#### SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT

#### BUDGET AMENDMENT #1 - FISCAL YEAR 2009-10

**DATE:** September 22, 2009 **SUBJECT:** Budget Amendment #1

**STAFF REPORT BY:** Sylvia Richards, Lehua Weaver, Karen Halladay and Jennifer Bruno

CC: David Everitt, Lyn Creswell, Gina Chamness, Gordon Hoskins, Frank

Gray, LuAnn Clark, Chief Chris Burbank, Chief Tom Shannon, Rick Graham, Shannon Ashby, Sherrie Collins, Susi Kontgis, and Kay

Christensen

Budget Amendment Number One contains 38 proposed adjustments, as suggested by the Administration. The Administration recommends using fund balance for 1 initiative for a decrease to fund balance of \$7,800. The other item impacting the General Fund is the encumbrance carryover of \$1,954,013, a Housekeeping item.

The Council requests a current-year revenue forecast with each budget amendment. The Administration has been asked to provide the information prior to the Tuesday briefing.

In an effort to make the review of the budget openings more expedient, the Administration has attempted to categorize budget opening items as follows:

- A. "New" those items that are new issues.
- B. "Grant requiring existing staff resources" -- those grants that will require the City's existing staff to complete a specific project. (Employees involved with these projects may have less time to focus on other projects within the scope of their work.)
- C. "Grant requiring additional staff resources" those grants that provide additional staff positions and require a City match. These generally have policy implications because they may add a new service or create an expectation that the City will fund the position after the grant has expired.
- D. "Housekeeping" -- those items classified by the Administration as strictly accounting actions that do not have policy implications.
- E. "Grants requiring No New Staff Resources" those grants that provide funding for costs that are not associated with positions.
- F. "Donation" -- those items that are donations that require Council appropriation to be used, are consistent with previous Council discussions, or do not have policy implications.

- G. "Council Consent Agenda Grant Awards" These items have been previously approved on the Council's Consent Agenda.
- H. "Follow-up on Previously Approved Items" those items that were approved in a previous budget amendment but require some additional adjustments.
- I. "Council Added" items which have been added by the City Council.

#### MATTERS AT ISSUE

## The Administration classified the following as: New Items:

#### A-1: Request to Update CIP Impact Fee Study (\$25,000 – Source: CIP Fund Impact Fees)

The Administration has requested an additional \$25,000 from Impact Fees (\$6,250 from each Impact Fee account – Police, Fire, Parks, and Streets) to revise and update the City's General Fund Impact Fee Study and 10 Year CIP Plan. This request would be added to the \$75,880.60 currently allocated, for a total project budget of \$100,880.60. The amount currently allocated is actually left over funds from the study conducted in FY 2005. The Administration has not previously recaptured these funds because they came from impact fees (and are thus limited in their use), and the Administration was aware at the time that periodic revisions to the 10 Year Plan are necessary.

- The Council may wish to discuss a small regular allocation to this project budget in the context of the overall CIP budget allocations, considering regular updates to both the 10 Year Plan and Impact Fee Study will be necessary (approximately \$20,000 per year would build up \$100,000 over a five year period. The Council could consider more if it desired more regular updates).
- It should be noted that Impact Fees can only be used for the 10 Year Plan update if the projects reviewed/changed are tied to an overall update of Impact Fees.

For a current balance of each impact fee account, please refer to the chart in item D-1.

## A-2: Regional Sports Complex Land Purchase (\$2,500,000 – Source: Surplus Land Account)

The Administration has requested \$2.5 million from the Surplus Land Account in order to be able to move forward in negotiations for the purchase of property adjacent to the land provided by the State for the Regional Sports Complex. It is not clear if the Administration will need all of these funds, and they have indicated that they would return the balance to the Surplus Land Account if all funds were not needed. The Administration has indicated that these properties are needed in order to complete Phase 2 of the overall development of the park. Phase 2 of the plan would cost an additional \$16 million over currently-identified funds. Funds for Phase 2 have not been identified as of yet.

- The Administration briefed the Council on the general status of the project at the September 8 work session. The Council may wish to request that the Administration brief their property purchase plans with the Council in further detail in an executive session.
- The current balance of the Surplus Land Account is approximately \$7.3 million. Assuming certain City land sales go through, the balance of the surplus land account after this allocation would be \$5.3 million.

#### A-3: Rose Park Golf Course Land Purchase (\$800,000 - Source: Surplus Land Account)

The Administration has requested \$800,000 from the surplus land account for the purchase of 2.64 acres adjacent to the Rose Park Golf Course driving range. The property fronts Redwood Road, and is surrounded on all sides by Golf Course property & driving range. The property is currently zoned R-1-7,000 (single family residential), but does not contain any buildings. It is the only property zoned R-1-7,000 on the East side of Redwood Road between 1000 and 1700 North. The Golf Division indicates that acquiring this property and incorporating it into the adjacent golf property will solve several operational issues. Most critical is the length of the driving range. Currently it is not considered a full-length driving range, but with the property acquisition this could be addressed. The Golf Division indicates that with a full length driving range, the course could become more marketable and therefore more financially sustainable. Key points the Council may wish to consider in relation to this request:

- According to the Administration, the Golf Fund does not currently have the resources
  to purchase this property nor will they have the resources in the future to reimburse
  the General Fund Surplus Land Account. This is effectively a request for a one-time
  subsidy from the General Fund to the Golf Fund. This represents a policy shift from
  the previous City practice of having the Golf Fund be self-sustaining.
- The Golf Fund has approximately \$20 million in deferred capital improvement projects beyond what operating revenues can pay for. This is a long-term issue that the Administration has briefed the Council on in the past. The Council may wish to ask for an update as to the Administration's plans regarding this situation.
- The appraised value of the land in 2008 was \$585,000. Frequently the Administration requests funds in excess of the exact purchase price in order to pay for required property due diligence. Still, the Council may wish to adopt an intent statement requesting any unused funds be reimbursed to the Surplus Land Account.
- The current balance of the Surplus Land Account is approximately \$7.3 million. Assuming certain City land sales go through, and assuming that the Council approves item A-2 above, the balance would be \$5.3 million.
- If the Council were to approve this item and the City were successful in purchasing the property, the Council would need to re-zone the property to Open Space in order to incorporate it into the adjacent golf properties.

#### A-4: Full Funding of the CIP Account after funding the Sugarhouse Streetcar Project (Source: \$63,075 - CIP Cost Overrun Account)

This request fills a gap in a FY 2010 CIP project, left by the funding of the Sugarhouse Streetcar consultant, from the CIP cost overrun account.

- In the summer of 2009, after the Council adopted the final budget, the Redevelopment Agency became aware of the possibility to fund the construction of the Sugarhouse Streetcar line with a federal TIGER grant. In order to fund the consultant to complete the application and environmental assessment, the RDA and City agreed to split the cost (\$63,075 each).
- This required the Administration to recommend shifting of CIP funds. The Administration recommended funding this request from the CIP cost overrun account during a budget amendment, and during the short term, in effect "delay" the Local Street Reconstruction line item (temporarily reduce the budget from \$600,000 to \$536,925).

• The Council has not yet approved the FY 2010 CIP allocations, and could choose to shift these funds from a different project. However, because the CIP budget and Budget Amendment are scheduled to be adopted so close together, it is likely that this will not delay any project in a significant way.

The current general fund CIP cost overrun accounts have a total balance of \$235,800.38. If the cost increase of \$63,075.00 in this item is approved, and the general fund completed CIP project funds are recaptured in Item D-2 the remaining balance of CIP cost overrun accounts will be \$221,671.93.

#### A-5: Public Safety Building Land Acquisition – Impact Fee Allocation (\$125,000 – Source: CIP Fund Impact Fees)

The Administration has requested an additional \$125,000 of Impact Fees to assist in land acquisition for the Public Safety Building. In Budget Amendment #3 of FY 09, the Council Awarded \$125,000 of Police Impact Fees for this purpose. This request would be from Fire Impact Fees. Should the bond fail, or the City decide to pursue a different property, all earnest money is refundable if the agreements are cancelled within a certain timeframe after the election. According to the City's Impact Fee Analysis, this project is eligible for up to 7.5% of Police and Fire Impact Fees. For a current balance of each impact fee account, please refer to the chart in item D-1.

#### A-6: Request for Mayor's Office Hourly Employee for Complete Count 2010 Census (\$7,800 – Source: fund balance of the General Fund)

The Mayor's Office has requested \$7,800 to fund one hourly employee 20 hours per week to assist with the 2010 Census efforts. The hourly employee would maintain minutes of all committee meetings, schedule meetings, reserve facilities, update the email database and website. Additionally, this individual would assist with the marketing campaign to educate city residents with regards to their participation in the Census, according to the Administration. The employee would begin working in October and continue through April 2010. The Administration indicates that if the Council prefers to allocate funds for a contract person instead of an hourly employee, the Administration would be agreeable.

## The Administration classified the following as: <u>Grants Requiring Existing Staff Resources</u>

## B-1: Continuation Grant from State of Utah Office of Crime Victims Reparations, Victim of Crime Act grant (\$40,280 – Source: Grant Fund)

The Police Department (PD) has received \$40,280 from the State of Utah, Office of Crime Victims Reparations, Victim of Crime Act (VOCA). These funds are used to fund the Mobile Response Team program, which provides on scene crisis counseling and resource services to victims of domestic violence. The grant funds will be used as follows:

- 1) Personnel Costs \$37,528 is used to fund two (2) part-time victim advocates that are scheduled to work 19.5 hours per week. These 0.50 FTEs are part-time employees who do not receive retirement and health benefits. The PD VOCA grant has funded the two part-time victim advocates since FY 2003.
- 2) <u>Training</u> \$1,252 will be used to pay registration fees and travel costs for the victim advocates to attend the Advanced Victim Assistance Academy and Crime Victims Conference.

3) <u>Victim Assistance Funds</u> - \$1,500 will be set aside and used as an emergency fund for assisting victims with immediate needs.

There is a required 25% match of \$10,086, which is satisfied by the General Fund salary and benefits costs of another advocate position.

This budget amendment establishes the revenue and expense appropriations for the grant.

# B-2: Continuation Grant from Office of National Drug Control – Rocky Mountain High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area (HIDTA) grant (\$100,000 – Source: Grant Fund) The Police Department received a \$100,000 continuation grant from the Office of National Drug Control. Funds will be used as follows:

\$ 20,000	The planning of 10 regional community outreach events
\$ 12,000	National conference registration for 6 individuals
\$ 10,000	Contract evaluation services
\$ 14,000	Printing and binding costs for best practice guidelines, training and educational
	materials
\$ 12,000	Postage for mailings
\$ 15,000	Posters distributed to businesses
\$ 17,000	Community flyers
\$100,000	Total

A match is not required.

## The Administration classified the following as: **Grants Requiring Additional Staff Resources**

## C-1: Continuation Grant – U.S. Dept. of Justice Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) Hiring Recovery Program (CHRP) (\$2,014,480 – Source: Grant Fund)

The Salt Lake City Police Department (SLCPD) received a Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) Hiring Recovery Program grant, which is part of the Federal government's Recovery Act. The amount awarded by the Department of Justice program is \$2,014,480. The grant funding is designed for primary law enforcement agencies to create and preserve jobs and increase community policing and crime prevention efforts.

These COPS Hiring Recovery Program funds must be used to hire or rehire full-time career law enforcement officers. The amount of the grant will allow the Salt Lake City Police Department to hire/rehire ten (10) community policing law enforcement officers for a three year period. These 10 officers plus an additional 15 officers were hired on July 13th of 2009. The grant funds will cover three years of salary and benefit costs for 10 of the 25 officers hired. Per the Administration, there are nine current sworn officer vacancies - authorized staffing level - in the SLCPD.

This grant requires that officers hired and funded with the grant must be retained for an additional twelve (12) months after the three (3) year grant period. Funding for the fourth year's salary and benefits costs for these officers has not been identified.

This budget amendment establishes the revenue and expense appropriations for the grant.

## The Administration classified the following as: Housekeeping

#### D-1: Recapture of Impact Fee Revenue (\$3,003,023 - Source: Interest Income)

Impact Fee funds earn interest along with General Fund dollars. Despite the fact that interest earnings have decreased significantly over the past few years, Impact Fee funds have continued to earn interest. The following chart shows a breakdown of interest earned in each account. This budget amendment would bring the budgetary amount in line with the actual cash amount:

Impact Fee	Current Budget	Interest Earned	Total New Budget
Accounts		(as of 6/30/09)	(pending Budget
			Amendment Approval)
Police	\$ 2,760,699.12	\$ 609,305.81	\$ 3,370,004.93
Fire	\$ 3,050,506.61	\$ 712,791.52	\$ 3,763,298.13
Parks	\$ 543,440.84	\$ 165,194.17	\$ 708,635.01
Streets	\$ 2,913,012.57	\$ 1,515,730.91	\$ 4,428,743.48
Total	\$ 9,267,659.14	\$ 3,003,022.41	\$12,270,681.55

During FY 2009 the City's pooled cash was earning interest at approximately 1%. The City Treasurer indicates that for FY 2010, the City's cash will not likely earn more in interest. Impact fees will also earn less for next year if this holds true.

#### D-2: Recapture of CIP Completed and Closed Projects (\$67,002 – Source: CDBG, Class "C" and CIP Fund)

Upon completion of Capital Improvement Projects (CIP), Class C, and Community Development Block Grant Capital Improvement Projects (CDBG CIP), remaining budgets are recaptured. CIP Funds are put into a contingency cost center for future cost overrun projects. This also reduces the budget of \$58,371 for a project to zero to reflect the actual amount of cash. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) requires that CDBG funds are recaptured, put into the project's contingency account, and then reallocated for the following year's CDBG projects.

The following table is a list of the accounts closed and the amount of the recapture:

Project	Amount
General Fund CIP Projects:	
Redwood Road Sidewalk	\$( 31,908.90)
1300 So. Viaduct	\$( 17.20)
Plaza 349 Energy	\$( 287.06)
Westside Sr. Center Energy Project	\$( 15,933.08)
Plaza 349 Employee Showers	\$( 800.31)
Total General Fund CIP to be recaptured	\$ 48,946.55
CDBG Projects:	
ADA Ramps	\$(9,468.97)
Sidewalk Replacement	\$( 646.43)

Total CDBG funds to be recaptured	\$ 10,115.40
Class "C" Projects:	
2100 S, 900 W to Redwood Rd	\$(7,532.31)
1300 So. Viaduct	\$( 407.72)
Total Class "C" funds to be recaptured	\$ 7,940.03
Budget Reduction – No Funds – 900 West to Redwood Rd	\$(58,371.80)

## D-3: Create Budget for Property Owners' Portion: Sidewalk Replacement Special Assessment Area (SAA) – 1500 to 2100 East, 1300 to 1700 South (\$1,050,000 – Source: Property Owners)

This Budget Amendment request would establish the Property Owners' portion of the budget (in order to accept funds) for this sidewalk replacement project. The City's portion of the budget for this project is currently under consideration by the Council for the FY 2010 CIP funding cycle (\$765,356), combined with approximately \$35,000 already spent by the City on this project. It is anticipated that the CIP FY 2010 projects will be approved on September  $22^{nd}$ , at which time the City's portion of the project will be known. At the September 8th Council Meeting, the Council set the date of November 5th, 2009 for the protest hearing. If the Council elects not to move forward with this project at that time, the Council could reduce both the City's portion of the budget and property owner portion at the time of the next budget amendment. Approving this budget amendment request does not determine if the project moves forward.

#### D-4: Request to Expand Scope: Jordan River Parkway Trail Security Lighting Project (No Budget Impact.)

This request revises the scope of a previously approved CDBG project. During the FY 2009 CDBG process, \$150,000 was awarded for Jordan River Parkway Trail Security Lighting from Cottonwood Park (300 North) to Redwood Road (400 North). A general fund CIP allocation of \$289,000 was allocated during the FY 2008 CIP process for a scope that was broad enough to include lighting. The general fund allocation has been bid, and lighting can now be completed to 1000 North. Engineering is therefore requesting a revised CDBG scope to expand area on the Jordan River Trail from 1000 North to the Rose Park Golf Course Bridge (1400 North).

#### D-5: Carryover - General Fund Encumbrance (\$1,954,013)

In order to limit spending to appropriation amounts, the City's accounting system charges purchase orders and contracts to the budget year in which the goods or services are ordered. If the goods or services are not received until the following fiscal year, the Council has routinely carried the appropriations over to the following year so that the same expenditures are not charged once to the prior year budget and once again to the new fiscal year budget. Please refer to the Administration's paperwork for a list of encumbrance amounts by department.

## D-6: Recapture of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Federal Program Budgets (\$141,262)

The Administration is requesting to recapture \$141,262 of funding from the remaining budgets of 24 U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) programs/projects. The funding will be used for future programming. The amounts include the following:

\$ 68,726	11 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) programs
\$ 1,750	2 Emergency Services Grant (ESG) programs
\$ 61,957	8 Housing Opportunities for People with Aids (HOPWA) program
\$ 8,829	3 HOME programs
\$141,262	Total

## D-7: Encumbrance Carryover: Operations and Environmental and Energy Refuse Fund (\$28,608 – Refuse Fund)

On June 30, 2009, unexpended budgets in the Refuse Fund lapsed to its fund balance in accordance with State law. However, some money was already encumbered for certain purchases, and the Administration is requesting that the Council bring forward or "carryover" those encumbrances. Of the request, \$25,570 will be carried over for items within the Environmental & Energy Fund. The Fund will have a fund balance once money from the landfill dividend payments is received. The remaining \$3,038 will be carried over for items within the Operations Fund.

#### D-8: Donation Fund Carryover (\$1,617,397 - Donation Fund)

On June 30, 2009, unexpended budgets lapse in accordance with State law. The Administration is requesting that the Council bring forward or "carryover" the balances for the existing donations so that the funds can be used for the intended purpose.

There are four donation accounts with balances greater than \$100,000 to be carried over. These include: The Fire Training Center Donation (\$101,906); Tree Replacement Tornado 1999 (\$131,954); Glendale Community Center Plaza (\$202,973); and the Rainy Day Gallivan Donations (\$528,750). The Council may wish to ask the Administration how they intend to address the Tree Replacement Tornado account given the time since the project was completed.

#### D-9: Grant and Other Special Revenue Carryover (\$15,770,475 – Grant and Special Revenue Fund)

State Statute requires that Special Revenue Funds and Enterprise Funds budgets lapse at the end of each fiscal year. At the end of Fiscal Year 2009 the following special revenue and enterprise funds budgets existed:

- \$ 1,940,161.89 Housing Funds (Enterprise Fund)
- \$ 1,585,687.82 CDBG Operating (Special Revenue Fund)
- \$ 1,114,191.55 Other Special Revenues (Special Revenue Fund)
- \$11,130,433.86 Miscellaneous Grants (Special Revenue Fund)

Budgets are established upon receipt of the grant and are held open until terms of the grant have been satisfied. If needed, grant extensions are requested when upcoming deadlines will not be met. According to the Administration, these extension requests are typically granted.

This request is to approve the budget carryover of \$15,770,475 for Special Revenue and Enterprise Funds in order to complete grant and other projects.

#### The Administration classified the following as: Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources

#### E-1: Continuation Grant – U.S. Dept. of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Big Cottonwood Tanner Ditch Water Conservation and Fireflow Project (\$300,000 – Source: Grant Fund)

The Council may recall that earlier this year in February, a resolution was reviewed and adopted in support of a Public Utilities grant application. The grant would be awarded from the US Bureau of Reclamation for \$300,000 and would be used toward the already scheduled second phase of the Big Cottonwood Tanner Ditch Water Conservation and Fireflow project.

Public Utilities has received the grant funds and intends to proceed with Phase 2 of the project to upgrade the water system which will allow retirement of open canals in the Big Cottonwood Tanner Irrigation system. The remainder of the funds – an additional \$1,160,767 – is already included in the Public Utilities Department budget for the coming years.

# E-2: Continuation Grant – U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program (HPRP) grant (\$1,680,347 – Source: Grant Fund)

The Council may recall that in August 2009, the Council adopted an appropriation resolution for homelessness prevention and rapid re-housing program funds, and a resolution approving an interlocal cooperation agreement between Salt Lake City and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). This budget amendment request will establish the budget to match what was allocated when the Council adopted the resolution. Funds will target individuals and families who are currently in housing but are at risk of becoming homeless because they need temporary rent or utility assistance. The funds will also be used for families and individuals who are homeless and need temporary assistance with housing.

According to the Division, HUD is requiring that agreements be signed with providers by September 30 and that the programs be running in October. The Division seeks budget approval to expend the funds as the City has received the appropriate approvals from HUD to proceed, and the Division can move forward. There is no match requirement.

## E-3: Continuation Grant – Dept. of Justice, FY09 Recovery Act Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program grant (\$4,050,814 – Grant Fund)

The Salt Lake City Police Department (SLCPD) was awarded an Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) from the Department of Justice Fiscal Year 2009 Recovery Act program. The amount of the four (4) year grant is \$4,050,814. The SLCPD will retain \$1,610,150. (See details below.) The remainder of the funds will go to several local police departments, including the following: 1) Salt Lake County Sheriff's Office - \$678,627, 2) West Valley City - \$595,576, 3) South Salt Lake - \$219,226, 4) West Jordan City - \$205,010, 5) Murray City - \$185,556, 6) Sandy City - \$187,801, 7) Taylorsville City - \$181,815, 8) Midvale City - \$118,966, 9) Draper City - \$32,921, and South Jordan City -

\$35,166. The purpose of the grant is to provide operational support and services to valley-wide jurisdictions in the eligible areas of law enforcement, crime prevention, and drug courts.

The SLCPD grant funds of \$1,610,150 will be used as follows:

Item	Amount	Additional Information
Sworn Personnel Training	\$40,000	Departmental training
Civilian Personnel Training	\$20,000	Departmental training
Community Policing Overtime	\$100,000	Provides an additional 2,222 hours for increased level of service to the community.
Contract Component - Restorative Justice Project with Salt Lake County Criminal Justice	\$50,000	
Contract Component – Salt Lake Peer Court	\$15,000	
Crime Prevention/Mobile Watch Supplies	\$10,000	
235 – 12-month Aircards	\$140,000	Per the Administration, the aircards have advantages over modems used in laptops, including the ability to access web-based resources while in the field.
200 – E-ticketing and traffic accident reporting software and hardware	\$1,235,15 0	Per the Administration, a supplier or RFP has not been identified for an e-ticketing system. This system would replace the current paper-based system. Does the Council wish to inquire about the Administration's plans to fully integrate this system with other City departments, including Parking Enforcement, Accounts Receivable, Treasurer's Office, Collections, IMS, etc?

#### E-4: U.S. Dept. of Energy (DOE) Recovery Act-Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grants (EECBG) grants (\$2,116,500 - Grant Fund)

The Council may recall that during May the Administration briefed the Council on a list of proposed projects to be funded with the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant. This was a newly funded federal program administered by the Department of Energy. Based on the list of projects approved by the Council, the Administration submitted an application and has been notified that the City could expect to receive \$2,116,500 in grant funds. The DOE final approval of the projects has not happened yet, however this item would create the budget in anticipation of receiving the funds. The feedback the Administration has received indicates that the projects and funding may likely be approved as outlined below, and occur in the coming months.

Consistent with what was discussed with the Council in May, the funds will be spent as follows:

Item Description	Amount	Match Information
Consultants (*see below for more	\$263,202	

information)		
LED Traffic Signals, Street Lights, Holiday Lights - purchases & install	\$564,575	Labor from City and Downtown Alliance
Develop & Produce Revolving Loan Fund	\$479,372	City match of \$250,000, plus coordination with Utility companies and rebates
Traffic Signal Management	\$213,435	
Bicycle Transit Center Construction	\$274,647	UTA match of \$235,000
Sustainability Code rewrite	\$172,052	City match of \$100,000 in staffing
Bicycle Paths along South Temple	\$111,472	City match of \$10,000 in staffing
Solar Hot Water System at Station 8	\$37,745	City match of \$20,000 in energy efficiency upgrades, plus Utility company rebates of \$25,680

**Total Projects: \$2,116,500** 

Please refer to the Administration's packet for an updated copy of the chart discussed by the Council in May, which provides additional information on the benefits, savings, and potential leveraging opportunities for each item.

\*The consultants funding will be used for three separate consultants each with different expertise. According to the Administration, the consultants will be tasked with:

- Completing a community-wide carbon inventory and energy baseline, and developing reduction goals
- Verifying, registering, and reporting the City's internal carbon inventory and energy baseline
- Developing a long-term energy strategy and a City Sustainability Plan
- Energy efficiency and renewable energy outreach, education, and policy development by Utah Clean Energy.
- Developing a website resource for community energy efficiency and sustainability

# E-5: Continuation Grant – Utah Dept. of Public Safety, Division of Homeland Security: 2008 Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP) grant (\$190,184 – Grant Fund) The Salt Lake City Police Department (SLCPD) received a Utah Department of Public Safety, Homeland Security Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP) grant for \$190,184. The awarded funds are to be used to purchase equipment and training that will assist the Police Department in protecting critical infrastructure and key local facilities that FEMA and the Department of Homeland Security have identified as potential targets in the event of a terrorist or weapons of mass destruction attack. The SLCPD works closely with these private enterprise facilities in an effort to address and correct security plan vulnerabilities.

The following equipment will be purchased with the grant funds:

Items	Amount
10 - Video Cameras	\$83,184
1- Installation of Video Cameras	\$4,000

1 – Hardware, Computer Server, and Installations	\$36,000
3 – Video Display Units	\$13,500
1 – Video Feed Management System	\$15,000
1 – Target Motion Cueing System	\$10,000
1- Portable Radio	\$2,500
1 - Radio Base	\$2,000
1 – Video Camera	\$8,000
1 – Vehicle Borne Mast for Video Camera	\$7,000
1 – Deep Search Metal Detector	\$9,000
Total	\$190,184

This budget amendment establishes the revenue and expense appropriations for the grant.

## The Administration classified the following as: **Donations**

None

#### The Administration classified the following as:

## <u>Council Consent Agenda – Grant Awards already approved by Council action</u>

#### G-1: National Trust for Historic Preservation – Fisher Mansion grant (\$4,038 – Source: Grant Fund)

This item was previously funded by the grant holding account. The City received \$4,038 in grant funding from the National Trust for Historic Preservation as a match to the \$10,000 donated by Zions Bank for the Fisher Mansion. The funds will be used to hire a consultant to complete an historic structures report which will assist the historic rehabilitation of the primary dwelling and carriage house. The report will describe current conditions, any damage and/or structural issues, deterioration and an analysis of mechanical units. In addition, the report will provide a cost estimate to bring the structures up to code. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

#### G-2: State of Utah, Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice (CCJJ) grant (\$3,810 - Source: Grant Fund)

The Mayor's Office received a \$3,810 Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) from the State of Utah, Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice. The grant funds were used to send a multiagency team to the Comprehensive Gang Model Training in March of 2009. \*This item was previously funded by the grant holding account in July of 2009. The travel and training

occurred in March of 2009. Given the relatively small dollar amount of the transaction, the Administration allowed the expenditures to occur prior to the proper budget appropriation.

This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

#### G-3: Utah State Division of Forestry, Fire & State Lands – Pervious Pavement Grant (\$5,000 – Source: Grant Fund)

The City's Urban Forestry Division received a \$5,000 grant from Utah State Division of Forestry, Fire & State Lands. The grant provided funding for a pervious pavement demonstration project. The project included repaving a parking lot at Wasatch Touring, which is located at 702 East 100 South. The properties of pervious pavement are different than regular concrete. This collaborative project allowed those participating to combine their experiences and resources to study how the pervious pavement allows water and air to pass through the porous nature of the concrete thereby reducing ground level ozone pollution, reducing the need for deicing products, reducing the amount of heat generated in summer, and reducing the need for landscape irrigation. Pervious pavement has been used successfully in cold weather climates, including projects in Park City and at the University of Utah.

Several entities participated and shared in cost of the project. They include Psomas, Jack B. Parson's Companies, Bunyan Industries, Morgan Excavating, and William Flower of the University of Utah Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering. The grant funds will reimburse \$1,000 to each of the above mentioned participants.

\*This item was previously funded by the grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

#### G-4: State of Utah, Dept. of Workforce Services Youth Connections Grant: Fairmont Park (\$30,500 - Source: Grant Fund)

Note: The following information regarding the Child Care Development Discretionary Fund grant applies to "G" items 4, 5, 7 & 8.

The Public Services Division of YouthCity received several grants from the Utah State Department of Work Force Services, Youth Connections Grants, under the Child Care Development Discretionary Fund (CCDF) program. The CCDF is the largest federal funding source for child care. The funding allocation received by states is based on formula. States receiving CCDF funds have flexibility in designing programs that 1) provide child care subsidies for low-income children under the age of 13 and 2) enhance the quality and availability of child care for all children. According to the CCDF information, at least 4% is required by federal law to be spent on activities that improve the quality and availability of child care. The purpose of the grants awarded to YouthCity is to create, expand, and support out-of-school time programs for at-risk elementary school-age youth; those younger than thirteen (13) years old.

There is a possibility that this grant is year one of a three year grant. In addition, there is a 100% matching requirement that is satisfied with Public Services General Fund operating costs, including the YouthCity manager's salary and benefits, facility and program costs.

The following table identifies how grant funds will be utilized at each YouthCity location:

	Fairmont Park	Central City	Ottinger Hall	Liberty Park
Amount of Grant	\$30,500	\$30,500	\$30,500	\$30,500
Salary and Benefits of Site Coordinator	\$22,509	\$29,000 Includes 5 seasonal positions	\$24,403 Includes 3 seasonal positions	\$27,723 Includes 5 seasonal positions
Office Needs: Phone, printing, copying, computers, supplies	\$2,794		\$3,097	\$1,277
Snacks	\$3,090	\$1,500	\$3,000	\$1,500
Participation fees for Youth	\$2,107			

<sup>\*&</sup>quot;G" Items 4, 5, 7 & 8 was previously funded by the grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

## G-5: State of Utah, Dept. of Workforce Services Youth Connections Grant: Central City (\$30,500 – Source: Grant Fund)

#### Note: See item G-4 for detailed information regarding this grant budget amendment.

\*This item was previously funded by the grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

#### G-6: Continuation grant: Utah State University, Interagency Outreach Training Initiative (IOTI) grant (\$25,000 – Source: Grant Fund)

Note: See item G-4 for detailed information regarding this grant budget amendment.

\*This item was previously funded by the grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

## G-7: State of Utah, Dept. of Workforce Services Youth Connections Grant: Ottinger Hall (\$30,500 – Source: Grant Fund)

Note: See item G-4 for detailed information regarding this grant budget amendment.

\*This item was previously funded by the grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

#### G-8: State of Utah, Dept. of Workforce Services Youth Connections Grant: Liberty Park (\$30,500 - Source: Grant Fund)

#### Note: See item G-4 for detailed information regarding this grant budget amendment.

\*This item was previously funded by the grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

#### G-9: State of Utah, Dept. of Human Services, Crisis Intervention Team (CIT) Grant (\$75,000 - Source: Grant Fund)

\*This item was previously funded by the grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

This funding will be used to support Crisis Intervention Team functions throughout the State. Specifically, funding will be used toward CIT academies, certification classes and other program administrative costs.

#### G-10: State of Utah, Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice (CCJJ), State Asset Forfeiture Grant (\$20,000 – Source: Grant Fund)

\*This item was previously funded by the grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

This \$20,000 grant award will be used by the Police Department to support various narcotics operations, including some audio equipment for evidence collection; attendance at a California Narcotics Officer's Association conference; and narcotics buy money also for evidence gathering purposes. The money used for staged buys will be used in larger operations to lead toward prosecution of more serious drug crimes.

# G-11: Executive Office of the President, Office of Nat'l. Drug Control – Rocky Mountain High Intensity Drug Trafficking Agency, (HIDTA) Grant (\$63,450 – Source: Grant Fund)

The Salt Lake City Police Department received a Rocky Mountain High Intensity Drug Trafficking Agency (HIDTA) grant of \$63,450 from the Executive Office of the President, Office of National Drug Control. Money from this grant funds the salary and benefits for two (2) Investigative Law Enforcement Officers who are assigned to the Metro Narcotics/Drug Enforcement Task Force. The SLCPD receives this grant annually and uses the funds to address drug issues throughout the Salt Lake Valley.

\*This item was previously funded by the grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

## G-12: Art Works for Kids Grant - YouthCity Artways Grant (\$5,000 - Source: Grant Fund)

The Salt Lake City Foundation, administered by the City's Finance Director, awarded a \$5,000 grant to YouthCity Artways to provide visual art Imagination Celebration workshops for Salt Lake City School District (SLCSD) teachers, students, and students' families.

\*This item was previously funded by the grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

#### G-13: Utah Dept. of Public Safety – 2009 Emergency Mgmt. Performance Grant (EMPG) Grant (\$11,791 – Source: Grant Fund)

The Emergency Management Services Division received a Utah Department of Public Safety, Division of Homeland Security grant of \$11,791. These types of grants are awarded annually to assist jurisdictions with their emergency preparedness plans and plan revisions. Many costs are incurred for conducting emergency exercises and producing training materials for community emergency preparedness education and CERT programs.

\*This item was previously funded by the grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

## G-14: State of Utah, Dept. of Health – Mayor's Coalition on Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs Grant (\$10,440 – Source: Grant Fund)

This item was previously funded by the grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account. The Mayor's Coalition on Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs received \$10,440 of grant funding from the State of Utah, Department of Health. The Coalition will work with the following agencies: Salt Lake Valley Health Department, the Coalition of Tobacco Free Utah, Utah Dept. of Health's Tobacco Prevention & Control Program and the Environmental Epidemiology Sanitation Program. According to the budget documents, these entities will work together on a smoke-free policy campaign "aimed at assisting existing multiple dwelling units within the Rose Park and Glendale areas to adopt smoke-free policies and develop planning policies or ordinances that will protect public health". In addition, one of the grant objectives is "to conduct educational trainings and meetings with City Council and other city policy makers".

The Council may wish to note that part of the grant funding will be used to develop planning policies or ordinances that will protect the public health.

## G-15: State of Utah, Dept. of Environmental Quality – Jordan River Stream Restore Grant (\$577,500 – Source: Grant Fund)

This item was previously funded by the grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account. The Management Services Sustainability Division received a 100% principal forgiveness loan of \$577,500 under the State of Utah Division of Water Quality, American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. The funds are targeted to restore and enhance 502 feet of bank along the Jordan River at the following sites:

- 1) west bank at 561 So. 1100 W.
- 2) south bank at 1175 So. 1085 W.
- 3) southwest bank at 1552 So. 1200 W.
- 4) north bank at 2050 So. 1240 W.

In order to meet the funding requirements, the construction contract has to be awarded or

be under construction by November 15, 2009. The City will contract with Salt Lake County for construction.

## The Administration classified the following as: Cost Overruns

**NONE** 

The Administration classified the following as: Follow-up on Previously Approved Items

**NONE** 

#### Council Added Items

## I-1: Request for Funding: Census 2010 Complete Count Program (\$15,000 – Source: fund balance of the City's General Fund)

Council Member Christensen requests Council support in allocating \$15,000 from the fund balance of the City's General Fund to the Non-departmental budget for the Census 2010 Complete Count Program. Council Member Christensen has asked the Administration to help identify criteria as to how the funding will be best used, for example, requiring matching funding or in-kind donations, etc.

If approved, the monies will fund Census 2010 outreach efforts, including flyers, advertisement, etc. County personnel have indicated there are funds available to public entities (\$2,500 per application) from the Denver Office of the Census 2010. The Council may wish to ask the Administration's Census Committee to assist with coordinating applications for this funding to maximize the amount received and to best utilize the resources which are available.

Initiative Name:
Census 2010 Complete Count Program
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #I-1
Council Added
Initiative Discussion:
Council Member Christensen requests Council support in allocating \$15,000 from the fund balance of the City's General Fund to the Non-departmental budget for the Census 2010 Complete Count Program. Council Member Christensen has asked the Administration to help identify criteria as to how the funding will be best used, for example, requiring matching funding or in-kind donations, etc.
If approved, the monies will fund Census 2010 outreach efforts, including flyers, advertisement, etc. County personnel have indicated there are funds available to public entities (\$2,500 per application) from the Denver Office of the Census 2010. The Council may wish to ask the Administration's Census Committee to assist with coordinating applications for this funding to maximize the amount received and to best utilize the resources which are available.

	Census :	2010 Complete Count Prog	ram_
		Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #I-1			2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Council			Council Added
Department			Type of Initiative
Sylvia Richards	理查		535-7656
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	\$	(15,000.00)	
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u>
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	A CONTRACTOR	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			100000
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		1	
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact:		THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE	2. 10 man 4. 20 man 2. 20 man 2
Requested Number of			0
FTE's:			
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicab	ole: NA
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
E		
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Jost Genter Humber	Object Code Number	Amount
09- New Cost Center	2328	\$15,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		NA.
Stant funds employee positions?		NA NA
s there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	NA
f grant is funding a position is it e		
be eliminated at the end of the gra	unt f	NA NA
Vill grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	NA NA
Vill grant impact the community of	once the grant funds are	
liminated?		NA
oes grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or	
oco grant auphoate services pro	vided by private of	

## Item E-2

## SALT LAKE CITY RAPID RE-HOUSING PROGRAM FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS

_		RAPID RE-HOUSING	HPRP	RECC	MMENDATIONS	
	ODGANIZATION	DESCRIPTION	REQUEST	CDCIP	MAYOR	COUNCIL
	ORGANIZATION The Road Home 210 South Rio Grande	Funds will be used for rental assistance, deposit assistance, case management, data collection and administrative costs.	820,000	820,000	820,000	820,000
	Rapid Re-Housing Program					
		Financial Assistance: 594,000				
		Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services: 171,000				
		Data collection and Evaluation: 35,000				
		Administration Costs: 20,000				00.470
2	SLC HAND	Funds will be used to administer the HPRP grant and for	20,000	20,173	20,173	20,173
	Administration & Training	training expenses.				
		TOTAL REQUESTED AMOUNT GRANT AMOUNT	840,000 840,173	840,173	840,173	840,173 840,173
		DIFFERENCE	173	0	0	

## Item E-2

## SALT LAKE CITY HOMELESSNESS PREVENTION PROGRAM FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS

		HOMELESSNESS PREVENTION	HPRP	RECO	MMENDATIONS	
#	ORGANIZATION	DESCRIPTION	REQUEST	CDCIP	MAYOR	COUNCIL
_	Catholic Community Services	Funds will be used to provide rental assistance, help with	136,651	О	0	0
	437 West 200 South	salary costs for increased capacity building through expanding				
		case management, and data collection.				
	St. Vincent de Paul Emergency Services					
		Financial Assistance: 100,000				
		Housing Stabilization and Relocation Services: 35,000				
		Data Collection and Evaluation: 1,651				
2	Salt Lake Community Action Program	Funds will be used for financial assistance, housing relocation and	837,922	820,000	820,000	820,000
	764 South 200 West	stabilization assistance, case management, data collection and				
	(	administrative costs.				
	Prevention Program					
		Financial Assistance: 558,000				
	×	Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services: 206,000				
		Data Collection and Evaluation: 49,797				
		Administration Costs: 24,125				
3	Family Promise - Salt Lake	Funds will be used for rental assistance, utility payments, fees and	36,200	0	0	O
	814 West 800 South	other barriers to housing retention, case management, data				
		collection and administrative costs.				
	Housing Retention Program					
		Financial Assistance: 25,500				
		Housing Stabilization & Relocation Services: 8,000				
		Data Collection and Evaluation: 1,000				
		Administration Costs: 1,700				00.474
4	SLC HAND	Funds will be used to administer the HPRP grant and for	20,000	20,174	20,174	20,174
	Administration & and Training	subgrantee HPRP training expenses.				
			4 020 770	040 474	840,174	840,174
		TOTAL REQUESTED AMOUNT			0 %	
		GRANT AMOUNT		1000	900	040,172
		DIFFERENCE	-190,599	<u> </u>		1

#### SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT

#### BUDGET AMENDMENT #1 - FISCAL YEAR 2009-10

**DATE:** September 22, 2009 **SUBJECT:** Budget Amendment #1

**STAFF REPORT BY:** Jennifer Bruno

**CC:** David Everitt, Lyn Creswell, Gina Chamness, Gordon Hoskins, Frank

Gray, LuAnn Clark, Chief Chris Burbank, Chief Tom Shannon, Rick Graham, Shannon Ashby, Sherrie Collins, Susi Kontgis, and Kay

Christensen

This item is a technical step required to complete a revenue item adopted by the Council in conjunction with the FY 2010 annual budget.

The Council adopted a \$1.7 million one-time revenue line-item from the Municipal Building Authority. However, no step was undertaken as the Municipal Building Authority (governing body is the Council), to transfer money out.

In this Budget Amendment, the Council will convene as the Municipal Building Authority, and adopt a budget amendment transferring \$1.7 million out of the Municipal Building Authority fund.

#### **DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT SERVICES** Finance DIVISION

#### CITY COUNCIL TRANSMITTAL

David Everitt, Chief of Staff

Date Received:

Date sent to Council:

TO:

Salt Lake City Council

Carlton Christensen, Chair

**DATE:** August 27, 2009

FROM:

Gordon Hoskins

Deputy Director/Finance Director

Administrative Services

**SUBJECT:** Budget Opening #1 for Fiscal Year 2009-10

**STAFF CONTACT:** Gordon Hoskins

535-6394

**DOCUMENT TYPE:** Budget Amendment Ordinance

**RECOMMENDATION:** That the City Council set a public hearing date to discuss the

budget amendment #1 for Fiscal Year 2009-10.

BUDGET IMPACT: General Fund \$1,964,813.00

Other Funds \$28,973,375.25 CIP Fund \$7,783,099.39

#### BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION:

The budget opening is separated in eight different categories:

- A. New Budget Items
- B. Grants for Existing Staff Resources
- C. Grants for New Staff Resources
- D. Housekeeping Items
- E. Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
- F. Donations
- G. Council Consent Agenda Grant Awards
- I. Council Added Items

There are 6 new items with 1 of them that have an impact on the general fund. This item will give the Mayor's Office an hourly employee for six months for the 2010 census coordination. The total fund balance decrease for new items is \$7,800.00. There is 2 items that will establish a budget in the CIP Surplus Land Account for purchase of land at the Rose Park Golf Course. The other will establish a budget the CIP Surplus Land Account for the land purchase on the Regional Sport Complex.

In housekeeping there are 4 items dealing with primarily corrections in the CIP with recapture, project increases and property owner's portion of special assessment districts. There are 9 items primarily dealing with carryover of budgets and encumbrances from the prior fiscal year. The General Fund encumbrance carryover is \$1,954,013 that will impact the fund balance.

There are 8 items with new grants that need an appropriation. Several of these grants have federal stimulus funding.

There are 15 items with grants that were funded from the grants reserve account. These budgets will replenish the reserve account.

PUBLIC PROCESS: Public Hearing

#### SALT LAKE CITY ORDINANCE

No. of 2009

(Amending the Final Budget of Salt Lake City, including the employment staffing document, for Fiscal Year 2009-2010)

An Ordinance Amending Salt Lake City Ordinance No. 17 of 2009 Which Adopted the Final Budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, for the Fiscal Year Beginning July 1, 2009 and Ending June 30, 2010.

#### PREAMBLE

On June 16, 2009, the Salt Lake City Council adopted the final budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, including the employment staffing document, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009 and ending June 30, 2010, in accordance with the requirements of Section 118, Chapter 6, Title 10 of the Utah Code Annotated, and said budget, including the employment staffing document, was approved by the Mayor of Salt Lake City, Utah.

The City's Policy and Budget Director, acting as the City's Budget Officer, prepared and filed with the City Recorder proposed amendments to said duly adopted budget, including the amendments to the employment staffing document necessary to effectuate the staffing changes specifically stated herein, copies of which are attached hereto, for consideration by the City Council and inspection by the public.

All conditions precedent to amend said budget, including the employment staffing document as provided above, have been accomplished.

Be it ordained by the City Council of Salt Lake City, Utah:

SECTION 1. <u>Purpose</u>. The purpose of this Ordinance is to amend the final budget of Salt Lake City, including the employment staffing document, as approved, ratified and finalized by Salt Lake City Ordinance No.17 of 2009.

SECTION 2. Adoption of Amendments. The budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document necessary to effectuate the staffing changes specifically stated herein, attached hereto and made a part of this Ordinance shall be, and the same hereby are adopted and incorporated into the budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, including the amendments to the employment staffing document described above, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009 and ending June 30, 2010, in accordance with the requirements of Section 128, Chapter 6, Title 10, of the Utah Code Annotated.

SECTION 3. <u>Certification to Utah State Auditor</u>. The City's Policy and Budget Director, acting as the City's Budget Officer, is authorized and directed to certify and file a copy of said budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document, with the Utah State Auditor.

SECTION 4. Filing of copies of the Budget Amendments. The said Budget

Officer is authorized and directed to certify and file a copy of said budget amendments,
including amendments to the employment staffing document, in the office of said Budget

Officer and in the office of the City Recorder which amendments shall be available for public inspection.

SECTION 5. <u>Effective Date</u>. This Ordinance shall take effect on its first publication.

Passed by the City Council of Salt	Lake City, Uta	ah, this day of
, 2009.		
	CHAIRPEI	RSON
ATTEST:	¥	E
¥		
CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER		
Transmitted to the Mayor on		(6)
Transmitted to the Mayor on		
Mayor's Action: Approved	Vetoed	TI.
	i .	
	MAYOR	1 2 12
ATTEST:		<u>e</u>
CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER		= 2
		APPROVED AS TO FORM Salt Lake City Attorney's Office Date & - Z & - 09
(SEAL)		By Shin 71. Im
Bill No of 2009.		
Published:		

#### Fiscal Year 2010 Budget Amendment #1 – September

#	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
	Section A	New Items					
1.	<b>CIP Impact Fee Update</b>	\$25,000.00					
2.	Regional Sport Complex Land purchase	\$2,500,000.00					
3.	Rose Park Golf Course land purchase	\$800,000.00					
4.	Full Funding of CIP After Sugarhouse Street Car project	\$63,075.00					
5.	Public Safety Building Land Purchase – Impact Fees	\$125,000.00					
6.	2010 Census Hourly Position	\$7,800.00			\$7,800.00		-\$7,800.00
	Section B	Grants For Exist	ing Staff Resource	es			
1.	St of Utah Office of Crime Victim Reparations Grant	\$40,279.65					
2.	Executive Office of President Rocky Mtn HIDTA Grant	\$100,000.00					

52 #	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
	Section C	<b>Grants For New</b>	Staff Resources				
1.	US Dept of Justice COPS Hiring Recovery	\$2,014,480.00					
	Section D	Housekeeping					
1.	<b>Impact Fee Revenues</b>	\$3,003,022.41					
2.	Recapture CIP Completed and Closed Projects	\$67,001.98					
3.	Property Owners Sidewalk Replacement SAA District	\$1,050,000.00					
4.	Jordan River Trail Security Lighting	\$150,000.00					
5.	General Fund Encumbrance Carryover	\$1,954,013.00			\$1,954,013.00		-\$1,954,013.00
6.	Recapture Remaining HUD Program Budget	\$141,262.21					
7.	<b>Energy Refuse Fund Encumbrance Carryover</b>	\$28,608.00					
8.	Donation Fund Carryover	\$1,617,396.77					
9.	Grants and Other Spec Rev Carryover	\$15,770,475.12					
	Section E	Grants Requiring	g No New Staff Re	esources			

53 #	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
1.	US Dept of Interior Reclamation of Big Cottonwood Tanner Ditch Water Project	\$300,000.00					
2.	US Dept of Housing HUD Homeless Prevention Program Grant	\$1,680,347.00					
3.	US Dept of Justice Recovery JAG Grant	\$4,050,814.00					
4.	US Dept of Energy DOE Recovery Conservation Grant	\$2,116,500.00					
5.	Utah Dept of Pub Safety Homeland Security Buffer Zone Grant	\$190,184.00					
	Section F	Donations					

	Section G	Council Consent Agenda - Grant Awards
1.	<b>National Trust for</b>	<b>\$4,037.50</b>
	<b>Historic Preservation –</b>	
	Fisher Mansion	
2.	St of Ut Comm on	\$3,810.00
	Criminal & Juvenile	
	Justice	
3.	<b>Utah State Div Forestry</b>	\$5,000.00
	Fire & Land Pervious	
	Pavement	

54 #	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
		Amount	(II Dillerent)			rositive	Negative
4.	St of Ut Dept of	\$30,500.00					
	Workforce Serv Youth	φεο,εοοίοο					
	Grant - Fairmont						
5.	St of Ut Dept of	\$30,500.00					
	Workforce Serv Youth	\$20,200.00					
	Grant – Central City						
6.	Utah State Univ	\$25,000.00					
	<b>Outreach Training Grant</b>	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
7.	St of Ut Dept of	\$30,500.00					
	Workforce Serv Youth	. ,					
	Grant – Ottinger Hall						
8.	St of Ut Dept of	\$30,500.00					
	Workforce Serv Youth	,					
	<b>Grant – Liberty Park</b>						
9.	St of Ut Dept of Human	\$75,000.00					
	<b>Serv Crisis Intervention</b>						
	Grant						
10.	St of Ut Comm on	\$20,000.00					
	Criminal & Juvenile						
	<b>Justice Asset forfeiture</b>						
	Grant						
11.	<b>Executive Off of</b>	\$63,450.00					
	President Rocky Mtn						
	HIDTA Grant						
<b>12.</b>	Art Works for Kids	\$5,000.00					
	Youth City Artways						
	Grant						
13.	Ut Dept of Pub Safety	\$11,791.00					
	<b>Emerg Mgmt Grant</b>						

55 #	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
14.	St of Ut Dept of Health Mayor's Coalition on Alcohol Grant	\$10,440.00					
15.	St of Ut Dept of Environmental Quality Jordan River Restore	\$577,500.00					
	Section I	<b>Council Added Ite</b>	ems				

Initiative Name:
10 Year CIP Plan and Impact Fee Update
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #A-1
New Item
Initiative Discussion:
In FY 04/05, CED hired a consultant to revise and update the General Fund Impact Fee Study and 10 Year CIP Plan. The 10 Year CIP Plan needs to be updated again to reflect changes to the City's goals and direction and the current construction costs of those changes. These revisions to the plan will also change the Impact Fee schedule.
This request will increase the current project balance of \$75,880.60 allocated for the FY04/05 update to \$100,880.60, an increase of \$25,000. The \$25,000 will come from the City's Police, Fire, Parks and Streets Impact Fee's collected.
An RFP for the Plan revision will be completed and a consultant selected.

4.0	Vear CIP Plan and Impact For	
<u>10</u>	Year CIP Plan and Impact Fee Update	
	Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #A-1	mitative maine	2009-10
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Management Services		New Item
Department		Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark / Sherrie Collins		535-6129 / 535-6150
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None	
Impact	1	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u>
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund	ΨΟ	Ψ0
Enterprise Fana		
Other Fund	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
_		
Total	\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		C
Position Title:		

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA	
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
Evnenditure			
Expenditure:	Oking On In N	A	
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
84-84001 Police	2590	\$ (6,250.00)	
84-84002 Fire		\$ (6,250.00)	
84-84003 Parks		\$ (6,250.00)	
84-84005 Streets		\$ (6,250.00)	
		\$ (25,000.00)	
84-05006	2324	\$ 25,000.00	
Additional Accounting Details:			
Additional Accounting Details.			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?		NA	
-			
Is there a potential for grant to cor	ntinue?	NA	
If grant is funding a position is it e	expected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the gra		NA	
be commuted at the end of the gra	III.	IVA .	
Will arout are green by a constant of	grant founding time from 2	NI A	
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	NA	
Will grant impact the community o	once the grant funds are		
eliminated?		NA	
Does grant dunlicate services pro	vided by private or		
Does grant duplicate services pro Non-profit sector?	vided by private or	NA	

Initiative Name:	
	Regional Sport Complex Land Purchase
Initiative Number:	
	BA#1 Initiative #A-2
Initiativa Tuna	
Initiative Type:	
	New Item

## Initiative Discussion:

Proposition #5 of the 2003 Bond Initiative calls for the development of a City owned sports complex that would include athletic fields, support structures and amenities to support soccer, baseball, softball, football rugby and other athletic fields sports. The City plans to construct the Complex on property currently owned and managed as public recreation space by the State Division of Parks and Recreation. Under an existing Memorandum of Understanding the State is willing to deed title to 150 acres of property adjacent to the Jordan River and I-215 between 2300 North and the Davis County line. The City and the State plan to close the property transfer by the end of August 2009 under a Cooperative Agreement. The Agreement places a not-to-exceed value of the property at \$500,000. The Agreement allows the City to offset the value of the property through in-kind improvements that are a part of the complex and that benefit the State OHV facility located to the north of the Complex. The City will have a five (5) year period to compensate the State for the value of the property. If in-kind contributions made by the City do not add up to \$500,000 at the end of the five year term, the

Adjacent to the State property are three (3) privately owned parcels of land that the City is interested in acquiring. The acquisition of these parcels will enlarge the footprint of the site and allow the City to build a larger more viable complex of fields. Additionally, the parcels will strengthen the economic position of the project. The three parcels have an estimated cost of \$2.3 million. The City has one parcel under a purchase contract with a closing no later than December 2009. The other two parcels are subject to availability by a willing seller, appraisal and negotiation.

At the current time the Administration has identified \$2.5 million in the Surplus Land Account that can be used for the Sports Complex. The purpose of this budget amendment is to authorize the future expenditure of Surplus Land Account funds for the purchase of property if and when it comes available.

	Regional Sport Complex Land	
	Purchase	
	Initiative Name	
BA#1 Initiative #A-2		2009-10
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Public Services		New Item
Department		Type of Initiative
Greg Davis		535-6123
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		
Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u>
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund	<b>—</b>	¥3
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund	Ψ	Ψ,
Total	\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:	0	0
Position Title:	none	

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
OOST GENTER HUMBER	Object Gode Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
	-	
83-81100	2710	\$ 2,500,000
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details.		
The fund has \$5.4M in cash		
Grant Information:		NOT APPLICABLE
Grant funds employee positions?		(Yes or No)
s there a potential for grant to cont	inue?	(Yes or No)
If grant is funding a position is it ex	pected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gran		(Yes or No)
MSII amant maanan ka aasaa la	want from alin or time of the second	(V N - )
Will grant program be complete in g	rant runding time frame?	(Yes or No)
Will grant impact the community on	ce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		(Yes or No)
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	W NO
Non-profit sector?		(Yes or NO)

Initiative Name:	
	Rose Park Golf Course Land Purchase
Initiative Number:	
	BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #A-3
Initiative Type:	
	New Item
Initiative Discussion:	

The Golf Course Enterprise Fund has approximately \$20.0 million in deferred capital improvement projects that cannot be funded from operational revenues. One project, critical to the financial success of the course, is the Rose Park driving range. This driving range is undersized, requires the use of restricted-flight golf balls, and for years has been a poor neighbor to private property owners west of the range fence.

Last year five (5) residential building lots fronting Redwood Road and whose property lines share common borders with the Rose Park driving range and the Jordan River Golf Course, were leveled by the owner and the land put on the market for sale. The City Golf Manager and the City's Property Division have had an on-off-and-on again discussion with the owner. Purchasing this 2.64 acre parcel would greatly benefit the golf course and solve several operational problems.

The City Property Division had an appraisal completed. The property was appraised at \$585,000 in late 2008. The property owner disputed the appraisal by the City and is now completing his own appraisal.

The Golf Fund does not have reserves available to purchase the property. The Administration has identified \$800,000 in the Surplus Land Account for the acquisition of this property.

The Golf Division must move relatively quickly on this project. The property owner has an appetite to develop or sell the property. The purpose of this amendment is to authorize the funds so that the City has the financial tool and flexibility to negotiate a deal.

	Rose Park Golf Course Land	
	Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #A-3		<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Public Services Dept.		New Item
Department		Type of Initiative
Greg Davis		<u>801-535-6123</u>
Prepared By	(Nogotivo)	Telephone Contact  Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	(Negative)	Positive
Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
revenue impact by rana.	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund	impaot /imoant	Impaot / imoant
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	Φ0	Φ.
Other Fund	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
	<u> </u>	
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:	0	0
Position Title:	none	

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	:
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
OOST GENEET HUMBER	Object Gode Number	Amount
Expenditure:  Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Soci Sonioi Number		, mount
83-81000	2710	\$ 800,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		NOT APPLICABLE
Grant funds employee positions?		(Yes or No)
ls there a potential for grant to cont	inue?	(Yes or No)
If grant is funding a position is it ex be eliminated at the end of the gran		(Voc. 0- No.)
be eminimated at the end of the gran	Lf	(Yes or No)
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	(Yes or No)
Will grant impact the community on eliminated?	ce the grant funds are	(Voc or No)
emmiateu :		(Yes or No)
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	
Non-profit sector?		(Yes or NO)

Initiative Name:
Full Funding of the CIP After Sugarhouse Street Car Project
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #A-4
New Item
Initiative Discussion:
During the 09/10 CIP Process, the Mayor and Council delayed funding a portion of a project in order to allocate \$63,075 of CIP to the Sugar House Streetcar project.
This request will appropriate the \$63,075 from the CIP cost over-run account and will bring the CIP funding for fiscal year 2010 to the full amount.

	Full Funding of the CIP After	
	Sugarhouse Street Car Project	
	Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #A-4		<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
<u>CED/HAND</u>		New Item
Department <b>LuAnn Clark / Sherrie Collins</b>		Type of Initiative 535-6129 / 535-6150
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
гтератей Бу	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None	1 OSILIVE
Impact	110.10	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u>
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund	·	•
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund	\$0	ψ0
Other Fullu		
Total	\$0	\$0
		-
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		C
Position Title:		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
83-09099	2700	\$ (63,075.00)
83-Delayed Project	2700	\$ 63,075.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
radicional recoduming Detaile.		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
orani rando employos posicions:		IVA
ls there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	NA
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
If grant is funding a position is it		
be eliminated at the end of the gra		NA
Will grant program be complete ir	grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Dana mand hard of		
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

## Initiative Name: Public Safety Building Land Acquisition - Impact Fee Allocation Initiative Number: BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #A-5 New Item Initiative Discussion:

The Property Management/Capital Asset Management Department is requesting an additional \$125,000 from the Fire Impact Fee fund for expenses related to land acquisition for the proposed Public Safety Building (PSB). The PSB is included in the City's 10 Year CIP Plan and Impact Fees have been identified as an eligible use for this purpose.

During budget amendment #3 of FY-08/09 the Council awarded \$125,000 of Police Impact Fee funds for expenses related to land acquisition for the proposed PSB. At that time, Property Management was pursuing negotiations with four (4) property owners and requested funds to be used as earnest monies and for additional expenses related to the negotiations. Property Management has negotiated an agreement with one (1) property owner and is in the process of negotiating agreements for additional properties. The City has agreed to pay additional earnest monies at the end of January to hold the properties until the Bond funds can be obtained and finalization of the acquisition is complete. This request will pay the additional earnest monies and additional expenses needed to purchase the properties. Should the Bond fail, all earnest money is refundable if the agreements are cancelled within a certain time frame after the Bond election.

This request is to establish budget in the amount of \$125,000 to facilitate the agreements between the City and the property owners. These expenses are Impact Fee eligible.

Public	Safety Building Land Acquisitio	<u>n</u>
	- Impact Fee Allocation	
	Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #A-5		<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Property Management		New Item
Department		Type of Initiative
John Spencer / Sherrie Collins		<u>535-6398 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By	<b>A</b> 1 (1)	Telephone Contact
Company Francis Company	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		
Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>Fiscal Year</u> Impact Amount	<u>Annual</u> Impact Amount
General Fund	impact Amount	impact Amount
Ocherari una		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
	•	
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Total	ΨΟ	Ψ0
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		0
1		
Position Title:		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	
84-84002	2700	\$ (125,000.00)
84-New Cost Center	2700	\$ 125,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Ţ.		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
ls there a potential for grant to cont	inue?	NA
f grant is funding a position is it ex		
be eliminated at the end of the gran	11	NA
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	NA
vin grant program be complete in g	rant runumy une traine?	INA .
Will grant impact the community on	ce the grant funds are	
eliminated?	grant rando di o	NA
		1001
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA
. p		• • • •

Initiative Name:
Mayor's Office - 2010 Census - Hourly Employee
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #A-6
New Item
Initiative Discussion:
The Administration is requesting to hire a 2010 Census hourly employee for 20 hours per week at \$15.00 per hour plus FICA for a period of six months at a total cost of \$7,800. This position would be responsible for coordinating information with the City Census Co-Chairs as well as with the federal partnership specialist for the Denver Regional Census Center. In addition, the position would work with assigned City staff and designated sub-committee chairs for the Census 2010 Complete Count Committee. Duties would include record keeping of the minutes of all meetings, scheduling meetings and facilities, updating the e-mail data base and website for Census information sent and received from the Complete Count Committee, and assisting the collaborative media campaign. This position would begin October 2009 and continue until April 2010.
The Administration requests that the \$7,800 come from the general fund, fund balance.

<u>Ma</u>	ayor's Off	ice - 2010 Census - Hourly	<u> </u>
		<u>Employee</u>	
		Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #A-6			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Mayor's Office			New Item
Department			Type of Initiative
David Everitt / Sherrie Collins			535-7704 / 535-6150
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	\$	(7,800.00)	
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
Company Franci		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		ΦΟ	Φ
Litterprise i unu			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
rotal		ΨΟ	ΨΟ
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			0
Position Title:			
Hourly employee	\$	7,800.00	
\$15.00 per hr x 20 hrs. x 24			
wks plus FICA			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue:	Grant # and GPDA # II Applicable:	IVA
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
		7.111.0
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	
08-00100	2324	\$ 7,800.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to conti	nue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it ex	pacted the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the grant	2	NA
20 5matod at the ond of the grant		NA NA
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	NA
<u> </u>	5	
Will grant impact the community on	ce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

## **Initiative Name:** State of Utah, Office of Crime Victim Reparations - PD VOCA Grant **Initiative Number:** BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #B-1 **Grant for Existing Staff Resources** Initiative Discussion: The Police Department applied for and received \$40,279.65 from the State of Utah, Office of Crime Victims Reparations, Victim of Crime Act (VOCA), for continuation of the Mobile Response Team program. These funds will be used to pay salaries and benefits for 1040 hours of two (2) victim advocate positions who provide on scene crisis counseling and resource services to victims of domestic violence. The PD receives this grant on an annual basis. Of these funds, \$37,527.65 is allocated for twelve (12) months of salary and benefits of the two victim advocate positions, \$1,252 will be used to pay registration fees for victim advocates to attend the Advanced Victim Assistance Academy and Crime Victims Conference, hotel and per diem and \$1,500 will be used as emergency funds to assist victims with immediate needs. A 25% or \$10,085.56 match is required which will be satisfied by payment of salary and benefits of another advocate position. These funds are budgeted for within the Police Departments general fund budget. A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

5	State of Ut	ah, Office of Crime Victim	
	Reparat	tions - PD VOCA Grant	
		Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #B-1			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
			Grant for Existing Staff
SLCPD - HAND			Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Wendy Isom / Sherrie Collins			<u>799-3810/ 535-6150</u>
Prepared By		(1) (1) )	Telephone Contact
Occasional Francis Francis Bolomos		(Negative) None	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact  Revenue Impact By Funds		Ficaal Vaar	Annual
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u> Impact Amount
General Fund		Impact Amount	impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$
Internal Service Fund		49	<u> </u>
Total		\$0	\$(
Enterprise Fund		¥-	-
Total		\$0	\$(
Other Fund			
72	\$	40,279.65	
Total	\$	40,279.65	\$
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			
Position Title:			
2 Light Advances Panitings		<b>#24.000.00</b>	
2 Hrly Advocate Positions x 1040 hrs x \$16.76 per hr.		\$34,860.80	
Benefits		2,666.85	
Dononto		2,000.03	
Total		\$37,527.65	
		Ţ01,0 <b>2</b> 1100	

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	09-VOCA -44
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72 New Cost Center	1370	\$ 40,279.65
	10.0	 ,
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-New Cost Center	2162	\$ 34,860.80
72 Now Cook Conton	219110	\$ 2,666.85
	2525	\$ 1,252.00
	2590	\$ 1,500.00
		.,
		\$ 40,279.65
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details.		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		Yes
Is there a potential for grant to cont	inue?	Yes
If grant is funding a position is it ex		
be eliminated at the end of the gran	t?	Yes
Will grant program be complete in g	grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community on	ce the grant funds are	
eliminated?	•	Yes
Door grant duplicate carriess areas	ded by private or	
Does grant duplicate services provi Non-profit sector?	ueu by private or	No

nitiative Name:
Exe Office of the President, Office of National Drug Control - Rocky Mountain High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area (HIDTA) Grant
nitiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #B-2
Grants for Existing Staff Resources
nitiative Discussion:
The Police Department applied for and received a \$100,000 Rocky Mountain HIDTA grant from the executive Office of the President, Office of National Drug Control. These funds will be used for the president of th
Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the grant and additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

Exe Office of the President, Office of  National Drug Control - Rocky Mountain  High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area  (HIDTA) Grant			
		Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #B-2			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
			Grants for Existing Staff
SLCPD - HAND			Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Wendy Isom / Sherrie Collins			<u>799-3810/ 535-6150</u>
Prepared By		(Nogotivo)	Telephone Contact  Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		(Negative) None	Positive
Impact		MOHE	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
Revenue Impact By Fund.		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72	\$	100,000.00	
Total	\$	100,000.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	(	9-VOCA -44
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72-New Cost Center	1360	\$	100,000.00
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
72- New Cost Center	2590	\$	100,000.00
Additional Assessation Detailer			
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?			No
Is there a potential for grant to conti	nue?		Yes
If grant is funding a position is it exp be eliminated at the end of the grant'			No
Will grant program be complete in gr			Yes
			162
Will grant impact the community onc	e the grant funds are		
eliminated?			Yes
Does grant duplicate services provid	led by private or		
Non-profit sector?			No

## **Initiative Name:** US Dept of Justice, Comm Oriented Policing Services (COPS), COPS Hiring Recovery Program (CHRP) **Initiative Number:** BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #C-1 **Grants For New Staff Resources** Initiative Discussion: The SLCPD applied for and received a \$2,014,480 Recovery Act grant under the Department

of Justice, COPS, CHRP. It is designed to provide funding directly to law enforcement agencies having primary law enforcement authority to create and preserve jobs and to increase their community policing capacity and crime-prevention efforts. The CHRP funds must be used to hire or rehire full-time career law enforcement officers.

The \$2,014,480 will provide for the salary and benefits costs of hiring or rehiring (10) community policing law enforcement officers, for a three year period. Of this amount, \$1,180,830 will be expensed for salary, which equates to approximately \$18.55 per hour the first year, with an additional 2.0% increase the following 2 years. The remainder of \$833,650, will be expensed for the benefits of the 10 Officers for the full three years.

The grant does not require a match, however, the Officers hired must be retained for a 12 month period at the end of the three year grant period.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept Department of Justice, COPS related grants and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

	_	Department of Justice, Community	
		iented Policing Services (COPS),	
	COP	S Hiring Recovery Program (CHRP)	
DA #4 5\/0040   10 41 #0 4		Initiative Name	2000 40
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #C-1	ſ		<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
CLC Police Department			Grants For New Staff Resources
SLC Police Department  Department			Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins			799-3265 / 535-6150
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact	l		
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u>
		Impact Amount	<b>Impact Amount</b>
General Fund			
Tatal		<b>C</b> O	Φ.
Total Internal Service Fund		\$0	\$(
internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$(
Enterprise Fund		40	Ψ.
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72		\$ 2,014,480.00	
Takal		0.044.400.00	Φ.
Total		\$ 2,014,480.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			(
requested rumber of 112 o.			·
Position Title:			
10 Entry Level Full Time Police			
Officers			
Salary for 3 yr. Period		\$1,180,830.00	
Benefits for 3 yr. Period		833,650.00	
		\$2.04.4.400.00	
		\$2,014,480.00	

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	2009RJWX0084 - 16.710
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72	1360	\$ 2,014,480.00
Expenditure:  Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72	2100	\$1,180,830.00
72	2100	833,650.00
		\$2,014,480.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		Yes
Is there a potential for grant to cont	inue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it ex be eliminated at the end of the gran		No
Will grant program be complete in o	grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community on	ce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

Initiative Name:
Impact Fee Revenue
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #D-1
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:
During the last fiscal year, the City has collected Impact Fees and accrued interest income on the Impact Fee Revenue totaling \$3,003,022.41. This action will increase the budgets of the Impact Fee revenue accounts to match the actual cash as of June 30, 2009.

		. = =	
	<u>Impa</u>	act Fee Revenue Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #D-1		miliative Name	2008-09
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
CED			Housekeeping
Department			Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark/ Sherrie Collins			535-6136 / 535-6150
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u>
	In	npact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		ΨΟ	ψυ
internal oct vice i una			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		Ψ0	Ψ5
84	\$	3,003,022.41	
		0,000,022.11	
Total	\$	3,003,022.41	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			0
Position Title:			

Association Detail	Cropt # and CEDA # If Anni-
Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number
Cost Center Number	Object Gode Number
Expenditure:	<u> </u>
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number
0.1.0.100.1 D. II	
84-84001 Police	2700
84-84002 Fire	2700 2700
84-84003 Parks 84-84005 Streets	2700
04-04003 Streets	2700
Additional Accounting Details:	
Grant Information:	
Grant funds employee positions?	
Is there a potential for grant to contin	iue?
If grant is funding a position is it expo	
be eliminated at the end of the grant?	1
Will grant program be complete in gra	ant funding time frame?
viii grant program be complete in gra	ant fulluling time frame?
Will grant impact the community once	e the grant funds are
eliminated?	grant tand all
Does grant duplicate services provide	ed by private or
Non-profit sector?	ca by private or
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	NA
An	nount
An	nount
\$	609,305.81
\$ \$	712,791.52
\$	165,194.17
\$	1,515,730.91
\$	3,003,022.41
	NA
	NA
	NA
	NA
·	
	NA
	NI A
	NA

Initiative #D-1-b

Initiative Name:
Recapture CIP Completed and Closed Projects
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #D-2
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:
This request decreases the remaining budgets of nine (9) completed and closed general fund, CDBG and Class "C" completed and closed CIP projects totaling \$67,001.98, and increases the cost over rur accounts of the respective programs for future reprogramming. It also reduces the budget of \$58,371.80 in an account with no cash.
Of these projects, five (5) are general fund CIP projects totaling \$48,946.55; two (2) are CDBG CIP projects totaling \$10,115.40; and two (2) are Class "C" CIP projects totaling \$7,940.03. In addition one (1) project is included in this paperwork to reduce the budget only. There is no cash or funds to recapture in this project.

	Recaptu	ure General Fund, Commun	ity
		ment Block Grant (CDBG) a	
	Class "C	C" CIP Completed and Clos	sed_
		<u>Projects</u>	
		Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #D-2			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
CED - HAND			<u>Housekeeping</u>
Department			Type of Initiative
<b>LuAnn Clark / Sherrie Collins</b>			<u>535-6136/ 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	•	None	
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u>
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		ФО	ФО
Total Internal Service Fund		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
		\$0	Φ0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		ΨΟ	Ψ.
Other rand			
Total		\$0	\$0
		<del>-</del>	•
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			0
•			
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
General Fund CIP		
83-06049 Redwood Road Sidewalk	2700	\$ (31,908.90)
83-07055 1300 So. Viaduct	2700	\$ (17.20)
83-08040 Plaza 349 Energy	2700	\$ (287.06)
83-08042 Westside Sr. Center Energy	2700	\$ (15,933.08)
83-09045 Plaza 349 Employee Shower	rs 2700	\$ (800.31)
83-08099 GF CIP Cost Overrun	2700	\$ 48,946.55
<b>Community Development Block Gra</b>	nt	
83-08054 ADA Ramps	2700	\$ (9,468.97)
83-08057 Sidewalk Replacement	2700	\$ (646.43)
83-08098 CDBG Cost Overrun	2700	\$ 10,115.40
Class "C"		
83-01040 2100 So., -900 W. to Redwo	od 2700	\$ (7,532.31)
83-06035 1300 So. Viaduct	2700	\$ (407.72)
83-04097	2700	\$ 7,940.03
Reduce Budgets Only - No Funds		
83-04072 2100 So., 900 W. to Redwoo	d 2700	\$ (58,371.80)
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		N. A
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Is there a potential for grant to conti	nue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it exp	pected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the grant	?	NA
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community one	ce the grant funds are	
eliminated?	_	NA
Door grant dunlingto comings and	lad by private or	
Does grant duplicate services provide Non-profit sector?	ieu by private or	NA

Initiative Name:
Property Owners Sidewalk Replacement Special Assessment Area (SAA), 1500 to 2100  East, 1300 to 1700 South
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #D-3
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:
During the FY 09/10 CIP process of time sensitive projects, the Council awarded \$765,356 for a Sidewalk Replacement SAA at 1500 to 2100 East, 1300 to 1700 South. These funds were awarded to design, construct and create the SAA for sidewalk improvements in the proposed area as funding permits.
The City's portion of the budget was established during the Council's consideration of time sensitive CIP projects. The \$1,050,000 of budget for the property owners portion of the SAA was identified but not budgeted for at that time. The creation of this budget will allow the special assessment area process to begin and will provide a mechanism to accept the property owners funds.

	Pr	operty Owners Sidewalk	
		ment Special Assessment	Area
		500 to 2100 East, 1300 to 1	
		<u>South</u>	
		Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #D-3			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
CED - HAND			<u>Housekeeping</u>
Department			Type of Initiative
<b>LuAnn Clark / Sherrie Collins</b>			<u>535-6136/ 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance	-	None	
Impact		<b>-</b> :	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u>
General Fund		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		Ψ	40
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
		•	
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund	Φ.	4.050.000.00	
83-	\$	1,050,000.00	
Total	\$	1,050,000.00	\$0
Total	Ψ	1,030,000.00	Ψ.
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			
Position Title:			
	1 1		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		NA
Revenue:  Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
83- Property Owners Cost Center	1125	\$	1,050,000.00
Expenditure:			A
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number 2700	Φ.	Amount 1,050,000.00
83- Property Owners Cost Center	2700	\$	1,050,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?			NA
Is there a potential for grant to cont	inue?		NA
If grant is funding a position is it ex			
be eliminated at the end of the gran	t?		NA
Will grant program be complete in g	grant funding time frame?		NA
Will grant impact the community or	nce the grant funds are		
eliminated?			NA
Does grant duplicate services provi	ided by private or		
Non-profit sector?			NA

Initiative Name:
Jordan River Parkway Trail Security Lighting Scope Revision
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #D-4
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:
During the FY 08/09 CDBG Process, \$150,000 was awarded for Jordan River Park Security Lighting Cottonwood Park (300 North) to Redwood Road (State Agricultural Building - 400 North). A general fund CIP of \$289,000 was allocated during the FY 07/08 CIP Process for the same purpose.  The general fund portion of the CIP has been bid and security lighting can be completed to 1000 North. Engineering is requesting to revise the CDBG project description to expand the scope of services from 1000 North to Rose Park Golf Course Bridge (1400 North). This scope revision would allow for continued security lighting along the trail to the bridge.  No additional funding is required. This is a project scope revision only.

,	Jordan River Parkway Trail Security	
	Lighting Scope Revision	
	Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #D-4		<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
CED - HAND		<u>Housekeeping</u>
Department		Type of Initiative
<u>LuAnn Clark / Sherrie Collins</u>		<u>535-6136/ 535-6150</u>
Prepared By	(No mating)	Telephone Contact
General Fund - Fund Balance-	(Negative) None	Positive
Impact	None	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	Annual
Revenue impact by Funu.	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund	impact Amount	impact Amount
Octional Fana		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund	φυ	φυ
83-		
00-		
Total	\$ -	\$0
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		0
Position Title:		
-		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amazint
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Additional Accounting Details:		
00 00004 Pariant and a harman	L.	
83-09061 - Project scope change on	lly.	
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions?		NA
Grant runus employee positions:		IVA
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it e	expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gra		NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community of	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

Initiative Name:
General Fund Encumbrance Carryover
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #D-5
House Keeping
Initiative Discussion:
General Fund departments comparison to budget includes encumbrances that are outstanding at fiscal year end. Historically, the Council has appropriated fund balance to provide a means to "hold harmless" the General Fund departments' prior year encumbrances Without Council action, the General Fund departments' Fiscal 2008 appropriation will be forced to fund encumbrances outstanding at fiscal year end. It is recommended that the Council approve the budget for the outstanding encumbrances in the General Fund.

<u> </u>	eneral Fund Encumbrance Carryover	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #D-5	Initiative Name	2009-10
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Administrative Services		House Keeping
Department		Type of Initiative
Elwin Heilmann		535-6424
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
. ,	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	\$ (1,954,013.00)	
Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Annual</u>
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund	0	0
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund	ΨΟ	ΨΟ
mornar convice i ana		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
-		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Total	\$0	Ψ
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		0
1		
Position Title:		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue:	,,,	
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Administrative Services	various	\$ 173,563.00
Attorney	various	\$ 1,821.00
Community & Econ. Development	various	\$ 588,649.00
Council	various	\$ 103,895.00
Fire	various	\$ 16,657.00
Mayor	various	\$ 20,709.00
Non Departmental Police	various various	\$ 423,101.00 \$ 27,584.00
Public Services	various	\$ 598,034.00
r ublic Services	Various	390,034.00
		\$ 1,954,013.00
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Additional Accounting Details:		
All Cost center and object code details	s are in Administrative Services	
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
orani raniao empreyos poemeno:		
ls there a potential for grant to cont	inue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it ex	pected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gran		NA
Will grant program be complete in g	grant funding time frame?	NA
	_	
	•	
Will grant impact the community or	ice the grant funds are	
Will grant impact the community or eliminated?	nce the grant funds are	NA
	nce the grant funds are	NA
		NA

Initiative Name:
Recapture Remaining HUD Program Budgets
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative # D-6
Housekeeping
Initiative Discussion:
This request decreases the remaining budgets of twenty five (25) completed and/or closed US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) projects including CDBG, ESG, HOME and HOPWA totaling \$141,262.21, and increases the cost over run accounts of the respective programs for future reprogramming as per HUD Federal guidelines.
It also reduces the remaining budgets (NO CASH) of five (5) closed projects totaling \$291,068.41.

Reca	apture Remaining HUD Program	<u>1</u>
	<u>Budgets</u>	
DA #4 57/0040 L '#' # # D 0	Initiative Name	0000.10
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative # D-6		<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
CED - HAND		Housekeeping
Department  JAnn Clark / Jennifer Schumann		Type of Initiative 535-6136/ 535-7276
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
гтератей Бу	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None	1 00.1.10
Impact	110110	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u>
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Tatal	Φ0	<b>.</b>
Other Fund	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	-
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		C
Position Title:		

	rant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
Community Development Block Grant			
71-32062 Housing Condition Survey	2590	\$	(20,947.54)
71-32099 CDBG Cost Overrun	2590	\$	20,947.54
71-33041 Sara Daft Home	2590	\$	(13,500.00)
71-33041 Sala Dalt Home 71-33043 Neighborhood House Day Care	2590	\$	(3,106.00)
71-33099 CDBG Cost Overrun	2590	\$	16,606.00
71-33039 CDBG COSt Overruit	2390	Ψ	10,000.00
71-34011 Assist	2590	\$	(16,031.88)
71-34013 Fourth Street Clinic	2590	\$	(3,512.60)
71-34014 Community Development Corp	2590	\$	(0.02)
71-34024 Services for Seniors	2590	\$	(6,231.95)
71-34026 Rape Recovery Center	2590	\$	(20.31)
71-34036 Multicultural Legal Center	2590	\$	(10.00)
71-34046 Utah Health and Human Rights	2590	\$	(5,290.24)
71-34048 Neighborhood House Adult Day	2590	\$	(75.00)
71-34099 CDBG Cost Overrun	2590	\$	31,172.00
72-60612 HOPWA Admin	2590	\$	(28.00)
72-606 New HOPWA Cost Overrun FY	2590	\$	28.00
72-60811 SLC CAP Emergency Housing	2590	\$	(148.20)
72-60819 HOPWA Cost Overrun FY 08	2590	\$	148.20
72-60911 SL CAP	2590	\$	(4,726.60)
72-60913 Robert Willey	2590	\$	(4,102.00)
72-60914 Utah AIDS Foundation	2590	\$	(8,657.38)
72-60915 West Valley TBRA	2590	\$	(9,708.77)
72-60918 Salt Lake County HA TBRA	2590	\$	(18,076.38)
72-60919 Housing Authority of SLCity	2590	\$	(16,510.00)
72-609 NEW HOPWA Cost Overrun FY 0	<b>19</b>	\$	61,781.13
72-60924 Odyssey House	2590	\$	(1,750.00)
72-60928 YWCA Residential Self Sufficie	2590	\$	(0.33)
72-609 NEW ESG Cost Overrun FY09	2590	\$	1,750.33
72-60791 Housing Authority	2590	\$	(3,425.00)
72-607 NEW HOME Cost Overrun FY07	2590	\$	3,425.00
72-60904 The Road Home TBRA	2590	\$	(3,965.01)
72-609 NEW HOME Cost Overrun FY09	2590	\$	3,965.01
		7	3,000.01
78-33032 ADDI Neighborhood Housing S	2590	\$	(1,439.00)
78-330 NEW HOME Cost Overrun	2590	\$	1,439.00
DELETE DEMAINING DUDGET, NO 040	XII		
DELETE REMAINING BUDGET; NO CAS		Φ.	(0.405.00)
72-60791 Housing Authority 78-33029 HOME CY funded by City PI	2590 2590	\$ \$	(3,425.00)

71-25055 Multi-Family Housing 2590	\$ (201,421.89)
72-60427 HOPWA Holding 2590	\$ (61,221.49)
72-60301 NHS CHDO 2590	\$ (0.03)
	\$ 291,068.41
Additional Accounting Details:	
Grant Information:	
Grant funds employee positions?	NA
Is there a potential for grant to continue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the grant?	NA
Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are	
eliminated?	NA
Does grant duplicate services provided by private or	
Non-profit sector?	NA

# **Initiative Name: Encumbrance Carryover - Operations and Environmental and Energy Refuse Fund Initiative Number:** BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #D-7 Initiative Type: Housekeeping Initiative Discussion: State law requires that all budgets, except the Capital Project Fund, lapse at June 30th of each fiscal year end. Historically, purchase orders encumbered at the end of the fiscal year are re-appropriated in the next fiscal year. Because the budget from the prior fiscal year lapsed, it is necessary to again appropriate funds to cover the purchase commitments made in the prior year and paid in the current year. The funding source for this type of transaction is the fund balance. This amendment request will appropriate budget in the Operations and Environmental and Energy Refuse Fund of \$28,608.

	Fne	cumbrance Carryover - Operatio	ne
		Environmental and Energy Refu	
	anu	Fund	use_
		Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #D-7		initiative Ivaine	2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Administrative Services			Housekeeping
Department			Type of Initiative
Teresa Beckstrand			535-6416
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
Frepared by		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		(itegative)	1 OSKIVE
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		FY 2009-2010	<u>Annual</u>
Revenue impact by Fund.		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		impact Amount	impact Amount
Ocheral I dha			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		Ψ0	Ψ0
internal Service I una			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		ΨΟ	ΨΟ
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		Ψ0	Ψ0
Ottler Fullu			
Total		\$0	\$0
Total		ΨΟ	Ψ0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:		0	0
		O O	0
Position Title:			
	l		Ì

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicabl	e:	
Revenue:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
Expenditure:			,
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
57-11700	2329	\$	4,250.00
57-11700	2336-06	\$	111.00
57-11700	2760-90	\$	21,209.00
57-11200	2295	\$	40.00
57-11200	2299	\$	204.00
57-11420	2221	\$	91.00
57-11600	22275	\$	514.00
57-11600	239614	\$	2,005.00
57-11600	254970	\$	184.00
Additional Assessmin a Detailer			
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?		· · · · ·	Yes or No)
Grant rando employes posiciono:		<del> '</del>	100 01 110)
Is there a potential for grant to cont	inue?	r	Yes or No)
io triore a poterniar for grant to cont		,	100 01 110,
If grant is funding a position is it ex	pected the position will		
be eliminated at the end of the gran		-	Yes or No)
		<u> </u>	
Will grant program be complete in g	urant funding time frame?	r	Yes or No)
Tim grant program be complete in g	indictioning time marile:	+ + - '	. 00 01 140)
Will grant impact the community on	ce the grant funds are		
eliminated?	Se the grant range are	r	Yes or No)
Cilitinateu :			103 01 140)
Door want double-t	ded by weighte or		
Does grant duplicate services provi	aea by private or		res or NO)
Non-profit sector?			

Initiative Name:
Donation Fund Carryover
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #D-8
House Keeping
Initiative Discussion:
City Council has in the past approved carryover budgets in these funds in order to continue the use of funds for which they were donated. After June 30, 2009, by state law, the spending authority of any remaining amounts held by these funds lapsed. Without Council action, the City cannot continue these programs. It is recommended that the Council approve the ne cash balance carryover budgets for these donations special revenue funds.

	Danation Front Commence	
	Donation Fund Carryover Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #D-8	initiative Name	2009-10
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Administrative Services		House Keeping
Department		Type of Initiative
Elwin Heilmann		<u>535-6424</u>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		
Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Annual</u>
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	<b>C</b> O	ФО
Internal Service Fund	\$0	\$0
internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund	<del></del>	Ψ
Zinoi prilos i una		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	\$ -	\$0
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		0
Position Title:		
Position Title:		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
77 Donations Fund	2590	\$ 1,617,396.77
77 Donations Fund	2090	Ψ 1,017,390.77
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Botaile.		
Detail by cost center is at Administra	tive services.	
,		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		NA
	-ti2	NA.
Is there a potential for grant to cor	ntinue ?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it e	expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gra		NA
be eminiated at the end of the gra	iii.	IVA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	NA
Tim grant program be complete in	grant funding time ffaille?	IVA
Will grant impact the community o	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?	moo the grant funds are	NA
ommutou:		NA.
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?	vided by private or	NA
いいこといいに つらいいい (		INA

Initiative Name:
Grants and Other Spec Rev Carryover
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative D-9
House Keeping
Initiative Discussion:
City Council has in the past approved carryover budgets in these funds in order to complete the started projects. After June 30, 2009, the spending authority of any remaining amounts held by these funds lapsed. Without Council action, the City cannot finish the started projects It is recommended that the Council approve the carryover budgets for these grants and othe special revenue funds

<u> </u>	irants and	Other Spec Rev Carryover Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative D-9		miliative name	2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
Administrative Services			House Keeping
Department			Type of Initiative
Elwin Heilmann			535-6424
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-			
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u>
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		Ψ0	40
internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		<del>-</del>	
Housing Loans	\$	1,012,037.42	
		1,512,551112	
Total		\$1,012,037.42	\$0
Other Fund			
CDBG Operating	\$	1,585,687.82	
Miscellaneous Grants	\$	8,238,634.33	
Other Sp. Revenue	\$	-	
Total	\$	9,824,322.15	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			C
rioquestica riamiser er i = e.			
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:		NA
Revenue:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
78 Housing Loans Fund	various	\$	1,012,037.42
71 CDBG Operating	1310	\$	1,585,687.82
72 Miscellaneous Grants	various	\$	8,238,634.33
73 Other Special Revenue	None	\$	-
		\$	10,836,359.57
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
78 Housing Loans Fund	2950	\$	1,940,161.89
71 CDBG Operating	2590	\$	1,585,687.82
72 Miscellaneous Grants 73 Other Special Revenue	2590 2590	\$ \$	11,130,433.86 1,114,191.55
o onioi oposiai ristoriae	2000		1,111,101.00
		\$	15,770,475.12
Additional Accounting Details:			
Detail amounts by cost center is at A	Administrative Services		
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?			NA
s there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?		NA
f grant is funding a position is it e			NA
be eliminated at the end of the gra			NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?		NA
Will grant impact the community o	once the grant funds are		
eliminated?			NA
Does grant duplicate services pro Non-profit sector?	vided by private or		

# Initiative Name: US Depart of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Big Cottonwood Tanner Ditch Water Conservation & Fireflow Project Initiative Number: BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #E-1

### Grant Requiring No New Staff Resources

### **Initiative Discussion:**

Public Utilities applied for and received a \$300,000 grant from the US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation for infrastructure improvements for Phase 2 of the Big Cottonwood Tanner Ditch Water Conservation and Fireflow Project.

Phase 2 of this project constructs 11,200 ft of water pipeline which allows full conversion of a 1,758 acre area served by open canal irrigation to pressure irrigation. It is a multi-jurisdictional collaboration with Murray City, Holladay City, Cottonwood Heights and unincorporated areas of Salt Lake County and is the result of a 2008 cooperative agreement between the City and Big Cottonwood Tanner Ditch Company (BC Tanner).

The agreement with BC Tanner and construction of this project resolves decades old water disputes with BC Tanner; quiets title of over 8,926 acre-feet (af) of water rights to the City; retires over 2,800 af of contractual delivery by open canal thereby eliminating approximately 1,400 af of seepage and evaporation losses; creates over 4,263 marketable water vouchers; converts 1,421 customer accounts from unmetered open ditch flood irrigation to metered pressurized sprinkler irrigation; establishes a "water budget" for each share/voucher holder; and improves public safety by increasing fire flows to meet current code requirement within the collaborated area.

The construction project includes design, easement acquisition, final design and construction of the pipeline, including replacement of water service connections, meters, fire hydrants and ancillary facilities. The total project cost is estimated at \$1,460,767. The remaining \$1,160,767 is budgeted for within Public Utilities enterprise funds.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept Bureau of Reclamation related grants and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

US Depart of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Big Cottonwood Tanner Ditch Water Conservation & Fireflow Project Initiative Name  BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #E-1 Initiative Name  BE Staff Resources Type of Initiative Type of Initiative Positive  Tow Ward / Sherrie Collins  Prepared By (Negative) Telephone Contact Positive  Revenue Impact By Fund: Fiscal Year Impact Amount  General Fund  Total \$0  Internal Service Fund  Total \$0  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0  Cother Fund  72 \$ 300,000.00  Staffin Impact Requested Number of FTE's:  Position Title:				
Ditch Water Conservation & Fireflow Project Initiative Name  BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #E-1 Initiative Number  Ba#1 FY2010 Initiative #E-1 Initiative Number    Public Utilities   Fiscal Year				
Project   Initiative Name				
Initiative Name   BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #E-1		Ditch Wate		<u>ow</u>
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #E-1 Initiative Number  Public Utilities Department Tom Ward / Sherrie Collins Prepared By General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: General Fund General Fund General Fund General Fund  Total Total Total Total Total Fiscal Year Annual Impact Amount So  Internal Service Fund  Total Total Total Total So  Other Fund Total Signath Requiring No No Staff Resources Type of Initiative 483-6768 / 535-6150 Telephone Contact Positive Annual Impact Amount Impact Amount So  Internal Service Fund  Total So  Other Fund Total Signath Requiring No No Staff Resources Type of Initiative 483-6768 / 535-6150 Telephone Contact Positive Annual Impact Amount So  Other Fund Total Signath Requiring No No Staff Resources Total So  Other Fund Total Signath Requiring No No Staff Resources Total Signath Requiring No Staff Resources Total Signath Republic Staff Resources Total Signath R		1		
Initiative Number  Public Utilities Department Tom Ward / Sherrie Collins Prepared By (Negative)  General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: Fiscal Year Impact Amount  General Fund  Total			Initiative Name	
Public Utilities  Department Tom Ward / Sherrie Collins Prepared By  (Negative) General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: General Fund  Total Total Total Total Fiscal Year Impact Amount  Fiscal Year Impact Amount  Fiscal Year Impact Fund  Total So  Internal Service Fund  Total Total Total Total So  Other Fund  Total So  Staffing Impact: Regounted Nype of FTE's:  Type of Initiative A83-6768 / 535-6150 Telephone Contact Regative) Positive  Annual Impact Amount Impact Amount  So  Other Fund  Total So  Staffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:				
Public Utilities   Department   Type of Initiative   Type of Initiative   Type of Initiative   Type of Initiative   A83-6768 / 535-6150   A83-6768 / 535-6150   Telephone Contact   Positive   Positive	Initiative Number			
Department Type of Initiative 483-6768 / 535-6150  Prepared By (Negative) Positive  General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: Fiscal Year Impact Amount  General Fund  Total \$0  Internal Service Fund  Total \$0  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0  Other Fund  Total \$300,000.00  Stafffing Impact:  Requested Number of FTE's:				
Tom Ward / Sherrie Collins Prepared By (Negative) General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: General Fund General Fund  Total Total Total Total Total Total Service Fund  Total				
Prepared By (Negative) Positive  General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: Fiscal Year Impact Amount  General Fund  Total \$0  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0  Cother Fund  Total \$300,000.00  Total \$300,000.00  Staffing Impact:  Requested Number of FTE's:				
General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund:  Total  Tota				
General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund:  General Fund  Total  Tota	Prepared By		(Nogotivo)	
Impact Revenue Impact By Fund:  Revenue Impact By Fund:  Impact Amount  Impact Amount  General Fund  Total \$0  Internal Service Fund  Total \$0  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0  Other Fund  Total \$300,000.00  Total \$300,000.00  Staffing Impact:  Requested Number of FTE's:	Conoral Fund Fund Palance			Positive
Revenue Impact By Fund:    Impact Amount   Impact Amount   Impact Amount		•	None	
Impact Amount   Impact Amount			Fiscal Voar	Appual
Total   \$0				
Total	Conoral Fund		impact Amount	Impact Amount
Internal Service Fund	belleral i uliu			
Internal Service Fund				
Internal Service Fund	Tota		\$0	\$0
Total   \$0			ΨΟ	Ψ0
Total   \$0	iternal Service i unu			
Total   \$0				
Total   \$0	Tota		\$0	\$0
Total			ΨΟ	
Other Fund         \$ 300,000.00           72         \$ 300,000.00           Staffing Impact:           Requested Number of FTE's:				
Other Fund         \$ 300,000.00           72         \$ 300,000.00           Staffing Impact:           Requested Number of FTE's:				
Other Fund         \$ 300,000.00           72         \$ 300,000.00           Staffing Impact:           Requested Number of FTE's:	Tota		\$0	\$0
Total \$ 300,000.00  Staffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:	ther Fund			
Total \$ 300,000.00  Staffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:	2	\$	300,000.00	
Staffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:			,	
Requested Number of FTE's:	Tota	\$	300,000.00	\$0
Requested Number of FTE's:				
Requested Number of FTE's:				
				0
Position Title:				
	osition Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	15.507
Revenue:		A ::
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-	1360	\$ 300,000.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72	2700	\$ 300,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details.		
0		
Grant Information: Grant funds employee positions		NIA
Grant runus employee positions	1	NA
s there a potential for grant to c	ontinue?	NA
is thore a potential for grant to c	onunuo:	IVA.
If grant is funding a position is it	expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the g	rant?	NA
Will grant program be complete	n grant funding time frame?	NA
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		NA
Does grant duplicate services pr	ovided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		NA

# Initiative Name: US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program (HPRP) Initiative Number: BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #E-2

### **Initiative Discussion:**

The Housing and Neighborhood Development Division has been notified that an award of \$1,680,347 under HUDS, HPRP, Title XII of the American Recovery And Reinvestment Act of 2009 ("Recovery Act") will be appropriated to Salt Lake City.

**Grants for Existing Staff Resources** 

THE HPRP is a three year grant focused on housing for homeless and at-risk households. The funds are intended to target individuals and families who are currently in housing but are at risk of becoming homeless and need temporary rent or utility assistance (prevention); and individuals and families who are homeless and need temporary assistance in order to obtain housing and retain it (rapid rehousing).

Of these funds \$558,450 will be used for homelessness prevention financial assistance and \$206,550 for housing relocation and stabilization services; \$594,000 for rapid re-housing financial assistance and \$171,000 for housing relocation and stabilization services; \$70,000 for data collection and evaluation and \$80,347 for administration. RFP's for service providers have been received and the CDCIP Board has made their recommendations to the Mayor. A public hearing has been scheduled for August 11 and the Council will finalize on August 18.

Housing and Neighborhood Development is waiting for the notice to proceed from HUD but has been informed of the amount the City can expect to receive. The budget to expend these funds is being requested now in order to move forward once the notice is received, to meet the November requirement of HUD to have the programs up and running.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the HUD related grants and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

	Develop	ment (HUD), Homeless	
		n and Rapid Re-Housing	
	<u>P</u>	rograms (HPRP)	
		Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #E-2			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
			<b>Grants for Existing Staff</b>
Management Services			Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
LuAnn Clark / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-6136 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact		<b>-</b> ' 1 V	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u>
General Fund		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		ΨΟ	Ψ0
mornar corvice r and			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		¥-5	-
•			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
	\$	1,680,347.00	
Total	\$	1,680,347.00	\$0
0. (6)			
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			0
Position Title:			
		-	

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	14.257
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
	Object Code Number 1360	Amount
72	1360	\$ 1,680,347.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72	2590	\$ 1,680,347.00
12	2590	\$ 1,680,347.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		No
Crant rands employee positions:		140
la thara a matantial far grant to sant	ina2	NA
Is there a potential for grant to cont	inue ?	NA
	1.10	
If grant is funding a position is it ex		
be eliminated at the end of the gran	t?	NA
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community on	ce the grant funds are	
eliminated?	grant faring all	Yes
cimillated :		169
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

# Initiative Name: Department of Justice, FY09 Recovery Act Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program Initiative Number: BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #E-3 Grants for Existing Staff Resources

### **Initiative Discussion:**

The SLCPD applied for and received \$4,050,814 under the Department of Justice FY09 Recovery Act Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program. It was awarded to provide operational support and services to valley wide jurisdictions in the eligible areas of law enforcement, crime prevention and drug courts. The City will act as the lead fiscal agent and will distribute funds to the other local jurisdictions based on the grant application and award. Of the \$4,050,814 the PD will retain \$1,610,150 to be used as follows: \$40,000 sworn personnel training; \$20,000 civilian personnel training; \$100,000 direct Community Policing overtime of 2222 hours @ \$45.00 per hour; contractual components of \$50,000 for the Restorative Justice Project with SLCo Criminal Justice and \$15,000 for Salt Lake Peer Court; \$10,000 for Crime Prevention/Mobile Watch supplies; \$140,000 for 12 moth Aircards for 235 @ \$49.65 per month; and \$1,235,150 for E-Ticketing and traffic accident reporting for 200 officers @ \$6.175.75.

The remainder of funds will be distributed as follows: \$678,627 to the Salt Lake County Sherriff's Office; \$595,576 to West Valley City PD; \$219,226 to South Salt Lake PD; \$205,010 to West Jordan City PD; \$185,556 to Murray City PD; \$187,801 Sandy City PD; \$181,815 to Taylorsville City PD; \$118,966 Midvale City PD; \$32,921 Draper City PD; and \$35,166 to South Jordan City PD.

This is a four year grant which requires no match.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the HUD related grants and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

	Departme	nt of Justice, FY09 Recover	v
		ard Byrne Memorial Justice	
		ance Grant (JAG) Program	
	100.00	Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #E-3			2009-10
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			Grants for Existing Staff
SLC Police Department			Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins			799-3265 / 535-6150
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	
Impact			
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u>
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
		<b>Φ</b> 0	Φ0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund		Ψ5	45
72	\$	4,050,814.00	
	Ψ	1,000,011.00	
Total	\$	4,050,814.00	\$0
		,	
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			0
Position Title:			

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	2009-SB-B9-1411
Revenue:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72	1360	\$ 4,050,814.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72	2590	\$ 4,050,814.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		No
Is there a potential for grant to cont	inuo?	NA
		NA NA
If grant is funding a position is it ex be eliminated at the end of the gran		NA
		INA
Will grant program be complete in g	rant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community on	ce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
Does grant duplicate services provi	ded by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

## **Initiative Name:** US Department of Energy (DOE), Recovery Act-Energy Efficiency and Conservation **Block Grants (EECBG) Initiative Number:** BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #E-4 **Grants for Existing Staff Resources** Initiative Discussion: The Management Services Sustainability Division has been notified that a \$2,116,500 US DOE, Recovery Act, EECBG will be appropriated to Salt Lake City. The objective of the City's grant is to educate and reduce Salt Lake City's energy use and emissions and increase the quantity of renewable enegy produced in the City. To do this, the Sustainability Division proposes to allocate \$263,202 to hire one or more consultants to assist with long-term planning, policy development, education and outreach for energy use, efficiency and conservation: \$479,372 to develop and produce an Energy Revolving Loan Fund; \$213,435 for traffic signal mangement; \$274,647 for construction of the Bicycle Transit Center; \$172,052 to complete revisions to building codes and inspections that support an energy efficiency and energy conservation regulatory framework for SLC; \$564,575 to purchase and install LED traffic signals, street lights and holiday lights throughout the City; and \$37,745 to install a solar hot water system at Fire Station #8. Management Services is waiting for the official loan agreement from but has been informed of the amount the City can expect to receive. The budget to expend these funds is being requested now in order to move forward once the loan agreement is in place to meet the November requirement of the Recovery Act to have contracts awarded or be under construction.

		rtment of Energy (DOE),	
		Act-Energy Efficiency and	
<u>C</u>	onservat	ion Block Grants (EECBG	<u>)</u>
		Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #E-4			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
			Grants for Existing Staff
Management Services			Resources
Department			Type of Initiative
enee Zollinger / Sherrie Collins			<u>535-7215 / 535-6150</u>
Prepared By		(Nogotivo)	Telephone Contact  Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		(Negative) None	Positive
Impact		None	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
Revenue impact by I unu.		Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		impact Amount	impact Amount
Concrair and			
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			·
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Other Fund			
72	\$	2,116,500.00	
		2.112.222.22	
Total	\$	2,116,500.00	\$0
Ota (Carallana A			
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			C
Position Title:			

Assessment's a Detail	Overel II and LOEDA II K A		TDD	_		
Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	):	TBD			
Revenue:						
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount			
72	1370	\$	2,116,500.00			
						,
Expenditure:	<u> </u>					
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount			 <u> </u>
72	2590	\$	2,116,500.00	1	+	
12	2000	Ψ	2,110,300.00			 <u> </u>
Additional Accounting Details:						
Grant Information:						
Grant funds employee positions?			No			
Is there a potential for grant to con	tinue?		NA			
g. and to our						
If grant is funding a position is it e	rnected the position will					
be eliminated at the end of the grain	112		NA		_	 1
be eminiated at the end of the grain			IVA			-
Will group program be seemed to be	arent funding time from 2		Vaa	-		
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?		Yes			
Will grant impact the community o	nce the grant funds are					
eliminated?			Yes			
B	ided by private or					
Does grant gublicate services prov	ided by brivate or					
Does grant duplicate services prov Non-profit sector?	ided by private or		No		_	

## **Initiative Name:** Utah Department of Public Safety, Division of Homeland Security - 2008 Buffer Zone **Protection Program (BZPP)Grant Initiative Number:** BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #E-5 **Grants for Existing Staff Resources** Initiative Discussion: The SLCPD applied for and received \$190,184 under the Utah Department of Public Safety, Homeland Security BZPP Grant. It is awarded on an annual basis to local PD's to purchase equipment for "Buffer Zone Sites" or key local facilities that the PD has been tasked to protect. These are local facilities that could be vulnerable or targeted in the event of a terrorist or weapons of mass destruction attack and have been authorized and approved under the US Department of Homeland Security to be eligible for equipment. These funds will be used to purchase the following equipment: \$83,184 for 10 video cameras and \$4,000 for installation; \$34,000 for 1 hardware, computer server and \$2,000 for

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the HUD related grants and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

installation; \$13,500 for 3 video display units; \$15,000 for 1 video feed management system; \$10,000 for 1 target motion cueing system; \$2,500 for 1 portable radio; \$2,000 for 1 radio base; and \$8,000 for 1 video camera; \$7,000 for 1 vehicle borne mast for video camera and

\$9,000 for deep search metal detector.

<u></u>		Homeland Security - 200	<u>8</u>
	Buffer Zo	one Protection Program	
		(BZPP)Grant	
DA #4 EV0040 Indication #5 E		Initiative Name	2002.42
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #E-5			<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
CL C Dalias Damantus aut			Grants for Existing Staff
SLC Police Department			Resources
Department  Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins			Type of Initiative <b>799-3265 / 535-6150</b>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
Ртератеи Бу		(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-		None	1 OSILIVE
Impact		None	
Revenue Impact By Fund:		Fiscal Year	Annual
revenue impaet by r ana.	ı	mpact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund	-	pactriiiouni	
Total		\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund			
Total		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund			
Total		\$0	\$C
Other Fund			
72	\$	190,184.00	
	_		
Total	\$	190,184.00	\$0
Staffing Impact:			
Requested Number of FTE's:			C
Position Title:			
			+

Accounting Detail Revenue:	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	97.078 - BZP 8
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72	1370	\$ 190,184.00
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72	2590	\$ 190,184.00
-	2000	,,
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details.		
Crant Information		
Grant Information:		N <sub>a</sub>
Grant funds employee positions?		No
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	NA
If grant is funding a position is it e		
be eliminated at the end of the gra	int?	NA
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community of	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?	grant tanks and	Yes
Cinninateu :		163
		T. C.
<b>.</b>		
Does grant duplicate services pro Non-profit sector?	vided by private or	No

Initiative Name:
National Trust for Historic Preservation - Fisher Mansion
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-1
Initiative Type:
Council Consent Agenda - Grant Award
Initiative Discussion:
The CED Planning Division working with Salt Lake City Solutions, applied for and received a \$4,037.50 grant from the National Trust for Historic Preservation. These funds were awarded as match to the \$10,000 Zion's donation to hire a consultant to complete an historic structures report for the Fisher Mansion site. The report will be used to guide the historic rehabilitation of the primary dwelling and carriage house and will aid in the decision of an appropriate use of the property. It will include existing conditions, damage, structural problems, materials deterioration, analysis of mechanical units, etc. The report will also include an estimate of bringing the structure up to local code.
The grant requires a 100% match which will be satisfied with the \$10,000 Zion's donation.
Already funded by grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

Natio	onal Trust for Historic Preservation	<u>on -</u>
	<u>Fisher Mansion</u>	
	Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-1		<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
		Council Consent Agenda
CED - Planning		- Grant Award
Department		Type of Initiative
Robin Zeigler - Sherrie Collins		535-7758 / 535-6150
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None	
Impact Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>A</u> nnual
Revenue impact by Funu.	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund	impact / imount	пправе / ппване
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund	Ψ	Ψ
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Total	ΨΟ	Ψ
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		(

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-99999	2590	\$ 4,037.50
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:	100000	
Grant funds employee positions?		No
		-
ls there a potential for grant to co	ontinue?	Yes
If grant is funding a position is it		NIA
be eliminated at the end of the gr	ant?	NA
Will grant program be complete i	n grant funding time frame?	Yes
grant program be complete i		100
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

# Initiative Name: State of Utah, Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice (CCJJ) Initiative Number: BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-2 Initiative Type: Council Consent Agenda - Grant Award Initiative Discussion: The Mayor's Office applied for and received a \$3,810.00 Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) from the State of Utah, CCJJ. The Mayor's Office is currently developing a standing, comprehensive program, the "Salt Lake City Gang Reduction Program", with a Steering Committee that will be tasked with developing

the program by implementing best practices in the areas of gang prevention, intervention and suppression.

The purpose of this grant is to send a multi-agency team to the Comprehensive Gang Model.

The purpose of this grant is to send a multi-agency team to the Comprehensive Gang Model Training conducted by the Department of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP).

The funding will be used for travel expenses of the City's team to attend the training. The City's five member team consists of representatives from the Mayor's Office, the SLC Gang Unit, Third District Court Juvenile Probation, Colors of Success and NeighborWorks Salt lake.

Initiative Name   BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-2   Initiative Number   Fiscal Year			<u>al</u>
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-2 Initiative Number    Council Consent Ag	<u> </u>		
Initiative Number    Fiscal Year	EV2040 Initiative #C 2	Initiative Name	2000.40
Mayor's Office Department Department Michael Stott Prepared By Other Fund  Total			
Mayor's Office  Department  Department  Type of Initiative  535-7976  Telephone Contact  (Negative)  General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact  Revenue Impact By Fund:  Fiscal Year Impact Amount  General Fund  Total	illidative (valitibe)		i iscai i eai
Department Michael Stott 535-7976  Prepared By (Negative) Telephone Contact Positive  General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: Fiscal Year Impact Amount  General Fund  Total \$0  Internal Service Fund  Total \$0  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0  Stafffing Impact:			<b>Council Consent Agenda</b>
Michael Stott Prepared By  Contact  Con	Mayor's Office		- Grant Award
Prepared By (Negative) Positive  General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact  Revenue Impact By Fund: Fiscal Year Annual Impact Amount Impact Amoun  General Fund  Total \$0  Internal Service Fund  Total \$0  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0  Stafffing Impact:			
(Negative) Positive  General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact  Revenue Impact By Fund:  General Fund  Total			
General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact  Revenue Impact By Fund:  Revenue Impact By Fund:  Total	Prepared By		
Impact Revenue Impact By Fund:  Revenue Impact By Fund:  Impact Amount  Impact Am	val Francia Dalamas		Positive
Revenue Impact By Fund: Impact Amount Impact		None	
Impact Amount   Impact Amount		Fiscal Year	Annual
Total \$0  Internal Service Fund  Total \$0  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0  Cother Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impacts			
Internal Service Fund  Total \$0  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0  Other Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impact:	al Fund		
Internal Service Fund  Total \$0  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0  Other Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impact:			
Internal Service Fund  Total \$0  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0  Other Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impact:			
Total \$0  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0  Other Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impact:		\$0	\$0
Total \$0  Other Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impact:	al Service Fund		
Total \$0  Other Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impact:			
Total \$0  Other Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impact:	Total	90	\$0
Total \$0  Other Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impact:		ΨΟ	Ψ.
Other Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impact:			
Other Fund  Total \$0  Staffing Impact:			
Total \$0  Staffing Impact:		\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact:	Fund		
Staffing Impact:			
Staffing Impact:	Total	\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:	Total	\$0	\$0
Requested Number of FTE's:	ng Impact:		
	ested Number of FTE's:		(

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applic	able:
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-9999	2590	\$ 3,810.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details.		
Grant Information:	1000000	
Grant funds employee positions	?	No
ls there a potential for grant to c	ontinue?	Yes
If grant is funding a position is it		
be eliminated at the end of the g	ant?	NA
Mill grout progress be something	n arout funding time from 2	Vac
Will grant program be complete	n grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
		103
Does grant duplicate services pr	ovided by brivate or	

# **Initiative Name: Utah State Division of Forestry, Fire & State Lands - Pervious Pavement** Initiative Number: BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-3 Initiative Type: Council Consent Agenda - Grant Award Initiative Discussion: The City's Urban Forestry Division received a \$5,000 grant from the Utah State Division of Forestry, Fire & State Lands for the City's Wasatch Touring Pervious Pavement Demonstration. This project included repavement of the parking lot at 702 E. 100 South (home of Wasatch Touring) with pervious pavement and involves pass through funding from the State and Private foresty arm of the US Forest Services. Pervious pavement allows water and air to pass through decreasing ground level ozone pollution and fosters diffusion of life-sustaining oxygen into the soil. The project was a joint effort with Psomas, Jack B. Parson's Companies, Bunyan Industries, Morgan Excavating and William Flower of the University of Utah Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering who paid all costs associated with the project. The City will reimburse the partners \$1,000 each. Already funded by grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

	State Division of Forestry, Fire	<u>&amp;</u>
<u>St</u>	ate Lands - Pervious Pavement	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-3	Initiative Name	2009-10
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
miliative ramber		1 loodi i odi
		<b>Council Consent Agenda</b>
Public Services		- Grant Award
Department		Type of Initiative
Bill Rutherford /Sherrie Collins		<u>535-1901/535-6150</u>
Prepared By	(Negative)	Telephone Contact  Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None	1 OSILIVE
Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Annual</u>
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$(
Internal Service Fund	ΨΟ	Ψ,
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$(
Other Fund	Ψ0	Ψ
Total	\$0	\$(
01-111-1111-1111-1111-1111-1111-1111-1111		
Staffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:		
Requested Number of FTE's.		'

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applie	cable:
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Oct Conto Hamber	Object Gode Hamber	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-99999	2590	\$ 5,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		No
s there a potential for grant to co	ontinue?	Yes
		103
f grant is funding a position is it	expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gr		NA
Will grant program be complete i	n grant funding time frame?	Yes
APIL mant in a set of		
Will grant impact the community eliminated?	once the grant funds are	Yes
emmateu :		res
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or	
		1

# Initiative Name: State of Utah, Department of Workforce Services Youth Connections Grant - Fairmont Park Initiative Number: BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-4 Initiative Type: Council Consent Agenda - Grant Award Initiative Discussion:

The Public Services Division of YouthCity applied for and received a \$30,500 grant from the Utah State Department of Work Force Services, Youth Connections Grant, under the Child Care Development Discretionary Fund program. This grant is year one of a possible three year grant funding period. Of this amount, \$22,509 of the funds will be used to pay a portion of the full time site coordinators salary and benefits at the Fairmont Park YouthCity site, \$2,794 will be used for phone services, printing, copying, computers and miscellaneous supplies, and \$3,090 will be used to purchase snacks that are an essential program expense, and \$2,107 will be used to pay for 30 youth to participate in fee based activities.

The purpose of this grant is to create, expand, and support out-of-school time programs for at-risk elementary school-age youth, through age 12.

A 100% match is required which will be satisfied with 10% of the YouthCity Managers salary, benefits and other associated costs including facility maintenance, utilities, travel, printing and postage which are budgeted for within YouthCity's and Public Services general fund budget.

	ate of Utah, Department of Workford	
<u> </u>	Services Youth Connections Grant -	<u>-</u>
	Fairmont Park	
	Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-4		<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
		Council Concent Agend
Public Services		Council Consent Agenda - Grant Award
Department Department		Type of Initiative
Kim Thomas / Sherrie Collins		535-6129/535-6150
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None	
Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Annual</u>
O and a mail Franch	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund	<del></del>	
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
<del></del>		0.0
Total Other Fund	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
	<u> </u>	
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		(

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	<b>:</b>	
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	•
Cost Center Number	Object Gode Number	Amount	•
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
72-99999	2590	\$ 3	30,500.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?		No	
Is there a potential for grant to con	tinue?	Yes	
K areat is funding iti ' '	manta d the manitism will		
If grant is funding a position is it ex be eliminated at the end of the grar		NA	
be committee at the end of the grain	K	IVA	
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	Yes	
Will grant impact the community or	nce the grant funds are		
eliminated?		Yes	
Dana mant danilla i	ided by paired as		
Does grant duplicate services prov Non-profit sector?	ided by private or	NI.	
MANISTRATIT SECTOR /		No	

Initiative Name:
State of Utah, Department of Workforce Services Youth Connections Grant - Central City
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-5
Initiative Type:
Council Consent Agenda - Grant Award
Initiative Discussion:
The Public Services Division of YouthCity applied for and received a \$30,500 grant from the Utal State Department of Work Force Services, Youth Connections Grant, under the Child Care Development Discretionary Fund program. This grant is year one of a possible three year gran funding period. Of this amount, \$29,000 of the funds will be used to pay a portion of the full time site coordinators salary and benefits and five seasonal positions at the Central City YouthCity site, and \$1,500 will be used to purchase snacks that are an essential program expense.  The purpose of this grant is to create, expand, and support out-of-school time programs for at-risl elementary school-age youth through age 12.
A 100% match is required which will be satisfied with 10% of the YouthCity Managers salary, benefits and other associated costs including facility maintenance, utilities, travel, printing and postage which are budgeted for within YouthCity's and Public Services general fund budget.
Already funded by grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

	te of Utah, Department of Workford	
<u> </u>	ervices Youth Connections Grant	<u>-                                    </u>
	Central City	
DA #4 EVO040 L '4' 4' #0 5	Initiative Name	2000 40
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-5 Initiative Number		2009-10 Fiscal Year
initiative Number		Fiscal Year
		Council Consent Agenda
Public Services		- Grant Award
Department		Type of Initiative
Kim Thomas / Sherrie Collins		<u>535-6129/535-6150</u>
Prepared By	(No motive)	Telephone Contact  Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	(Negative) None	Positive
Impact	None	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	0.0	r.
Internal Service Fund	\$0	\$0
internal dervice i und		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund	\$0	Φ(
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		(

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	e:
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Contor Humbon	Cajoti Codo Italiaci	7.11104111
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-99999	2590	\$ 30,500.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Ç		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		No
s there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	Yes
f grant is funding a position is it o	expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gra		NA
oc ciminated at the end of the gra	411.1	NA NA
Will grant program be complete ir	grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community of	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

Initiative Name:
Utah State University, Interagency Outreach Training Initiative (IOTI)
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-6
Initiative Type:
Council Consent Agenda - Grant Award
Initiative Discussion:
The SLCPD applies for and receives these funds from the Utah State University on an annual basis Funding is awarded to continue and further Officer Crisis Intervention Team Training/Certification This training deals with crisis mediation and intervention as it pertains to law enforcement issues and persons who have serious mental illness or developmental disabilities. statewide and will be spent as follows: \$6,080 Officer overtime for two (2) Detectives. This amoun reflects approximately 72 hours @ \$42.22 per hour for two (2) Detectives to attend, train and promote this program. The training provides educational information pertaining to mental illnesses, specific This award requires a \$6,000 match which is budgeted for within the Police Departments general fund budget and will be used to pay for supplies, facility costs, and snacks.
Already funded by grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

	h State University, Interagency	
<u>Ou</u>	treach Training Initiative (IOTI)	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-6	Initiative Name	2009-10
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
middive ramber		1 local Total
		<b>Council Consent Agend</b>
Police Department		- Grant Award
Department		Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn/Sherrie Collins		<u>799-3265/353-6150</u>
Prepared By	(Negative)	Telephone Contact  Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None	FOSILIVE
Impact	110110	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Annual</u>
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$
Internal Service Fund	ΨΟ	Ψ
internal control i ana		
Total	\$0	\$
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$
Other Fund	Φ0	Φ
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		. (

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	e:
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Conton Humbon	Cajeer Coue Rumae.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Expenditure:	<u> </u>	
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-99999	2590	\$ 25,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
3		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		No
s there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	Yes
f arout in funding a vestion to	avected the position will	
f grant is funding a position is it one continuity of the gradule of the gradule at the end of the gradule.		NA
be eminimated at the end of the gra	AIIL!	NA NA
Will grant program be complete ir	grant funding time frame?	Yes
g.a p. og.a so oomplete ii	- g ransanig tinio iranio i	
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

Initiative Name:
State of Utah, Department of Workforce Services Youth Connections Grant - Ottinger Hall
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-7
Initiative Type:
Council Consent Agenda - Grant Award
Initiative Discussion:
The Public Services Division of YouthCity applied for and received a \$30,500 grant from the Utał State Department of Work Force Services, Youth Connections Grant, under the Child Care Development Discretionary Fund program. This grant is year one of a possible three year gran funding period. Of this amount, \$24,403 of the funds will be used to pay a portion of the full time site coordinators salary and benefits and three seasonal positions at the Ottinger Hall YouthCity site \$3,097 will be used for phone services, printing, copying, computer service and miscellaneous supplies, and \$3,000 will be used to purchase snacks that are an essential program expense.  The purpose of this grant is to create, expand, and support out-of-school time programs for at-risk elementary school-age youth through age 12.  A 100% match is required which will be satisfied with 10% of the YouthCity Managers salary, benefits and other associated costs including facility maintenance, utilities, travel, printing and postage which are budgeted for within YouthCity's and Public Services general fund budget.
Already funded by grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

	te of Utah, Department of Workfor	
<u>S</u>	ervices Youth Connections Grant	<u>-</u>
	Ottinger Hall	
DA #4 EV2242 L ''' # #2 E	Initiative Name	2000 10
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-7 Initiative Number		2009-10 Fiscal Year
mitiative Number		Fiscal Year
		Council Consent Agenda
Public Services		- Grant Award
Department		Type of Initiative
Kim Thomas / Sherrie Collins		<u>535-6129/535-6150</u>
Prepared By	01 (1)	Telephone Contact
General Fund - Fund Balance-	(Negative) None	Positive
Impact	Notic	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u>
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Tatal	<b>C</b> O	Φ.
Total Internal Service Fund	\$0	\$0
internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund	\$0	φ(
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		(

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	):
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Genter Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:	01: 10: ::	
Cost Center Number 72-99999	Object Code Number 2590	<b>Amount</b> \$ 30,500.00
72-99999	2590	\$ 30,500.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details.		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?	2	No
s there a potential for grant to co	ontinue?	Yes
f grant is funding a position is it	expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gr		NA
Will grant program be complete i	n grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
	avided by private a-	
Does grant duplicate services pro Non-profit sector?	bvided by private or	No
	I I	INO

## **Initiative Name:** State of Utah, Department of Workforce Services Youth Connections Grant - Liberty Park Initiative Number: BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-8 Initiative Type: **Council Consent Agenda - Grant Award** Initiative Discussion: The Public Services Division of YouthCity applied for and received a \$30,500 grant from the Utah State Department of Work Force Services, Youth Connections Grant, under the Child Care Development Discretionary Fund program. This grant is year one of a possible three year grant funding period. Of this amount, \$27,723 of the funds will be used to pay a portion of the full time site coordinators salary and benefits and five seasonal positions at the Liberty Park YouthCity site, \$1,277 used for phone services, printing, copying, computer service and miscellaneous supplies, and \$1,500 will be used to purchase snacks that are an essential program expense. The purpose of this grant is to create, expand, and support out-of-school time programs for at-risk elementary school-age youth through age 12. A 100% match is required which will be satisfied with 10% of the YouthCity Managers salary, benefits and other associated costs including facility maintenance, utilities, travel, printing and postage which are budgeted for within YouthCity's and Public Services general fund budget. Already funded by grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

	te of Utah, Department of Workford	
<u>s</u>	ervices Youth Connections Grant -	<u>-</u>
	<u>Liberty Park</u>	
	Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-8		<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
		Council Consent Agenda
Public Services		- Grant Award
Department		Type of Initiative
Kim Thomas / Sherrie Collins		535-6129/535-6150
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None	
Impact	Fig. 1 V and	A
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>Fiscal Year</u> Impact Amount	<u>Annual</u> Impact Amount
General Fund	impact Amount	impact Amount
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Tatal	<b>C</b> O	r.
Total Enterprise Fund	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		(

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	):
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Genter Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:	01: 10: ::	
Cost Center Number 72-99999	Object Code Number 2590	<b>Amount</b> \$ 30,500.00
72-99999	2590	\$ 30,500.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details.		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?	2	No
s there a potential for grant to co	ontinue?	Yes
f grant is funding a position is it	expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gr		NA
Will grant program be complete i	n grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
	avided by private a-	
Does grant duplicate services pro Non-profit sector?	bvided by private or	No
	I I	INO

Initiative Name:
State of Utah, Department of Human Services, Crisis Intervention Team (CIT) Progra
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-9
Initiative Type:
Council Consent Agenda - Grant Award
Initiative Discussion:
The Police Department received a \$75,000 grant from the State of Utah, Department of Hum Services for continuation and expansion of the statewide Crisis Intervention Team (CIT) training administer, coordinate, and promote CIT training efforts throughout the State.
This grant will provide funding to cover costs associated with attending and conducting (Academies, recertification classes, program administration and continued training for the Cirprogram Director, Coordinator and Regional Coordinators. The CIT program was initiated to associated will be used as follows: \$13,200 will be used to pay evertime costs of the Brogram.

These funds will be used as follows: \$13,200 will be used to pay overtime costs of the Program Director and CIT Coordinator while conducting CIT Academies, making presentations throughout the State and representing the interest of the CIT Program on numerous councils and committees; \$6,755 will be used for travel and lodging of the Program Director and CIT Coordinator to conduct out of town CIT presentations, Academies, and planning and development conferences to market the program throughout the State; \$5,430 will be used for supplies, materials, manuals, certification pins, handouts, etc., used at the Academies; \$31,565 will be used for travel by the Program Director and CIT Coordinator to attend local and national CIT educational trainings; and \$18,050 for contractual presenters at the Academies.

	State of Utah, Department of Huma	<u>n</u>
<u>s</u>	ervices, Crisis Intervention Team (C	
	<u>Program</u>	
	Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-9		<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
		Council Consent Agenda
Police Department		- Grant Award
Department		Type of Initiative
Krista Dunn/Sherrie Collins		799-3265/353-6150
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
Company Francis Francis Polonics	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact	None	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u>
Succession	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		·
Total Internal Service Fund	\$0	\$0
internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Tatal	ФО.	Φ.
Total Other Fund	\$0	\$0
Other Fulld		
Total	\$0	\$(
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		(

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicab	le:
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-99999	2590	\$ 75,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		No
ls there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	Yes
f grant is funding a position is it		
be eliminated at the end of the gr	ant'?	NA
Will grant program be complete i	n grant funding time frame?	Yes
grant program be complete i	grant funding time name:	1 62
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
Does grant duplicate services pro	ovided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		No

## **Initiative Name:** State of Utah, Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice (CCJJ), State Asset **Forfeiture Grant** Initiative Number: **BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-10** Initiative Type: Council Consent Agenda - Grant Award Initiative Discussion: The SLCPD applied for and received a \$20,000 grant award from State of Utah, CCJJ, under the Asset Forfeiture program. Of this amount, \$10,000 will be used to purchase one (1) Oculus Recording System to record audio/video drug transactions for court purposes and one (1) transmitter to be worn by an undercover officer during narcotics buys for audio evidence; \$4,000 will be to send two (2) Detectives to the California Narcotics Officer's Association (CNOA) Conference in San Francisco, CA.; and \$6,000 will be used for narcotics buy money to provide evidence of illegal drug deals. The narcotics unit has developed informants capable of purchasing narcotics from several larger drug organizations within Salt Lake City. These types of investigations can require larger buys than what is routine for the squad. These funds will allow the PD to pursue these larger drug organizations, enabling the department to conduct mid-level buys, that provide evidence of more serious drug crimes. There is no match requirement. Already funded by grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

	ate of Utah, Commission on Crimin and Juvenile Justice (CCJJ), State Asset Forfeiture Grant	<u>al</u>
	Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-10		2009-10
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Police Department		Council Consent Agenda  - Grant Award
Department  Krista Dunn/Sherrie Collins		Type of Initiative 799-3265/353-6150
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
1 Toparoa By	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None	
Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Annual</u>
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund	<b>40</b>	Ψ.
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	0.0	0.0
Total Other Fund	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
	Ţ-	
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		(

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	9:	
Revenue:  Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
COSt Center Number	Object Gode Number	Amount	
			_
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
72-99999	2590	\$ 20,000.0	0
			_
Additional Accounting Details:			
			_
Grant Information:			_
Grant funds employee positions?		No	
			_
Is there a potential for grant to con	tinue?	Yes	
K annual in Complian			
If grant is funding a position is it ex be eliminated at the end of the grar		NA	
be committee at the end of the grain		IVA	
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	Yes	
Will grant impact the community or	nce the grant funds are		
eliminated?		Yes	
Dana amand danalis d	I de d'her maire de la c		
Does grant duplicate services prov Non-profit sector?	ided by private or	NI-	
NOU-DIOTIT SECTOR /	1	No	

Initiative Name:
Executive Office of the President, Office of National Drug Control - Rocky Mountain High Intensity Drug Trafficking Agency (HIDTA)
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-11
Initiative Type:
Council Consent Agenda - Grant Award
Initiative Discussion:
The Police Department applied for and received a \$63,450 Rocky Mountain HIDTA grant from t Executive Office of the President, Office of National Drug Control. The SLCPD receives this grant annual basis and will continue to fund the salary and benefits of two (2) Investigative L Enforcement Officers assigned to the Metro Narcotics/Drug Enforcement Task Force to address drissues throughout the Valley.
There is no match requirement.
Already funded by grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

	-
Initiative Name	2000.40
	<u>2009-10</u>
	Fiscal Year
	Council Consent Agenda
	- Grant Award
	Type of Initiative
	799-3265/353-6150
	Telephone Contact
(Negative)	Positive
None	
	-
Fiscal Year	<u>Annual</u>
Impact Amount	Impact Amount
\$0	\$(
\$0	\$0
0	
\$0	\$0
0.2	\$0
\$0	
	(
	`
	Fiscal Year Impact Amount

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Application	able:
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-9999	2590	\$ 63,450.00
		,
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details.		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions	?	No
ls there a potential for grant to co	ontinue?	Yes
If grant is funding a position is it		
be eliminated at the end of the g	ant?	NA
Mill grant program he complete:	n grant funding time from 2	Vac
Will grant program be complete i	in grant runding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?	The grant falles are	Yes
	ovided by private or	
Does grant duplicate services pr	DVIGEG DV DI IVALE DI	

Initiative Name:
Art Works for Kids Grant - YouthCity Artways
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-12
Initiative Type:
Council Consent Agenda - Grant Award
Initiative Discussion:
YouthCity Artways through the Salt Lake City Foundation applied for and received a \$5,000 grant from the art works for kids! Private Foundation. These funds were awarded to YouthCity Artways to provide visual art Imagination Celebration workshops to teachers, students and their families in schools within the Salt Lake City School District (SLCSD).

The workshops will include two 1.5 hour professional development workshops for approximately 100 elementary school teachers to be taught during the Utah Education Association (UEA) Conference weekend and will include a hands on art project, discussions of the art making process, a lesson plan book and art supplies to replicate the art project in the classroom; ten professional development workshops for approximately 200 elementary school teachers will be taught as a part of a professional development day or faculty meeting and will include a hands on art project, lesson plan book and art supplies to replicate the art project; and ten art-making workshops for students and their families will be conducted at elementary schools in the SLCSD.

The funds will be used to for instructor hourly wages, lesson plan books and art supplies for all workshops.

Art V	Norks for Kids Grant - YouthCity	L
	Artways	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-12	Initiative Name	2000 10
Initiative Number		2009-10 Fiscal Year
illidative Number		i iscai i eai
		<b>Council Consent Agenda</b>
Public Services		- Grant Award
Department		Type of Initiative
Ken Perko/Sherrie Collins		<u>535-6500/353-6150</u>
Prepared By	(NI a va Casa)	Telephone Contact
General Fund - Fund Balance-	(Negative) None	Positive
Impact	None	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund	-	
Tatal	ФО	Φ.
Total Internal Service Fund	\$0	\$0
internal Service I unu		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Tatal	0.0	Φ.
Total Other Fund	\$0	\$0
Other Fulld		
Total	\$0	\$(
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		(

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicab	le:
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number 72-99999	Object Code Number 2590	### Amount   \$ 5,000.00
72-9999	2590	\$ 5,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details.		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		No
In the second second second to a		V
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue ?	Yes
If grant is funding a position is it	expected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the gra		NA
Will grant program be complete ir	grant funding time frame?	Yes
Mill amount in an and discount of the		
Will grant impact the community of eliminated?	once the grant funds are	Voc
emmateu :		Yes
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or	
Doco diani dubiicale sei vices DIU	Tidou by private of	

# Initiative Name: Utah Department of Public Safety - 2009 Emergency Management Performance Grant (EMPG) Initiative Number: BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-13 Initiative Type: Council Consent Agenda - Grant Award Initiative Discussion:

The Emergency Management Services Division received a \$11,791 project grant from the Utah Department of Public Safety, Division of Homeland Security. This grant is awarded on an annual basis to jurisdictions to help offset costs of planning and updating emergency preparedness plans, conduct emergency preparedness exercises and produce materials and other media for public educational outreach and CERT training pertaining to emergency preparedness.

SLC's population increases from 180,000 to an estimated 310,000 each workday. Should a disaster occur during the workday, business employees become a part of the SLC emergency response, but are not trained to assist themselves or others. The CERT program was recently transferred from the Fire Department to Emergency Management Services. These funds will offset costs in providing CERT Training to local businesses. The funds will be used for contracting with trainers, purchase training materials, supplies and equipment including books, brochures, handouts, community giveaways such as pencils to be handed out at community activities and fire departments for school and youth tours and projection screens and equipment as funding permits.

	h Department of Public Safety - 20 nergency Management Performand Grant (EMPG)	
	Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-13		<u>2009-10</u>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Management Services		Council Consent Agenda - Grant Award
Department Calling Calling		Type of Initiative
Cory Lyman/Sherrie Collins		535-1901/353-6150
Prepared By	(Negative)	Telephone Contact  Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None	1 OSILIVE
Impact	110110	
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fiscal Year	Annual
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Tatal	Φ0	0.0
Total Internal Service Fund	\$0	\$0
internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$(
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Total	ΨΟ	Ψ(
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		(
•		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	e:
Revenue:  Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
+		
Expenditure:		•
Cost Center Number 72-99999	Object Code Number 2590	<b>Amount</b> \$ 11,791.00
72-99999	2590	\$ 11,791.00
+		
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details.		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions?		No
Is there a potential for grant to con	tinue?	Yes
If grant is funding a position is it ex	rected the position will	
be eliminated at the end of the grar		NA
and the transfer at the transfer and gran		100
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant impact the community or	nce the grant funds are	
eliminated?		Yes
	<u> </u>	
Does grant duplicate services prov Non-profit sector?	ided by private or	N-
	T. Control of the con	No

### Initiative Name:

State of Utah, Utah Department of Health - Mayor's Coalition on Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs

Initiative Number:

**BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-14** 

Initiative Type:

**Council Consent Agenda - Grant Award** 

### **Initiative Discussion:**

The Mayor's Coalition on Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs applied for and received a \$10,440 grant from the State of Utah, Department of Health under the Tobacco Prevention and Control Mini Grant program. To achieve the purposes of this grant, the Mayor's Coalition will collaborate with the Coalition of Tobacco Free Utah (CTFU), Salt Lake Valley Health Department (SLVHD), Utah Department of Health's Tobacco Prevention & Control Program (TICP), and Environmental Epidemiology Sanitation Program (ESP), in a smoke-free policy campaign aimed at assisting existing multiple dwelling units (MUD's) within the Rose Park and Glendale areas, to adopt smoke-free policies and for the city to develop planning polices or ordinances that will protect public health.

The grant objective is to establish a list of at least 12 low-income MUD's identified through owner/manger telephone and tenant surveys with the greatest likelihood to adopt smoke-free polices with the objective of at least 3 of the 12 MUD's adopting a limited smoke-free building policy and at least 1 going completely smoke free; conduct educational trainings and meetings with City Council and other city policy makers; and develop and air in English and Spanish PSA's on SLC-Channel 17, Telemonund and KRCL.

The grant funding will be used to purchase aluminum "No Smoking" signage, Wal-Mart incentive cards for dwelling managers and tenants, educational materials and kits, printing, translation services, stipends for two interns to conduct telephone and tenant survey's and mileage for travel to meetings and trainings. All air time of PSA's will be donated.

Internal Service Fund  Total \$0 \$  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0 \$  Other Fund  Total \$0 \$  Staffing Impact:		State of Utah, Utah Department of	
Initiative Name   BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-14   Fiscal Year	<u>He</u>		<u>l,</u>
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-14   10   10   10   10   10   10   10		Tobacco and Other Drugs	
Initiative Number    Fiscal Year		Initiative Name	
Mayor's Office Department Abbie Vianes/Sherrie Collins Prepared By (Negative) General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: General Fund Total Total Total Total Total So Stafffing Impacts:  Council Consent Agend - Grant Award Type of Initiative S35-7936/353-6150 Telephone Contact Positive Relephone Contact Relephone Cont	BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-14		
Mayor's Office   Department   Type of Initiative   Type of Initiative   S35-7936/353-6150   Telephone Contact   Positive   Positive   General Fund - Fund Balance   Impact   Fiscal Year   Impact Amount   I	Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Mayor's Office   Department   Type of Initiative   Type of Initiative   S35-7936/353-6150   Telephone Contact   Positive   Positive   General Fund - Fund Balance   Impact   Fiscal Year   Impact Amount   I			
Department Type of Initiative S35-7936/353-6150 Prepared By (Negative) Telephone Contact Positive  General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund: Fiscal Year Impact Amount  General Fund  Total \$0 \$\$  Internal Service Fund  Total \$0 \$\$  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0 \$\$  Staffing Impact			
Abbie Vianes/Sherrie Collins	1		
Prepared By  (Negative)  General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact  Revenue Impact By Fund:  Total			
Chegative   Positive   Positive			
General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact Revenue Impact By Fund:  Fiscal Year Impact Amount  General Fund  Total	гтератей Бу	(Negative)	
Impact Revenue Impact By Fund:  Revenue Impact By Fund:  Impact Amount  So \$  Internal Service Fund  Total \$0 \$  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0 \$  Staffing Impact:	General Fund - Fund Balance-		1 OSILIVE
Revenue Impact By Fund:  Impact Amount  Impact Amount  Impact Amount  Impact Amount  So \$  Internal Service Fund  Total \$0 \$  Fixed Year Impact Amount  Impact Amount  So \$  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0 \$  Staffing Impact:			
Impact Amount  General Fund  Total \$0 \$  Internal Service Fund  Total \$0 \$  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0 \$  Staffing Impact:	-	Fiscal Year	Annual
Total   \$0   \$			
Total \$0 \$  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0 \$  Cother Fund  Total \$0 \$  Staffing Impact:	General Fund		
Internal Service Fund  Total \$0 \$  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0 \$  Other Fund  Total \$0 \$  Staffing Impact:			
Internal Service Fund  Total \$0 \$  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0 \$  Other Fund  Total \$0 \$  Staffing Impact:			
Total \$0 \$  Enterprise Fund  Total \$0 \$  Other Fund  Total \$0 \$  Staffing Impact:		\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund  Total \$0 \$  Other Fund  Total \$0 \$  Staffing Impact:	Internal Service Fund		
Enterprise Fund  Total \$0 \$  Other Fund  Total \$0 \$  Staffing Impact:			
Enterprise Fund  Total \$0 \$  Other Fund  Total \$0 \$  Staffing Impact:			0.0
Total   \$0   \$   Other Fund		\$0	\$0
Other Fund  Total \$0 \$  Staffing Impact:	Enterprise Fund		
Other Fund  Total \$0 \$  Staffing Impact:			
Other Fund  Total \$0 \$  Staffing Impact:	Total	0.2	\$0
Total \$0 \$  Staffing Impact:		Φ0	Φ(
Staffing Impact:	Other Fund		
Staffing Impact:			
Staffing Impact:	Total	\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact: Requested Number of FTE's:			
Requested Number of FTE's:	Staffing Impact:		
	Requested Number of FTE's:		(
	•		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Application	able:
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure:		
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
72-9999	2590	\$ 10,440.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Additional Accounting Details.		
Grant Information:		
Grant funds employee positions	>	No
ls there a potential for grant to co	ontinue?	Yes
If grant is funding a position is it		
be eliminated at the end of the gr	ant?	NA
Will grant program be complete:	n grant funding time frame?	Yes
Will grant program be complete i	in grant runding time frame?	ies
Will grant impact the community	once the grant funds are	
eliminated?	one the grant falles are	Yes
	l l	
Does grant duplicate services pr	ovided by private or	

Initiative Name:
State of Utah, Department of Environmental Quality - Jordan River Stream Restore
Initiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-15
Council Consent Agenda - Grant Award
Initiative Discussion:
The Management Services Sustainability Division has been notified that a 100% principal forgiveness loan of \$577,500 under the State of Utah Division of Water Quality, American Recovery And Reinvestment Act of 2009 ("Recovery Act") Clean Water State Revolving Loan Fund will be appropriated to Salt Lake City.
The purpose of this funding is to restore and enhance the water quality and stream bank stability along the Jordan River at four sites. The sites include the east bank at 561 So. 1100 W.; the south bank at 1175 So. 1085 W.; the south-west bank at 1552 So. 1200 W.; and the north bank at 2050 So 1240 W. The project will restore approximately 502 feet of bank along the Jordan River. The City will contract with the County for construction.
The Sustainability Division is requesting budget to accommodate the award in order to meet the November 15 requirement of the State Recovery Act, to have the construction contract awarded or be under construction.
Already funded by Grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

	State of Litab Deventment of	
	State of Utah, Department of	.P
Envi	ronmental Quality - Jordan Rive Stream Restore	<u> </u>
	Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #G-15	midative Hame	2009-10
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
midative (varibe)		Council Consent Agenda
Management Services		- Grant Award
Department		Type of Initiative
Emy Storheim / Sherrie Collins		535-7730 / 535-6150
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	None	
Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Annual</u>
	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund		
Tatal	Φ0	Ф.
Total Internal Service Fund	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund	Ψ0	Ψ0
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:		0
Position Title:		

				_	+			-
Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	*	TBD					
Revenue:								
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount					
		+ + +						
								`
								1
	-	+ + +		- H				1
Expenditure:			_		+			
	Object Code North		Amount		-			1
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount					
72-99999	2700	\$	577,500.00					
Additional Accounting Details:								
		1 1						
Grant Information:		<del>                                      </del>						
Grant funds employee positions?		+ + +	No					
Grant rands employee positions:		1	140					
In the second second second		+	NA					1
Is there a potential for grant to co	ntinue?	1	NA					
		$\bot$						
If grant is funding a position is it e	xpected the position will							
be eliminated at the end of the gra	int?		NA					
no communica at the cond or the gre								
graduation at the end of the graduation		† †	Yes					
	grant funding time frame?	1 1 1	100		+			
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?					1	l	1
Will grant program be complete in								
Will grant program be complete in								
Will grant program be complete in			Yes					
Will grant program be complete in			Yes					
Will grant program be complete in Will grant impact the community of eliminated?	once the grant funds are		Yes					
Will grant program be complete in	once the grant funds are		Yes					

### **DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT SERVICES** Finance DIVISION

### CITY COUNCIL TRANSMITTAL

Date Received:

Date sent to Council:

TO:

Salt Lake City Council

David Everitt, Chief of Staff

Carlton Christensen, Chair

**DATE:** August 27, 2009

FROM:

Gordon Hoskins

Deputy Director/Finance Director

Administrative Services

**SUBJECT:** Budget Opening #1 Municipal Building Authority for Fiscal Year 2009-10

STAFF CONTACT: Gordon Hoskins

535-6394

**DOCUMENT TYPE:** Budget Amendment Ordinance

**RECOMMENDATION:** That the City Council set a public hearing date to discuss the budget amendment #1 for Municipal Building Authority for Fiscal Year 2009-10.

BUDGET IMPACT: Municipal Building Authority \$1,700,000.00

### BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION:

The budget opening is separated in eight different categories:

- A. New Budget Items
- B. Grants for Existing Staff Resources
- C. Grants for New Staff Resources
- D. Housekeeping Items
- E. Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
- F. Donations
- G. Council Consent Agenda Grant Awards
- I. Council Added Items

There is 1 Housekeeping item that will transfer the Fund Balance in the Municipal Building Authority to the General Fund.

**PUBLIC PROCESS:** Public Hearing

### Fiscal Year 2010 Budget Amendment #1 – September Municipal Building Authority

#	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
	Section A	New Items					
1.	Appropriation of Fund Balance to General Fund	\$1,700,000.00					
	Section B	Grants For Exist	ing Staff Resource	es			
	Section C	<b>Grants For New</b>	Staff Resources				
	Section D	Housekeeping					
	Section E	Grants Requiring	g No New Staff Re	esources			
	Section F	Donations					
	Section G	<b>Council Consent</b>	Agenda - Grant	t Awards	S		
	Section I	<b>Council Added Ite</b>	ems				

nitiative Name:
Municipal Building Authority - Appropriation of Fund Balance to General Fund
nitiative Number:
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #D-1
nitiative Type:
Housekeeping
nitiative Discussion:
In the Fiscal year 2010 budget \$1,700,000 of revenue budget from the Municipal Building Authority was included and approved by Council. Typically, the Municipal Building Authority udget is addressed separately, but in this year other Municipal Building Authority's budge saues were discussed as part of the overall city budget. As a result the corresponding udget for transferring cash from the Municipal Building Authority to the General fund was verlooked. In order to recognize the revenue budget and move cash to the General Fund ar xpense budget needs to be approved.  This amendment request will appropriate budget from the Municipal Building Authority reserved move it to General Fund.

	Municipal Duilding Authority	
	Municipal Building Authority -	
	Appropriation of Fund Balance to	
	General Fund Initiative Name	
BA#1 FY2010 Initiative #D-1	Illitiative Name	2009-10
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
Administrative Services		Housekeeping
Department Teresa Beckstrand		Type of Initiative 535-6416
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
Frepared by	(Negative)	Positive
General Fund - Fund Balance-	(Negative)	1 Oshive
Impact		
Revenue Impact By Fund:	Fy 2009-2010	<u>Annual</u>
Novolido impaot by r ana.	Impact Amount	Impact Amount
General Fund	impact / imoant	impaot / imoant
Total	\$0	\$0
Internal Service Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Enterprise Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Other Fund		
Total	\$0	\$0
Staffing Impact:		
Requested Number of FTE's:	0	C
Position Title:		

Accounting Detail	Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable	:
Revenue: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
Expenditure: Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount
66-00032	2910-08	\$ 1,700,000.00
00 00002	2310 00	Ψ 1,700,000.00
Additional Accounting Details:		
Grant Information:		0/ N )
Grant funds employee positions?		(Yes or No)
Is there a potential for grant to cor	ntinue?	(Yes or No)
is there a potential for grant to continue:		(100 01 110)
If grant is funding a position is it e		
be eliminated at the end of the gra	nt?	(Yes or No)
Will arout program by a constant	mont funding time from - 0	Mag at Na
Will grant program be complete in	grant funding time frame?	(Yes or No)
Will grant impact the community o	nce the grant funds are	
eliminated?	grain railes are	(Yes or No)
		,
Does grant duplicate services pro	vided by private or	
Non-profit sector?		(Yes or NO)