

**SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT**  
**BUDGET AMENDMENT #2 – FISCAL YEAR 2009-10**

**DATE:** January 12, 2010  
**SUBJECT:** Budget Amendment #2 (Follow-Up Briefing)  
**STAFF REPORT BY:** Sylvia Richards, Lehua Weaver, Karen Halladay and Jennifer Bruno  
**CC:** David Everitt, Gina Chamness, Gordon Hoskins, Frank Gray, LuAnn Clark, Chief Chris Burbank, Chief Kurt Cook, Rick Graham, Jeff Niermeyer, Kay Christensen, Susi Kontgis, Sherrie Collins, Randy Hillier, and Shannon Ashby

---

**NEW INFORMATION**

***Item I-2: Funding for curb and gutter at 775 East 900 South***

Public Services Administration will be providing cost information, options, and an explanation as to how the boundaries of the 9<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup> street improvement project were determined.

***Public Safety Building and Regional Sports Complex***

The Council will receive separate briefings on the Public Safety Building and the Regional Sports Complex.

---

The following information was previously provided in Council packets for the budget amendment briefing on January 5, 2010. It is provided again for your information.

---

Four budget amendment items have been added to the Administration's transmittal since the first budget amendment briefing on December 8<sup>th</sup> as follows:

**Item A-13 Regional Sports Complex Additional Design funding - \$41,044, AND Item A-14 Regional Sports Complex Construction - \$22,800,000:** The Council will receive a separate briefing on the Regional Sports Complex.

**Item A-15 Transfer 1.0 FTE from Administrative Services to the Department of Community and Economic Development (CED)**

As part of the reorganization of the Department of Administrative Services, the Administration proposes to transfer an appointed Administrative Assistant (013) position (1.0 FTE) from Administrative Services to the Department of Community and Economic Development. In addition, the Executive Assistant (311) position currently vacant in CED would be eliminated, as well as the funding for the remainder of the fiscal year. This change equates to a savings of \$28,939 for the current fiscal year and \$62,702 of ongoing savings for the eliminated position.

**Item A-16 Change in Fleet Management Director position (\$- 0 -)** As a result of the recent Fleet Management Director vacancy, the Administration has reviewed the position requirements and is recommending that this position become an appointed/at-will position. The position is currently classified as a merit (612) position within the Department of Public Services. According to paperwork submitted by the Administration, the requirements of the position have been enhanced and now include a focus on sustainability, including reducing the fleet's size and usage. The Administration also stated in the paperwork that the position is key to supporting the initiatives of the Mayor.

Although the difference between mid-points for the merit and appointed position is about \$4,400 higher per year, the Administration is not asking for additional salary budget for this position. Per the transmittal, the Administration does not expect a significant change in salary offered to the successful Fleet Management Director candidate.

According to the Administration, as positions at the division director level become vacant they will be reviewed and recommended for reclassification if necessary. This budget amendment changes the Fleet Management Director position from a merit position (612) to an appointed/at-will position (006).

**The Administration has provided additional information regarding the following budget amendment items:**

**Item A-8 North Temple Viaduct**

The December 1<sup>st</sup> budget amendment briefing to the Council included a request to establish the revenue and expense budgets of \$16,300,000 for the sales tax bond proceeds related to the North Temple Viaduct replacement. The request did not include the bond issuance costs of \$150,000. The revised amount of this budget amendment is \$16,450,000.

**Item D-6 Recapture of CDBG Grant Funding**

The Administration provided the following information as a response to questions from Council Members regarding the recapture of CDGB monies. The Administration indicates that the Alliance House project was a partial rehabilitation of an 8-unit apartment building and the Alliance House was able to obtain lower prices on the materials as compared to what had been estimated when they submitted their application. The Alliance House is also asking for additional funding for the continued rehabilitation of this project during the 2010/2011 CDBG application process; however, the savings cannot be applied to the new application.

According to the Administration, there currently is no mechanism to use recaptured funds for prior year applications. If the City Council desires to set up such a mechanism, the Consolidated Plan provided to HUD would need to be amended to state that the City will do a second round of funding for recaptured funds and that those funds would be used for the prior year projects. The City would also need to outline the process it would use to award the funding. This would be a shift from current policy which is to gather remaining funds for the next year's process.

The Council also had questions regarding the recapture of funds from the Redwood Drive Street Reconstruction project. According to the Administration, a large amount of savings was achieved from a very favorable bid.

**Potential New Items (Council-added):**

***Item I-1: Funding for Sugar House Park Fireworks*** (\$15,000)

Council Member Simonsen has asked the Council to consider allocating \$15,000 of funding to a local group which is considering organizing and staging the summer fireworks program at Sugar House Park. This funding was allocated by the Council during the FY 2010 budget process to assist the Sugar House Park Authority with the annual fireworks program. Originally, the Council required a match from Salt Lake County as a condition of the funding. This proposal would remove the condition and provide more flexibility. *The Council may wish to ask for an update.*

***Item I-2: Funding for curb and gutter at 775 East 900 South***

Council Member Garrott has previously proposed that the Council consider allocating funding for curb and gutter replacement at 775 East 900 South. As an interim measure, Council Member Garrott is suggesting that the City install a driveway pipe and asphalt overlay. The Administration has previously indicated that this would be a property owner responsibility. Council staff has requested cost and legal information from the Administration.

It should be noted that this circumstance illustrates the difficulty that arises with the diversity in the City's concrete and street repair and replacement programs. Some property owners pay fifty percent in a Special Assessment Area for concrete repair and replacement. Others pay fifty percent in the City's 50/50 program. When work is associated with a street replacement or storm water project, abutting property owners are not charged. This creates significant frustration for property owners. The Council may wish to request policy and funding options from Council staff, Administrative staff, or through the upcoming CIP plan review process.

---

The following information was previously provided in Council packets for the budget amendment briefing on December 8, 2009. It is provided again for your information.

---

Budget Amendment Number Two contains 39 adjustments, as proposed by the Administration. The Administration recommends using fund balance for 1 initiative for a decrease of \$25,728.

**There are 5 items establishing funding for the North Temple project and viaduct, and these items were addressed in a Work Session briefing on December 1<sup>st</sup>. They are grouped together at the end of the staff report for your convenience.**

Most of the grant related items will not have a write-up because of the Council's review earlier in the process (through announcements and/or the consent agenda). However,

there are a few that staff would like to bring to your attention, because of potential interest to the Council:

Item C-1, EPA Grant to the Sustainability Division – creates a grant-funded position – staff has prepared a write-up on this one grant item – see C-1 below.

Item E-6, EPA Culinary Water Supply Protection Project for analysis of the PCE plume near 700 South 1600 East: \$286,000 (45% match required).

Item E-9, LeRay McAllister Funds for Wasatch Hollow restoration: \$20,000.

Item G-2, State of Utah Historic Preservation Grant for designating City-owned historic properties as landmark sites: \$4,800.

The Council requests a current-year revenue forecast with each budget amendment. The Administration indicates that Property Tax revenue is lower than projected by \$89,323, and Sales Tax is down by \$4,000,000. The forecast indicates that the Administration has noticed a downward trend in sales tax over the last 15 months and estimates this trend will continue during the fiscal year. Franchise Tax revenue is higher than projected by \$100,000, however, License and Permit Fee revenue is lower than projected by \$53,574, Interest Income is down by \$69,308, and Intergovernmental revenue (reimbursements from UDOT, the RDA, SLC School District, etc.) is lower than projected by \$96,992. According to the Administration, the lower revenues are due to the slow economy. Fines and Forfeitures revenue is higher than projected by \$124,932 due to a larger number of traffic tickets being issued. Parking meter revenue is also up by \$225,645 due to fees charged for bagged meters generally associated with construction. Miscellaneous revenue is higher than projected by \$54,484.

## **MATTERS AT ISSUE**

**The Administration classified the following as:**

### **New Items:**

#### ***A-1: Public Safety Complex – Request to establish Revenue and Expenditure CIP Budget (\$125,000,000 – Source/Location: Bond Proceeds/CIP Fund)***

The Administration has requested that the Council establish a revenue and expenditure budget of \$125,000,000 to reflect the recently-approved City Proposition 1, which funds the construction of a Public Safety Complex with General Obligation Bond proceeds. The Administration will provide a more in-depth briefing on this project (and timelines for the various components for this project) in early January. Establishing an expense budget would allow the Administration to begin earnest work on the project, and funds could be reimbursed at the time the bonds are issued.

Council staff has inquired of the Administration whether a smaller expense budget could be established, so that work could get under way, while minimizing the exposure to the general fund, which in a sense will be “fronting” the money for the initial project work. It could be well over a year before bonds are released for this project, and the general fund will have to balance in that year. The Administration has not yet identified how much money it believes will be spent in the initial year(s) of the project. *The Council may wish to ask the Administration how much may be spent in the first two years of the project, in order to determine the potential exposure to the general fund.*

The Administration has discussed splitting up the issuing of the full \$125 million into a series of smaller bond issuances (so that the general fund could be reimbursed sooner). This was the method used for financing the Main Library (the full amount was split into two issuances), however it is important to note that this would increase the City's cost of issuance. The Administration has not yet arrived at a final recommendation. *The Council may wish to ask for more information regarding the timing and cost of issuance. The Council may wish to establish a revenue and expenditure budget of one million or some other start up figure until more information is available.*

**A-2: Street Signal Replacement at California/ Pioneer Streets (\$25,728 – Source: Public Services Streets Signals Account)**

The Administration indicates that an accident in September 2009 at California and Pioneer Streets caused a high voltage line to be pulled down on a mast arm and signal pole. The accident also destroyed the traffic signals, cable, power service, control equipment and video detection equipment. The Traffic Signal budget covers routine maintenance, not major equipment replacement. Public Services requests additional funding to replace the signal, pole, etc. The Administration indicates that the City will pursue reimbursement from the private company causing the damage.

**A-3: Governmental Immunity Fund – Request for Budget Increase to fund Claims (\$125,000 – Source: Governmental Immunity Reserve Fund)**

Each year during the annual budget process, the Governmental Immunity Fund receives a transfer from the General Fund to cover estimated claims, court costs, and other related expenses. The Council may recall that during the past two fiscal years, the transfer from the General Fund has been reduced, and the budget for estimated claims paid has also been reduced. Those reductions were based on the actual claims paid over previous years.

For fiscal year 2009-10, the adopted budget included \$488,850 for claims and settlements. However, year-to-date claims have been higher than expected, and the Administration is requesting \$125,000 which would come from the Fund's cash reserves. The Fund's balance remaining if the request is approved would be \$2,421,298.

A list of the claims and settlements paid year-to-date is available.

**A-4: North Temple Viaduct Storm Drain and Carryover Budgets**

(Please refer to the end of the staff report for this North Temple related item.)

**A-5: Public Utilities Sewer Budget Addition and Carryover (\$8,955,100 – Source: State Revenue Bond and Sewer Utility Reserve Fund)**

This request has several components:

\$ 3,300,000	This increase allows the full \$6.3 million project to replace digester covers to be completed this year. The utility already had \$3 million budgeted for a first phase of the project, but then received funding through a state bond in order to do the full project this year. (This is the state bond that the Council approved issuing in October.)
\$ 1,545,000	Increase to the budget for sewer line replacement under Airport Light Rail line. The sewer lines need to be moved to accommodate the tracks. The lines were not included in the

		Department's five year capital improvement plan last year.
\$	500,000	Orange Street sewer main design.
\$	630,000	To cover an emergency repair of a collapsed portion of the Orange Street line under North Temple.
\$	2,980,100	Routine practice to carry over funding for projects already in progress. Unexpended appropriations lapsed on June 30, 2009 in accordance with State law. The Administration requests that the Council bring forward or "carryover" the appropriations for existing construction projects in progress.
<b>\$</b>	<b>8,955,100</b>	<b>Total</b>

**A-6: North Temple Viaduct – Request to create budgets for State Funding**

(Please refer to the end of the staff report for this North Temple related item.)

**A-7: Energy Performance Contracting (\$833,000 – Source: Loan Proceeds)**

The City Administration has been working for a number of years to pursue Energy Performance Contracting. This is a relatively new model that has been used by a number of public and private sector companies, to finance energy upgrades by using the savings over time to pay off the debt incurred to build the upgrades. *The Administration has provided a more detailed transmittal on this concept (attached to this staff report) and will provide a verbal briefing in January.*

In order to pursue an Energy Service Company (ESCO), the City completed a required "Investment Grade" audit, in order to determine the existing amount spent on utilities/energy, and the potential amount to be saved. The Administration is now requesting that the Council authorize the City to enter into a long term fixed-draw municipal lease agreement (similar to how the City purchases vehicles), in order to finance Phase I of these upgrades. If the City engages an ESCO, The Administration has broken the proposal into phases, so as to manage costs.

**Phase I – Scope and Energy Savings** - The first phase is the smallest one. Of the 24 audited city facilities, 12 were found to have enough energy savings opportunity to be included in Phase I. The improvements in phase I are lighting, mechanical, and boiler upgrades to the Central Plant, Compliance, Facilities, Fairmont Youth Center, Impound Lot, Liberty Park Pool, Memorial House, Ottinger Hall, Sorenson Multi-Cultural Center, traffic Operations Center, Sugarhouse Business District Maintenance Building, 600 South Youth and Family Building. The estimated savings totals \$54,069 per year, broken out as follows:

- \$23,070 per year in electricity (308,694 kilowatt hours)
- \$30,745 per year in natural gas (4524 Dth)
- \$2,234 per year in water (1285kGal per year)
- 222 Metric Tons per year in Carbon Emissions (equivalent to taking 40 cars off the road each year)

**Phase I - Financing** – The Administration is recommending to modify the existing terms of the City's Master Municipal Lease Agreement (with Bank of America) and use a "fixed draw"

option. Debt service would not begin until the end of construction (December 2010), and would be approximately \$64,249 per year for 15 years (escalating each year on par with estimated energy cost increases). The existing budget for these same utilities will be used to pay the debt service on the improvements, as the estimated savings in energy costs is projected to be greater than debt service in each year. If the savings in energy cost is not enough to cover debt service, the ESCO will pay the balance of the debt service (this is their guarantee). *The Council may wish to have the Administration explain this concept in greater detail.* The Administration completed an analysis of various financing mechanisms, and even thought the Master Lease Agreement had a higher interest rate (5%) than a typical Sales Tax Bond (4.5%), after considering cost of issuance and underwriters discounts, it is actually less expensive to pursue the Master Lease Fixed Draw option.

Phases II and III are much larger, and would likely involve a public process regarding street lighting. More information on these phases will be provided to the Council in the near future.

The Administration has briefed the members of the Council's Environmental Subcommittee in October on this topic, who expressed their unanimous support for the Administration's recommendation for Phase I. Council Staff has requested that the Administration provide a briefing to the full Council.

***A-8: North Temple Viaduct Sales Tax Bond – Request to Establish a Budget***

(Please refer to the end of the staff report for this North Temple related item.)

***A-9: Repairs for East Sports Complex and Sorenson Center Pool (\$158,354 – Source: CIP Cost Overrun Account)***

Salt Lake County has made repairs to the East Sports Complex and the Steiner West (Sorenson Center) Pool. In the agreement between the City and Salt Lake County, the City pays for half of all repairs and maintenance after the initial \$3,000 of costs. In October, the tile at the Sports Complex pool located on Guardsman Way was replaced with a concrete surface. The repairs totaled \$90,000 and the County has billed the City for \$43,500 which the City is obligated to pay.

In addition, the plaster surface of the outdoor pool at the Sports Complex needs to be replaced. The County anticipates the cost to be approximately \$230,000. The project will be bid in the upcoming months with construction to begin in the spring of 2010. The City's share will be \$113,500. Further, the County installed a drain at the Steiner West Pool (Sorenson Center) in order to comply with federal regulations by December 2009. The City's share is \$1,353.

The Administration requests that the Council allocate a total of \$158,354 from the CIP Cost Overrun Cost Center to pay the County for all of the necessary repairs.

***A-10: Request to Establish Budget for Liberty Patrol Precinct Property Purchase (\$1,200,000 – Source: Police Impact Fees)***

The Administration is requesting that the Council establish a budget for the Liberty Patrol Precinct property purchase using Police Impact Fees. Currently, the balance of the Police Impact Fees Account is \$2,395,845, and \$1,200,000 of this amount is eligible for the Liberty Precinct. The Administration indicates that a property has been identified and the Administration would like to move forward with negotiations. The Council has not received

materials from the Administration for an executive session briefing.

The Administration indicates that property negotiations could start as early as January 2010 and close in August/September 2010, and that a portion of the impact fees would be used to fund a feasibility study and create a conceptual cost estimate in early 2010. *The Council may wish to note that funding for the construction of the Liberty Precinct has not been identified, according to the Administration.* The Council may also wish to note that a portion of Police Impact Fees is also being requested in Item A-11 of this budget amendment for the Public Safety Building. The two requests in this budget amendment (A-10 and A-11) will use all of the available Police Impact Fee funding. Additional Police Impact fees are currently accumulating. *An executive session will be scheduled for January providing materials are received.*

**A-11: Public Safety Building Impact Fees (\$4,270,845 – Source: Police and Fire Impact Fees)**

The Administration is requesting a budget of \$4,270,845, funded from impact fees, to use towards the purchase of property for the recently-approved Public Safety Building. This action will utilize the \$2,395,884 of Police impact fees, and \$1,875,000 of Fire Impact Fees.

The project is eligible for Impact Fees (7.5% of the total project cost, or \$9,375,000). The Administration indicates that \$7.5 million is eligible to come from Police Impact Fees, and \$1.875 million is eligible to come from Fire impact fees. Therefore, if the City collects additional Police impact fees over the next few years, these could be transferred to the project (\$5,104,116 remaining eligible). Impact fees cannot be transferred to the project until they are collected from developers. It is important to note that item A-10 is proposing to use \$1.2 million in Police Impact Fees, for property purchase associated with the Liberty Patrol Precinct. Assuming the Council adopted both A-10 & A-11, the remaining balance in the Police Impact Fee account would be zero and the remaining balance in the Fire Impact Fee account will be \$2,144,639.47. If the Council did not adopt initiative A-10, that \$1.2 million in police impact fees could be allocated towards this project instead.

After a series of public workshops and analysis of ten sites during the fall, the preferred location for the project was identified as the east side of 300 East, between 400 and 500 South. The Administration is holding a public open house at the Main Library on Monday, December 7th. According to the Administration's meeting notification (sent to all CED lists and interested parties), the purpose of this open house will be to present the findings of this site analysis and to gather input on the design and layout of a civic campus.

*The Council may wish to ask the Administration for more information on the site selection, as well as a timeline for rezoning of the property and master plan amendment for the Civic Campus. Council Staff has inquired whether the Administration will finalize property purchase before the series of public workshops concludes. As of the printing of this staff report, the Administration has not yet responded.*

The City has not yet identified funding for a police evidence storage facility and operation. *The Council may wish to ask the Administration whether they anticipate that the evidence facility will be impact fee eligible and whether they anticipate the funds will be available.*

**A-12: North Temple Viaduct Replacement Special Area Assessment (SAA) – Establishing a Budget for Property Owners' Portion**



(Please refer to the end of the staff report for this North Temple related item.)

**The Administration classified the following as:  
Grants Requiring Existing Staff Resources**

***C-1: US Department, Environmental Protection Agency Grant Funding (\$368,554 – Source: Grant Fund)***

The City has received the grant funds to implement a new “Sustainable Transportation for a Sustainable Future” (STSF) program. Although the City has been notified that we will receive the grant, we have not yet received the formal award documents. Establishing this budget will serve as a placeholder until the grant award documents are actually received.

The STSF program is a partnership with the County, Salt Lake Solutions, UDOT, Utah Clean Cities Coalition and Rio Tinto. The program is a social messaging outreach / education program that will encourage behavioral changes throughout the County to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and air pollution through fewer driven miles. In addition to funding travel, grant monitoring, conferences, surveys, and other contracts, \$214,055 of the grant will fund a program manager position over three-years.

**The Council may wish to confirm that the position will be hired only for the term of the grant.**

The program will also develop a toolkit for implementation in other communities.

**The Administration classified the following as:  
Housekeeping**

***D-1: Donation Funds – Receipts and interest (\$100,000 – Source: Donation Fund)***

The Administration utilizes a “master budget” for donation receipts and related interest earned – this allows the Administration to have the necessary appropriation so that as donations or interest income are received, they can have quick access to the funds. The budget is generally kept at \$100,000 and restored as donations come in and the appropriation is spent down. The Administration has received donations and interest totaling the \$100,000 and request that the Council again restore the \$100,000 appropriation.

***D-2: Housing Fund Grant Program Income (\$1,359,101 – Source: Grant Fund)***

The Administration generally uses a budget amendment to capture grant program income so that it can be recycled back into the various housing programs to continue the loan programs. This is a carryover from the previous year’s balance of the Housing Program Fund, which is generated from principal and interest payments from borrowers.

This request is lower than previous year amounts, because this year the Administration included an appropriation in the annual budget in order to have more immediate access to the funds.

The programs that generated this income are:

\$1,000,000	Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)
314,306	HOME

34,764 Renter Rehabilitation  
 10,031 American Dream Downpayment Initiative (ADDI)

**D-3: Public Utility Water Budget Carryover (\$2,244,000– Source: Water Fund)**

This amount represents the amount requested to be carried over for water fund capital projects. This is a normal practice of carrying over funding for construction projects which are in progress. On June 30, 2009, unexpended appropriations lapsed in accordance with State law. The Administration is requesting that the Council bring forward, or “carryover” the appropriations for existing construction projects in progress of \$2,244,000. The fiscal year ends on June 30th of each year, which falls in the middle of a normal summer construction period.

**D-4: CIP and Class C Funds Recapture (\$290,679)**

The Administration is requesting to recapture \$290,679 of funding from the remaining completed and closed out CIP and Class “C” projects. The recaptured funding will increase the cost overrun accounts for use in future programming. The amounts remaining from completed and closed out projects are as follows:

City & County Building Energy Savings	\$ 2,318.01
Pavilion Roof Replacement	53,699.45
Roof Replacement/City Facilities	40,140.04
500 East Rehabilitation Project	8,223.25
500 East (900 to 1300 So.)	<u>186,298.28</u>
TOTAL	290,679.03

**D-5: North Temple Jordan River Bridge Replacement**

(Please refer to the end of the staff report for this North Temple related item.)

**D-6: Recapture of CDBG Grant Funding (\$302,620)**

The Administration is requesting to recapture \$302,620 of funding from the remaining CDBG projects and grants. The funding will be used for future programming. The amounts remaining from completed projects are as follows:

Riverside Park Streetscape	\$ 1,211.03
Redwood Dr. Street Reconstruction	279,774.54
Bell Ave. Street Design	553.33
Burbank Ave. Street Design	9,202.74
Alliance House	11,643.00
Community Action Program	<u>235.25</u>
TOTAL	302,619.89

**D-7: Property Management Budget – Request for Increase (\$55,000 – Source: Surplus Land Account)**

According to the Administration, costs are incurred when the City enters into real estate transactions, including acquiring, selling, and/or researching property. The City’s Property Management Division handles all property transactions for the Salt Lake City General Fund and Public Utilities Enterprise Fund. (The Airport Enterprise Fund handles its own property transactions with limited interaction with Property Management.) Additionally Property Management incurs real estate related expenses for demolition, security, weed

removal, clean up, boarding, graffiti removal for properties which have not yet been assigned custodial control to any particular department.

Currently, the budget is \$25,912 with an outstanding bill of approximately \$5,100. The Administration is requesting additional funding of \$55,000 for a total budget of \$75,812. If the Council approves the funding, the balance of the Surplus Land Account will be \$3,864,439. Council staff has recently requested an update on the anticipated needs associated with the Surplus Land Account.

***D-8: Intermodal Hub Fund Encumbrance Carryover (\$130,000 – Intermodal Hub Fund)***

On June 30, 2009, unexpended budgets lapse in accordance with State law. The Administration is requesting that the Council bring forward or “carryover” the balance for \$130,000 to cover purchases encumbered last fiscal year. This occurs within the Intermodal Hub Fund and does not impact the General Fund.

***D-9: Golf and Fleet Fund Carryover (Total - \$465,452: Golf – \$19,760 and Fleet Fund – \$445,692)***

State Statute requires that all budgets, except for the Capital Projects Fund, lapse on June 30<sup>th</sup> of each fiscal year. Budgets for items requested by departments are encumbered or set aside for the purchase and payment of that item. The encumbrance system essentially prevents funds from being overspent. Encumbered purchase orders at the end of the fiscal year are items that have been ordered, but not yet received by the requesting City department. Typically, budgets for these unpaid items drop to the General Fund’s fund balance.

However for the Golf and Fleet Fund, the funds to pay for the encumbered purchases come from Golf and Fleet Fund reserves. Specifics by fund include:

- **Golf Fund** - The FY 2010 request to move budget to cover the two (2) Golf Fund encumbrances is \$19,760. As of November 30, 2009, one of the items remains to be paid. It is for security cameras which have been installed. Payment is expected to be made in December.
- **Fleet Fund** - The FY 2010 request to move budget to cover the thirty (30) Fleet Fund encumbrances is \$445,692. As of November 30, 2009, one of the items remains to be paid. It is for Mercury Associates, Inc, the consulting firm conducting the audit of the Fleet Division. As is standard for audit projects, upon completion and presentation of the audit findings, additional invoices are expected for this project. According to information provided by the Administration, approximately \$43,000 remains encumbered for FY 2010. As of November 30, 2009, FY 2009 and FY 2010 payments of \$174,156 have been paid towards the audit.

**The Administration classified the following as:  
Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources**

*Please refer to the Administration’s transmittal for information on the grants.*

**The Administration classified the following as:  
Donations**

Please refer to the Administration's transmittal for information on the donations.

**The Administration classified the following as:  
Cost Overruns**

**NONE**

**Council Added Items**

**NONE**

---

**All North Temple related items were discussed separately during the December 1<sup>st</sup> Work Session. The information is provided again here for your convenience:**

Briefing – Budget Amendment #2 – North Temple Related Items

- North Temple Viaduct
  - #A-4 – Storm Drain - \$4,200,000
  - #A-6 – State Funding - \$20,000,000
  - #A-8 – Sales Tax Bond - \$16,300,000
  - #A-12 – Special Assessment Area - \$4,300,000
- Jordan River Bridge
  - #D-5 – Replacement - \$375,734

**Budget Amendment #2 – North Temple Related Items**

The Administration transmitted FY2010 Budget Amendment Number Two on November 17, 2009. Included in the second budget amendment are 39 proposed adjustments. Five of the proposed adjustments are related to the North Temple Boulevard and Viaduct reconstruction projects, which will be briefed during the December 1<sup>st</sup> work session. The remaining budget amendments will be discussed at the December 8<sup>th</sup> work session.

The budget items are as follows:

***A-4: North Temple Viaduct Storm Drain and Carryover Budgets (\$6,199,866 – Source: Public Utilities Stormwater Fund)***

---

**This request should be reviewed in two separate pieces: \$4.2 million pertaining to North Temple Viaduct related projects, and \$1,999,866 for normal storm water carryovers.**

**North Temple Viaduct related projects: \$4.2 million**

**KEY ELEMENTS:**

This \$4.2 million dollar budget request is for the \$4 million cost of rebuilding the City Creek Conduit underneath the new North Temple viaduct and \$200,000 toward the design cost for the Folsom Avenue storm water line, which includes a preliminary design study (but not full design) of the concept to “daylight” some creek flow and develop parkway amenities connecting the Jordan River parkway trail to the Gateway. (This begins to address the concept of day-lighting creek flow and creating some amenities, as discussed by the Council and adopted in the funding Resolution.)

The \$4.2 million will be funded by the Storm Water Fund's cash reserves (later to be replenished by the approved rate increase). An additional rate increase will be forwarded with the annual budget in order to cover the full cost of the Folsom Avenue storm water line.

**BACKGROUND:**

There are two large storm water projects that are related to the North Temple viaduct:

1. City Creek Conduit construction, cost: \$4 million
2. Folsom Avenue Storm Water Conduit
  - a. Design cost: \$200,000
  - b. Construction cost: \$7 million (funding for this has not yet been identified or discussed in detail)
  - c. Parkway amenity construction cost: \$3M (funding from the General Fund has not been identified or discussed in detail)

Both the City Creek conduit and the Folsom Avenue conduit are key components of storm water control for City Creek run-off. Currently, the City Creek conduit carries the flow under North Temple, but requires the use of sandbags and pipe risers up to 10 feet high on the manhole covers to contain the flow in peak times. The Light Rail line will make it impossible to utilize the sandbags. Therefore, the flow must be diverted to the Folsom Avenue conduit. When the City was realigning Grant's Tower, the opportunity was taken to construct a diversion structure to handle some of this flow, but the line was only constructed to 800 West. To fully utilize the Folsom Avenue diversion structure, and minimize the impact of a high-flow event on North Temple Light Rail, construction on the storm water line must be finished between 800 West and the Jordan River.

The \$4 million portion of this request is to fund the reconstruction and relocation of the City Creek conduit under the new North Temple viaduct. If the viaduct is shortened, the conduit needs to be relocated to preserve maintenance access. Regardless of the location of the conduit, it is also in poor condition and need of repairs.

The \$200,000 portion of this request is to design the Folsom Avenue storm water conduit (800 West to the Jordan River). This will also include a study of how to bring some of the flow up to the street level - what has been previously referred to as "day-lighting City Creek". The study will provide some options of how the flow can be brought up to street level, and what park-type amenities might also be a part of the project. It should be noted that this will not be a full design of the day-lighting option or the park amenities. This will include a review of Parkway options and conceptual design so that the new flood conduit design includes provisions to implement the "stream" and parkway. Funding for this portion of the project has not yet been identified.

**FUNDING DETAIL:**

Both the current request for \$4.2 million and the future \$7 million (needed for the construction of the Folsom Avenue conduit) will be funded out of the Storm Water Fund within the Department of Public Utilities.

A total rate increase of \$1.26 per month would fund both of these projects totaling \$11.2 million. This includes the currently adopted \$1.00 per month rate increase, and the Department will consider requesting an additional rate increase in the next annual budget.

Originally, the completion of the Folsom Avenue conduit to the Jordan River was planned to be constructed in 2010-11, and the Department was intending to use the currently approved \$1.00 per month rate increase as its funding source. However, \$4 million will be taken from that project and used toward the City Creek conduit rehabilitation under the North Temple viaduct.

(As a reminder, storm water fees are charged based on the surface areas of property: residential properties up to 0.25 acres, and other larger properties pay for each 2,500 square feet of impervious area (roof tops, pavement, etc.) The rate increase effective January 1 will take the residential rate from \$3.00 per month to \$4.00 per month, and for the larger properties, from \$3.00 per month per 2,500 square feet of impervious area to \$4.00 per month.)

### **Routine Carryover of Project Funding: \$1,999,866**

This amount represents the amount requested to be carried over for storm water capital projects. This is a normal practice of carrying over funding for construction projects which are in progress. On June 30, 2009, unexpended appropriations lapsed in accordance with State law. The Administration is requesting that the Council bring forward, or “carryover” the appropriations for existing construction projects in progress of \$1,999,866. The fiscal year ends on June 30th of each year, which falls in the middle of a normal summer construction period.

### ***A-6: North Temple Viaduct - Request to create budgets for State Funding (\$20,000,000 - Source: CIP Fund)***

---

**This budget opening to bring in state funding is one of the identified funding sources for the North Temple Single Viaduct Project. These funds are specific to the Viaduct project.**

During the 2009 State of Utah Legislative Session, HB185 was passed. The legislature authorized the sale of bonds in order to transfer \$20 million from the State to Salt Lake City for the North Temple Single Viaduct project. The funding is to be used for the Viaduct project costs, including right of way (ROW) acquisition, reconstruction, renovations, or improvements to the highway. The estimated cost for the viaduct replacement is \$71,000,000. The \$20,000,000 represents approximately 28% of the project cost.

According to information provided by the Administration, the Utah Department of Transportation has confirmed that the authorized bonds (HB185) have been sold. According to the Administration, the funds transfer is expected in early December of 2009. UTA will use the funds for the viaduct reconstruction project. Any interest earned will also be applied to the viaduct project. The escrow account transactions are available upon request.

This budget amendment establishes the revenue and expense budgets for the State’s contribution of \$20,000,000 to the North Temple Single Viaduct project.

According to paperwork submitted by the Administration, there are potential cost savings from closely managing the design, engineering, and construction of the viaduct of approximately \$5-\$6 million.

- Does the Council wish to inquire about the Administration’s plans for monitoring and controlling the project’s scope and costs?
- Does the Council wish to have regular written or oral status reports for this project or for any project component which exceeds its estimated dollar amount?

***A-8: North Temple Viaduct Sales Tax Bond – Request to Establish a Budget (\$16,300,000 – Source: CIP Fund)***

---

**This item is one of the identified funding sources for the North Temple Single Viaduct Project. These funds are specific to the Viaduct project.**

Originally, UTA’s plans to extend the Light Rail line to the Airport were to weave the rail lines under the eastern end of the existing North Temple viaduct and then build a new, rail-dedicated viaduct line for the TRAX extension to the Airport. This and other options, including funding needs for these options, were explored by the City’s Administration.

It is now the recommendation of the Administration to demolish and replace the existing viaduct with a single viaduct that can handle vehicular, TRAX, bikes and pedestrian traffic. In addition, the proposed viaduct will be shorter, which allows the 400 West North Temple intersection to be “opened”, and will encourage further development of this portion of the City. UTA has agreed to a “not to exceed” price of \$71 million for the North Temple Single Viaduct project.

The Administration has identified the following funding sources for the project:

<b>Funding Source</b>	<b>Amount</b>	<b>Additional Notes</b>
Utah Transit Authority (UTA)	\$25,000,000	This is the amount UTA had planned for adding a separate rail line viaduct.
State of Utah	\$20,000,000	In the 2009 legislative session, HB 185 was passed. This bill included \$20 million to be used to rebuild the viaduct. This item – #A-6 is included in FY2010 Budget Amendment #2.
Wasatch Front Regional Council (WFRC)	\$5,000,000	WFRC will need to redirect this federal funding. <i>Does the Council wish to ask the Administration when the “transfer” is expected to happen?</i>
Wasatch Front Regional Council (WFRC)	\$730,000	WFRC will need to redirect this federal funding. <i>Does the Council wish to ask the Administration when the “transfer” is expected to happen?</i>
Salt Lake City Public Utilities	\$4,000,000	The rebuilding of the viaduct and TRAX line to the Airport has the potential of causing flooding and pooling of water near the rail line. The Administration is proposing that the City Creek Conduit be replaced while the TRAX lines and viaduct projects are under construction. Note: This is item #A-4 of FY2010 Budget Amendment #2.
Salt Lake City Sales Tax Revenue Bonds	\$16,300,000	The Administration is proposing that the remainder of the funds be financed with a sales tax bond of

		\$16.3 million. Two sources of payment for the bonds have been identified - a Special Assessment Area (SAA) of \$4.3 million and a Community Development Area (CDA) of \$12.0 million. According to the Administration, approximately 75% of the SAA will be prepaid. The remaining amount of the SAA will be financed by the City. Additionally, based on paperwork provided by the Administration, the tax increment from the CDA is expected to reimburse the City's general fund as development occurs in the CDA designated area. The amount of the tax increment paid to the City will depend on the amount of development and when the development occurs. The life of the CDA is 25 years. It will begin in 2012 and will expire in 2036. (Note: The Sales Tax Bond Parameters Resolution sets a "not to exceed" amount of \$20 million.) <i>Does the Council accept the risk of having to pay the incurred debt if the CDA projects do not develop as projected?</i>
Total	\$71,030,000	

This budget amendment establishes the revenue and expenditure budgets for the \$16,300,000 Sales Tax Bond.

***A-12: North Temple Viaduct Replacement Special Area Assessment (SAA) - Establishing a Budget for Property Owners' Portion (\$4,300,000 - Source: CIP Fund) Note: Per the Administration, this item has been changed from \$4,470,000.***

**This item is one of the identified funding sources for the North Temple Single Viaduct Project. These funds are specific to the Viaduct project.**

According to paperwork submitted by the Administration, properties adjacent to the Viaduct project will be asked to participate in a Special Assessment Area (SAA). This area includes properties from North Temple to 600 North and from 300 West to 500 West. The SAA source of funding is approximately \$4,300,000. These funds along with other identified funding sources will be used for reconstructing the viaduct to accommodate all forms of transit and shorten the bridge. There are thirteen (13) properties owned by five entities that directly benefit from the improvements. These properties would be levied an assessment to pay a portion of the project costs. The property owners have been sent a Notice of Intent and Protest Hearing letter. This notice advised the property owners in the Special Assessment Area of the December 8<sup>th</sup>, 2009 public hearing.

This budget amendment is to establish Special Assessment Area revenue and expenditure budgets.

***D-5: North Temple Jordan River Bridge Replacement (\$375,734 - Source: Class C Fund)***

Per Resolution No. 55 of 2009, the City Council adopted the City Administration's recommendation that \$375,000 from Class C road funds be authorized for work on design elements of the Jordan River



Bridge including the bridge deck, parapet, walkway, streetlights, and railing. The bridge is being rebuilt to accommodate the Airport Light Rail project and to enhance and upgrade the features to provide continuity with other design elements of the North Temple Boulevard project. Enhancements include widened walkways, improved pedestrian lighting, accessibility to the river and decorative bridge railings.

The following table presents the reallocation of Class C funds to the North Temple Jordan River Bridge Replacement project:

Fund Reallocation	Amount	Additional Information
1300 East, South Temple to 500 South Street Improvement Project	\$160,734	Wasatch Front Regional Council (WFRC) funds of approximately \$730,000, which have been set aside for the 1300 East project, have been proposed to be used for the North Temple Viaduct reconstruction project. In addition, costs of \$200,000 incurred for the 1300 East design and environmental approval documents have been completed and paid. Remaining funds of \$160,734 are proposed to be redirected to the Jordan River Bridge Replacement project. The 1300 East project has been cancelled.
2009-10 Street Pavement Overlay project	\$100,000	Construction bids for these projects were lower than anticipated because of the recent downturn in the economy. This resulted in project savings.
California Avenue, 4800 West to 5600 West Reconstruction Project	\$115,000	Constructions bids were lower than anticipated resulting in General and Class "C" Fund savings.
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$375,734</b>	

This budget amendment request redirects project savings from completed or cancelled projects and establishes a new cost center for the North Temple Jordan River Bridge Replacement project.

**GOVERNMENT IMMUNITY FUND RELATED TO GENERAL FUND OPERATIONS**

**Claims Paid 7/1/2009 through 12/2/2009**


<i><b>Division</b></i>	<i><b>Event Date</b></i>	<i><b>Claimant</b></i>	<i><b>Incurred</b></i>	<i><b>Description</b></i>
Fire Department	8/7/2009	Eccles, Morgan	\$1,183.10	Hose fell off of moving fire truck, struck by claimant vehicle
Fire Department	9/14/2009	Turner, Jonathan	\$6,126.38	City vehicle struck claimant's vehicle
Police Department	7/8/2009	Webb, Jacquelyn	\$2,577.00	Police response to situation that incorrectly reported to be at claimant's address, property damage
Police Department	7/9/2009	Francis, Gloria	\$199.00	Property stolen while in City's control
Police Department	9/5/2009	Shell, James	\$1,448.69	City vehicle collision, property damage
Police Department	10/22/2009	Gonzalez, Cedar	\$1,424.12	City vehicle backed into claimant's vehicle
Police Department	8/25/2009	Ogden, David	\$4,343.86	City vehicle struck claimant's vehicle
Public Services Department	7/29/2009	Phoenix Loss Control	\$1,124.29	City vehicle backed into pole
Public Services Department	8/18/2009	Russell, Patricia	\$3,186.87	City vehicle rear-ended claimant's vehicle
Public Services Department	10/15/2009	Douglas, M. Scott	\$358.70	City vehicle struck claimant's vehicle
Police Department	9/22/2009	Hutchings, Travis	\$3,843.83	City vehicle struck claimant's vehicle
Public Services Department	9/11/2009	PacifiCorp	\$470.00	City vehicle backed into pole
Public Services Department	8/19/2009	Francis, Gloria	\$199.00	Personal property stolen while vehicle impounded
Public Services Department	6/17/2008	Marcozzi, Chris	\$1,000.00	Skateboarder hit hole in street, bodily injury claim
Public Services Department	5/13/2009	Phoenix Loss Control	\$2,233.27	Cut through underground cable when planting tree
CED	9/26/2008	Jones, Kyle	\$808.69	City vehicle backed into claimant's vehicle
Public Services Department	8/12/2009	Rose Printing Co.	\$620.00	Backed mower into to gate
Public Services Department	5/18/2009	Travelers Insurance	\$2,361.26	City vehicle backed into traffic colliding with claimant's vehicle
Police Department	7/30/2009	Roberts, Michael	\$63.09	Personal property destroyed
Police Department	4/16/2009	Alanis, Antonio	\$1,043.07	City vehicle struck claimant's vehicle
Police Department	6/4/2009	State Farm Insurance	\$5,444.44	City vehicle struck claimant's vehicle
Police Department	10/20/2006	Spence Law Firm & Daymian Hughes	\$480,000.00	Officer involved shooting
Police Department	4/15/2009	Bear River Mutual	\$5,461.06	City vehicle struck claimant's vehicle

*Total: \$525,519.72*

# SALT LAKE CITY CORPORATION

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICES  
DIRECTORS OFFICE

## CITY COUNCIL TRANSMITTAL




David Everitt, Chief of Staff

Date Received: 11/30/09

Date Sent to Council: 12/1/09

**TO:** Salt Lake City Council  
Carlton Christensen, Chair

**DATE:** November 30, 2009

**FROM:** Rick Graham   
Director of Public Services

**SUBJECT:** Energy Performance Contracting Project, Phase I - Briefing

**STAFF CONTACT:** Alden Breinholt  
Director of Facilities Services  
535-6163

Jim Cleland  
Energy Utilities Coordinator  
535-6631

**DOCUMENT TYPE:** Budget Amendment Request and Project Briefing

**RECOMMENDATION:** That the Council authorize the Administration to enter into a long term fixed-draw municipal lease agreement with installment payments from energy conservation and efficiency upgrades to City facilities.

**BUDGET IMPACT:** Total Phase I project costs are \$888,000, with \$833,000 to be financed, after Utility Company incentives, at a 5% interest rate for 15 years. Lease payments and energy savings are escalated at the projected rate of increasing energy costs. Escalating lease payments begin at \$59,959.83 in year one. Funds will be diverted from the utility budget at the escalated rate for debt services payments. The result reduces energy consumption in City facilities with a "Budget Neutral" financial impact.

**BACKGROUND and DISCUSSION:** A goal of the Mayor's Office both past and present is to eliminate waste and conserve energy. In February 2007 an initiative began to engage an Energy Service Company (ESCO) to perform energy audits that identify opportunities to conserve energy and perform energy conservation up-grades to City facilities using an Energy Performance Contract. Forty-seven (47) sites had Initial Site

REG  
NOV 30 2009

LOCATION: 451 SOUTH STATE STREET, ROOM 138 SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111-3104  
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 145469, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84114-5469  
TELEPHONE: 801-535-7775 FAX: 801-535-7963

WWW.SLCCGOV.COM



SCANNED TO: David Halcy  
SCANNED BY: Pauline  
DATE: 11/30/09


**CITY COUNCIL TRANSMITTAL**

\_\_\_\_\_  
David Everitt, Chief of Staff

**Date Received:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Date Sent to Council:** \_\_\_\_\_

**TO:** Salt Lake City Council  
Carlton Christensen, Chair

**DATE:** November 30, 2009

**FROM:** Rick Graham   
Director of Public Services

**SUBJECT:** Energy Performance Contracting Project, Phase I - Briefing

**STAFF CONTACT:** Alden Breinholt  
Director of Facilities Services  
535-6163

Jim Cleland  
Energy Utilities Coordinator  
535-6631

**DOCUMENT TYPE:** Budget Amendment Request and Project Briefing

**RECOMMENDATION:** That the Council authorize the Administration to enter into a long term fixed-draw municipal lease agreement with installment payments from energy conservation and efficiency upgrades to City facilities.

**BUDGET IMPACT:** Total Phase I project costs are \$888,000, with \$833,000 to be financed, after Utility Company incentives, at a 5% interest rate for 15 years. Lease payments and energy savings are escalated at the projected rate of increasing energy costs. Escalating lease payments begin at \$59,959.83 in year one. Funds will be diverted from the utility budget at the escalated rate for debt services payments. The result reduces energy consumption in City facilities with a "Budget Neutral" financial impact.

**BACKGROUND and DISCUSSION:** A goal of the Mayor's Office both past and present is to eliminate waste and conserve energy. In February 2007 an initiative began to engage an Energy Service Company (ESCO) to perform energy audits that identify opportunities to conserve energy and perform energy conservation up-grades to City facilities using an Energy Performance Contract. Forty-seven (47) sites had Initial Site

Surveys and twenty-four (24) facilities were chosen for Investment Grade Energy Audits. Of the twenty-four (24) audited sites twelve (12) facilities were found to have enough energy savings opportunity to be included in Phase I project. Phase II and III projects are significantly larger and will occur in the future as a scope is finalized and the street lighting public process is completed.

Other project and funding options are considered including using the conventional City construction process and CIP funding through budgets or different bonding scenarios. An Energy Performance Contract was found to provide quality energy analysis, innovative engineering and design, rapid implementation and a budget neutral funding alternative. Upon project completion energy reductions are immediate and the savings begin. After the debt service is satisfied the energy savings remain a continued avoided cost. For additional figures and details, please see the attached documentation.

**PUBLIC PROCESS:** Not applicable

Attachment



## **Energy Performance Contracting Project**

### **Executive Summary**

#### **INTRODUCTION:**

This briefing has three main purposes. The first is to present the results of the Energy Audits. The second is to recommend implementation plans. The third is to identify funding sources and obtain Council Subcommittee support. The Administration is ready to move forward on the first of the three phases, once a funding option is selected and approved by the City Council.

#### **2007 PROJECT CONCEPTION:**

In February 2007 the initiative began to engage an Energy Service Company or ESCO to the City's facilities. The purpose of this project is to identify and implement energy conservation measures and pay for the improvements through energy savings. The major projects were identified as follows:

Airports – Enterprise Fund

Golf – Enterprise Fund

Public Services – General Fund (all facilities managed by the Facilities Division for multiple General Fund programs, including Fire and Police)

Public Utilities – Enterprise Fund

Transportation (street lighting) – General Fund

#### **2008 AUDIT CONTRACTS**

The Purchasing Division prepared and solicited an RFP for an ESCO to perform Investment Grade Energy Audits. Two ESCO contractors, Siemens and Schneider Electric, formerly TAC, were selected to perform audits. First, the ESCO's performed pre-audit surveys to determine which facilities should be audited. The Airports facilities were determined to have extensive renovation plans and non-conditioned spaces and were not good candidates for an EPC project. Airports' facilities were eliminated from the audit.





## 2009 – AUDITS:

In 2009 the audits were completed. Audit results found that Public Utilities administrative offices and shops would require too much construction, so implementation costs could not be recovered from energy savings in fifteen (15) years. Public Utilities paid the audit costs and ended their project. The Siemens invoiced the City for \$4,404.00.

### AUDIT RESULTS:

The departments with energy conservation projects that would pay for themselves from energy savings are as follows:

#### *Public Services Facilities*

##### Phase I

- 12 - General Fund buildings
- Liberty Park lighting

### FUTURE PROJECTS:

Other facilities are identified as potential projects, but require additional planning, public input, and more verification and research. These issues will likely be resolved by May 2010.

##### Phase II Part a

#### *Transportation*

- Street lighting is being considered as part of an overall transportation lighting plan. This project has a 11 year payback, but requires additional research, planning and public input.

##### Phase II Part b

#### Public Safety Building:

- Requires definitive plans for future use.

#### Justice Courts Building

- Requires a \$150K up-front investment from energy incentives.

##### Phase II Part c



## Central Plant

- Peak shaving measures utilizing electric co-generation during peak demand periods is identified as an energy saving measure. It is however, contingent on utilization of waste heat for additional electricity generation and building heating.

## *Golf Enterprise Fund*

### Phase III

- Irrigation Renovation Project

## **IMPLEMENTATION:**

### PHASE I

#### General Fund Operated Buildings

#### Staff Recommendations:

It is recommended that the City move forward with the twelve (12) Public Services General Fund operated buildings. The buildings are as follows:

- Central Plant – Lighting and boiler upgrades
- Compliance – Lighting
- Facilities / Grey Glass – Lighting and mechanical upgrades
- Fairmont Youth & Family – Boiler replacement
- Impound - Lighting and mechanical
- Liberty Park – Pool covers and lighting
- Memorial House – Lighting
- Ottinger Hall – Mechanical
- Sorenson Multi-cultural Center – Lighting, controls, mechanical
- Traffic Operations Center – Lighting, mechanical
- Sugarhouse Business District Maintenance Bldg. – Lighting





## 600 South Youth and Family – Lighting, mechanical

The results of implementing these energy conservation measures are estimated to be as follows:

### SITE ENERGY SAVINGS:

- 308,694 kWh / year of electricity which equates to \$23,070 / year.
- 4524 Dth / year of natural gas which equates to \$30,745/ year.
- 1285 kGallons /year which equates to \$2,234 / year.
- Combined Utility cost avoidance of \$56,049/ year.
- 222 Metric Tons / year Co2 Carbon Emissions Reduction or 40 cars off the road

### BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:

- Debt service on \$887,769 in upgrades would be covered by savings from utility costs.
- (one of several options listed below)
- \$55,181 in utility company incentives returned back to SLC for project.
- ESCO will guarantee all energy savings except small buildings with stipulated energy use determined baseline.

### CURRENT CONDITION:

- Aging capital assets.
- Inefficient lighting and HVAC systems.
- Limited building environmental controls.

### CAPITAL RENEWAL & IMPROVEMENTS

- Install computer controlled Building Management System SMCC
- Install pool covers SMCC.
- Boiler Improvements.
- Lighting Upgrades, T12's to T-8's.
- Install high efficiency RTUs.

### FINANCING OPTIONS:

- Use existing Master Lease Schedule (Current Contract Amendment)
- Master Lease – Fixed Draw Option
- Sales Tax Revenue Bond
- Lease Revenue Bonds via the MBA Fund (RFP)

After careful analysis the most advantageous financing option for the City is to modify the existing Master Municipal Lease and use a Fixed Draw Option. The bond option has issuance fees and Bond Council fees that preclude it from being the best option.



# COUNCIL BRIEFING

---

Energy Performance Project  
December 8, 2009



# 2007 SLC ENGAGES ESCO

---

## Investment Grade Energy Facility Audits

- Airports – Eliminated during preliminary evaluation
- Public Utilities
- CED Transportation (Street Lighting)
- Golf
- General Fund Buildings



# 2009 AUDITS

---

- Public Utilities      2 Facilities
- Public Services      24 Facilities
- Street Lighting      City Wide
- Golf      6 of 7 Courses



# 2009 AUDIT

## Projects paid for with energy savings

---

- **Public Services**
  - General Fund Buildings
  - Public Safety Building – 315 East 200 South
  - Central Plant
- **CED Transportation**
  - Street Lighting
- **Golf**
  - Irrigation Renovation
- **Public Utilities**
  - Administration Building – Eliminated as a project. Too much construction needed.
  - Shops – Eliminated as a project. Too much construction needed.

# PROJECT DEVELOPMENT







# PHASE I – General Fund Buildings


## Phase I - General Fund Buildings Project

### Phase I - General Fund Buildings:

- Central Plant
- Compliance
- Facilities / Gray Glass
- Fairmont - Y&F
- Impound Facilities
- Liberty Park - Lighting & Pool
- Memorial House
- Ottinger Hall
- Sorenson Multi-Cultural Center
- Traffic Operations Center
- SBD - Maintenance
- Youth & Family








# PROJECT PROFORMA

	Y/N	Facility	FIM Description	Implementation Price	Energy Savings	Associated Savings	Incentive	Payback (yrs)
1	Y	City Wide	Lighting & Lighting Controls	\$84,791	\$9,242	\$3,547	\$7,459	7.8
3	Y	City Wide	Water Conservation	\$32,831	\$2,901	\$0	\$1,042	11.0
4	Y	Sorenson	IDEC Evap Cooling RTU	\$0	\$1,163	\$0	\$0	0.0
5	Y	Sorenson	Variable Frequency Drives	\$9,544	\$499	\$0	\$975	17.2
7	Y	Sorenson	DDC and Controls	\$51,577	\$3,771	\$0	\$4,845	12.4
10	Y	Sorenson	Pool Cover	\$38,794	\$10,642	\$0	\$15,670	2.2
11	Y	Central Plant	Boiler Improvement/Replacement	\$142,362	\$10,573	\$0	\$14,550	12.1
12	Y	Ottinger Hall	Furnace Improvement/Replacement	\$8,184	\$1,905	\$0	\$0	4.3
25	Y	Sorenson	High Efficiency RTUs	\$160,615	\$3,363	\$0	\$0	47.8
10	Y	Liberty Park	Pool Cover	\$52,768	\$6,121	\$0	\$9,570	7.1
11	Y	Fairmont	Boiler Improvement/Replacement	\$27,483	\$883	\$0	\$1,070	29.9
22	Y	Liberty Park	1000 Watt Poles	\$46,418	\$4,984	\$1,459	\$0	7.2
<b>Base Construction Costs</b>				<b>\$655,366</b>	<b>\$56,047</b>	<b>\$5,006</b>	<b>\$55,181</b>	<b>10.7</b>
#			Non Construction Items	Implementation Price	Energy Savings	Op Savings	Percentage of Total Cost	Payback (yrs)
1			Contingency	\$31,628	\$0	\$0	4%	N/A
2			Direct Labor	\$86,159	\$0	\$0	10%	N/A
3			Contract Development	\$15,737	\$0	\$0	2%	N/A
4			Other Direct Project Costs	\$37,763	\$0	\$0	4%	N/A
5			Indirect Project Costs	\$40,341	\$0	\$0	5%	N/A
6			Audit	\$16,216	\$0	\$0	2%	N/A
7			Bond	\$4,560	\$0	\$0	1%	N/A
<b>Non Construction Subtotal</b>				<b>\$232,404</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Total Project Cost</b>				<b>\$887,769</b>	<b>\$56,047</b>	<b>\$5,006</b>	<b>\$55,181</b>	<b>14.9</b>
<p>Notes:            The cost information is for budget purposes and is not intended to represent the actual construction cost of independent measures            Simple Payback = (Project Cost)/(Yr 1 Total Annual Savings)</p>								



# PROJECT CASH FLOW



Siemens Building Technologies, Inc. Confidential

15 Year Cash Flow Projection

Yr	Base Year		Energy Costs		Energy Savings		Associated Savings (1)		Capital Aid		Total					
	Assets	Liabilities	Ongoing Service and M&V	Non-M&V TSP	Review Cost	GEO Peer	Net Annual Benefit	Cum Cash Flow	Assets	Liabilities	Ongoing Service and M&V	Non-M&V TSP	Review Cost	GEO Peer	Net Annual Benefit	Cum Cash Flow
1	\$5,156	(\$5,800)	(\$59,959.83)	(\$5,841)	\$0	(\$65,800)	\$307	\$307	\$66,107	\$0	(\$59,959.83)	(\$5,841)	\$0	(\$65,800)	\$307	\$307
2	\$5,311	(\$69,165)	(\$63,148.83)	(\$6,016)	\$0	(\$69,165)	\$145	\$145	\$69,310	\$0	(\$63,148.83)	(\$6,016)	\$0	(\$69,165)	\$145	\$452
3	\$5,470	(\$72,155)	(\$65,958.83)	(\$6,196)	\$0	(\$72,155)	\$354	\$354	\$72,509	\$0	(\$65,958.83)	(\$6,196)	\$0	(\$72,155)	\$354	\$806
4	\$1,842	(\$71,784)	(\$71,783.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$71,784)	\$14	\$14	\$71,798	\$0	(\$71,783.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$71,784)	\$14	\$820
5	\$1,892	(\$74,451)	(\$74,450.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$74,451)	\$6	\$6	\$74,457	\$0	(\$74,450.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$74,451)	\$6	\$826
6	\$1,742	(\$77,219)	(\$77,218.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$77,219)	\$3	\$3	\$77,222	\$0	(\$77,218.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$77,219)	\$3	\$829
7	\$1,795	(\$80,090)	(\$80,089.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$80,090)	\$1	\$1	\$80,090	\$0	(\$80,089.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$80,090)	\$1	\$830
8	\$1,948	(\$83,072)	(\$83,067.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$83,068)	\$5	\$5	\$83,072	\$0	(\$83,067.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$83,068)	\$5	\$834
9	\$1,904	(\$86,166)	(\$86,156.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$86,157)	\$9	\$9	\$86,166	\$0	(\$86,156.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$86,157)	\$9	\$843
10	\$1,961	(\$89,374)	(\$89,361.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$89,362)	\$12	\$12	\$89,374	\$0	(\$89,361.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$89,362)	\$12	\$856
11	\$2,020	(\$92,694)	(\$92,685.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$92,686)	\$8	\$8	\$92,694	\$0	(\$92,685.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$92,686)	\$8	\$863
12	\$2,080	(\$96,145)	(\$96,133.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$96,134)	\$12	\$12	\$96,145	\$0	(\$96,133.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$96,134)	\$12	\$875
13	\$2,143	(\$99,716)	(\$99,710.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$99,711)	\$6	\$6	\$99,716	\$0	(\$99,710.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$99,711)	\$6	\$880
14	\$2,207	(\$103,430)	(\$103,421.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$103,422)	\$8	\$8	\$103,430	\$0	(\$103,421.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$103,422)	\$8	\$888
15	\$2,273	(\$107,282)	(\$107,270.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$107,271)	\$11	\$11	\$107,282	\$0	(\$107,270.83)	\$0	\$0	(\$107,271)	\$11	\$899
Total	\$39,246	(\$1,268,473)	(\$1,250,420.45)	(\$18,052)	\$0	(\$1,268,473)	\$899	\$899	\$1,269,372	\$0	(\$1,250,420.45)	(\$18,052)	\$0	(\$1,268,473)	\$899	\$899

<b>Total Project Cost:</b>	(\$887,769)
<b>Other Credits (Rebates/Incentives):</b>	\$55,181
<b>Other Costs (Construction Interest net Escrow Interest):</b>	\$0
<b>Net Financed Investment:</b>	(\$832,588)
<b>Financial Term in Years:</b>	15
<b>Interest Rate:</b>	5.00%
<b>Payment Period:</b>	In Arrears
<b>No. of Payments:</b>	60
<b>Annual Payment:</b>	(\$79,229)

<b>Capital Aid Rate:</b>	0.0%
<b>Guarantee Period (yrs):</b>	15
<b>Service Inflation Rate:</b>	
<b>Energy Inflation Rate:</b>	
<b>Operational Savings Inflation Rate:</b>	
<b>Cumulative Savings:</b>	\$899
<b>Simple Payback (yrs):</b>	13.43

- Notes:**
- Associated Savings include operational and cost avoidance savings.
  - Payment represents an annual sum of periodic payments.
  - Technical Support Program (TSP) is escalated at Service Inflation Rate.
  - Performance Assurance required during guarantee period only.
  - Interest Rate Subject to Change.
  - Simple Payback=(Total Project Cost)/(Yr1 Total Assets)
  - Annual guarantee amount is based on revenue neutral program.
  - Construction interest based on 12 month funding to payment schedule.
  - Annual guarantee may not exceed Total Project Cost.
  - Cash Flow is for discussion purpose only.
  - Includes estimated construction period escrow interest for 1st year.



# FUNDING OPTIONS

---

- ❑ Master Lease – Schedule (Current Vendor) Contract Amendment
- ❑ Master Lease – Fixed Draw Option (Current Vendor)
- ❑ Sales Tax Revenue Bonds
- ❑ Lease Revenue Bonds via the MBA Fund (RFP)

After careful analysis the most advantageous financing option for the City is to modify the existing Master Municipal Lease and use a Fixed Draw Option. The bond option has issuance fees and Bond Council fees that preclude it from being the best option.



RALPH BECKER  
MAYOR

# SALT LAKE CITY CORPORATION

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR

## CITY COUNCIL TRANSMITTAL

David Everitt, Chief of Staff

Date Received: 11/23/2009

Date sent to Council: 11/24/2009

**TO:** Salt Lake City Council  
Carlton Christensen, Chair

**DATE:** November 23, 2009

**FROM:** David Everitt  
Chief of Staff, x7732

**SUBJECT:** Forecasted Revenues as of 9/30/09, first revision.

**STAFF CONTACT:** Gordon Hoskins  
535-6394

**DOCUMENT TYPE:** Revenue Projections

**BUDGET IMPACT:** General Fund Fund Balance 22,000,000  
11% of Revenues

**BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION:**

The revenues are compared to budget in ten major categories.

- A. Property Tax
- B. Sales and Use Tax
- C. Franchise Tax
- D. License and Permits
- E. Interest income
- F. Fines and Forfeitures
- G. Parking Meters
- H. Charges and Services
- I. Intergovernmental
- J. Transfers

The information attached is a summary of the all revenue sources, budgets, and year end projects in the General Fund. This revision related to a correction in error of title of Charges and Services, instead of Transfers.

451 SOUTH STATE STREET, ROOM 306  
P.O. BOX 145474, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84114-5474  
TELEPHONE: 801-535-7704 FAX: 801-535-6331

www.slcgov.com



Revenue	FY09-10 Annual Budget	Revised Forecast	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)
<b>Total General Fund</b>	<b>201,697,057</b>	<b>197,760,171</b>	<b>(3,936,886)</b>
<b>Selected Discussion Items</b>			
<b>Total Property Taxes</b> <i>Discussion:</i> During times of Economic Hardships Personal Assets are retained longer resulting in less Property Tax for both Age Base Assets and Valuated Assets.	<b>69,541,930</b>	<b>69,452,607</b>	<b>(89,323)</b>
<b>Total Sales and Use Tax</b> <i>Discussion:</i> Reviewing the sales tax trends for the past fifteen months we have noticed a downward trend and we believed this trend will continue during the fiscal year.	<b>48,293,122</b>	<b>44,229,657</b>	<b>(4,063,465)</b>
<b>Total Franchise Tax</b> <i>Discussion:</i>	<b>27,535,772</b>	<b>27,635,772</b>	<b>100,000</b>
<b>License and Permits:</b> <i>Discussion:</i> With a slow down in the economy there is a slow down in both business licensing fee and Innkeepers tax	<b>14,853,028</b>	<b>14,799,454</b>	<b>(53,574)</b>
<b>Total Intergovernmental</b> <i>Discussion:</i>	<b>5,069,959</b>	<b>4,972,967</b>	<b>(96,992)</b>
<b>Interest income</b> <i>Discussion:</i> Interest Income is lower due to a lag in the economy	<b>2,211,545</b>	<b>2,142,237</b>	<b>(69,308)</b>
<b>Total Fines &amp; Forfeiture</b> <i>Discussion:</i> When reviewing the ticket revenue trends we are issuing more traffic tickets.	<b>10,906,040</b>	<b>11,030,972</b>	<b>124,932</b>
<b>Parking Meters</b> <i>Discussion:</i> Year end projections for parking meter revenue indicates that this source will come in slightly higher due to the bagging of meters in the down town area Including City Creek.	<b>1,529,363</b>	<b>1,755,008</b>	<b>225,645</b>
<b>Charges and Services</b> <i>Discussion:</i>	<b>4,129,686</b>	<b>4,158,199</b>	<b>28,513</b>
<b>Miscellaneous Revenue</b> <i>Discussion:</i>	<b>1,016,991</b>	<b>1,071,475</b>	<b>54,484</b>
<b>Total Interfund</b> <i>Discussion:</i>	<b>9,886,846</b>	<b>9,761,200</b>	<b>(125,646)</b>
<b>Transfers</b> <i>Discussion:</i>	<b>6,722,775</b>	<b>6,750,623</b>	<b>27,848</b>

# SALT LAKE CITY CORPORATION

RECEIVED NOV 17 2009

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES  
FINANCE DIVISION

## CITY COUNCIL TRANSMITTAL



David Everitt, Chief of Staff

Date Received: 11/17/2009  
Date sent to Council: 11/17/2009

**TO:** Salt Lake City Council  
Carlton Christensen, Chair

**DATE:** November 17, 2009

**FROM:** Gordon Hoskins  
Deputy Director/Finance Director  
Administrative Services

**SUBJECT:** Budget Opening #2 for Fiscal Year 2009-10

**STAFF CONTACT:** Gordon Hoskins  
535-6394

**DOCUMENT TYPE:** Budget Amendment Ordinance

**RECOMMENDATION:** That the City Council set a public hearing date to discuss the budget amendment #2 for Fiscal Year 2009-10.

**BUDGET IMPACT:** General Fund \$25,728.00  
Other Funds \$30,967,948.61  
CIP Fund \$168,786,231.12

### BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION:

The budget opening is separated in eight different categories:

- A. New Budget Items
- B. Grants for Existing Staff Resources
- C. Grants for New Staff Resources
- D. Housekeeping Items
- E. Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources
- F. Donations
- G. Council Consent Agenda Grant Awards
- I. Council Added Items

LOCATION: 451 SOUTH STATE STREET, ROOM 248, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84111-3102

MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 145451, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84114-5451

TELEPHONE: 801-535-7676 FAX: 801-535-7682



There are 12 new items with 1 of them that has an impact on the general fund. Item #2 will fund the reconstruction of a destroyed traffic signal light from the reimbursement from the company that caused the damage

Item #1 will establish a budget in the CIP for the recently passed G.O. bond for the Public Safety Complex. The amount of that bonding is \$125 million. There are also two items dealing with the impact fees on the Police Liberty Precinct and the Public Safety Complex for a total of \$5,470,844.85.

Item #9 will provide funding for repairing the pools at the eastside sport complex and the Sorenson Center from the CIP cost over run.

There are several items establishing funding for the North Temple Viaduct in the amount of \$44,770,000.00. The detail of that funding is as follows:

Item # 4 Public Utility Funding	\$ 4,000,000.00
Item #6 State Funding	\$20,000,000.00
Item #8 Sales Tax Bond Funding	\$16,300,000.00
Item #12 Special Assessment Area	\$ 4,470,000.00
Total	<hr/> \$44,770,000.00

In housekeeping there are 9 items dealing with primarily corrections in the CIP with recapture, and project adjustments. There are items dealing with carryover of budgets and encumbrances from the prior fiscal year.

There are 15 items with new grants that need an appropriation. Several of these grants have federal stimulus funding.

There are 3 items with grants that were funded from the grants reserve account. These budgets will replenish the reserve account.

**PUBLIC PROCESS:** Public Hearing

SALT LAKE CITY ORDINANCE  
No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2009  
(Amending the Final Budget of Salt Lake City,  
including the employment staffing document,  
for Fiscal Year 2009-2010)

An Ordinance Amending Salt Lake City Ordinance No. 17 of 2009 Which  
Adopted the Final Budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, for the Fiscal Year Beginning July 1,  
2009 and Ending June 30, 2010.

PREAMBLE

On June 16, 2009, the Salt Lake City Council adopted the final budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, including the employment staffing document, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009 and ending June 30, 2010, in accordance with the requirements of Section 118, Chapter 6, Title 10 of the Utah Code Annotated, and said budget, including the employment staffing document, was approved by the Mayor of Salt Lake City, Utah.

The City's Policy and Budget Director, acting as the City's Budget Officer, prepared and filed with the City Recorder proposed amendments to said duly adopted budget, including the amendments to the employment staffing document necessary to effectuate the staffing changes specifically stated herein, copies of which are attached hereto, for consideration by the City Council and inspection by the public.

All conditions precedent to amend said budget, including the employment staffing document as provided above, have been accomplished.

Be it ordained by the City Council of Salt Lake City, Utah:

SECTION 1. Purpose. The purpose of this Ordinance is to amend the final budget of Salt Lake City, including the employment staffing document, as approved, ratified and finalized by Salt Lake City Ordinance No.17 of 2009.

SECTION 2. Adoption of Amendments. The budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document necessary to effectuate the staffing changes specifically stated herein, attached hereto and made a part of this Ordinance shall be, and the same hereby are adopted and incorporated into the budget of Salt Lake City, Utah, including the amendments to the employment staffing document described above, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009 and ending June 30, 2010, in accordance with the requirements of Section 128, Chapter 6, Title 10, of the Utah Code Annotated.

SECTION 3. Certification to Utah State Auditor. The City's Policy and Budget Director, acting as the City's Budget Officer, is authorized and directed to certify and file a copy of said budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document, with the Utah State Auditor.

SECTION 4. Filing of copies of the Budget Amendments. The said Budget Officer is authorized and directed to certify and file a copy of said budget amendments, including amendments to the employment staffing document, in the office of said Budget Officer and in the office of the City Recorder which amendments shall be available for public inspection.

SECTION 5. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall take effect on its first publication.



Passed by the City Council of Salt Lake City, Utah, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2009.

\_\_\_\_\_  
CHAIRPERSON

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER

Transmitted to the Mayor on \_\_\_\_\_

Mayor's Action: \_\_\_\_\_ Approved \_\_\_\_\_ Vetoed

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
CHIEF DEPUTY CITY RECORDER

APPROVED AS TO FORM  
Salt Lake City Attorney's Office  
Date 11-2-09  
By [Signature]

(SEAL)

Bill No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2009.

Published: \_\_\_\_\_

HB\_ATT#9683-V1-Budget\_Amendment\_FY09-10.DOC

***Fiscal Year 2010 Budget Amendment # –January***

#	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
<b>Section A</b>		<b>New Items</b>					
1.	Public Safety Building	\$125,000,000.00					
2.	Street Signal Destroyed	\$25,728.00			\$25,728.00		
3.	Governmental Immunity Additional Claims	\$125,000.00					
4.	North Temple Viaduct Storm Drain and Carryover Budgets	\$6,199,866.00					
5.	Public Util Sewer Budget Addition and Carryover	\$8,955,100.00					
6.	North Temple Viaduct State Funding	\$20,000,000.00					
7.	Energy Performance Contracting	\$833,000.00					
8.	North Temple Viaduct Sales Tax Bond	\$16,300,000.00					
9.	East Sport Complex & Sorenson Center Pool Repairs	\$158,353.34					
10.	Liberty Patrol Precinct Property Purchase	\$1,200,000.00					
11.	Public Safety Bldg Impact Fees	\$4,270,844.86					
12.	North Temple Viaduct Special Assess Area (SAA)	\$4,300,000.00					

#	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
---	-----------------	---------------------------------	---	-----	------------------------	--	--

**Section B****Grants For Existing Staff Resources**

1.	St of Utah Work Force Serv Central City Youth Grant		\$28,564.00				
2.	St of Utah Violence Against Women Grant		\$19,698.61				

**Section C****Grants For New Staff Resources**

1.	US Dept of Environ EPA Sustainable Transportation		\$368,554.00				
----	---	--	--------------	--	--	--	--

**Section D****Housekeeping**

1.	Donation Fund Receipts and Interest		\$100,000.00				
2.	Housing Fund Grant Program Income		\$1,359,101.00				
3.	Publ Util Water Budget Carryover		\$2,244,000.00				
4.	CIP and Class C Recapture		\$290,679.03				
5.	North Temple Jordan River Bridge Replacement		\$375,734.00				
6.	CDBG Grant Recapture		\$302,619.89				

#	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year	Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Impact	General Fund Impact
					Positive	Negative	Impact
					Fund Balance	Fund Balance	Impact

7.	Property Mgmt Budget Increase		\$55,000.00				
8.	Intermodal Hub Fund		\$130,000.00				
9.	Encumb Carryover Golf and Fleet Fund Encumbrance Carryovers		\$465,452.00				

**Section E Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources**

1.	US Dept of Justice JAG Grant		\$964,678.00				
2.	US Dept of Justice Grant		\$125,000.00				
3.	St of Utah Juvenile Justice Drug Awareness Grant		\$250,000.00				
4.	St of Utah Dept of Natural Resources Shoreline Trail Grant		\$17,735.00				
5.	St of Utah Public Safety VASI Grant		\$1,995,400.00				
6.	US Dept of EPA Culinary Water Protection Grant		\$286,000.00				
7.	Economic Dev Corp of Utah Marketing Grant		\$5,800.00				
8.	US Dept of Energy Solar Market Transformation Grant		\$267,700.00				

54							
#	Initiative Name	Fiscal Year Impact Amount	Annual Impact Amount (If Different)	FTE	General Fund Impact	General Fund Fund Balance Impact Positive	Impact Fund Balance Impact Negative
9.	LeRay McAllister Land Conservation Wasatch Hollow Restoration	\$20,000.00					
10.	Us Dept of Energy Amer Recovery Block Grant (EECBG)	\$2,116,500.00					
11.	Utah Arts Council Youth City Imagination Celebration Grant	\$2,500.00					
12.	St of Utah Div Park and Recreation Shoreline Grant	\$1,500.00					

**Section F Donations**

**Section G Council Consent Agenda - Grant Awards**

1.	St of Utah Dept of Public Safety Homeland Security – UASI Grant	\$320,000.00					
2.	St of Utah Historic Preservation Grant	\$4,800.00					
3.	US Dept of Health Drug Free Communities Grant	\$125,000.00					

**Section I Council Added Items**

**Initiative Name:**

Public Safety Building

**Initiative Number:**

BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #A-1

**Initiative Type:**

New Item

**Initiative Discussion:**

On Tuesday, November 3, 2009, Salt Lake City held a special bond election, City Proposition Number 1, for the purposes of Acquiring, Constructing, Furnishing and Equipping a new Public Safety Administration Building, Associated Underground Parking Facility, and an Emergency Operations Facility. Salt Lake City's estimated cost for these critical facilities is not to exceed One Hundred Twenty-Five Million Dollars (\$125,000,000).

The facilities to be constructed are: a new Public Safety Administration building consisting of 132,000 square feet, an associated underground parking structure, sufficient to accommodate 522 stalls, and an Emergency Operations Facility that will meet all the FEMA 426, 427, and 452 and related essential facilities standards and guidelines. The City's Emergency Operations Facility will be 35,000 square feet.

If the State of Utah joins Salt Lake City, it is estimated that the square footage of the facility will increase to 76,000 square feet and the project will incur additional costs for constructing the State's portion of the Emergency Operations Facility.

It is anticipated that the Utah State Legislature will address the State's involvement with the City in a joint emergency operations facility during the upcoming legislative session and a decision should be made by March of 2010.

The Public Safety Building will be managed by a full-time Project Manager with City oversight from the Engineering and Capital Asset Management divisions. It is recommended that the City Council adopt the necessary budget to facilitate this project.

<b>Public Safety Building</b>			
Initiative Name			
<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #A-1</b>			<b>2009-10</b>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
<b>(City Department)</b>			<b>New Item</b>
Department			Type of Initiative
<b>(Employee Name)</b>			<b>(Contact Number)</b>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
	<b>(Negative)</b>		<b>Positive</b>
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact</b>			
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>	<b>Fiscal Year Impact Amount</b>		<b>Annual Impact Amount</b>
<b>General Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Other Fund</b>			
83 CIP Bond Proceeds	\$	125,000,000.00	
Total	\$	125,000,000.00	\$0
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>			
<b>Requested Number of</b>		0	0
<b>Position Title:</b>			





**Initiative Name:**

**Street Signal System Destroyed ~ Accident at California and Pioneer streets**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #A-2**

**Initiative Type:**

**New Item**

**Initiative Discussion:**

On 10th of September 2009 an accident at California and Pioneer caused a high voltage line to be pulled down on a mast arm signal pole. The traffic signals, pedestrian modules, associated cable, power service, cabinet, control equipment and video detection equipment were destroyed by the high voltage discharged during the accident.

The existing budget for Traffic Signals is funded to cover routine maintenance. It is not funded to cover major replacements which must take place after a major accident like this one. As a point of reference, the variable expense associated with this major accident represents 24.4% of the maintenance materials budget for the Traffic Signals program.

Additional expense budget is being requested to cover variable expense items incurred by the Streets Division from this accident. The department is not requesting additional expense budget for labor and equipment already budgeted. Additional revenue budget is requested due to the expected reimbursement from the private company causing the damage.

**Street Signal System Destroyed ~  
Accident at California and Pioneer  
streets**

Initiative Name

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #A-2**

Initiative Number

**Public Services Department**

Department

**Greg Davis**

Prepared By

**2009-10**

Fiscal Year

**New Item**

Type of Initiative

**801-535-6123**

Telephone Contact

**(Negative)**

**Positive**

**General Fund - Fund Balance-  
Impact**

**Revenue Impact By Fund:**

**Fiscal Year  
Impact Amount**

**Annual  
Impact Amount**

**General Fund**

Reimbursement from private  
company that caused accident

\$ 25,728.00

Total

\$ 25,728.00

\$0

**Internal Service Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Enterprise Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Other Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Staffing Impact:**

**Requested Number of**

0

0

**Position Title:**



**Initiative Name:**

**Governmental Immunity - Additional Claims**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #A-3**

**Initiative Type:**

**New Item**

**Initiative Discussion:**

Governmental Immunity Fund is used to cover the cost of settlements and judgments against the City. As part of the City's budget reduction efforts, the amount budgeted for FY2010 is \$488,850. This amount is was substantially lower than the amount typically budgeted in prior years. In fiscal year 2009 the amount was \$703,750. Based on our claims experience over the last several years, we thought that we would be able to manage them to that lower amount for FY2010. However, based on results for the first four months (and in particular a settlement that was much larger than we had anticipated), it is now apparent that we will be unable to stay within that \$488,850 budgeted amount. We are requesting an additional \$125,000 be appropriated from the Governmental Immunity Reserve Fund.

This does not include funding for the electronic discovery software, which is anticipated to cost approximately \$100,000. The procurement process is in an advanced stage, but we advised the bidders last week that we are putting the procurement on hold until the end of the year pending a review of our financial situation. If we do want to proceed at that point, an additional budget opening likely will be necessary.

**Governmental Immunity - Additional  
Claims**

Initiative Name

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #A-3**

Initiative Number

**City Attorney's Office**

Department

**Edwin Rutan**

Prepared By

**2009-10**

Fiscal Year

**New Item**

Type of Initiative

**801-535-7628**

Telephone Contact

**Positive**

**(Negative)**

**General Fund - Fund Balance-  
Impact**

**Revenue Impact By Fund:**

**Fiscal Year  
Impact Amount**

**Annual  
Impact Amount**

**General Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Internal Service Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Enterprise Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Other Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Staffing Impact:**

**Requested Number of**

0

0

**Position Title:**



**Initiative Name:**

**North Temple Viaduct and Carryover Storm Water Utility Budget**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #A-4**

**Initiative Type:**

**New Item**

**Initiative Discussion:**

The Storm Water Utility is requesting to amend the 2009-2010 budget for construction of a replacement line for the City Creek storm drain line under the North Temple Viaduct estimated at \$4 million, as well as funding for the design of the new Folsom Avenue storm water line for \$200,000. The department is also asking to open the budget to finance carryover projects from last year in the amount of \$1,999,866.

**Criteria:** The financing for the City Creek line under the North Temple Viaduct needs to be included in the budget amendment to allow the project to be included in the North Temple Viaduct reconstruction. The new line on Folsom Avenue will increase the capacity of the city creek drainage system and alleviate flooding along the North Temple corridor. The utility is also requesting the budget be amended to fund projects which were budgeted last year, but not expended until the current budget year. This will allow the continuation of the utilities capital improvement program.

**Condition:** The North Temple storm drain corridor needs extra capacity to handle major storm events. The construction of the Folsom Avenue line will increase the capacity for a major storm event. Also, the process to open the budget for carryover projects for each of the Utility enterprise funds is required to continue the capital improvement program.

**Effect:** The financing of the Folsom Avenue line and North Temple Viaduct line will reduce the flood risk to the North Temple corridor and support the development of the UTA Airport TRAX line.

**Cause:** The fiscal year ends on June 30th, which falls in the middle of our construction season.

Projects are started in one budget and completed in the next.

**Recommendation :** We recommend approval of the amendment to allow the existing capital improvement program to continue as approved.

**North Temple Viaduct and Carryover  
Storm Water Utility Budget**

Initiative Name

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #A-4**

Initiative Number

**Public Utilities**

Department

**Jim Lewis**

Employee Name

**2009-10**

Fiscal Year

**New Item**

Type of Initiative

**483-6773**

Telephone Contact

**Positive**

**(Negative)**

**General Fund - Fund Balance-  
Impact**

**Revenue Impact By Fund:**

**Fiscal Year  
Impact Amount**

**Annual  
Impact Amount**

**General Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Internal Service Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Enterprise Fund**

Public Utilities

\$ 4,200,000.00

Total

\$ 4,200,000.00

\$0

**Other Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Staffing Impact:**

**Requested Number of**

0

0

**Position Title:**



Accounting Detail		Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	
Revenue:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
Storm Water Fees		\$	4,200,000.00
Total		\$	4,200,000.00
Expenditure:			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
53-10301	2730-18	\$	5,809,866.00
53-10301	2730-05	\$	390,000.00
Total		\$	6,199,866.00
Additional Accounting Details:			
Grant Information:			
Grant funds employee positions?		N/A	
Is there a potential for grant to continue?		N/A	
If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?		N/A	
Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?		N/A	
Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?		N/A	
Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?		N/A	

<b>Public Utilities - Sewer Budget Addition</b>			
Initiative Name			
<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #A-5</b>			<b>2009-10</b>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
<b>Public Utilities</b>			<b>New Item</b>
Department			Type of Initiative
<b>Jim Lewis</b>			<b>483-6773</b>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		<b>(Negative)</b>	<b>Positive</b>
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance-Impact</b>			
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>		<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Annual</b>
		<b>Impact Amount</b>	<b>Impact Amount</b>
<b>General Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>			
State Revenue Bond	\$	6,300,000.00	
Sewer Utility Reserves	\$	2,655,100.00	
Total	\$	8,955,100.00	\$0
<b>Other Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>			
<b>Requested Number of</b>		0	0
<b>Position Title:</b>			

**Initiative Name:**

**Public Utilities - Sewer Budget Addition**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #A-5**

**Initiative Type:**

**New Item**

**Initiative Discussion:**

The Sewer Utility is requesting to amend the 2009-2010 budget to include the \$6.2 million state revenue bond which was issued in November to finance the digester cover replacement project. Also, included is the funding to start design work on the Orange Street sewer main replacement project for \$500,000 and to cover emergency repair cost of \$630,000 when a portion of the line collapsed under North Temple Street last summer. Funding is also needed to cover costs associated with replacing sewer lines under the proposed route of the new TRAX line from down town to the Airport in the amount of \$1,545,000. The budget needs to be adjusted for several projects budgeted in the prior fiscal year 2008-2009 that were not completed in that fiscal year cycle in the amount of \$2,980,100.

Criteria: The budget amendment is needed to finance the second phase of the digester cover replacement and the construction of sewer line replacements for the TRAX line. Also, the utility is requesting the budget be amended to fund projects which were budgeted last year, but not expended until the current budget year. This will allow the continuation of the utilities capital improvement program.

Condition: This is an established process to open the budget for carryover projects for each of the Utility enterprise funds.

Effect: The Orange Street line is in extremely poor condition and needs to be designed and replaced.

Cause: The fiscal year ends on June 30th and falls in the middle of our construction season. Projects are started in one budget and completed in the next.

Recommendation : We recommend approval of the amendment to allow the existing capital improvement program to continue as approved.

Accounting Detail		Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	
<b>Revenue:</b>			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
Sewer Utility Reserves		\$	2,655,100.00
State Revenue Bond		\$	6,300,000.00
Total		\$	8,955,100.00
<b>Expenditure:</b>			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
52-10201	2730-14	\$	3,726,000.00
52-12201	2720-30	\$	5,129,100.00
52-12201	2760-90	\$	100,000.00
Total		\$	8,955,100.00
<b>Additional Accounting Details:</b>			
<b>Grant Information:</b>			
Grant funds employee positions?			No
Is there a potential for grant to continue?			N/A
If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?			N/A
Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?			N/A
Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?			N/A
Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?			N/A

**Initiative Name:**

North Temple Viaduct Funding From State of Utah

**Initiative Number:**

BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #A-6

**Initiative Type:**

New Item

**Initiative Discussion:**

This amendment creates both a revenue and expense budget for monies that will be received by the City for construction of a viaduct on North Temple and 400 West. During the last legislative session, the Utah Legislature passed HB 185, which authorized the sale of bonds in order to provide a transfer of \$20,000,000 from the State to the City for project costs, including right of way acquisition, reconstruction, renovations, or improvements to the highway.

The City will instruct UTA to hold this amount in an escrow account until such time as it is expended on costs associated with with reconstruction of the North Temple viaduct. UTA will maintain a record of transfers from the viaduct escrow account to fund the viaduct reconstruction and shall make such record available to Salt Lake City upon request. Interest accrued on any balance in the viaduct escrow account shall be credited to the principal balance of the viaduct escrow account for future expenditure on the viaduct reconstruction

As of mid-November, these funds have not yet been received by the City. Conversations with the Utah Department of Transportation confirm that the bonds authorized in HB 185 have been sold, and that transfer is pending on necessary accounting entries. Once those entries have been made, funds are expected to be transferred.

**North Temple Viaduct Funding From  
State of Utah**

Initiative Name

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #A-6**

Initiative Number

**Mayor's Office**

Department

**Ben Adams**

Prepared By

**2009-10**

Fiscal Year

**New Item**

Type of Initiative

**535-7704**

Telephone Contact

**Positive**

**(Negative)**

**General Fund - Fund Balance-  
Impact**

**Revenue Impact By Fund:**

**Fiscal Year  
Impact Amount**

**Annual  
Impact Amount**

**General Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Internal Service Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Enterprise Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Other Fund**

**83 - New Cost Center**

\$ 20,000,000.00

Total

\$ 20,000,000.00

\$0

**Staffing Impact:**

**Requested Number of**

0

0

**Position Title:**



**Initiative Name:**

Energy Performance Contracting - Phase I

**Initiative Number:**

BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #A-7

**Initiative Type:**

New Item

**Initiative Discussion:**

Recently, an Investment Grade Energy Audit was completed by Siemens Building Technologies, Energy Solutions in twenty-four of fifty Salt Lake City owned facilities. The Audit Report identifies energy efficiency improvement measures and provides a plan for retrofitting critical systems using savings from future energy budgets to pay for energy efficiency upgrades and capital renewal over time. The results of the audit are shown below:

**SITE ENERGY SAVINGS**

- 308,694 kWh / year reduction in electricity which translates into \$23,070 / year
- 4524 Dth / year reduction in natural gas which translates into \$30,745 / year
- 1285 kgal / year reduction in water which translates into \$2,234 / year
- \$56,049 / year combined total utility cost avoidance
- 222 Metric tons / year reduction in Co2 Carbon emissions reduction or the equivalent

of 40 vehicles removed from the highway / year.

**BUDGET IMPLICATIONS:**

- \$887,769 in upgrades would be paid from savings in utility costs.
- \$64,249 escalating annual debt service payments
- \$55,181 in utility company incentives returned back to the City
- ESCO will guarantee all energy savings except small buildings with stipulated energy use under determined baseline.

The net amount of proceeds from the leasing company is \$833,000 and that same amount is paid to the contractor from the leasing company. Which is the 887,000 upgrade costs less the incentives paid directly to the contractor from the utility companies.



On October 27, 2009, City Administrative staff met with the Council's Environmental subcommittee. This project has been in development, planning and audit stage for 3 years. The City staff and contractors are ready to roll on the first phase, with Council's approval. Staff has prepared a briefing document. Financing with Bank of America is recommended, using the existing agreement, with slight amendment to allow for 15 yr. term and the Fixed Draw mechanism. Debt service would be approximately 80,000 per year for 15 years. During FY09-10, approximately \$516,000 would be drawn for construction. The remainder, \$316,000, would be drawn during July to September 2010. Debt service would not begin until construction is completed, estimated to be in December of 2010. (Energy incentives would reduce the principal balance)

**Energy Performance Contracting -  
Phase I**

Initiative Name

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #A-7**

Initiative Number

**Public Services Dept.**

Department

**Greg Davis**

Prepared By

**2009-10**

Fiscal Year

**New Item**

Type of Initiative

**801-535-6123**

Telephone Contact

**(Negative)**

**Positive**

**General Fund - Fund Balance-  
Impact**

**Revenue Impact By Fund:**

**Fiscal Year  
Impact Amount**

**Annual  
Impact Amount**

**General Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Internal Service Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Enterprise Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Other Fund**

**CIP Lease proceeds**

\$ 833,000.00

Total

\$ 833,000.00

\$0

**Staffing Impact:**

**Requested Number of**

0

0

**Position Title:**

Accounting Detail		Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	
<b>Revenue:</b>			
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>	<b>Amount</b>	
83- New Cost Center	1964	\$	833,000.00
Construction amount	\$	888,000	
Energy incentives	\$	(55,000)	
Net for construction	\$	833,000	
<b>Expenditure:</b>			
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>	<b>Amount</b>	
83- New Cost Center	2700	\$	833,000.00
<b>Additional Accounting Details:</b>			
<b>Grant Information:</b>			
Grant funds employee positions?			(Yes or No)
Is there a potential for grant to continue?			(Yes or No)
If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?			(Yes or No)
Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?			(Yes or No)
Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?			(Yes or No)
Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?			(Yes or NO)

**Initiative Name:**

**North Temple Viaduct Replacement - Sales Tax Bond**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #A-8**

**New Item**

**Initiative Discussion:**

A request to establish a budget for \$16.3 million Sale Tax bond process for the North Temple Viaduct.

The original plan for crossing the railroad tracks called for weaving the TRAX line under the eastern end of the existing North Temple Viaduct and then constructing a new viaduct dedicated to the TRAX line. The Administration is now recommending that the existing viaduct be demolished and replaced with a new single viaduct for vehicular and pedestrian traffic and the TRAX line. This new single viaduct also would be approximately one block shorter.

UTA has agreed to a not to exceed price of \$71 million for the single viaduct. The \$71 million is expected to be funded as follows:

- (1) \$25 million from UTA. UTA has agreed to use the \$25 million they had budgeted for the original proposal toward the single viaduct proposal.
- (2) \$20 million from the State of Utah. A separate budget package has been prepared for this component.
- (3) \$5 million from the Wasatch Front Regional Council. This involves a redirection of federal funding by the Wasatch Front Regional Council.
- (4) \$730,000 from the Wasatch Front Regional Council. This involves redirection of an additional source of federal funding from the 1300 East Street Project.
- (5) \$4 million from the Salt Lake City Public Utilities Department. A separate budget package has been prepared for this component.
- (6) \$16.3 million sales tax bond issued by Salt Lake City. (The subject of this budget package.)

The principal source of coverage for the sales tax bond issue will be \$13 million from the creation of a CDA. The CDA has been separately briefed to the City Council. Additional coverage (\$4 million) would come from a SAA, also previously briefed to the City Council.

**North Temple Viaduct Replacement -  
Sales Tax Bond**

Initiative Name

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #A-8**

**2009-10**

Initiative Number

Fiscal Year

**Admin Services**

**New Item**

Department

Type of Initiative

**Gordon Hoskins**

**535-6394**

Prepared By

Telephone Contact

**(Negative)**

**Positive**

**General Fund - Fund Balance-  
Impact**

**None**

**Revenue Impact By Fund:**

Fiscal Year

Annual

Impact Amount

Impact Amount

**General Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Internal Service Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Enterprise Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Other Fund**

83- Sales Tax Bond Proceeds

\$ 16,300,000.00

Total

\$ 16,300,000.00

\$0

**Staffing Impact:**

**Requested Number of  
FTE's:**

0

**Position Title:**

<b>Accounting Detail</b>		<b>Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b>Revenue:</b>			
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>	<b>Amount</b>	
83- New Cost Center	1980	\$	16,300,000.00
<b>Expenditure:</b>			
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>	<b>Amount</b>	
83- New Cost Center	2700	\$	16,300,000.00
<b>Additional Accounting Details:</b>			
<b>Grant Information:</b>			
<b>Grant funds employee positions?</b>		<b>NA</b>	
<b>Is there a potential for grant to continue?</b>		<b>NA</b>	
<b>If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?</b>		<b>NA</b>	
<b>Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?</b>		<b>NA</b>	
<b>Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?</b>		<b>NA</b>	
<b>Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?</b>		<b>NA</b>	

**Initiative Name:**

**Sports Complex and Sorenson Center Pool Repairs**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative # A9**

**New Item**

**Initiative Discussion:**

In an agreement between the City and Salt Lake County, the City agrees to pay for one-half of all repairs and maintenance after the initial \$3,000 of costs at the Sports Complex located on Guardsman Way. Needed repairs to the indoor pool totaling \$90,000 were made in October of 2009. The repairs consisted of replacing the tile around the pool with a concrete surface. Typically the County will notify the City that the repairs are needed or will be made however, in this case they did not. They have billed the City \$43,500 which the City is obligated to pay under the agreement.

The County has notified the City that the plaster surface of the outdoor pool at the Sports Complex needs to be replaced with either plaster or a liner. The projected cost of the repair is \$230,000 and is to be bid in the fall of 2009 with construction to begin in the spring of 2010. The total City's share of this repair will be \$113,500.

In addition, the County has also billed the City for the installation of a VGB drain at the Sorenson Multi-Cultural Center pool which was replaced in August in order to be Federally compliant by December of 2009. The agreement noted above, states that the City will pay for all repairs exceeding \$3,000. The City's share of this cost is \$1,353.34.

This request is to allocate \$158,353.34, from the CIP cost over run cost center, in order to pay the County the \$44,853.34 the City currently owes the County and to pay the \$113,500 next spring for the upcoming repairs.

**Sports Complex and Sorenson Center  
Pool Repairs**

Initiative Name

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative # A9**

**2009-10**

Initiative Number

Fiscal Year

**CED - HAND**

**New Item**

Department

Type of Initiative

**LuAnn Clark**

**535-6136**

Prepared By

Telephone Contact

**(Negative)**

**Positive**

**General Fund - Fund Balance-  
Impact**

**Revenue Impact By Fund:**

**Fiscal Year  
Impact Amount**

**Annual  
Impact Amount**

**General Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Internal Service Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Enterprise Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Other Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Staffing Impact:**

**Requested Number of  
FTE's:**

0

**Position Title:**



<b>Accounting Detail</b>		<b>Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b>Revenue:</b>			
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>		<b>Amount</b>
<b>Expenditure:</b>			
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>		<b>Amount</b>
83-New Cost Center	2700	\$	158,353.34
83-08099	2700	\$	(117,798.72)
83-07099	2700	\$	(40,554.62)
<b>Additional Accounting Details:</b>			
<b>Grant Information:</b>			
<b>Grant funds employee positions?</b>			<b>NA</b>
<b>Is there a potential for grant to continue?</b>			<b>NA</b>
<b>If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?</b>			<b>NA</b>
<b>Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?</b>			<b>NA</b>
<b>Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?</b>			<b>NA</b>
<b>Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?</b>			<b>NA</b>

**Initiative Name:**

Liberty Patrol Precinct Property Purchase

**Initiative Number:**

BA#2 FY2010 Initiative # A10

New Item

**Initiative Discussion:**

The Liberty Patrol currently operates out of the existing Public Safety Building (PSB), but is not projected to operate out of the new PSB once construction is completed and police operations move into the new facility. The Administration believes the Liberty Patrol can be more responsive to the needs of the community it serves with an east-side location. The goal of the Administration is to have the Liberty Patrol Precinct constructed and ready for occupancy once the new PSB is completed and ready for occupancy in 2013. An east-side piece of property has been located and the Administration would like to move forward with purchasing negotiations. \$1,200,000 of Police impact fees are available and could be used toward the purchase of the property.

The Liberty Precinct is estimated to cost approximately \$16 million, which is based upon a 24,500 square foot facility. The cost projection is over \$10 million less than what was requested in the unsuccessful PSB bond request in 2007. The Police impact fee amount eligible for the Liberty Patrol Precinct is 7.5% of the \$16 million, or \$1,200,000.

The Administration is requesting that a budget of \$1,200,000 be established for the Liberty Patrol Precinct property purchase. There is a current balance of Police Impact Fee cash of \$3,595,844.86. This request will leave a balance of \$2,395,844.86 in cash.

<b>Liberty Patrol Precinct Property Purchase</b>			
Initiative Name			
<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative # A10</b>			<b>2009-10</b>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
<b>Management Services</b>			<b>New Item</b>
Department			Type of Initiative
<b>Sam Guevara / Sherrie Collins</b>			<b>535- 6307/535-6150</b>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
		<b>(Negative)</b>	<b>Positive</b>
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance-Impact</b>			
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>			
		<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Annual</b>
		<b>Impact Amount</b>	<b>Impact Amount</b>
<b>General Fund</b>			
Total		\$0.00	\$0
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Other Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>			
<b>Requested Number of FTE's:</b>			0
<b>Position Title:</b>			

<b>Accounting Detail</b>		<b>Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b>Revenue:</b>			
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>	<b>Amount</b>	
<b>Expenditure:</b>			
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>	<b>Amount</b>	
84-New Cost Center	2700	\$	1,200,000.00
84-84001	2700	\$	(1,200,000.00)
<b>Additional Accounting Details:</b>			
<b>Grant Information:</b>			
<b>Grant funds employee positions?</b>		<b>NA</b>	
<b>Is there a potential for grant to continue?</b>		<b>NA</b>	
<b>If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?</b>		<b>NA</b>	
<b>Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?</b>		<b>NA</b>	
<b>Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?</b>		<b>NA</b>	
<b>Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?</b>		<b>NA</b>	

**Initiative Name:**

**Public Safety Building Impact Fee Budget**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative # A11**

**New Item**

**Initiative Discussion:**

The new \$125,000,000 construction of the Public Safety Building (PSB) is eligible for Impact Fee fund use of 7.5% of the total project cost, or \$9,375,000. Of this amount, 6% or \$7,500,000 is Police impact fee eligible and 1.5% or \$1,875,000 is Fire impact fee eligible.

The Administration proposes to use the impact fee funds toward the property purchase for the new PSB.

The cash in the police impact fee is currently at \$3,595,884.86 the budget in the police impact fee is at \$3,363,754.93. We need to increase the revenue and expenditure budget in the police impact fee by \$232,129.93 to have the cash and budget equal.

As of November, 2009, the Police impact fee account has a current cash balance of \$2,395,884.86 after the impact fees are allocated for the Liberty Patrol Precinct and the Fire impact fee account has a current cash balance of \$4,019,639.47.

The Administration is requesting that a budget of \$4,270,884.86 be established for the use of Impact Fees toward property purchase for the new PSB. This action will utilize the remaining \$2,395,884 of Police impact fees collected to date leaving a zero balance and will utilize \$1,875,000 of Fire impact fees leaving a remaining balance of \$2,144,639.47

The PSB is on the 10 Year Plan as an impact fee eligible expense. State legislation requires that impact fees must be allocated and expensed within 6 years from being collected. Both Police and Fire impact fees collected in 2005 are in jeopardy of having to be paid back to the developer unless used by 2011. These funds were ear marked in the 10 Year Plan to be allocated in 2007 when the first bond attempt failed. When the bond did not pass, funds could not be expended as had been planned. By utilizing the amounts of impact fees above, the Police impact fees will begin the 6 year time frame for the current fees collected and Fire impact fees will be utilized through 2007 and partial fees collected in 2008, leaving the remaining Fire impact fees collected in 2008 to be expensed by 2013.

**Public Safety Building Impact Fee  
Budget**

Initiative Name

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative # A11**

**2009-10**

Initiative Number

Fiscal Year

**Management Services**

**New Item**

Department

Type of Initiative

**Sam Guevara / Sherrie Collins**

**535- 6307/535-6150**

Prepared By

Telephone Contact

**(Negative)**

**Positive**

**General Fund - Fund Balance-  
Impact**

**Revenue Impact By Fund:**

**Fiscal Year  
Impact Amount**

**Annual  
Impact Amount**

**General Fund**

Total

\$0.00

\$0

**Internal Service Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Enterprise Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Other Fund**

84-84001

\$ 232,129.93

Total

\$ 232,129.93

\$0

**Staffing Impact:**

**Requested Number of  
FTE's:**

0

**Position Title:**

Accounting Detail		Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA	
<b>Revenue:</b>				
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>			<b>Amount</b>
			\$	-
<b>Expenditure:</b>				
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>			<b>Amount</b>
84-New Cost Center	2700		\$	2,395,844.86
84-New Cost Center	2700		\$	1,875,000.00
84-84001	2700		\$	(2,395,844.86)
84-84002	2700		\$	(1,875,000.00)
<b>Additional Accounting Details:</b>				
<b>Grant Information:</b>				
<b>Grant funds employee positions?</b>				NA
<b>Is there a potential for grant to continue?</b>				NA
<b>If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?</b>				NA
<b>Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?</b>				NA
<b>Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?</b>				NA
<b>Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?</b>				NA

**Initiative Name:**

**North Temple Viaduct Replacement (SAA)**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #A-12**

**New Item**

**Initiative Discussion:**

Engineering is requesting that a property owner budget in the amount of \$4,300,000 be created and established for the Special Assessment Area (SAA), North Temple, from 300 to 500 West, to except the property owners portion of funds that will fund a portion of the North Temple Viaduct replacement. The estimated cost of the viaduct project is \$71,000,000.



<b>North Temple Viaduct Replacement</b>			
<b>(SAA)</b>			
Initiative Name			
<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #A-12</b>		<b>2009-10</b>	
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year	
<b>Public Services</b>		<b>New Item</b>	
Department		Type of Initiative	
<b>Joel Harrison / Sherrie Collins</b>		<b>535-6234/ 535-6150</b>	
Prepared By		Telephone Contact	
	<b>(Negative)</b>		<b>Positive</b>
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact</b>		<b>None</b>	
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>			
	<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Annual</b>	
	<b>Impact Amount</b>	<b>Impact Amount</b>	
<b>General Fund</b>			
Total	\$0		\$0
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>			
Total	\$0		\$0
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>			
Total	\$0		\$0
<b>Other Fund</b>			
83- SAA Property Owners Portion	\$ 4,300,000.00		
Total	\$ 4,300,000.00		\$0
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>			
<b>Requested Number of FTE's:</b>			0
<b>Position Title:</b>			

<b>Accounting Detail</b>		<b>Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b>Revenue:</b>			
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>	<b>Amount</b>	
83 New Cost Center	1125	\$	4,300,000.00
<b>Expenditure:</b>			
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>	<b>Amount</b>	
83New Cost Center	2700	\$	4,300,000.00
<b>Additional Accounting Details:</b>			
<b>Grant Information:</b>			
<b>Grant funds employee positions?</b>			<b>NA</b>
<b>Is there a potential for grant to continue?</b>			<b>NA</b>
<b>If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?</b>			<b>NA</b>
<b>Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?</b>			<b>NA</b>
<b>Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?</b>			<b>NA</b>
<b>Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?</b>			<b>NA</b>

**Initiative Name:**

**State of Utah Department of Workforce Services, Central City Teen Program**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #B-1**

**Grants for Existing Staff Resources**

**Initiative Discussion:**

The Public Services Division of YouthCity applied for and received an additional \$28,564 grant from the Utah State Department of Work Force Services under the Life Skills Grant Program. These funds have been awarded to continue the Teen Program for high school aged children at the Central City YouthCity site. This program provides a mix of prevention education, community service activities, technology skills classes and arts education, providing a safe environment for students to experiment and learn from both successes and failures.

Of these funds, \$21,918 will be used to pay a portion of the hourly wage and FICA of two (2) program facilitators, and one (1) office support tech; \$1,250 will fund the fiscal grant monitors time for fiscal oversight and grant management; \$2,596 will be used to pay a contractual professional art teacher; \$900 will be used for printing, copying, postage and phone usage; \$100 will be used for conference/workshop registration; and \$1,800 will be used for program supplies.

A 100% match is required which will be satisfied with 10% of the Program Managers salary and benefits; 25% of the teen program coordinator salary and benefits and other associated costs including use of the Salt Lake City van for youth travel. Matching funds are budgeted for within the YouthCity general fund budget.

The City Council adopted the necessary Resolution authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the Work Force Service grant award and to sign any additional agreements or awards as a result of the initial grant.

**State of Utah Department of Workforce  
Services, Central City Teen Program**

Initiative Name			
<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #B-1</b>		<b>2009-10</b>	
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year	
<b>Public Services</b>		<b>Grants for Existing Staff Resources</b>	
Department		Type of Initiative	
<b>Kim Thomas / Sherrie Collins</b>		<b>535-6129 / 535-6150</b>	
Prepared By		Telephone Contact	
	<b>(Negative)</b>	<b>Positive</b>	
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance-Impact</b>	None		
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>	<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Annual</b>	
	<b>Impact Amount</b>	<b>Impact Amount</b>	
<b>General Fund</b>			
Total	\$0	\$0	
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>			
Total	\$0	\$0	
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>			
Total	\$0	\$0	
<b>Other Fund</b>			
72-	\$ 28,564.00		
Total	\$ 28,564.00	\$0	
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>			
<b>Requested Number of FTE's:</b>			0
<b>Position Title:</b>			
Hourly PTE -3	\$	21,918.00	
Fiscal Grant Monitor		\$1,250.00	



**Initiative Name:**

**State of Utah, Office of Crime Victim Reparations, PD Violence Against Women  
Formula Grant (VAWA)**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #B-2**

**Grants for Existing Staff Resources**

**Initiative Discussion:**

The Police Department received a \$19,698.61 grant from the State of Utah, Office of Crime Victim Reparations for the continuation of their VAWA funded Victim Advocate Program. Of these funds, \$19,323.61 will be used to pay the salary and benefits of (.50) FTE victim advocate who provides on scene crisis counseling and information pertaining to resource services to victims of domestic violence; \$175 will be used to pay the registration fees of one (1) victim advocate to attend the Utah Domestic Violence Council Domestic Violence 2010 Conference and \$200 will be used for emergency victim assistance needs such as food, clothing, transportation, etc. The PD receives this grant on an annual basis.

The grant requires a \$6,581.55 cash match which will be satisfied with 267 hours of the Program Coordinators time which is budgeted for within the Police Departments general fund budget.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the State of Utah, Office of Crime Victim Reparations VAWA Agreements and to receive any other donations or grants that stem from the original Agreement.

**State of Utah, Office of Crime Victim  
Reparations, PD Violence Against  
Women Formula Grant (VAWA)**

<b>State of Utah, Office of Crime Victim Reparations, PD Violence Against Women Formula Grant (VAWA)</b>			
Initiative Name			
<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #B-2</b>			<b>2009-10</b>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
<b>Public Services</b>			<b>Grants for Existing Staff Resources</b>
Department			Type of Initiative
<b>Kim Thomas / Sherrie Collins</b>			<b>535-6129 / 535-6150</b>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
	<b>(Negative)</b>		<b>Positive</b>
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact</b>	<b>None</b>		
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>			
	<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Annual</b>	
	<b>Impact Amount</b>	<b>Impact Amount</b>	
<b>General Fund</b>			
Total	\$0		\$0
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>			
Total	\$0		\$0
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>			
Total	\$0		\$0
<b>Other Fund</b>			
72-	\$ 19,698.61		
Total	\$ 19,698.61		\$0
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>			
<b>Requested Number of FTE's:</b>			0
<b>Position Title:</b>			
1 .50 PTE (1040 Hours)	\$ 17,950.40		
FICA Medicare	\$1,373.21		
	19,323.61		

<b>Accounting Detail</b>		<b>Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:</b>	<b>08-VAWA-23 -16.588</b>
<b>Revenue:</b>			
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>	<b>Amount</b>	
72-New Cost Center	1370	\$ 19,698.61	
<b>Expenditure:</b>			
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>	<b>Amount</b>	
72-	2590	\$ 19,698.61	
<b>Additional Accounting Details:</b>			
<b>Grant Information:</b>			
Grant funds employee positions?			Yes
Is there a potential for grant to continue?			Yes
If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?			Yes
Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?			Yes
Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?			Yes
Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?			No



**Initiative Name:**

**US Department of Environmental Protection Agency, Climate Showcase Communities Grant Program, Sustainable Transportation for a Sustainable Future**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #C-1**

**Grants Requiring New Staff Resources**

**Initiative Discussion:**

The Sustainability Division applied for a \$386,554 grant from the US Department of Environmental Protection Agency, under the Climate Showcase Communities Grant Program for the City's Sustainable Transportation for a Sustainable Future program. The Division is currently waiting for award documents to be issued. This transaction serves as a place holder and if award documents are not received by the time of the briefing will be pulled from the budget opening and resubmitted either as a consent agenda item or in a future budget opening.

The City, partnering with Salt Lake County, Salt Lake Solutions, Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT), Utah Clean Cities Coalition and Rio Tinto proposes to create and implement a Sustainable Transportation for a Sustainable Future (STSF) program. The initiative is an extensive community-based social messaging outreach program to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and air pollution by reducing the vehicle miles traveled in the City and County. The STSF program will create a blueprint for permanent and sustainable changes in driving behavior that will transform the community as well as provide a tool-kit for program replication by other communities.

The Sustainability Division proposes to use the grant funds to hire a full-time, grant funded Sustainability Special Program Manager to maintain, create and implement the project, coordinate and manage all team members and subcontractors in the collection of benefit/barrier input from the community and development of community-based social market messages, coordinate logistics and meetings, document progress results, prepare reports, summarize and compile all materials for the STSF tool-kit, make presentations to the community and Utah League of Cities and Towns, and attend the grantee conference convened by the EPA.

The grant funds will be used as follows: \$214,055 will be used to pay the salary and benefits for a three year period of the program manager position; \$5,216 will be used to pay for grant management and over-site for the grant monitor time; \$10,433 will be used for the Program manager to attend 3 annual EPA conference's to be held in Washington, DC and a two day training course in community level behavior change methods held by McKenzie Mohr and Associates (site to be determined); and contractual components totaling \$137,700 which includes \$56,700 for a facilitator/mediator to facilitate the partner teams and community coalitions to develop and implement a collaborative strategic approach to using community-based social messging principles to encourage reductions in vehicle miles traveled, \$35,000 for a public survey consultant for baseline data collection and evaluation survey/poll \$4,000 for translation services, and \$42,000 to contract with the UDOT who will subcontract with consulting groups to assist in the development of the social media messaging and outreach and to produce and disseminate the printed and on-line materials, and to produce the STSF tool-kit. The City will enter into an agreement with UDOT who will provide \$90,000 of it's funds to this effort and will act as the lead agency.

The grant requires a match which will be satisfied with \$37,042 of the City's Environmental Program Manager's and Environmental Outreach Coordinators time over a three year period; \$30,336 of the County's Environmental Coordinators, Assistant Bureau Manager's and Injury Prevention Specialists time; \$20,000 of UDOTS Transportation Managers time; \$7,020 of the Utah Clean Cities Coalition Directors time and and \$90,000 of UDOT's funds for the contractual component as outlined above.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept US Environmental Protection Agency grant funds and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

**US Department of Environmental  
Protection Agency, Climate Showcase  
Communities Grant Program,  
Sustainable Transportation for a  
Sustainable Future**

Initiative Name		
<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #C-1</b>		<b>2009-10</b>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
<b>Management Services</b>		<b>Grants Requiring New Staff Resources</b>
Department		Type of Initiative
<b>Vickie Bennett / Sherrie Collins</b>		<b>535- 6540/ 535-6150</b>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
	<b>(Negative)</b>	<b>Positive</b>
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact</b>	<b>None</b>	
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>	<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Annual</b>
	<b>Impact Amount</b>	<b>Impact Amount</b>
<b>General Fund</b>		
Total	\$0	\$0
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>		
Total	\$0	\$0
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>		
Total	\$0	\$0
<b>Other Fund</b>		
72	\$ 368,554.00	
Total	\$ 368,554.00	\$0
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>		
<b>Requested Number of FTE's:</b>	1	0
<b>Position Title:</b>		



**Initiative Name:**

**Donations Fund receipts and interest**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #D-1**

**Housekeeping**

**Initiative Discussion:**

The Council initially appropriated \$100,000 in FY 2010's budget for new donations or additional donations to existing activities. Budget was added to cost centers for donations or interest earned on donations and budget was subtracted for the "Donations Fund Master Budget". The original \$100,000 has now been utilized as a result of donations and interest. It is requested that the 100,000 be restored to the master budget.

The \$100,000 original has been used for donations in the following funds for the following amounts: Parks and Recreation Maintenance (77-77108) \$10,000; Salt Lake City Foundation (77-77123) \$3,035.01; Imagination Celebration (77-77130) \$8,801.95; World Changers (77-77163) \$1,000; Police High School Scholarship (77-77169) \$7,500, Police Explorer (77-77176) \$4,038.20; Environmental improvements Districts 1 & 2 (77-77177) \$25,000; Public Safety Bond Public Education (77-77182) \$32,500 and Memory Grove Foundation (77-77900) \$8,122.11. In addition, small amounts to bring the total to exactly \$100,000, budgets were increased \$1.88 and \$.85 in Energy Solutions - Police (77-77164) and WalMart Trust (77-77167), respectively for interest earned.

**Donations Fund receipts and interest**

<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #D-1</b>	<b>2009-10</b>
Initiative Number	Fiscal Year
<b>Administrative Services</b>	<b>Housekeeping</b>
Department	Type of Initiative
<b>Elwin Heilmann</b>	<b>535-6424</b>
Prepared By	Telephone Contact
<b>(Negative)</b>	<b>Positive</b>
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact</b>	
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>	<b>Fiscal Year Impact Amount</b>
	<b>Annual Impact Amount</b>
<b>General Fund</b>	
Total	\$0
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>	
Total	\$0
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>	
Total	\$0
<b>Other Fund</b>	
Donations Fund	\$ 100,000.00
Total	\$ 100,000.00
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>	
<b>Requested Number of FTE's:</b>	0
<b>Position Title:</b>	

<b>Accounting Detail</b>	<b>Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:</b>			<b>NA</b>
<b>Revenue:</b>				
<b>Cost Center Number</b>		<b>Object Code Number</b>		<b>Amount</b>
77-77001		1895	\$	100,000.00
<b>Expenditure:</b>				
<b>Cost Center Number</b>		<b>Object Code Number</b>		<b>Amount</b>
77-77001		2590	\$	100,000.00
<b>Additional Accounting Details:</b>				
<b>Grant Information:</b>				
<b>Grant funds employee positions?</b>				<b>NA</b>
<b>Is there a potential for grant to continue?</b>				<b>NA</b>
<b>If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?</b>				<b>NA</b>
<b>Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?</b>				<b>NA</b>
<b>Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?</b>				<b>NA</b>
<b>Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?</b>				<b>NA</b>

**Initiative Name:**

Housing Fund Grant Program Income

**Initiative Number:**

BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #D-2

Housekeeping

**Initiative Discussion:**

The Housing enterprise fund has generated program income in the following grant programs in the following amounts:

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)	\$1,000,000
Renter Rehabilitation	34,764
HOME	314,306
American Dream Downpayment Initiative (ADDI)	10,031

Traditionally the Council has appropriated the program income generated by these activities as added amounts to be used by the same activity that generated the program income. The administration requests that the Council continue the tradition by re-appropriating this program income back to be used by its source.



### Housing Fund Grant Program Income

Initiative Name					
<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #D-2</b>				<b>2009-10</b>	
Initiative Number				Fiscal Year	
<b>Administrative Services</b>				<b>Housekeeping</b>	
Department				Type of Initiative	
<b>Elwin Heilmann</b>				<b>535-6424</b>	
Prepared By				Telephone Contact	
		(Negative)		Positive	
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance-Impact</b>					
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>					
		Fiscal Year	Annual		
		Impact Amount	Impact Amount		
<b>General Fund</b>					
	Total	\$0	\$0		
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>					
	Total	\$0	\$0		
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>					
Housing Fund		\$	1,000,000.00		
	Total	\$	1,000,000.00		\$0
<b>Other Fund</b>					
CDBG Operating Fund		\$	1,000,000.00		
	Total	\$	1,000,000.00		\$0
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>					
Requested Number of FTE's:					0
Position Title:					

Accounting Detail		Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
<b>Revenue:</b>			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
78-35010	1974-03	\$	1,000,000.00
71-35010	1974-78	\$	1,000,000.00
<b>Expenditure:</b>			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
78-35010	2950	\$	1,000,000.00
78-00201	2950	\$	34,764.00
78-78325	2950	\$	314,306.00
78-78315	2950	\$	10,031.00
71-35010	2910-15	\$	1,000,000.00
<b>Additional Accounting Details:</b>			
In order to keep the budget in line with the actual flow of funds the additional offsetting budget entries are needed			
78-00101	2910-71	\$	1,000,000.00
78-00101	2950	\$	(1,000,000.00)
<b>Grant Information:</b>			
Grant funds employee positions?			NA
Is there a potential for grant to continue?			NA
If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?			NA
Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?			NA
Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?			NA
Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?			NA

**Initiative Name:**

**Public Utilities - Water Budget Carryovers**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #D-3**

**Initiative Type:**

**Housekeeping**

**Initiative Discussion:**

The Water Utility is requesting to amend the 2009-2010 budget for carryover projects in the amount of \$2,144,000. The projects were budgeted in the 2008-2009 budget but were not able to be completed by the end of the fiscal year. They are currently under construction and require the funding be moved forward into the current budget year.

**Criteria:** The utility is requesting the budget be amended to fund projects which were budgeted last year, but not expended until the current budget year. This will allow the continuation of the utilities capital improvement program.

**Condition:** This is an established process to open the budget for carryover projects for each of the Utility enterprise funds.

**Effect:** This will allow the department to continue the planned capital improvement program for this year.

**Cause:** The fact that the fiscal year ends on June 30th, which falls in the middle of our construction season.

Projects are started in one budget and completed in the next.

**Recommendation :** We recommend approval of the amendment to allow the existing capital improvement program to continue as approved.

**Public Utilities - Water Budget  
Carryovers**

Initiative Name

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #D-3**

**2009-2010**

Initiative Number

Fiscal Year

**Public Utilities**

**Housekeeping**

Department

Type of Initiative

**Jim Lewis**

**483-6773**

Prepared By

Telephone Contact

**(Negative)**

**Positive**

**General Fund - Fund Balance-  
Impact**

**Revenue Impact By Fund:**

**Fiscal Year  
Impact Amount**

**Annual  
Impact Amount**

**General Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Internal Service Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Enterprise Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Other Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Staffing Impact:**

**Requested Number of**

0

0

**Position Title:**

Accounting Detail		Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	
<b>Revenue:</b>			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
<b>Expenditure:</b>			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount	
51-01301	2720-30	\$	32,000.00
51-01301	2730-02	\$	80,000.00
51-01301	2730-06	\$	121,000.00
51-01301	2730-07	\$	292,000.00
51-01301	2730-08	\$	977,000.00
51-01301	2730-20	\$	40,000.00
51-00801	2760-20	\$	450,000.00
51-01701	2760-90	\$	82,000.00
51-01701	2760-50	\$	170,000.00
Total		\$	2,244,000.00
<b>Additional Accounting Details:</b>			
<b>Grant Information:</b>			
Grant funds employee positions?		No	
Is there a potential for grant to continue?		N/A	
If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?		N/A	
Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?		N/A	
Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?		N/A	
Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?		N/A	

**Initiative Name:**

**Recapture Remaining CIP and Class "C" Completed Projects**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative # D4**

**Housekeeping**

**Initiative Discussion:**

This request decreases the remaining budgets of five (5) completed and closed CIP, and Class "C" projects totaling \$290,679.03, and increases the cost over run accounts of the respective programs for future reprogramming.

Of these projects, three (3) are general fund CIP projects totaling \$96,157.50; two (2) are Class "C" CIP projects totaling \$194,521.53.

**Recapture Remaining CIP and Class  
"C" Completed Projects**

<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative # D4</b>			<b>2009-10</b>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
<b>CED - HAND</b>			<b>Housekeeping</b>
Department			Type of Initiative
<b>LuAnn Clark /</b>			<b>535-6136 / 535-6150</b>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact</b>			<b>(Negative) Positive</b>
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>			<b>None</b>
<b>Fiscal Year Impact Amount</b>			<b>Annual Impact Amount</b>
<b>General Fund</b>			
Total	\$0		\$0
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>			
Total	\$0		\$0
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>			
Total	\$0		\$0
<b>Other Fund</b>			
Total	\$0		\$0
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>			
<b>Requested Number of FTE's:</b>			0
<b>Position Title:</b>			

<b>Accounting Detail</b>		<b>Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b>Revenue:</b>			
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>	<b>Amount</b>	
<b>Expenditure:</b>			
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>	<b>Amount</b>	
<b>General Fund CIP</b>			
83-07041 CC Bldg. Energy Savings	2700	\$	(2,318.01)
83-08045 Pavillion Roof Replacement	2700	\$	(53,699.45)
83-09041 Replace Roofs City Facilities	2700	\$	(40,140.04)
<b>83-09099 GF CIP Cost Overrun</b>	2700	<b>\$</b>	<b>96,157.50</b>
<b>Class "C"</b>			
83-06038 500 East Rehab	2700	\$	(8,223.25)
83-08035 500 E., 900 to 1300 S.	2700	\$	(186,298.28)
<b>83-04097 Class C CIP Cost Overrun</b>	2700	<b>\$</b>	<b>194,521.53</b>
		\$	<b>290,679.03</b>
<b>Additional Accounting Details:</b>			
<b>Grant Information:</b>			
<b>Grant funds employee positions?</b>		<b>NA</b>	
<b>Is there a potential for grant to continue?</b>		<b>NA</b>	
<b>If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?</b>		<b>NA</b>	
<b>Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?</b>		<b>NA</b>	
<b>Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?</b>		<b>NA</b>	
<b>Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?</b>		<b>NA</b>	



**Initiative Name:**

**North Temple Jordan River Bridge Replacement**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative # D5**

**Housekeeping**

**Initiative Discussion:**

Engineering is requesting that a new Class "C" budget in the amount of \$375,734 be established for the North Temple Jordan River Bridge Replacement project. The Council has expressed an interest in utilizing Class "C" funds as part of their discussion concerning the betterments and reconstruction of the Jordan River Bridge. The bridge is being rebuilt to accommodate the Airport light rail project and to be upgraded with enhanced urban elements matching the North Temple Boulevard project. These funds will allow for the bridge to have a completely new deck with widened walkways, improved pedestrian lighting, access to the river and decorative bridge railings.

To facilitate this request, Engineering is proposing to reallocate the remaining \$160,734 Class "C" funds from the 1300 East, South Temple to 500 South street improvement project to the North Temple Jordan River Bridge Replacement. The administration has requested Wasatch Front Regional Council transfer the approved \$730,000 of federal funding allocated for this project to the North Temple Viaduct replacement to increase the federal funding portion of that project. The \$200,000 of Class "C" funds allocated for the 1300 East project were budgeted as the required federal local match money and for preparation of the design and environmental approval documents. The environmental was completed and the City has paid UDOT. The 1300 East project has now been canceled due to the federal money being transferred to the North Temple Viaduct replacement project.

Engineering is also proposing to reallocate \$100,000 of the unobligated Class "C" funds remaining in the 2009/2010 Street Pavement Overlay project. Construction bids on this project were lower than anticipated because of the recent downturn in the economy resulting in less funding required to complete the project than what was originally estimated.

In addition, Engineering is proposing to reallocate \$115,000 of unobligated Class "C" funds remaining in the California Avenue, 4800 to 5600 West, Reconstruction project. This project was constructed with Class "C" funds, general fund, property owner assessments and impact fees. Construction bids received were lower than anticipated and a portion of the Class "C" and general fund were not used. The property owner assessments and impact fees were utilized for a larger part of the project costs. This project is nearly completed with only punch list items remaining.

		<b>North Temple Jordan River Bridge Replacement</b>		
		Initiative Name		
<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative # D5</b>				<b>2009-10</b>
Initiative Number				Fiscal Year
<b>Public Services</b>				<b>Housekeeping</b>
Department				Type of Initiative
<b>Joel Harrison / Sherrie Collins</b>				<b>535-6234 / 535-6150</b>
Prepared By				Telephone Contact
			<b>(Negative)</b>	<b>Positive</b>
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance-Impact</b>			<b>None</b>	
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>				
		<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Annual</b>	
		<b>Impact Amount</b>	<b>Impact Amount</b>	
<b>General Fund</b>				
	Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>				
	Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>				
	Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Other Fund</b>				
	Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>				
<b>Requested Number of FTE's:</b>				0
<b>Position Title:</b>				

<b>Accounting Detail</b>		<b>Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:</b>		<b>NA</b>
<b>Revenue:</b>				
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>		<b>Amount</b>	
<b>Expenditure:</b>				
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>		<b>Amount</b>	
83-New Cost Center	2700		\$ 375,734.00	
83-07036	2700		\$ (160,734.00)	
83-10039	2700		\$ (100,000.00)	
83-08034	2700		\$ (115,000.00)	
<b>Additional Accounting Details:</b>				
<b>Grant Information:</b>				
<b>Grant funds employee positions?</b>				<b>NA</b>
<b>Is there a potential for grant to continue?</b>				<b>NA</b>
<b>If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?</b>				<b>NA</b>
<b>Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?</b>				<b>NA</b>
<b>Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?</b>				<b>NA</b>
<b>Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?</b>				<b>NA</b>

**Initiative Name:**

**Recapture Remaining Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Budgets**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative # D-6**

**Housekeeping**

**Initiative Discussion:**

This request decreases the remaining budgets of six (6) completed and/or closed US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) projects including CDBG grants totaling \$302,619.89, and increases the cost over run accounts of the respective programs for future reprogramming as per HUD Federal guidelines.

**Recapture Remaining Community  
Development Block Grant (CDBG)**

**Budgets**

Initiative Name

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative # D-6**

**2009-10**

Initiative Number

Fiscal Year

**CED - HAND**

**Housekeeping**

Department

Type of Initiative

**LuAnn Clark / Jennifer Schumann**

**535-6136/ 535-7276**

Prepared By

Telephone Contact

**(Negative)**

**Positive**

**General Fund - Fund Balance-  
Impact**

**None**

**Revenue Impact By Fund:**

**Fiscal Year  
Impact Amount**

**Annual  
Impact Amount**

**General Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Internal Service Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Enterprise Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Other Fund**

Total

\$0

\$

-

**Staffing Impact:**

**Requested Number of  
FTE's:**

0

**Position Title:**

Accounting Detail		Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA
<b>Revenue:</b>			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
<b>Expenditure:</b>			
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number		Amount
<b>Community Development Block Grant</b>			
86-06060 Riverside Park Streetscape	2700	\$	(1,211.03)
<b>83-06098 CDBG CIP Cost Overrun</b>	2700	\$	<b>1,211.03</b>
83-08051 Redwood Drive Street Reconst	2700	\$	(279,774.54)
<b>83-08098 CDBG CIP Cost Overrun</b>	2700	\$	<b>279,774.54</b>
83-09051 Bell Ave Street Design	2700	\$	(553.33)
83-09052 Burbank Ave Street Design	2700	\$	(9,202.74)
<b>83-09098 CDBG CIP Cost Overrun</b>	2700	\$	<b>9,756.07</b>
71-34055 Alliance House	2590	\$	(11,643.00)
71-34052 Community Action Program HV	2590	\$	(235.25)
<b>71-34099 CDBG Cost Overrun</b>	2590	\$	<b>11,878.25</b>
<b>Additional Accounting Details:</b>			
<b>Grant Information:</b>			
Grant funds employee positions?			NA
Is there a potential for grant to continue?			NA
If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?			NA
Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?			NA
Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?			NA
Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?			NA

**Initiative Name:**

**Property Management Budget Increase**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #D-7**

**Housekeeping**

**Initiative Discussion:**

Property Management has CIP budget available to use for costs associated with title searches, closings and appraisals when purchasing property for the City. The remaining budget in this 83 CIP fund is \$25,912 with an outstanding bill of approximately \$5,100. This request is to increase this budget and move cash of \$55,000 for a total budget of \$75,812.

Property Management has cash available within the 83 CIP fund of \$3,864,438.64 in the Surplus Land account and this action will decrease that account by \$55,000.



**Property Management Budget Increase**

<b>Property Management Budget Increase</b>			
Initiative Name			
<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #D-7</b>			<b>2008-09</b>
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year
<b>Property Management</b>			<b>Housekeeping</b>
Department			Type of Initiative
<b>John Spencer / Sherrie Collins</b>			<b>535-6398/ 535-6150</b>
Prepared By			Telephone Contact
	<b>(Negative)</b>		<b>Positive</b>
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact</b>	<b>None</b>		
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>	<b>Fiscal Year</b>		<b>Annual</b>
	<b>Impact Amount</b>		<b>Impact Amount</b>
<b>General Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Other Fund</b>			
83			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>			
<b>Requested Number of FTE's:</b>			0
<b>Position Title:</b>			



**Initiative Name:**

**Intermodal Hub Fund - Encumbrance Carryover**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #D-8**

**Initiative Type:**

**Housekeeping**

**Initiative Discussion:**

State law requires that all budgets, except that of the Capital Project Fund, lapse at June 30th or fiscal year end. Historically, purchase orders encumbered near the end of the fiscal year are not paid by June 30th. Therefore the payment will occur in the next fiscal year. Because the budget from the prior fiscal year lapsed, it is necessary to again appropriate funds to cover the purchase commitments made in the prior year and paid in the current year. The funding source for this type of transaction is typically fund balance. Cash or revenue collected in the prior year and not spent lapses to fund balance or cash reserves and is therefore available to cover the commitments made.

This amendment request will appropriate budget in the Intermodal Hub \$130,000. This will be funded from the Intermodal Hub Fund Reserves where there are adequate funds to cover this request.

**Intermodal Hub Fund - Encumbrance  
Carryover**

Initiative Name

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #D-8**

Initiative Number

**Administrative Service**

Department

**Teresa Beckstrand**

Prepared By

**2009-10**

Fiscal Year

**Housekeeping**

Type of Initiative

**535-6416**

Telephone Contact

**(Negative)**

**Positive**

**General Fund - Fund Balance-  
Impact**

**Revenue Impact By Fund:**

**Fiscal Year  
Impact Amount**

**Annual  
Impact Amount**

**General Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Internal Service Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Enterprise Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Other Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Staffing Impact:**

**Requested Number of**

0

0

**Position Title:**



**Initiative Name:**

**Golf and Fleet Fund - Encumbrance Carryovers**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #D-9**

**Initiative Type:**

**Housekeeping**

**Initiative Discussion:**

State law requires that all budgets, except the Capital Project Fund, lapse at June 30th of each fiscal year end. Historically, purchase orders encumbered at the end of the fiscal year are re-appropriated in the next fiscal year. Because the budget from the prior fiscal year lapsed, it is necessary to again appropriate funds to cover the purchase commitments made in the prior year and paid in the current year. The funding source for this type of transaction is the fund balance.

This amendment request will appropriate budget in the Golf Fund of \$19,760. This amendment will also appropriate budget in the Fleet Fund of \$445,692.

**Golf and Fleet Fund - Encumbrance**  
**Carryovers**  
 Initiative Name

<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #D-9</b>		<b>2009-10</b>	
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year	
<b>Public Services</b>		<b>Housekeeping</b>	
Department		Type of Initiative	
<b>Greg Davis</b>		<b>535-6123</b>	
Prepared By		Telephone Contact	
		<b>(Negative)</b>	<b>Positive</b>
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance-Impact</b>			
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>		<b>FY 2009-2010 Impact Amount</b>	<b>Annual Impact Amount</b>
<b>General Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Other Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>			
<b>Requested Number of</b>		0	0
<b>Position Title:</b>			





<b>Grant Information:</b>				
<b>Grant funds employee positions?</b>				(Yes or No)
<b>Is there a potential for grant to continue?</b>				(Yes or No)
<b>If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?</b>				(Yes or No)
<b>Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?</b>				(Yes or No)
<b>Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?</b>				(Yes or No)
<b>Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?</b>				(Yes or NO)

**Initiative Name:**

**U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance Grant, (JAG)**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-1**

**Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources**

**Initiative Discussion:**

The Police Department applies for and receives this grant annually. It is awarded to provide operational support and services in the eligible areas of law enforcement, crime prevention and drug courts. The City received \$964,678, and as always, includes other Police jurisdictions funding. The City will act as the lead agency, acting as the fiscal agent and reporting of the grant. Of the \$964,678 awarded, the Salt Lake County Sherriff's Office will receive \$164,237; West Valley City Police Department will received \$144,398; So. Salt Lake Police Department will receive \$53,085; West Jordan City Police Department will receive \$49,733; Murray City Police Department will receive \$44,932; Sandy City Police Department will receive \$45,476; Taylorsville City Police Department will receive \$44,026; and the Midvale Police Department will receive \$28,807. The City's amount is \$389,984.

The SLCPD proposes to use these funds to purchase and install 65 @ \$5,999.75 each, in-car E-Ticketing and Traffic Accident Reporting Package Systems. The systems will enable Officers to submit electronic ticketing, accident reporting and fingerprinting from their patrol vehicles which will significantly reduce the amount of time it takes Officers to submit these reports manually.

No match is required.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the US Department of Justice, JAG funds and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

**US Department of Justice, Bureau of  
Justice Assistance Grant (JAG)**

<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-1</b>		<b>2009-10</b>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
<b>Police Department</b>		<b>Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources</b>
Department		Type of Initiative
<b>Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins</b>		<b>535-3265 / 535-6150</b>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact

**(Negative)                                  Positive**  
**None**

**General Fund - Fund Balance-  
Impact**

<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>	<b>Fiscal Year Impact Amount</b>	<b>Annual Impact Amount</b>
<b>General Fund</b>		
Total	\$0	\$0
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>		
Total	\$0	\$0
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>		
Total	\$0	\$0
<b>Other Fund</b>		
	\$ 964,678.00	
Total	\$ 964,678.00	\$0

**Staffing Impact:**

<b>Requested Number of FTE's:</b>	0
<b>Position Title:</b>	



**Initiative Name:**

**U.S. Department of Justice, Paul Coverdell National Forensic Sciences Improvement Act Formula Grant**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-2**

**Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources**

**Initiative Discussion:**

THE SLCPD applied for and received \$125,000 grant from the US Department of Justice under the Paul Coverdell National Forensic Sciences Formula Grant program for crime lab equipment needed to process firearm examinations.

The City currently sends all firearm examination requests to the Utah Bureau of Forensic Services (State Lab). The State Lab has one qualified firearm examiner who provides examinations for the entire state, which results in a backlog and untimely return of evidence. It is the SLC Crime Lab's goal to become a full service laboratory. The goal is to have one (1) Crime Lab technician certified as a firearm examiner. The National Firearms Examiner Academy consists of four training phases, Phase I is a four (4) month training period with pre-course assignments; Phase II is a fifteen (15) week training period held at the AFTE Forensic Science Lab in Ammendale, Maryland; Phase III is a four (4) month training period where the student returns home to complete a research project and other assignments; and Phase IV is a two (2) week training period in which the student returns to AFTE to present his research project and follow-up instruction. There are no training fees to the student or agency to attend the Academy, however there are related travel costs.

The SLCPD proposes to purchase equipment necessary to set up and operate a state of the art Crime Lab capable of processing firearm examinations. Equipment costs of approximately \$115,000 includes Leica FS M Manually - controlled Forensic Comparison Macroscopic, a Team Fabrication Water Tank, Bullet Catcher, a Replacement Kevlar for Bullet Catcher and other incidental equipment, and to use approximately \$10,000 for travel/training expenses related to the training for the certification of the firearm examiner.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the US Department of Justice grant funds and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

**U.S. Department of Justice,  
Paul Coverdell National  
Forensic Sciences  
Improvement Act Formula  
Grant**

Initiative Name

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-2**

Initiative Number

**2009-10**

Fiscal Year

**Police Department**

Department

**Grants Requiring No  
New Staff Resources**

Type of Initiative

**Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins**

Prepared By

**535-3265 / 535-6150**

Telephone Contact

**(Negative)**

**Positive**

**General Fund - Fund Balance-  
Impact**

**None**

**Revenue Impact By Fund:**

**Fiscal Year  
Impact Amount**

**Annual  
Impact Amount**

**General Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Internal Service Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Enterprise Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Other Fund**

72

\$ 125,000.00

Total

\$ 125,000.00

\$0

**Staffing Impact:**

**Requested Number of  
FTE's:**

0

**Position Title:**



**Initiative Name:**

**State of Utah Commission on Criminal and Juvenile Justice (CCJJ) Pharmaceutical Drug Crime Project Public Awareness**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-3**

**Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources**

**Initiative Discussion:**

The SLCPD applied for and received a \$250,000 grant from the State of Utah, CCJJ, under their Pharmaceutical Drug Crime Project Public Awareness grant program.

The State of Utah recently formed the Utah Pharmaceutical Drug Crime Project (UPDCP) committee which includes prevention, treatment, law enforcement, judiciary, environmental quality, health specialists, medical providers, prosecutors, faith-based and Tribal Nations members. This committee was formed to bring together federal, state and local law enforcement and drug education/prevention specialists to develop a comprehensive, statewide program to address Utah's pharmaceutical problem.

The UPDCP will utilize a multi-agency Public Awareness Committee co-chaired by CCJJ Staff and the SLCPD. SLCPD will contract with a qualified advertising, marketing, or public relations agency to create and implement a public awareness campaign that will heighten awareness and serve as a call to action to Utah's citizens to become involved in efforts to eliminate non-medical pharmaceutical use in Utah. The contracting agency will utilize TV, radio, print and other non-traditional media.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the State of Utah CCJJ grant funds and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.



**State of Utah, CCJJ  
Pharmaceutical Drug Crime  
Project Public Awareness**

Initiative Name

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-3**

Initiative Number

**2009-10**

Fiscal Year

**Police Department**

Department

**Grants Requiring No  
New Staff Resources**

Type of Initiative

**Krista Dunn / Sherrie Collins**

Prepared By

**535-3265 / 535-6150**

Telephone Contact

**(Negative)**

**Positive**

**General Fund - Fund Balance-  
Impact**

**None**

**Revenue Impact By Fund:**

**Fiscal Year  
Impact Amount**

**Annual  
Impact Amount**

**General Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Internal Service Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Enterprise Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Other Fund**

72

\$ 250,000.00

Total

\$ 250,000.00

\$0

**Staffing Impact:**

**Requested Number of  
FTE's:**

0

**Position Title:**



**Initiative Name:**

**State of Utah, Department of Natural Resources, Bonneville Shoreline Trail**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-4**

**Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources**

**Initiative Discussion:**

Management Services applied for an received a \$17,735 grant to perform maintenance to the Bonneville Shoreline Trail (Red Butte Gardens to City Creek Canyon) from the State of Utah, Department of Natural Resources. The proposed trail maintenance includes 12.41 miles of needed maintenance to trail surface, grooming vegetation, switchbacks, retaining walls and water diversion structures along the Bonneville Shoreline Trail and 3.8 miles of access trails from the Red Butte Creek trailhead north to the Salt Lake County border.

The City will contract with the Cottonwood Canyon Foundation for \$20,992 who will oversee the project and approximately 120 volunteers who will conduct minor repairs and maintenance. In addition, the City's Trail and Open Space Coordinators will develop a public awareness brochure at a cost of approximately \$822; water diversion structures or dips will be created to prevent rutting and erosion at a cost of \$838; and two (2) retaining walls will be built using pressure treated lumber at a cost of \$700. \$883 will be expensed for grant management and over-site.

The grant requires a 100% match which will be satisfied with \$5000 cash from the City's CIP Open Space fund which will be used with the grant to contract with the Cottonwood Canyon Foundation and to pay for the brochure, \$9,755 will be met with the volunteer time of the Bonneville Shoreline Trail Committee and Cottonwood Canyons Foundation and \$4,495 will be met by donated time and tools by the Cottonwood Canyons Foundation.

In addition to this grant, the Open Space Coordinator expects to receive an additional \$1,500 grant from the State Park's Board as recommended by the State of Utah, Department of Natural Resources. Verification of this has not been confirmed at this time but will be brought into a budget opening when the award comes.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept State of Utah, Department of Natural Resources grants and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

**State of Utah, Department of Natural  
Resources, Bonneville Shoreline Trail**

Initiative Name			
<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-4</b> Initiative Number			<b>2009-10</b> Fiscal Year
<b>Management Services</b> Department			<b>Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources</b> Type of Initiative
<b>Emy Storeheim / Sherrie Collins</b> Prepared By			<b>535-7730/ 535-6150</b> Telephone Contact
<b>(Negative)</b>		<b>Positive</b>	
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact</b>		<b>None</b>	
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>		<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Annual</b>
		<b>Impact Amount</b>	<b>Impact Amount</b>
<b>General Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Other Fund</b>			
72	\$	17,735.00	
Total	\$	17,735.00	\$0
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>			
<b>Requested Number of FTE's:</b>			0
<b>Position Title:</b>			



**Initiative Name:**

**State of Utah, Department of Public Safety, Division of Homeland Security (HSG) , 2009 Urban Area Security Initiative Grant (UASI) Program**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-5**

**Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources**

**Initiative Discussion:**

The Emergency Management Division in a joint effort with Salt Lake County received a \$2,315,400 grant from the State of Utah, Department of Public Safety, 2009 Homeland Security Grant, under the Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) grant program. This grant was awarded to continue the UASI efforts in purchasing equipment and materials needed to plan and prepare in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack. The City is the lead agency and will receive \$1,278,494.14 of the grant funds. The remainder, or \$1,036,905.86 will be provided to the County.

The Council, as a conset agenda item, recently allocated \$320,000 of the City's portion of budget so that the SLC Fire Department could purchase the inter-operable communications equipment for the two new communication support trucks purchased with 08-UASI grant funding, and have it installed before the trucks were shipped to SLC. The Emergency Management Division is now requesting that the remainder of budget totaling \$1,995,400 be allocated.

Of the City' s remaining allocation of \$958,494.14, the Emergency Management Division will expense \$150,000 under the risk management category which includes performing an all-hazards GIS inventory to identify and protect critical infrastructure/transportation systems and strengthening the capabilities for medical response by purchasing \$148,129 of pharmaceutical antidotes to protect first responders; \$43,414.60 will be expensed by SLC Fire to provide training, drills and excercise's for communications specialists and technicians to operate the two new vehicles purchased with 08-UASI funds and equipment recently purchased; \$55,800.54 will be expensed on equipment under the planning category which includes video conference telecommunication, communications and surveillances systems and grant managment over-site; \$360,000 will be used for community preparedness activitites including public education and outreach literature and campaigns and 72 hr kits for local school districts; and \$201,150 will be used to purchase data fusion/synthesis equipment; and the remaining \$1,036,905.86 will be allcoted to the County.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the State UASI grant and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

**State of Utah, Department of Public  
 Safety, Division of Homeland Security  
 (HSG), 2009 Urban Area Security  
 Initiative Grant (UASI) Program**

Initiative Name		
<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-5</b>		<b>2009-10</b>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
<b>Management Services</b>		<b>Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources</b>
Department		Type of Initiative
<b>Alicia Johnson / Sherrie Collins</b>		<b>535-7221 / 535-6150</b>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
	<b>(Negative)</b>	<b>Positive</b>
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact</b>	<b>None</b>	
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>		
	<b>Fiscal Year Impact Amount</b>	<b>Annual Impact Amount</b>
<b>General Fund</b>		
<b>Total</b>	\$0	\$0
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>		
<b>Total</b>	\$0	\$0
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>		
<b>Total</b>	\$0	\$0
<b>Other Fund</b>		
72-	\$ 1,995,400.00	
<b>Total</b>	\$ 1,995,400.00	\$0
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>		
<b>Requested Number of FTE's:</b>		0
<b>Position Title:</b>		





**Initiative Name:**

**US Department of Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Culinary Water Supply Protection Project**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-6**

**Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources**

**Initiative Discussion:**

Public Utilities applied for and received a \$286,000 grant from the US Department of EPA for a culinary water supply protection project that includes an analysis and report of SLC's drinking water Well #18 and perchloroethylene (PCE) Plume located in the 700 South 1600 East area. Well #18 is an important component of SLC's culinary water supply and is affected by a known deep groundwater plume of volatile organic compound (VOC) contamination, primarily PCE.

The grant funds will be used to contract with a qualified environmental consulting and engineering firm to access additional delineation and characterization of the PCE Plume, the hydrogeological conditions affecting both the PCE Plume and Well #18, and make recommendations for the development of water treatment alternatives to prevent PCE from affecting the culinary water supply from Well #18 and design the recommended alternatives for implementation and construction to protect the culinary water supply from the PCE Plume.

The grant requires a 45% match and will be satisfied through Public Services environmental consulting and engineering services for the project.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the US Department of EPA grants and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

**US Department of Environmental  
Protection Agency (EPA), Culinary  
Water Supply Protection Project**

Initiative Name

<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-6</b>		<b>2009-10</b>
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year
<b>Public Utilities</b>		<b>Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources</b>
Department		Type of Initiative
<b>Laura Briefer / Sherrie Collins</b>		<b>483-6741 / 535-6150</b>
Prepared By		Telephone Contact
	<b>(Negative)</b>	<b>Positive</b>
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact</b>	<b>None</b>	
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>	<b>Fiscal Year Impact Amount</b>	<b>Annual Impact Amount</b>
<b>General Fund</b>		
Total	\$0	\$0
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>		
Total	\$0	\$0
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>		
Total	\$0	\$0
<b>Other Fund</b>		
72-	\$ 286,000.00	
Total	\$ 286,000.00	\$0
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>		
<b>Requested Number of FTE's:</b>		0
<b>Position Title:</b>		



**Initiative Name:**

**Economic Development Corporation of Utah - Marketing Grant**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-7**

**Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources**

**Initiative Discussion:**

The Economic Development Division of CED applied for and received three (3) grants from the Economic Development Corporation of Utah (edcUtah). The grants were allocated for Marketing, \$3,000; Sponsorship, \$2,000; and Professional Development \$800.

The Marketing grant of \$3,000 was awarded for updating the City's marketing materials. The materials currently being used are out dated and do not adequately promote Salt Lake City as a premier business location. The proposed marketing packet will include a kit cover, blank inserts, a brochure and large envelope at an estimated cost of \$10,000. The grant funds will be used to offset costs associated with development of the marketing kit. The required match and remainder of the Marketing Kit costs will be met and is budgeted for within the Economic Development Divisions general fund budget.

The Sponsorship grant of \$2,000 was awarded to help offset costs associated with the Neighborhood Business Districts Conference which will be offered by the Economic Development Division in Jan of 2010. This conference will provide local participants with knowledge of how to effectively develop a successful business district, how business districts can help individual businesses succeed, the role the city plan plays in development, successful marketing strategies, planning, zoning and design issues, how to interface with residents of the neighborhood, the best practices, and how to hold special events and promotions. The conference is estimated to cost \$15,000. The grant funds may be used to offset marketing/conference materials, food, and speaker costs of travel and hotel. The required match and remainder of the Conference costs will be met and budgeted for within the Economic Development Divisions and CED's general fund budget, donations and other private contributions including commitments from Downtown Alliance and the Chamber of Commerce.

The Professional Development grant of \$800.00 was awarded to help offset the costs of 2 people to attend the annual or semi annual National Association of Foreign Trade Zones conference which will be held Jan through June of 2010. The required match and remainder of the travel costs will be met and is budgeted for within the Economic Development Divisions general fund budget.

**Economic Development Corporation of  
Utah - Marketing Grant**

Initiative Name

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-7**

Initiative Number

**2009-10**

Fiscal Year

**Public Utilities**

Department

**Grants Requiring No  
New Staff Resources**

Type of Initiative

**Bob Farrington / Sherrie Collins**

Prepared By

**/ 535-6150**

Telephone Contact

**(Negative)**

**Positive**

**General Fund - Fund Balance-  
Impact**

**None**

**Revenue Impact By Fund:**

**Fiscal Year  
Impact Amount**

**Annual  
Impact Amount**

**General Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Internal Service Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Enterprise Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Other Fund**

72

\$

5,800.00

Total

\$

5,800.00

\$0

**Staffing Impact:**

**Requested Number of  
FTE's:**

0

**Position Title:**

Accounting Detail		Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:	NA - Private Grant Source	
<b>Revenue:</b>				
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount		
72-	1895	\$ 5,800.00		
<b>Expenditure:</b>				
Cost Center Number	Object Code Number	Amount		
72-	2590	\$ 3,000.00		
72	2590	\$ 2,000.00		
72	2590	\$ 800.00		
		\$ 5,800.00		
<b>Additional Accounting Details:</b>				
Please set up three cost centers				
<b>Grant Information:</b>				
Grant funds employee positions?			No	
Is there a potential for grant to continue?			No	
If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?			NA	
Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?			Yes	
Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?			NA	
Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?			No	



**Initiative Name:**

**US Department of Energy (DOE) - American Recovery and Reinvestment Act Solar Market Transformation Grant**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-8**

**Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources**

**Initiative Discussion:**

The Sustainability Division applied for a \$267,700 grant from the DOE, under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, for continuation of the Solar Market Transformation program. The City is currently waiting for award documents to be issued. This transaction serves as a place holder and if award documents are not received by the time of the briefing will be pulled from the budget opening and resubmitted either as a consent agenda item or in a future budget opening.

This grant was awarded to continue Phase II of a collaborative set of strategies with the City's partner agencies that include Salt Lake County, Utah Clean Energy, Kennecott Land Company, and the Utah Governors Office of Economic Development to address the barriers impacting the widespread deployment of solar technologies in Utah, .

The objectives of Phase II of the Solar Salt Lake Project are to increase the installed capacity of solar energy in SLC and the County, reaching 10MW of solar by 2015; remove key financial and regulatory barriers to widespread, large -scale solar development in the Salt Lake Valley through: a. Expansion of Solar-PV Utility Rebate (buy down) Program, b. Regulatory ruling on Third Party Power Purchase Agreements, c. Identification and implementation of replicable alternative financing structures for both the City and County, d. Stakeholder outreach and education on each of the aforementioned strategies and other solar related issues that arise; and serve as a model for other cities and jurisdictions in Utah and nationwide that wish to address financial and regulatory barriers to solar deployment.

These funds will be used to extend the contractual agreement, for a two year period, with Utah Clean Energy in the amount of \$159,845. Utah Clean Energy has been the consultant during Phase I of the grant and provides the promotion of energy efficiency and renewable energy through advocacy, education and formation of diverse partnerships. In addition, Utah Clean Energy has assisted SLC with program implementation, including barrier identification, strategy development and program execution. The City will also contract with the County in the amount of \$95,661 to provide travel funds for one staff member to attend the annual Solar Market and Solar American Cities Annual Conferences and to sub-contract with Ballard Spahr for consulting services for regulatory and technical issues. \$6,532 will be retained by the City for grant management and over-site and \$5,662 will be used for travel to the Solar Market and Solar America Cities Annual Conferences.

The grant requires a match which will be met with \$24,452 of the salary and benefits cost of the City's Office of Sustainability and the Environment Director, \$5,000 of donated time by Kennecott Land Company, \$42,855 of donated time from Salt Lake County, \$35,000 of donated time by Utah Clean Energy, and \$5,000 of time donated by the Utah Governors' Office of Economic Development.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the US Department Energy, Solar America grant funds and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

**US Department of Energy (DOE) -  
American Recovery and Reinvestment  
Act Solar Market Transformation Grant**

<b>US Department of Energy (DOE) - American Recovery and Reinvestment Act Solar Market Transformation Grant</b>				
	<b>Initiative Name</b>			
<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-8</b>			<b>2009-10</b>	
	<b>Initiative Number</b>		<b>Fiscal Year</b>	
<b>Management Services</b>			<b>Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources</b>	
	<b>Department</b>		<b>Type of Initiative</b>	
	<b>Vickie Bennett / Sherrie Collins</b>		<b>535-6540 / 535-6150</b>	
	<b>Prepared By</b>		<b>Telephone Contact</b>	
	<b>(Negative)</b>		<b>Positive</b>	
	<b>General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact</b>		<b>None</b>	
	<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>			
		<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Annual</b>	
		<b>Impact Amount</b>	<b>Impact Amount</b>	
	<b>General Fund</b>			
	<b>Total</b>	\$0	\$0	
	<b>Internal Service Fund</b>			
	<b>Total</b>	\$0	\$0	
	<b>Enterprise Fund</b>			
	<b>Total</b>	\$0	\$0	
	<b>Other Fund</b>			
	72	\$ 267,700.00		
	<b>Total</b>	\$ 267,700.00	\$0	
	<b>Staffing Impact:</b>			
	<b>Requested Number of FTE's:</b>			0
	<b>Position Title:</b>			

<b>Accounting Detail</b>		<b>Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:</b>	<b>TBD</b>	
<b>Revenue:</b>				
<b>Cost Center Number</b>		<b>Object Code Number</b>	<b>Amount</b>	
72-		1360	\$ 267,700.00	
<b>Expenditure:</b>				
<b>Cost Center Number</b>		<b>Object Code Number</b>	<b>Amount</b>	
72-		2590	\$ 267,700.00	
<b>Additional Accounting Details:</b>				
<b>Grant Information:</b>				
<b>Grant funds employee positions?</b>			<b>No</b>	
<b>Is there a potential for grant to continue?</b>			<b>No</b>	
<b>If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?</b>			<b>NA</b>	
<b>Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?</b>			<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?</b>			<b>NA</b>	
<b>Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?</b>			<b>No</b>	

**Initiative Name:**

**LeRay McAllister Critical Land Conservation Fund - Wasatch Hollow Restoration Project**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-9**

**Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources**

**Initiative Discussion:**

The Sustainability Division applied for a \$20,000 grant from the LeRay McAllister Land Conservation Fund for the Wasatch Hollow Restoration Project.

The 10 acres of the Wasatch Hollow Open Space Land consists of 3.5 acres donated by the LDS Church, 2 acres purchased by the SLC and the County, 2.5 acres donated by the Presbyterian Church and 1 acre of street right of way that is in the process of being vacated. The City has partnered with Utah Open Lands, Salt Lake County, Wasatch Hollow Community Council, Wasatch Hollow Community Association, and Dr. A. Tyrone Harrison at Westminster College to restore the upland, meadow and riparian habitat of Emigration Creek in Wasatch Hollow.

This project proposes weed control on all 10 acres of property and will be the focus of long-term management to ensure invasive non-native species are controlled. The 3.5 acres will be focused on as the first phase of restoration and the remaining 6.5 acres, which requires significant earth works to accomplish restoration of in-stream, riparian meadow and upland habitat restoration will be the focus of the second phase of restoration.

These funds will be used for phase one including weed control, and clean up of all 10 acres. The funds will be spent for material and supplies as follows: Herbicide - \$800; Native Grass and Flower Seeds - \$4,000; and Mycorrhizal Fungus for planting establishment - \$250. In addition \$14,950 will be used to hire professional consultants/contractors as follows: Invasive Tree Control Professional - \$7,200; Debris Removal Contractor - \$5,000; Soil Preparation Contractor - \$750; and Herbicide Application Labor - \$2,000.

The grant requires a 100% match which will be satisfied with \$20,000 cash from the City's CIP Open Space fund which will be used to contract with Millennium Group Consulting which is currently being negotiated. There is currently a cash balance in the Open Space fund of 172,878.92 after the \$5,000 allocated for the State of Utah, Department of Natural Resources, Bonneville Shoreline Trail grant and there will be a remaining balance of \$152,878.92 after this allocation.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept LeRay McAllister grant funds and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

**LeRay McAllister Critical Land  
Conservation Fund - Wasatch Hollow  
Restoration Project**

Initiative Name			
<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-9</b>		<b>2009-10</b>	
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year	
<b>Management Services</b>		<b>Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources</b>	
Department		Type of Initiative	
<b>Emy Storheim / Sherrie Collins</b>		<b>535-7730 / 535-6150</b>	
Prepared By		Telephone Contact	
	<b>(Negative)</b>		<b>Positive</b>
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact</b>		<b>None</b>	
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>	<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Annual</b>	
	<b>Impact Amount</b>	<b>Impact Amount</b>	
<b>General Fund</b>			
Total	\$0	\$0	
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>			
Total	\$0	\$0	
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>			
Total	\$0	\$0	
<b>Other Fund</b>			
72	\$ 20,000.00		
Total	\$ 20,000.00	\$0	
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>			
<b>Requested Number of FTE's:</b>			0
<b>Position Title:</b>			





**Initiative Name:**

**US Department of Energy (DOE) - American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant (EECBG)**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-10**

**Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources**

**Initiative Discussion:**

The Sustainability Division applied for and received \$2,116,500 grant from the DOE, under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, EECBG program. Salt Lake City's Energy Blueprint outlines the City's goals and strategies for reducing energy use, improving air quality, and reducing carbon dioxide emissions within the City. The EECBG grant funds were awarded to aid the City in reaching several of its goals and objectives outlined in the blueprint which include reducing community energy use and CO<sub>2</sub>e Emissions, reduce energy use and CO<sub>2</sub>e emission in City operations and increase the quantity of renewable energy produced in the City.

To meet the grant objectives, the City proposed to the DOE to allocate \$1,446,030 to contractual services as follows: \$153,000 for a carbon emissions/sustainability consultant to a conduct community carbon inventory and collect baselines, develop carbon/energy reduction goals, verify and report findings to the Climate Registry, develop a long term energy reduction strategy and formulate the SLC Sustainability Plan; \$91,000 for a decorative asphalt specialty contractor to install 2.1 miles of four-foot wide green shared-lane (bicycle and auto) striping on roadways; \$38,000 for design development, printing and promotions of a brochure, maps and a public display; \$25,000 to Downtown Alliance to purchase 5,000 strands of three watt LED holiday lights for installation throughout the downtown business district; \$415,000 for a lighting contractor to provide and install an estimated 415 LED street lights; \$167,326 for a planning and zoning consultant to produce energy efficiency building and alternative energy production and energy efficiency transportation ordinance amendments; \$32,370 for a solar energy contractor to supply and install a solar-hot water system at Fire Station #8; \$207,724 for a traffic signal management consultant to complete the synchronization of 200 traffic lights within the City; \$50,000 to Utah Clean Energy to assist state-wide policy efforts, legislation/policy education and informative actions for regulatory issues with public utilities; \$249,000 for Utah Transit Authority to remodel 1,700 square feet of intermodal hub space for a Bicycle Transit Center and for operations of the center; and \$17,610 for a web designer to design and publish a Sustainability/Community Carbon Reduction website.

In addition, the City proposed to retain \$86,100 to purchase and install approximately 1,400 yellow 12-watt LED traffic signals, \$400,000 for the Energy Efficient Revolving Loan Fund that will provide approximately 80 loans between \$5,000 and \$100,000 to for-profit business within the City to upgrade the energy efficiency of their buildings; \$173,870 for the salary and benefits of time spent on grant related activities over the grant three year period of the grant monitor for over-site and financial management of the grant, the environmental planner to process environmental reviews, the community development grant specialist to track and report Davis bacon wage activities and the Economic Development Divisions Loan Fund Coordinator for over site and management of the EECEBG Revolving Loan Fund; and \$10,500 to pay for the Climate Registry membership for three years.

There is no match requirement.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the US Department Energy grant funds and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

**US Department of Energy (DOE) -  
American Recovery and Reinvestment  
Act, Energy Efficiency and  
Conservation Block Grant (EECBG)**

Initiative Name				
<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-10</b>			<b>2009-10</b>	
Initiative Number			Fiscal Year	
<b>Management Services</b>			<b>Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources</b>	
Department			Type of Initiative	
<b>Vickie Bennett / Sherrie Collins</b>			<b>535-6540 / 535-6150</b>	
Prepared By			Telephone Contact	
		<b>(Negative)</b>	<b>Positive</b>	
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact</b>		<b>None</b>		
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>		<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Annual</b>	
		<b>Impact Amount</b>	<b>Impact Amount</b>	
<b>General Fund</b>				
	Total	\$0	\$0	
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>				
	Total	\$0	\$0	
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>				
	Total	\$0	\$0	
<b>Other Fund</b>				
72		\$ 2,116,500.00		
	Total	\$ 2,116,500.00	\$0	
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>				
<b>Requested Number of FTE's:</b>				0
<b>Position Title:</b>				



**Initiative Name:**

**Utah Arts Council, Arts Education Program -YouthCity Artways Imagination Celebration Grant**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-11**

**Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources**

**Initiative Discussion:**

The State of Utah, Utah Arts Council awarded the Salt Lake City Foundation a \$2,500 grant for the YouthCity Artways, Imagination Celebration program.

The Imagination Celebration of Salt Lake city is a year-round arts education program that fosters creativity in the community by providing young people and their families with opportunities to explore and experience the fine arts together. Through activities and performances in schools, libraries and community settings, participants express their creativity, experience professional artists, and develop a greater appreciation for the arts. The Imagination Celebration encompasses events in a variety of art forms including dance, opera, drama, music and visual arts, providing a diverse array of activities.

The grant funds received from the Utah Arts Council will cover the costs associated with workshops for the "Imagine the Earth..." piano monster concert to be held at West High School on May 27, 2010. The concert brings together pianists of many ages and abilities to play ten grand pianos on one stage. Participants in workshops led by YouthCity Artways teaching artists will create multi-media art pieces which will be incorporated into the performance. Funds will also be used to support the "Six Tribes, one Vibe" workshops at Glendale Middle School during the course of the 2009/2010 school year. This program uses traditional folk arts to connect school-age youth to the past. Students work with professional folk artists from a variety of countries to learn the cultures and dances of different geographic regions, culminating in a public performance at Glendale Middle School.

There is no match requirement.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept the Utah Art's Council grant funds and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.

**Utah Arts Council, Arts Education  
Program -YouthCity Artways  
Imagination Celebration Grant**

Initiative Name

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-11**

**2009-10**

Initiative Number

Fiscal Year

**Public Services**

**Grants Requiring No  
New Staff Resources**

Department

Type of Initiative

**Ken Perko / Sherrie Collins**

**535-6540 / 535-6150**

Prepared By

Telephone Contact

**(Negative)**

**Positive**

**General Fund - Fund Balance-  
Impact**

**None**

**Revenue Impact By Fund:**

**Fiscal Year**

**Annual**

**Impact Amount**

**Impact Amount**

**General Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Internal Service Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Enterprise Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Other Fund**

72

\$

2,500.00

Total

\$

2,500.00

\$0

**Staffing Impact:**

**Requested Number of  
FTE's:**

0

**Position Title:**



**Initiative Name:**

State of Utah, Utah Division of Park and Recreation, Bonneville Shoreline Trail

**Initiative Number:**

BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-12

**Grants Requiring No New Staff Resources****Initiative Discussion:**

Management Services, Sustainability Division received an additional award of \$1,500 from the State of Utah, Utah Division of Parks and Recreation. These funds were awarded in addition to the \$17,735 awarded from the State Department of Natural Resources to be used for the same purposes. Management Services received a \$17,735 grant to perform maintenance to the Bonneville Shoreline Trail (Red Butte Gardens to City Creek Canyon) from the State of Utah, Department of Natural Resources. The proposed trail maintenance includes 12.41 miles of needed maintenance to trail surface, grooming vegetation, switchbacks, retaining walls and water diversion structures along the Bonneville Shoreline Trail and 3.8 miles of access trails from the Red Butte Creek trailhead north to the Salt Lake County border.

The City will contract with the Cottonwood Canyon Foundation for \$20,992 who will oversee the project and approximately 120 volunteers who will conduct minor repairs and maintenance. In addition, the City's Trail and Open Space Coordinators will develop a public awareness brochure at a cost of approximately \$822; water diversion structures or dips will be created to prevent rutting and erosion at a cost of \$838; and two (2) retaining walls will be built using pressure treated lumber at a cost of \$700. \$883 will be expensed for grant management and over-site.

The grant requires a 100% match which will be satisfied with \$5000 cash from the City's CIP Open Space fund which will be used with the grant to contract with the Cottonwood Canyon Foundation and to pay for the brochure, \$9,755 will be met with the volunteer time of the Bonneville Shoreline Trail Committee and Cottonwood Canyons Foundation and \$4,495 will be met by donated time and tools by the Cottonwood Canyons Foundation.

This request will establish budget to facilitate the additional grant of \$1,500 for the Bonneville Shoreline Project.

A Resolution was previously passed authorizing the Mayor to sign and accept State of Utah, Department of Natural Resources grants and any additional grants or agreements that stem from the original grant.



**State of Utah, Department of Natural Resources, Bonneville Shoreline Trail**

Initiative Name			
<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #E-12</b>		<b>2009-10</b>	
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year	
<b>Management Services</b>		<b>Grants Requiring No</b>	
		<b>New Staff Resources</b>	
Department		Type of Initiative	
<b>Emy Storeheim / Sherrie Collins</b>		<b>535-7730/ 535-6150</b>	
Prepared By		Telephone Contact	
	<b>(Negative)</b>	<b>Positive</b>	
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact</b>	<b>None</b>		
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>	<b>Fiscal Year Impact Amount</b>	<b>Annual Impact Amount</b>	
<b>General Fund</b>			
Total	\$0	\$0	
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>			
Total	\$0	\$0	
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>			
Total	\$0	\$0	
<b>Other Fund</b>			
72	\$ 1,500.00		
Total	\$ 1,500.00	\$0	
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>			
<b>Requested Number of FTE's:</b>			0
<b>Position Title:</b>			

<b>Accounting Detail</b>		<b>Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:</b>	<b>TBD</b>
<b>Revenue:</b>			
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>	<b>Amount</b>	
72	1370	\$	1,500.00
<b>Expenditure:</b>			
<b>Cost Center Number</b>	<b>Object Code Number</b>	<b>Amount</b>	
72	2590	\$	1,500.00
<b>Additional Accounting Details:</b>			
<b>Grant Information:</b>			
<b>Grant funds employee positions?</b>		<b>No</b>	
<b>Is there a potential for grant to continue?</b>		<b>No</b>	
<b>If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?</b>		<b>NA</b>	
<b>Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?</b>		<b>NA</b>	
<b>Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?</b>		<b>No</b>	
<b>Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?</b>		<b>No</b>	

**Initiative Name:**

**Utah Department of Public Safety, 2009 Homeland Security Grant, Urban Area Security Initiative - UASI**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #G-1**

**Council Consent Agenda - Grant Awards**

**Initiative Discussion:**

The Emergency Management Division in a joint effort with Salt Lake County received a \$2,315,400 grant from the State of Utah, Department of Public Safety, 2009 Homeland Security Grant, under the Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) grant program. This grant was awarded to continue the UASI efforts in purchasing equipment and materials needed to plan and prepare in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack. The City is the lead agency and will receive \$1,278,494.14 of the grant funds. The remainder or \$1,036,905.86 will be provided to the County,

The Emergency Management Division is currently requesting that budget in the amount of \$320,000 be established so that the SLC Fire Department can purchase the inter-operable communications equipment for the two new communication support trucks purchased with 08-UASI grant funding, and have it installed before the trucks are shipped to SLC. The inter-operable equipment will insure that the trucks are mission ready and will allow for communications with local, state and federal agencies.

This request is to allocate budget of \$320,000. The remainder of the grant, or \$1,995,400 will be brought into budget amendment #2. See item number E-5

Already funded by the grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

**Utah Department of Public Safety, 2009  
 Homeland Security Grant, Urban Area  
 Security Initiative - UASI**

Initiative Name

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #G-1**

**2009-10**

Initiative Number

Fiscal Year

**Management Services**

**Council Consent  
 Agenda - Grant Awards**

Department

Type of Initiative

**Alicia Johnson / Sherrie Collins**

**535-7221/ 535-6150**

Prepared By

Telephone Contact

(Negative)

Positive

**General Fund - Fund Balance-  
 Impact**

None

**Revenue Impact By Fund:**

**Fiscal Year  
 Impact Amount**

**Annual  
 Impact Amount**

**General Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Internal Service Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Enterprise Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Other Fund**

72-99999

\$ 320,000.00

Total

\$ 320,000.00

\$0

**Staffing Impact:**

**Requested Number of  
 FTE's:**

0

**Position Title:**



**Initiative Name:**

**State of Utah, Certified Local Government (CLG) Program, Intensive Level Survey  
Historic Preservation**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #G-2**

**Council Consent Agenda - Grant Awards**

**Initiative Discussion:**

The State of Utah, Department of Community Culture awarded the Salt Lake City Planning Division a \$4,800 Certified Local Government grant to provide funding to begin the process of designating City-owned historic properties as Landmark Sites by completing intensive level documentation of eligible properties. Currently, the City has many significant properties that are not listed as Landmark Sites on the SLC Register of Cultural Resources.

Of these funds, \$3,600 was awarded to hire a professional consultant to complete a historic intensive level survey and provide documentation on approximately four (4) City owned historic properties for designation as Landmark Sites, and \$1,200 was awarded for a professional consultant to prepare an Historic National Register nomination for the Northwest Pipeline building (Public Safety Building). The remaining three buildings will be determined by Planning Staff.

The grant requires a \$4,800 match which will be satisfied with the Preservation Planning Staff time and is budgeted for within the Planning Division's budget.

Already funded by the grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account

**State of Utah, Certified Local  
Government (CLG) Program, Intensive  
Level Survey Historic Preservation**

Initiative Name			
<b>BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #G-2</b>		<b>2009-10</b>	
Initiative Number		Fiscal Year	
<b>CED</b>		<b>Council Consent</b>	
Department		<b>Agenda - Grant Awards</b>	
<b>Janice Lew / Sherrie Collins</b>		Type of Initiative	
Prepared By		<b>535-7265/ 535-6150</b>	
		Telephone Contact	
	<b>(Negative)</b>		<b>Positive</b>
<b>General Fund - Fund Balance- Impact</b>	<b>None</b>		
<b>Revenue Impact By Fund:</b>		<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Annual</b>
		<b>Impact Amount</b>	<b>Impact Amount</b>
<b>General Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Internal Service Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Enterprise Fund</b>			
Total		\$0	\$0
<b>Other Fund</b>			
72-99999	\$	4,800.00	
Total	\$	4,800.00	\$0
<b>Staffing Impact:</b>			
<b>Requested Number of FTE's:</b>			0
<b>Position Title:</b>			





**Initiative Name:**

**US Dept of Health and Human Services SAMHSA - Drug Free Communities Grant**

**Initiative Number:**

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #G-3**

**Council Consent Agenda - Grant Awards**

**Initiative Discussion:**

The Mayor's Office applied for and received \$125,000 of grant funding from the Department of Health and Human Services for continuation of the Mayor's Drug Free Communities Support program. This program supports the Mayor's Coalition on Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs in the reduction and prevention of substance abuse in Salt Lake City. This is year two of the grant which has been awarded for an additional 5 year period.

Of these funds, \$66,417 has been awarded for the salary and benefits of the Coalition Coordinator, who coordinates and supports the coalition strategy in program implementation and activities that include training, data collection, dissemination of findings, and liaising between the Coalition, the Mayor's Office and the community, and \$5,371 will fund the Grant Monitors time for the fiscal monitoring and oversight of the grant. In addition, \$10,171 has been awarded for travel and training of the Coalition Coordinator, a coalition member, four (4) students and one (1) advisor to four (4) mandatory conferences that include the grantee meeting, and the Community Anti-Drug Coalitions of American (CADCA) trainings and Youth Leadership Initiative; \$14,435 will be used for memberships, registration for conference's listed above, printing, photocopying and postage; and \$ 28,606 will be used for other contractual components to include continuation of program evaluation and needs assessment data collection and analysis for the Coalition, Salt Lake IMS web-site domain, a contractual strategic planning facilitator to assist the Coalition in its annual strategic planning process, stipends paid to high school teachers who serve as school advisors for Governing Youth Councils, and graphic design costs for brochures, posters mailings, etc.

The grant requires a \$166,724 in-kind match which will be met with the Mayor's Office staff, IMS staff time for Community Forum tapings, a portion of the consultants time for the evaluation services and data collection, Coalition members time and SLC Public Utilities expense related to the production and mailing of four publications of the Salt Lake City News with articles featuring Coalition prevention messages and is included in the City's water bill.

Already funded by the grant holding account. This request will reimburse the grant holding account.

**US Dept of Health and Human Services  
SAMHSA - Drug Free Communities**

**Grant**

Initiative Name

**BA#2 FY2010 Initiative #G-3**

Initiative Number

**2009-10**

Fiscal Year

**Mayor's Coalition on ATOD**

Department

**Abbie Vianes / Sherrie Collins**

Prepared By

**Council Consent  
Agenda - Grant Awards**

Type of Initiative  
**535-7936/ 535-6150**

Telephone Contact

**(Negative)**

**Positive**

**General Fund - Fund Balance-  
Impact**

**None**

**Revenue Impact By Fund:**

**Fiscal Year  
Impact Amount**

**Annual  
Impact Amount**

**General Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Internal Service Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Enterprise Fund**

Total

\$0

\$0

**Other Fund**

72-99999

\$ 125,000.00

Total

\$ 125,000.00

\$0

**Staffing Impact:**

**Requested Number of  
FTE's:**

0

**Position Title:**

<b>Accounting Detail</b>			<b>Grant # and CFDA # If Applicable:</b>	<b>93.276 - 5H79SP012433-07</b>
<b>Revenue:</b>				
<b>Cost Center Number</b>			<b>Object Code Number</b>	
72-99999			1360	
			\$	
			125,000.00	
<b>Expenditure:</b>				
<b>Cost Center Number</b>			<b>Object Code Number</b>	
72-99999			2590	
			\$	
			125,000.00	
<b>Additional Accounting Details:</b>				
<b>Grant Information:</b>				
<b>Grant funds employee positions?</b>			Yes	
<b>Is there a potential for grant to continue?</b>			Yes	
<b>If grant is funding a position is it expected the position will be eliminated at the end of the grant?</b>			Yes	
<b>Will grant program be complete in grant funding time frame?</b>			Yes	
<b>Will grant impact the community once the grant funds are eliminated?</b>			Yes	
<b>Does grant duplicate services provided by private or Non-profit sector?</b>			No	