mature 10/1/2026)			\$402,955	\$402,955		
Sales Tax - Series 2009A - Pub Serv Maintenance Facility & New City Office Building (not full bond payment amount)			\$520,547	\$520,547		
Special Land Sales			\$1,641,672	\$1,641,672		
<b>Debt Service Total</b>			<b>\$14,166,484</b>	<b>\$14,166,484</b>		
<i>Non-Debt Service Allocations</i>						
1 Traffic Signal Upgrades - 900 E. 1300 So., 2000 E. 2700 So., 300 W. 1700 So., Main St. 1300 So., Main St. 1700 So.	5&7	Y	\$560,000	\$0	\$560,000	
2 Asphalt Street Overlay FY09/10 - Citywide	2&4	Y	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$1,400,000	
3 500 East Rehabilitation - 1300 to 2100 South	5&7	Y	\$650,000	\$0	\$550,000	
4 Concrete Streets Rehabilitation FY09/10 - Citywide	2	Y	\$200,000	\$0	\$190,000	
5 Local Street Reconstruction FY 09/10	2&4	Y	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$200,000	
6 Pedestrian Safety Devices - Citywide	All	Y	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$0	
7 Sidewalk Replacement SAA - FY 08/09 1500 East to 2100 East, 1300 South to 1700 South	5&6	Y	\$665,356	\$665,356	\$0	
8 ADA Ramps/Corner Repairs - Citywide	All	Y	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$0	
9 Sidewalk Rehabilitation/Concrete Sawing - Citywide	All	Y	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$0	
10 Local Street Reconstruction FY 09/10	2&4	Y	\$2,000,000	\$600,000	\$0	
11 Lighting Wire Replacements - 300 So., 5200 W. to 7000 W.; 150 So., 5200 W. to 5600 W.; 1100 So., 4800 W. to 5600 W.; other locations as funding permits	2	N	\$70,000	\$70,000	\$0	
12 C&C Building Fire Control Panel & Fire Suppression Head Replacement - 451 So. State	4	N	\$103,528	\$103,528	\$0	
13 Plaza 349 Fire Sprinkling System - 349 South 200 East	4	Y	\$490,000	\$0	\$0	
14 Sorenson Center Gymnasium Roof - 855 West California Ave.	2	N	\$54,450	\$54,450	\$0	
15 Bicycles Facilities Development - Citywide (includes bike/ped paths, facilities and restriping traffic lanes)	All	Y	\$100,000	\$450,000	\$0	
16 4th Avenue Stairway Rebuild - 4th Avenue, "A" Street to City Creek Rd.	3	Y	\$452,000	\$452,000	\$0	
17 Jordan River Trail Improvements - Redwood Road to Davis County	1	Y	\$650,000	\$650,000	\$0	
18 North Temple/Grand Boulevard Improvements - Downtown to Airport	1-4	N		\$2,884,653	\$0	
19 Percent for Art - Citywide	All	Y	\$60,000	\$80,000	\$0	
20 Cost Over-run Account			\$127,478	\$24,511	\$0	
Sub-total - Projects Prioritized high enough for funding				\$6,709,498	\$2,900,000	